Appendix 4G

Key to Disclosures Corporate Governance Council Principles and Recommendations

Name of entity	
Washington H Soul Pattinson and Compan	y Limited
ABN / ARBN	Financial year ended:
49 000 002 728	31 July 2018
Our corporate governance statement ² for the This URL on our website:	e above period above can be found at:3 www.whsp.com.au/whsp/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/WHSP- Corporate-Governance-Statement.pdf
The Corporate Governance Statement is accapproved by the board.	curate and up to date as at 31 July 2018 and has been
The annexure includes a key to where our co	orporate governance disclosures can be located.
Date: Name of Director or Secretary authorising	23 October 2018 Ian D. Bloodworth, Company Secretary
lodgement:	

Listing Rule 4.10.3 requires an entity that is included in the official list as an ASX Listing to include in its annual report either a corporate governance statement that meets the requirements of that rule or the URL of the page on its website where such a statement is located. The corporate governance statement must disclose the extent to which the entity has followed the recommendations set by the ASX Corporate Governance Council during the reporting period. If the entity has not followed a recommendation for any part of the reporting period, its corporate governance statement must separately identify that recommendation and the period during which it was not followed and state its reasons for not following the recommendation and what (if any) alternative governance practices it adopted in lieu of the recommendation during that period.

Under Listing Rule 4.7.4, if an entity chooses to include its corporate governance statement on its website rather than in its annual report, it must lodge a copy of the corporate governance statement with ASX at the same time as it lodges its annual report with ASX. The corporate governance statement must be current as at the effective date specified in that statement for the purposes of rule 4.10.3.

Throughout this form, where you are given two or more options to select, you can, if you wish, delete any option which is not applicable and just retain the option that is applicable. If you select an option that includes "OR" at the end of the selection and you delete the other options, you can also, if you wish, delete the "OR" at the end of the selection.

¹ Under Listing Rule 4.7.3, an entity must lodge with ASX a completed Appendix 4G at the same time as it lodges its annual report with ASX.

² "Corporate governance statement" is defined in Listing Rule 19.12 to mean the statement referred to in Listing Rule 4.10.3 which discloses the extent to which an entity has followed the recommendations set by the ASX Corporate Governance Council during a particular reporting period.

³ Mark whichever option is correct and then complete the page number(s) of the annual report, or the URL of the web page, where the entity's corporate governance statement can be found. You can, if you wish, delete the option which is not applicable.

ANNEXURE - KEY TO CORPORATE GOVERNANCE DISCLOSURES

Corpo	rate Governance Council recommendation	We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \dots^4
PRINC	IPLE 1 – LAY SOLID FOUNDATIONS FOR MANAGEMENT AND OVE	ERSIGHT	
1.1	A listed entity should disclose: (a) the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management; and (b) those matters expressly reserved to the board and those delegated to management.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement and information about the respective roles and responsibilities of our board and management (including those matters expressly reserved to the board and those delegated to management): in our Corporate Governance Statement under Principle 1.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement <u>OR</u> □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
1.2	A listed entity should: (a) undertake appropriate checks before appointing a person, or putting forward to security holders a candidate for election, as a director; and (b) provide security holders with all material information in its possession relevant to a decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect a director.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement <u>OR</u> □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
1.3	A listed entity should have a written agreement with each director and senior executive setting out the terms of their appointment.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
1.4	The company secretary of a listed entity should be accountable directly to the board, through the chair, on all matters to do with the proper functioning of the board.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable

-

⁴ If you have followed all of the Council's recommendations in full for the whole of the period above, you can, if you wish, delete this column from the form and re-format it.

Corpo	rate Governance Council recommendation	We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \dots^4
1.5	 A listed entity should: (a) have a diversity policy which includes requirements for the board or a relevant committee of the board to set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity and to assess annually both the objectives and the entity's progress in achieving them; (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it; and (c) disclose as at the end of each reporting period the measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity set by the board or a relevant committee of the board in accordance with the entity's diversity policy and its progress towards achieving them and either: (1) the respective proportions of men and women on the board, in senior executive positions and across the whole organisation (including how the entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes); or (2) if the entity is a "relevant employer" under the Workplace Gender Equality Act, the entity's most recent "Gender Equality Indicators", as defined in and published under that Act. 	the fact that we have a diversity policy that complies with paragraph (a): in our Corporate Governance Statement and a copy of our diversity policy or a summary of it: on the Corporate Governance page of our web site and the measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity set by the board or a relevant committee of the board in accordance with our diversity policy and our progress towards achieving them: in our Corporate Governance Statement and the information referred to in paragraphs (c)(1) or (2): in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
1.6	A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of the board, its committees and individual directors; and (b) disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether a performance evaluation was undertaken in the reporting period in accordance with that process.	the evaluation process referred to in paragraph (a): in our Corporate Governance Statement and the information referred to in paragraph (b): in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement <u>OR</u> □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
1.7	A listed entity should: (a) have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of its senior executives; and (b) disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether a performance evaluation was undertaken in the reporting period in accordance with that process.	the evaluation process referred to in paragraph (a): in our Corporate Governance Statement and the information referred to in paragraph (b): in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement <u>OR</u> □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable

Corpora	te Governance Council recommendation	We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \dots^4
PRINCIP	LE 2 - STRUCTURE THE BOARD TO ADD VALUE		
2.1	The board of a listed entity should: (a) have a nomination committee which: (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and (2) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose: (3) the charter of the committee; (4) the members of the committee; and (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or (b) if it does not have a nomination committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs to address board succession issues and to ensure that the board has the appropriate balance of skills, knowledge, experience, independence and diversity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively.	[If the entity complies with paragraph (a):] the fact that we have a nomination committee that complies with paragraphs (1) and (2): in our Corporate Governance Statement and a copy of the charter of the committee: on the Corporate Governance page of our web site and the information referred to in paragraphs (4) and (5): in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
2.2	A listed entity should have and disclose a board skills matrix setting out the mix of skills and diversity that the board currently has or is looking to achieve in its membership.	our board skills matrix: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
2.3	 A listed entity should disclose: (a) the names of the directors considered by the board to be independent directors; (b) if a director has an interest, position, association or relationship of the type described in Box 2.3 but the board is of the opinion that it does not compromise the independence of the director, the nature of the interest, position, association or relationship in question and an explanation of why the board is of that opinion; and (c) the length of service of each director. 	the names of the directors considered by the board to be independent directors: in our Corporate Governance Statement and, where applicable, the information referred to in paragraph (b): in our Corporate Governance Statement and the length of service of each director: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement

Corporat	e Governance Council recommendation	We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \dots^4
2.4	A majority of the board of a listed entity should be independent directors.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	□ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement <u>OR</u> □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation
2.5	The chair of the board of a listed entity should be an independent director and, in particular, should not be the same person as the CEO of the entity.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	is therefore not applicable an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
2.6	A listed entity should have a program for inducting new directors and provide appropriate professional development opportunities for directors to develop and maintain the skills and knowledge needed to perform their role as directors effectively.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement	□ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
PRINCIPI	LE 3 – ACT ETHICALLY AND RESPONSIBLY		
3.1	A listed entity should: (a) have a code of conduct for its directors, senior executives and employees; and (b) disclose that code or a summary of it.	our code of conduct or a summary of it: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement

Corporat	e Governance Council recommendation	We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \ldots^4
PRINCIP	LE 4 – SAFEGUARD INTEGRITY IN CORPORATE REPORTING		
4.1	The board of a listed entity should: (a) have an audit committee which: (1) has at least three members, all of whom are non-executive directors and a majority of whom are independent directors; and (2) is chaired by an independent director, who is not the chair of the board, and disclose: (3) the charter of the committee; (4) the relevant qualifications and experience of the members of the committee; and (5) in relation to each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or (b) if it does not have an audit committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its corporate reporting, including the processes for the appointment and removal of the external auditor and the rotation of the audit engagement partner.	[If the entity complies with paragraph (a):] the fact that we have an audit committee that complies with paragraphs (1) and (2): in our Corporate Governance Statement and a copy of the charter of the committee: on the Corporate Governance page of our web site and the information referred to in paragraphs (4) and (5): in the Directors' Report within the 2018 Annual Report.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement
4.2	The board of a listed entity should, before it approves the entity's financial statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their opinion, the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained and that the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity and that the opinion has been formed on the basis of a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement
4.3	A listed entity that has an AGM should ensure that its external auditor attends its AGM and is available to answer questions from security holders relevant to the audit.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	□ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR □ we are an externally managed entity that does not hold an annual general meeting and this recommendation is therefore not applicable

Corpora	te Governance Council recommendation	We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \dots^4
PRINCIP	PLE 5 – MAKE TIMELY AND BALANCED DISCLOSURE		
5.1	A listed entity should: (a) have a written policy for complying with its continuous disclosure obligations under the Listing Rules; and (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.	our continuous disclosure compliance policy or a summary of it: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement
PRINCIP	LE 6 – RESPECT THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS		
6.1	A listed entity should provide information about itself and its governance to investors via its website.	information about us and our governance on our website: on the Corporate Governance and Home pages of our web site.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement
6.2	A listed entity should design and implement an investor relations program to facilitate effective two-way communication with investors.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement
6.3	A listed entity should disclose the policies and processes it has in place to facilitate and encourage participation at meetings of security holders.	our policies and processes for facilitating and encouraging participation at meetings of security holders: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement <u>OR</u> □ we are an externally managed entity that does not hold periodic meetings of security holders and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
6.4	A listed entity should give security holders the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.	the fact that we follow this recommendation: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement

Corpora	te Governance Council recommendation	We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \dots^4
PRINCIP	LE 7 – RECOGNISE AND MANAGE RISK		
7.1	The board of a listed entity should: (a) have a committee or committees to oversee risk, each of which: (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and (2) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose: (3) the charter of the committee; (4) the members of the committee; and (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or (b) if it does not have a risk committee or committees that satisfy (a) above, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for overseeing the entity's risk management framework.	[If the entity complies with paragraph (a):] the fact that we have a committee or committees to oversee risk that comply with paragraphs (1) and (2): in our Corporate Governance and a copy of the charter of the committee: on the Corporate Governance page of our web site and the information referred to in paragraphs (4) and (5): in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement
7.2	The board or a committee of the board should: (a) review the entity's risk management framework at least annually to satisfy itself that it continues to be sound; and (b) disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether such a review has taken place.	the fact that board or a committee of the board reviews the entity's risk management framework at least annually to satisfy itself that it continues to be sound: in our Corporate Governance Statement and that such a review has taken place in the reporting period covered by this Appendix 4G: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement
7.3	A listed entity should disclose: (a) if it has an internal audit function, how the function is structured and what role it performs; or (b) if it does not have an internal audit function, that fact and the processes it employs for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of its risk management and internal control processes.	[If the entity complies with paragraph (b):] the fact that we do not have an internal audit function and the processes we employ for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of our risk management and internal control processes: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement

Corpora	te Governance Council recommendation	We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \dots^4
7.4	A listed entity should disclose whether it has any material exposure to economic, environmental and social sustainability risks and, if it does, how it manages or intends to manage those risks.	whether we have any material exposure to economic, environmental and social sustainability risks and, if we do, how we manage or intend to manage those risks: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement

Corpora	te Governance Council recommendation	We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \dots^4
PRINCIP	LE 8 – REMUNERATE FAIRLY AND RESPONSIBLY		
8.1	The board of a listed entity should: (a) have a remuneration committee which: (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and (2) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose: (3) the charter of the committee; (4) the members of the committee; and (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or (b) if it does not have a remuneration committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for setting the level and composition of remuneration for directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive.	[If the entity complies with paragraph (a):] the fact that we have a remuneration committee that complies with paragraphs (1) and (2): in our Corporate Governance Statement and a copy of the charter of the committee: on the Corporate Governance page of our web site and the information referred to in paragraphs (4) and (5): in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
8.2	A listed entity should separately disclose its policies and practices regarding the remuneration of non-executive directors and the remuneration of executive directors and other senior executives.	separately our remuneration policies and practices regarding the remuneration of non-executive directors and the remuneration of executive directors and other senior executives: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement <u>OR</u> □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable
8.3	A listed entity which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should: (a) have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme; and (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.	our policy on this issue or a summary of it: in our Corporate Governance Statement.	 □ an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement OR □ we do not have an equity-based remuneration scheme and this recommendation is therefore not applicable OR □ we are an externally managed entity and this recommendation is therefore not applicable

Corporate Governance Council recommendation		We have followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed	We have NOT followed the recommendation in full for the whole of the period above. We have disclosed \dots^4
ADDITIO	NAL DISCLOSURES APPLICABLE TO EXTERNALLY MANAGED	LISTED ENTITIES	
-	Alternative to Recommendation 1.1 for externally managed listed entities: The responsible entity of an externally managed listed entity should disclose: (a) the arrangements between the responsible entity and the listed entity for managing the affairs of the listed entity; (b) the role and responsibility of the board of the responsible entity for overseeing those arrangements.	the information referred to in paragraphs (a) and (b): in our Corporate Governance Statement OR at [insert location]	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement
-	Alternative to Recommendations 8.1, 8.2 and 8.3 for externally managed listed entities: An externally managed listed entity should clearly disclose the terms governing the remuneration of the manager.	the terms governing our remuneration as manager of the entity: in our Corporate Governance Statement OR at [insert location]	an explanation why that is so in our Corporate Governance Statement

Corporate Governance Statement

for the financial year ended 31 July 2018

The Board of Washington H. Soul Pattinson and Company Limited (WHSP, the Company) is committed to ensuring its policies and practices reflect good corporate governance and recognises that for its success an appropriate culture needs to be nurtured and developed throughout all levels of the Company.

This statement outlines the Company's Corporate Governance Practices in place at 31 July 2018. The practices are summarised into sections in line with the 8 core principles set out in the ASX Corporate Governance Council's "Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations – 3rd edition".

The following persons were Directors of WHSP for the whole of the financial year:

Mr Robert D Millner – Chairman
Mr Todd Barlow – Managing Director
Mr Michael J Hawker – Lead Independent Director
Mr Thomas C D Millner
Mr Warwick M Negus
Mr Robert G Westphal

The following persons were Directors of WHSP for part of the financial year:

Mrs Tiffany Fuller – appointed 1 December 2017 Ms Melinda R Roderick – ceased 12 April 2018 Mr David E Wills – retired 31 October 2017

Principle 1 - Lay solid foundations for management and oversight

The Board is ultimately responsible for the operations, management and performance of the Company. In discharging this responsibility the Board delegates to senior management, whose role is to manage the Company in accordance with the directions and policies set by the Board. The Board monitors the activities of senior management in the performance of their delegated duties.

It is the responsibility of the Board to determine policies and practices and to ensure that the Company is compliant with statutory, legal and other regulatory obligations.

Responsibilities of the Board include the following:

- Determining corporate strategies, policies and guidelines for the successful performance of the Company in the present and in the future;
- Monitoring the performance and conduct of the Company;
- Accountability to Shareholders;
- Ensuring that risk management procedures and compliance and control systems are in place and operating effectively;
- Monitoring the performance and conduct of senior management, and ensuring adequate succession plans are in place;
- Ensuring the Company continually builds an honest and ethical culture;
- Appointing, and when necessary replacing, the Managing Director (MD); and
- Approving the appointment, and when necessary replacement, of other senior executives.

The Board has delegated responsibility for the following to management:

- Day to day management of the Company;
- Production of performance measurement reports;
- Managing the compliance and risk management systems; and
- Management of staff including, appointment, termination, staff development and performance measurement.

The MD is responsible for ensuring that the responsibilities delegated by the Board are properly discharged.

Appropriate background checks are undertaken by the Board before any person is appointed as a Director of the Company or before any candidate is put forward to the Shareholders of the Company for election as a Director.

Information regarding the skills, expertise and experience of persons standing for election or re-election as a Director at a meeting of Shareholders is included in the explanatory notes which accompany the notice of the meeting.

The Company has written agreements with each of its Directors and senior executives which set out the terms of their appointment.

The Company Secretary is accountable to the Board through the Chairman and each Director is able to communicate directly with the Company Secretary and vice versa. The decision to appoint or remove the Company Secretary is approved by the Board.

Diversity

The Company values and respects the skills that people with diverse backgrounds, experiences and perspectives bring to the organisation. The Company is committed to rewarding performance and providing opportunities that allow individuals to reach their full potential irrespective of background or difference. When appointing new staff or promoting people within the organisation the most suitably qualified candidates are selected. As a result, diversity is promoted throughout the organisation.

The Company has established a Diversity Policy to formalise its commitment to providing equal access to opportunities irrespective of background or difference. The policy may be viewed in the Corporate Governance section of the Company's web site at www.whsp.com.au.

The policy governs the conduct of the Company, its subsidiaries (other than those in the New Hope Corporation Limited Group) and all directors and employees of those entities. New Hope Corporation Limited (New Hope) is listed on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX) and accordingly is required to establish its own diversity policy and objectives and make the required disclosures in its Corporate Governance Statement. Therefore it is not considered appropriate for companies in the New Hope Group to be governed by the Company's policy or for the New Hope Group companies' diversity reporting to be included in this statement.

The Company has adopted the ASX Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations on diversity. As at 31 July 2018, the organisation (excluding the New Hope Group) had 149 full time equivalent employees (2017: 130).

The proportion of female employees in the organisation as at 31 July 2018 was 50% (2017: 38%). During the year the organisation: sold the last of its building film and pipe manufacturing businesses; closed its retail pharmacy; and acquired a swimming school business. The net result of this was to increase female representation to 50%. The Company will continue to ensure that neither gender nor any other differences interfere with the employment of individuals based on their suitability for the position available. By doing so the Company aims to increase female representation.

The organisation (excluding the New Hope Group) had seven senior executives as at 31 July 2018 all of whom were male. As at 31 July 2017 it had eight senior executives one of whom was female, 13%. The Company's Chief Financial Officer (CFO) left the Company during the year, her replacement was male.

A senior executive is defined as a person who is involved in the strategic or high level decision making process within their business segment of the organisation. The Company's objective is to incrementally increase the proportion of women in senior executive positions as vacancies allow and suitably qualified candidates are available. The small number of senior executive positions within the organisation and the low turnover rate limits the opportunity to increase female representation in this area. The Board assesses the gender diversity objective and the Company's progress in achieving it at least annually.

The proportion of women on the Board of Directors of the Company as at 31 July 2018 was 14% (2017: 13%). The Board has undertaken to include both male and female candidates in the process for selection of new Directors. During the year: one male Director retired and was replaced by a female Director; and a female Executive Director ceased to be a Director under the Company's Constitution when she left the Company's employment as the CFO. This resulted in the proportion of women on the Board increasing to 14%. Candidates will continue to be assessed on their skills, knowledge and experience and on the relevance of these to the Company's needs.

Board Reviews

The Chairman is responsible for monitoring and assessing the performance of individual Directors, each Board Committee and the Board as a whole. The Chairman interviews each Director and provides feedback regarding their performance. At this interview each Director is invited to comment on the performance of the Board as a whole and the performance of other Directors. The Board as a whole continuously monitors the efficiency and effectiveness of its operations on an informal basis.

The performance of each Director of the Company was assessed, as set out above, during the year.

The Board periodically uses an external facilitator to conduct its performance reviews. Such a review is scheduled to be undertaken in 2019.

Senior Executive Reviews

The performance of the MD is evaluated by the Board with reference to the overall performance of the Company and of its subsidiaries and associates in which the MD represents the Company. Both qualitative and quantitative measures are used to evaluate performance.

The MD evaluates the performance of the other senior executives. The Board also reviews the performance of these executives via the monthly Board reports and their attendance at Board meetings.

The performance of the senior executives of the Company was assessed, as set out above, during the year.

Principle 2 - Structure the Board to add value

The Company's constitution states that its Board is to comprise of no less than three and no more than ten Directors. At the end of the year the Board consisted of six non-executive and one executive Director. The Board has assessed the independence of its members and considers the following non-executive Directors to be independent Directors:

Mr Robert D Millner Mrs Tiffany L Fuller Mr Michael J Hawker Mr Thomas C D Millner Mr Warwick M Negus Mr Robert G Westphal

All Directors have undertaken to provide the Board with all information which is relevant to the assessment of their independence in a timely manner.

Under the ASX Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations two of the current Non-executive Directors have interests and/or associations which may impact their independence.

 Mr Robert Millner and Mr Thomas Millner have relevant interests in substantial shareholdings in the Company as disclosed in the Directors' Report and the Remuneration Report within the Company's Annual Report. Mr Robert Millner is a director of Brickworks Limited a major shareholder of the Company.

The Board does not believe that a Director: holding shares in the Company; having an interest in a substantial holding in the Company; or being associated with a substantial Shareholder of the Company is detrimental to other Shareholders. The Board considers that such holdings further align

the interests of those Directors with the interests of the Company's Shareholders as a whole and therefore do not compromise the independence of that Director.

Mr Robert Millner has been a Director of the Company for 34 years.
 The Company is a long term investor and the Board values Mr Robert Millner's considerable depth of knowledge of its investments. He is a professional company director and the Board is of the opinion that the length of his tenure does not compromise his independence.

The Board is of the opinion that Mr Robert Millner and Mr Thomas Millner are independent Directors.

All Directors are committed to bringing their independent views and judgement to the Board and, in accordance with the Corporations Act 2001, must inform the Board if they have any interest that could conflict with those of the Company. Where the Board considers that a significant conflict exists it may exercise its discretion to determine whether the Director concerned may be present at the meeting while that item is considered.

The current Chairman of the Board is Mr Robert Millner who is a Non-executive Director. For the reasons stated above the Board has determined that he is an independent Director. Mr Michael Hawker is the Company's Lead Independent Director and Mr Todd Barlow is the MD and Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

The length of service of each Director is set out the end of this report. The Board has reviewed the length of service of its members and considers the mix of service periods to be appropriate for the Company.

The Company has established a Nomination Committee. All the members of the Committee are non-executive, independent Directors. The Committee is chaired by an independent Director. The Committee had at least three members at all times during the year.

The following persons were members of the Nomination Committee at 31 July 2018:

Mr Michael J Hawker - Chairman Mrs Tiffany L Fuller Mr Robert D Millner Mr Thomas C D Millner Mr Warwick M Negus Mr Robert G Westphal

The Nomination Committee met once during the year. The attendance of Committee members at meetings is set out at the end of this report.

The Nomination Committee Charter sets out the process by which candidates are identified and selected, the use of professional intermediaries and the requirement for a diverse range of candidates to be considered. The Charter may be viewed in the Corporate Governance section of the Company's web site at www.whsp.com.au.

The role of the Nomination Committee is to review and consider the structure, balance of skills and diversity of the Board and make recommendations regarding appointment, retirement and approval for Directors to stand for re-election. When a vacancy occurs the Nomination Committee identifies the necessary and desirable skills, expertise and experience required to compliment the Board and undertakes a process to identify the most appropriate candidates. The Nomination Committee may engage recruitment consultants and other independent experts to undertake research and assessment at the Company's expense.

Directors are initially appointed by the full Board, following consideration of recommendations made by the Nomination Committee. Appointment is subject to re-election by the Shareholders of the Company at the next Annual General Meeting.

The Company has established a program for inducting new Directors and has undertaken to provide appropriate professional development opportunities for Directors.

The Board considers that the Directors bring an appropriate mix of skills, breadth and depth of knowledge and experience to meet the Board's responsibilities and objectives. The range of skills and experience possessed by each of the Directors is set out in the Directors' Report within the Company's Annual Report.

A matrix of the skills held by the Directors of the Company has been compiled. The Board possesses the following skills which are relevant to the operations of the Company:

- Investment management / financial analysis;
- Mergers and acquisition / capital market / financial markets;
- Financial acumen;
- Listed company board experience;
- Accounting;
- Law;
- Business development and strategy; and
- Human capital management.

Principle 3 – Act ethically and responsibly

The Company has established a code of conduct dealing with matters of integrity and ethical standards. The Board recognises the need for the Directors and employees to adhere to the highest standards of behaviour and business ethics.

All Directors and employees are expected to abide by the code of conduct which requires them to:

- Act in accordance with ethical and professional standards;
- Act with honesty and integrity in dealings with shareholders, customers, suppliers and competitors;
- Ensure compliance with all laws and regulations;
- Act in accordance with standards of workplace behaviour and equal opportunity;
- Avoid actual or potential conflicts of interest between private and Company matters;
- Not engage in insider trading;
- Not accept unauthorised benefits as a result of their position in the Company;
- Ensure Company assets and confidential information are not used improperly;
- Maintain and further enhance the Company's reputation and not act in a manner which may harm that reputation; and
- Report all breaches of the code.

The Company has established a share trading policy which may be viewed in the Corporate Governance section of the Company's web site at www.whsp.com.au.

The Company has established a Diversity Policy which is discussed under Principle 1 above and may be viewed in the Corporate Governance section of the Company's web site at www.whsp.com.au.

Principle 4 – Safeguard integrity in corporate reporting

The Company has established an Audit Committee. All the members of the Audit Committee are non-executive, independent Directors. The Chairman of the Audit Committee is not the Chairman of the Board. The Chairman of the Board is not a member of the Audit Committee. The Committee had at least three members at all times during the year.

The following persons were members of the Audit Committee at 31 July 2018:

Mr Robert G Westphal – Chairman Mrs Tiffany L Fuller Mr Michael J Hawker Mr Warwick M Negus The Audit Committee met eight times during the year. The attendance of Committee members at meetings is set out at the end of this report.

Details of the Audit Committee members' qualifications and experience are set out in the Directors' Report within the Company's Annual Report.

The Audit Committee Charter sets out the Committee's function, composition, authority, responsibilities and reporting. The Charter may be viewed in the Corporate Governance section of the Company's web site at www.whsp.com.au.

The function of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board in fulfilling its statutory and fiduciary responsibilities relating to:

- The external reporting of financial information, including the selection and application of accounting policies;
- The independence and effectiveness of the external auditors;
- The effectiveness of internal control processes and management information systems; and
- Compliance with the Corporations Act, ASX Listing Rules and any other applicable requirements.

The MD and the CFO are required to state in writing to the Board that, in their opinion, the financial records of the Company have been properly maintained and that the Company's financial statements present a true and fair view, in all material respects, of the Company's financial position and operational results and that they are in accordance with relevant accounting standards. Declarations have been received in respect of the current year.

The external auditors, Pitcher Partners Sydney, are requested by the Audit Committee to attend the appropriate meetings to report on the results of their half year review and full year audit. It is the policy of the external auditors to rotate audit engagement partners on listed companies in accordance with the requirements of the Corporations Act 2001, which is generally after five years. In accordance with that policy a new audit engagement partner will be introduced for the year ending 31 July 2019.

It is the policy of the external auditors to provide an annual declaration of their independence to the Company. Information about fees paid to the external auditors is included in the Directors' Report and in the notes to the financial statements within the Company's Annual Report.

The external auditor attends the Annual General Meeting (AGM) and is available to answer Shareholders' questions about the conduct of the audit and the preparation and content of the audit report.

Principle 5 – Make timely and balanced disclosure

The Board recognises the need to ensure that all investors have equal and timely access to material information regarding the Company and for announcements to be factual, clear, balanced and complete.

The Company has established a Continuous Disclosure Policy to ensure compliance with ASX and Corporations Act continuous disclosure requirements. The policy requires timely disclosure through the ASX announcement platform of information concerning the Company that a reasonable person would expect to have a material effect on the price or value of the Company's securities or which would materially influence the decision making of investors. Internal procedures are in place to ensure that relevant information is communicated promptly.

The Chairman and MD are responsible for determining disclosure obligations and the Company Secretary is the nominated continuous disclosure officer for the Company.

Principle 6 – Respect the rights of Shareholders

The Company respects the rights of its Shareholders to have access to appropriate information about the Company in a timely manner.

The Company's web site, www.whsp.com.au., provides information about the Company and its

governance. The Corporate Governance page contains copies of the Company's constitution, its charters and policies. The website also contains copies of annual reports, financial reports and ASX announcements.

The Company maintains an investor relations program which facilitates two-way communication with investors. The Company actively promotes Shareholder attendance and participation at the AGM and other meetings of Shareholders. Displays showcasing the operations of a number of WHSP's investee companies are provided at the AGM venue. Staff from these companies are available to answer Shareholders' questions. The Directors of WHSP are also available to meet Shareholders prior to the AGM. Written questions are accepted from Shareholders to be dealt with at the AGM.

The external auditor attends the AGM to answer Shareholders' questions in regard to the conduct of the audit and the content of the auditor's report.

Shareholders who are unable to attend the AGM are encouraged to vote on the resolutions of the meeting by proxy.

The following documents are provided to Shareholders either by mail or electronically if they have elected to receive them.

- A Half Yearly Review of Operations in May each year;
- An Annual Report in October or November each year; and
- The Chairman's Address and a summary of the MD's presentation to the Annual General Meeting in December each year.

Shareholders are given the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the Company and its share registry electronically.

Principle 7 – Recognise and manage risk

The Company has established a Risk Committee. The majority of the members of the Risk Committee are non-executive, independent Directors and the committee is chaired by a non-executive, independent Director. The Committee had at least three members at all times during the year.

At 31 July 2018 all of the Directors of the Company were members of the Risk Committee as follows:

Mr Michael J Hawker - Chairman Mr Todd J Barlow Mrs Tiffany L Fuller Mr Robert D Millner Mr Thomas C D Millner Mr Warwick M Negus Mr Robert G Westphal

The Risk Committee met six times during the year. The attendance of Committee members at meetings is set out at the end of this Report.

The Risk Committee Charter sets out the Committee's role, responsibilities, composition, authority and reporting. The Charter may be viewed in the Corporate Governance section of the Company's web site at www.whsp.com.au.

The Risk Committee has reviewed the Company's risk management framework during the year and has satisfied itself that it is sound and that the Company is operating within its risk appetite. The review has focused attention on specific areas of risk which are the subject of further consideration.

The Company does not have an internal audit function as the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries, other than the New Hope Group, are not sufficiently large to justify its establishment.

New Hope is listed on the ASX and accordingly is required to establish and report on its own risk management measures. New Hope has its own internal audit function which reports to the Chair of the

New Hope Audit Committee.

The Board receives management representation letters from the MD and CFO at the half year and year ends which confirm that the Company's internal controls are sound and operating efficiently.

The Company has undertaken an assessment of its economic sustainability, environmental sustainability and social sustainability risks.

The following material exposures to sustainability risks have been identified and are managed as described.

The operations of Round Oak Minerals Pty. Limited (Round Oak) have material exposure to sustainability risks.

The Board and senior management of Round Oak constantly monitor the exposure to economic sustainability risks in formulating their business strategy. Risks are identified and managed via Round Oak's risk management processes. Environmental responsibility is a constant focus of both Round Oak and its staff. Round Oak has in place policies and procedures to minimise the risk of damage to the environment and is compliant with all applicable environmental regulations. Support for the local communities in which Round Oak operates is an important aspect of its business. Round Oak recognises the importance of protecting artifacts and works with the local indigenous people to this end.

WHSP monitors the sustainability risks of Round Oak via its Chairman and MD who are directors of Round Oak.

New Hope has exposure to a number of economic, environmental and social sustainability risks which have been identified through and are managed via its risk management processes. These processes include detailed risk action plans on each material risk as well as periodic monitoring and reassessment of risk. The risk action plans flow into the development of New Hope's strategy as well as its day to day operations to ensure risks are proactively managed.

WHSP monitors the sustainability risks of New Hope via its MD and two Non-executive Directors who are directors of New Hope. WHSP does not manage New Hope's businesses and deals with its interest in New Hope as an investment. In doing so WHSP considers the sustainability risks of New Hope when reviewing its investment.

Principle 8 - Remunerate fairly and responsibly

The Company has established a Remuneration Committee. All the members of the Committee are non-executive, independent Directors. The committee is chaired by a non-executive, independent Director. The Committee had at least three members at all times during the year.

The following persons were members of the Remuneration Committee at 31 July 2018:

Mr Warwick M Negus – Chairman Mrs Tiffany L Fuller Mr Michael J Hawker Mr Robert D Millner Mr Thomas C D Millner Mr Robert G Westphal

The Remuneration Committee met four times during the year. The attendance of Committee members at meetings is set out at the end of this Report.

The Remuneration Committee Charter may be viewed in the Corporate Governance section of the Company's web site at www.whsp.com.au.

The Remuneration Committee makes recommendations to the full Board on remuneration matters and other terms of employment for the non-executive Directors, the MD and senior executives of the Company.

Senior executive performance is reviewed by the full Board. The remuneration of the senior executive staff of the Company is reviewed annually by the full Board after taking into consideration the recommendations of the Remuneration Committee. The remuneration of the MD is reviewed annually by the other Directors after taking into consideration the recommendations of the Remuneration Committee.

The executive Directors and senior executive staff are renumerated by way of salary, non-monetary benefits, superannuation contributions and via participation in short-term and long-term incentive plans. This variable remuneration framework aligns executive performance with the short-term and long-term strategic objectives set by the Board. It is designed to ensure that senior executive variable remuneration outcomes remain consistent with shareholder returns. All participants in the Company's long-term incentive plan are prohibited from entering into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the Plan.

Fees paid to the non-executive Directors of the Company are reviewed annually by the full Board after taking into consideration the recommendations of the Remuneration Committee. The aggregate amount of fees which may be paid to non-executive Directors is subject to the approval of Shareholders at the AGM and is currently set at \$2,000,000 per annum. Approval for this amount was given at the 2016 Annual General Meeting.

Non-executive Directors are remunerated by way of fees in the form of cash, non-monetary benefits, and statutory superannuation contributions. Non-executive Directors appointed prior to 1 August 2004 are also entitled to receive a retiring allowance. With effect from 31 July 2004 this retiring allowance was frozen at three times the average annual fees for the three years prior to that date. Non-executive Directors are not entitled to receive performance or equity based remuneration.

Remuneration is set so as to attract and retain suitable personnel and to motivate them to pursue the long-term growth and success of the Company.

Further information about Directors' and executives' remuneration is set out in the Remuneration Report within the Company's Annual Report.

Length of service of Directors

Length of service at 31 July 2018		
Mr T J Barlow 2 Mrs T L Fuller 8 ii Mr M J Hawker 5 Mr T C D Millner 6 Mr W M Negus 3	1 years Chairmar years months years years years years	a 20 years

Attendance at meetings

The number of meetings of committees of Directors and the number of meetings attended by each Director during the year were:

		Board		Audit Committee		Investment Committee		Nomination Committee		Remuner- ation Committee		Risk Committee	
	Committee Member	Eligible to attend	Number attended	Eligible to attend	Number attended	Eligible to attend	Number attended	Eligible to attend	Number attended	Eligible to attend	Number attended	Eligible to attend	Number attended
Mr R D Millner	I,N,Re,Ri	14	14	-	-	9	9	1	1	4	4	6	6
Mr T J Barlow	I,Ri	14	14	-	-	9	9	-	-	-	-	6	6
Mrs T L Fuller	A,N,Re,Ri	8	7	3	3	-	-	-	-	1	1	4	3
Mr M J Hawker	A,N,Re,Ri	14	14	8	8	-	-	1	1	4	4	6	6
Mr T C D Millner	I,N,Re,Ri	14	14	-	-	9	9	1	1	4	4	6	6
Mr W M Negus	A,I,N,Re,Ri	14	12	8	6	9	8	1	1	4	4	6	5
Ms M R Roderick	Ri	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5
Mr R G Westphal	A,N,Re,Ri	14	14	8	8	-	-	1	1	4	4	6	6
Mr D E Wills	A,N,Re,Ri	5	4	5	4	-	-	1	1	3	3	2	1

- A Member of the Audit Committee of Directors during the year.
- I Member of the Investment Committee of Directors during the year.
- N Member of the Nomination Committee of Directors during the year.
- Re Member of the Remuneration Committee of Directors during the year.
- Ri Member of the Risk Committee of Directors during the year.

Further Information

For further information concerning the corporate governance practices of the Company refer to the Corporate Governance section of the Company's web site at www.whsp.com.au.

Approval by the Board of Directors

This statement has been approved by the Board of Directors of the Company.