

ASX RELEASE

30 October 2019

DRILLING INTERSECTS WIDE MASSIVE SULPHIDE ZONES AT ALOTTA

Highlights

- Diamond drilling commenced at the **high-grade Ni-Cu Alotta Prospect** over the weekend;
- Hole **ZA-19-03** was completed at 102m with **41m of visually logged massive and net textured sulphide mineralisation** intersected in a mostly mineralised zone from 32m to 73m downhole;
- The 41m mineralised intercept is the biggest downhole mineralised intercept to date at Alotta;
- A second zone of massive sulphides of 1.2m was intersected from 86.60m to 87.80m;
- The uncut core and widths of mineralisation show similar visual characteristics and tenor as previously reported for the Alotta high-grade mineralisation (Ni-Cu-PGE-Co);
- The drill core has yet to be routinely photographed, detail geologically logged, cut and sampled. The information released today is from the 'quick log' (rock type and mineralisation); and,
- The rig has been relocated to Hole **ZA-19-04**.

Advanced Nickel-Copper-PGE focused explorer Chase Mining Corporation Limited ("CML" or "The Company") is pleased to announce that the Alotta Project diamond drill programme of 600 to 700m commenced on the weekend. The 5 or 6 holes will target extensions to known mineralisation within the Ni-Cu wireframe (**Figure 1**); as well as testing the interpreted Alotta Deeps position; a shallow DHEM* plate; and, the potential WNW extension of the main mineralised zone.



ZA-19-03: Massive Sulphide - pyrrhotite-pyrite-chalcopyrite in a quartz breccia at 52m downhole

*ASX Announcements 14 October and 15 October 2019.



CHASE MINING CORPORATION LIMITED

ABN 12 118 788 846

Level 8, 46 Edward Street, Brisbane QLD 4000

PO Box 15505, City East QLD 4002

0439 310 818 | 0419 702 616

<https://www.chasemining.com.au>



Alotta Drill Programme – Hole ZA-19-03 (Table 1).

The Alotta diamond drill programme commenced on the 27th October with easy access sites to be drilled first.

Hole ZA-19-03 (Figure 1) was drilled within the Ni-Cu wireframe, but obliquely to the previous holes to better understand the internal geology and structure of the deposit. The hole also targeted the extension to depth of the mineralisation and the footwall Quartz-Feldspar-Porphyry contact zone.

The core has yet to be routinely photographed, geologically logged, cut and sampled. However, from the 'quick log' (rock type and mineralisation) the hole intersected massive sulphides and net-textured sulphides from 32m to 73m downhole. Examples of the mineralisation styles in this zone are shown in the series of photographs within this document.

From 73 to 86.6m downhole feldspar porphyry with trace pyrite was intersected, then 1.20m of massive sulphide to 87.80m (see core photograph at 87.6m below). The hole finished in feldspar porphyry at 102m.

Next Hole:

Hole **ZA-19-04** (currently underway) is an uplift on hole **ZA-18-05**** which returned **11.28m at 2.17% Ni, 2.15% Cu, 2.94g/t PGE and 0.11% Co** from 61.15m. The aim is to expand this zone upwards and the hole will continue into the footwall to test the extent of the net-textured sulphide mineralisation associated with the gabbro body along the northern margin of the wireframe model.

***ASX Announcement 13 November 2018*



Figure 1: Alotta Project – Drill Hole Location Plan for holes ZA-19-03 and ZA-19-04

Examples of hole ZA-19-03 mineralised core***:



ZA-19-03: 33.35m – Gabbro with remobilised massive pyrrhotite + pyrite



ZA-19-03: 34m – Gabbro with disseminated – net-textured massive pyrrhotite + pyrite




ZA-19-03: 52m - Massive Sulphide comprising pyrrhotite-pyrite-chalcopryite in a quartz breccia


*** All depths quoted are downhole depths – no true widths of mineralisation have been estimated.



ZA-19-03: 53.9m - Mineralised gabbro with massive pyrrhotite and pyrite porphyroblasts



ZA-19-03: 62.8m - Massive pyrrhotite with coarse pyrite blebs



ZA-19-03: 87.6m - Massive pyrrhotite with disseminated pyrite and veinlets

Table 1: Alotta Drill Collar Coordinates

| Planned Hole ID | Easting (mE) | Northing (mN) | CGVD (m) | Azimuth | Dip | Planned Depth | Final Depth |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|----------|---------|-----|---------------|-------------|
| ZA-19-03 | 631624 | 5258626 | 268 | 126 | -71 | 100 | 102 |
| ZA-19-04 | 631649 | 5258578 | 274 | 019 | -45 | 100 | - |

(Coordinates NAD83 UTM Zone 17N. Azimuth (Azi) True North Canadian Height Datum)



Chibougamau Diamond Drilling Ltd (CDD) diamond drill set up on Alotta hole ZA-19-03

Information from quick logs and core photographs only have been used to compile data presented in this ASX announcement. **No assay results are being reported.** No core has been cut or submitted for assay as of 30 October 2019. Procedures as set out in the attached **Appendix 1 - JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Report Template** are Standard Operating Procedure for the Company.

For, and on behalf of, the Board of Directors of Chase Mining Corporation Limited:

Dr Leon Pretorius

Executive Chairman and CEO

30 October 2019

Direct any enquiries to:

Martin Kavanagh on 0419 429 974

Leon Pretorius on 0419 702 616

Or

Charles Thomas on 0402 058 770

Competent Person Statements

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Activities is based on information evaluated by **Dr Leon Pretorius** who is a Fellow of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (FAusIMM) and who has sufficient experience relevant to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC Code 2012). Dr Pretorius is the Executive Chairman of Chase Mining Corporation Limited and he consents to the inclusion in the report of the information in the form and context in which it appears. Dr Pretorius holds shares in Chase Mining Corporation Limited.

Information in this ASX announcement that relates to Exploration Activities is based on information compiled by **Mr Martin Kavanagh**. Mr Kavanagh is a Non-Executive Director of Chase Mining Corporation Limited and is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (FAusIMM), and a Member of the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM). Mr Kavanagh has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activities, which he is undertaking. This qualifies Mr Kavanagh as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC Code 2012). Mr Kavanagh consents to the inclusion of information in this announcement in the form and context in which it appears. Mr Kavanagh holds shares in Chase Mining Corporation Limited.

APPENDIX 1

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

30 October 2019

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| Sampling techniques | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> <i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30g charge for fire assay’). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information from quick logs and core photographs only have been used to compile data presented in this ASX announcement. No assay results are being reported. No core has been cut or submitted for assay as of 30 October 2019. Procedures below will however be followed. All drill core was geologically logged by a suitably qualified Senior Geologist. Sampling of drill core was at a maximum of 1.5 metre intervals or as appropriate (minimum of 0.30m) to align with geological /mineralisation contacts ensuring that representative sample intervals were submitted for assay. Mineralised sections of drill core were cut with a diamond saw and half core samples submitted to ALS-Geochemistry, Sudbury, Canada (a fully accredited laboratory) for analysis. Half core been retained together with the full core (unsampled) sections of each hole for verification purposes. Assay methods comprised ICP-MS finish for Au, Pt and Pd (PGM-ICP23 Lab Code) and ME-MS61 for Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Ge, Hf, In, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, Re, S, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Ta, |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| | | Te, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Y, Zn, Zr elements and NiCu-OG62 for over-limits of Ni-Cu in ME-MS61 |
| Drilling techniques | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The October 2019 diamond drilling programme currently comprises six angle holes varying in depth from 102m to 120m. • All core drilling is NQ core size (47.6mm). • The drilling contractor is Chibougamau Diamond Drilling Ltd using a self-built skid mounted rig. |
| Drill sample recovery | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> • <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> • <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drill contractor measures core recoveries for every run completed using three metre core barrel. The core recovered is physically measured and the length recovered is recorded for every three metre “run”. Core recovery can be calculated as a percentage recovery. • The recoveries are also confirmed by the project Senior Geologist and entered into the drill logs. • There was a notable and consistent competency in the rocks drilled with no significant core recovery problems occurring in any of the holes drilled. • Generally, 100% recoveries were achieved through the sulphide mineralised zones. • No sampling bias has been identified in the data at this stage. |
| Logging | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No core has been cut or submitted for assay as of 30 October 2019. Procedures below will however be followed. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> • <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An experienced Senior Geologist from the Company's consultants Orix Geoscience geologically logged the drill core, using an industry standard logging procedure. • All holes were summary logged during the drilling phase and then logged (and sampled) in detail. • Drill core has yet to be been fully logged. • Logging of drill core is both qualitative i.e. logging of colour, grainsize, weathering, structural fabric, lithology and alteration type; and quantitative i.e. % of minerals present depending on the feature being logged. • All core is photographed in the core trays, with individual photographs taken of each tray both dry, and wet. Photos are saved on a secure server. • All data was entered into digital templates at the project office. • All samples were geologically logged to the level of detail required to support a future Mineral Resource Estimation. |
| Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No core has been cut or submitted for assay as of 30 October 2019. Procedures below will be followed • NQ core will be cut with a diamond saw with the same half always sampled and the other half retained in the core tray. Half-core sampling is considered appropriate for the style of mineralisation intersected. • Core cutting and sampling will be carried out by experienced personnel supervised by the Senior Geologist |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|----------|---|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Orix/Chase Mining's sampling procedures and QAQC was used to maximise representivity of samples. • Orix Geoscience will manage the QAQC of the drill programme which has included the use of certified reference materials (CRMs - standards) and unmineralised samples (blanks). • A maximum core length of 1.5m will be used and is considered appropriate for the style of disseminated to massive sulphide mineralisation being targeted. The minimum core length sampled was 0.30m. • The half core samples will be crushed at the ALS Sudbury laboratory and the entire sample was pulverised to 97% less than 2mm, riffle split off 250g, pulverize better than 85% passing 75 microns to provide a sub-sample for analysis. This process minimizes any sub-sampling bias that can be introduced at this stage. • The half core sample sizes (max. 1.40m – min.30cm) are considered appropriate to correctly represent the style of disseminated, net textured, semi-massive and massive sulphides expected at Lorraine and Alotta. • Core sampling, sample size and analytical methods are deemed appropriate for the style of mineralisation being reported. • Samples including duplicates and CRM's will be submitted for assay at ALS Sudbury |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|--|
| Quality of assay data and laboratory tests | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No core has been cut or submitted for assay as of 30 October 2019. Procedures below will be followed Samples from the drilling were submitted to ALS Geochemistry, Sudbury, Canada. Assay methods comprised ICP-MS finish for Au, Pt and Pd (PGM-ICP23 Lab Code) and ME-MS61 for Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Ge, Hf, In, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, Re, S, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Ta, Te, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Y Zn, Zr elements and NiCu-OG62 for over-limits of Ni-Cu in ME-MS61 Sample preparation for homogeneity was carried by the laboratory as part of their internal procedures to ensure the grind size of 85% passing 75 microns was being attained. Laboratory QAQC involves the use of internal lab standards using CRM's, blanks, splits and replicates as part of the in-house procedures. Quarter core samples will be submitted for QAQC checks. The laboratory was also directed to take pulp (-75 micron) duplicates at the pulverizing stage as part of the QAQC. Total QAQC samples make up approximately 11.5% of all samples. CRM's with a relevant range of values, were inserted and at a rate of every 20th sample. Results highlight that sample assay values are accurate and that contamination has been contained. Repeat or duplicate analysis for samples reveals that precision of samples is within acceptable limits. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|--|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> External quality assurance of the laboratory assays was monitored by the insertion of blanks, duplicates and certified reference materials (CRM). Two types of CRMs were alternated through the sample stream and where possible matched to the material being drilled. One type of blank was inserted into the sample sequence. Duplicate sub-samples were also generated by the laboratory No external laboratory checks have been carried out at this stage. Handheld (pXRF) devices have not been used. |
| Verification of sampling and assaying | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No core has been cut or submitted for assay as of 30 October 2019. Procedures below will be followed The Competent Person (CP) is the Company's Non-Executive Director Martin Kavanagh who has reviewed the Orix Geoscience data compilation relating to the Lorraine and Alotta drill programme. The CP and the Company's Executive Chairman and CEO (also a CP) have reviewed the laboratory data and have confirmed the calculation of the intersection in CM-19-07 plus comments on anomalous only metal values in some of the drill holes. As sulphide mineralisation is highly visible it is unlikely that any significant zones of mineralisation were missed. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill core or core photos are used to verify drill intersections in diamond core. • The holes are logged in Microsoft Excel templates for database management and validation. • The drill programme is follow-up to an October 2018 programme |
| Location of data points | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All hole collars were surveyed in UTM NAD83 Zone 17 (Northern Hemisphere) using a handheld GPS. • Elevation information utilized for the drilling was determined by GPS and previously recorded elevations from the historic drilling. • The holes were surveyed using a single-shot reflex camera which can be affected by the massive pyrrhotite bodies intersected in the drill programme |
| Data spacing and distribution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drill programme is follow-up to an October 2018 programme |
| Orientation of data in relation to | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drill programme is follow-up to an October 2018 programme |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| geological structure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. | |
| Sample security | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measures taken to ensure sample security. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Orix Geoscience manages the chain of custody of drill core The drill core and samples were kept in a secure facility (CXS Ltd, Larder Lake, Ontario) fitted with CCTV and an alarm system during the logging, core splitting and sampling process. The drill core and half-core are securely stored at the CSX facility. The individual samples of split core were bagged and tagged and packed in wire tied and sealed polyweave bags for shipment to the laboratory. Tracking sheets were set up online to monitor the progress of the samples through the laboratory. Sample pulps and coarse rejects are stored at ALS Sudbury as an interim measure and will be collected for return to the CSX facility. |
| Audits or reviews | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sampling and assaying techniques are industry-standard. Orix / TopTung have specific SOP in relation the management of drill programmes and sample analysis. No specific audits or reviews have been undertaken at this stage in the programme. |

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|--|--|---|
| Mineral tenement and land tenure status | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Company holds 100% of the Project tenements in the name of its wholly owned subsidiary Zeus Olympus Sub Corp. The Mining Claims are in good standing and no known impediments exist |
| Exploration done by other parties | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information relating to the Projects exploration history was sourced from company reports lodged with the Quebec Mines Department (MERN -Ministère de l'Énergie et des Ressources naturelles) and compiled by ORIX Geoscience the Company's consultant geologists. The bulk of the data comes from exploration carried out by Canadian companies between 1987 and 2005. |
| Geology | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Company is focused on the exploration for Ni-Cu-Co-PGM mineralised gabbro bodies which intrude a sequence of mafic volcanic and felsic volcanoclastic sedimentary rocks in the Belleterre-Angliers Greenstone Belt. The mineralisation occurs as disseminated to massive sulphides near the base of the gabbro bodies and as remobilised massive sulphides along shears/fault zones. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Drill hole Information | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> ○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> ○ <i>hole length.</i> • <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drill programme is follow-up to an October 2018 programme |
| Data aggregation methods | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> • <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> • <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No results available at the time of reporting |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|--|--|--|
| Relationship between mineralisation on widths and intercept lengths | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> • <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drill programme is follow-up to an October 2018 programme |
| Diagrams | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drill programme is follow-up to an October 2018 programme • No results available at the time of reporting |
| Balanced reporting | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drill programme is follow-up to an October 2018 programme • No results available at the time of reporting |
| Other substantive exploration data | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Company's website (www.chasemining.com.au) details historical exploration, geology and mineralisation and geophysical survey data tabled in the form of ASX announcements for the Canadian projects. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Further work | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i>• <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Drilling is on-going• No results available at the time of reporting |