



26 February 2019

\$6.5 M PLACEMENT TO TAKE JERVOIS COPPER PROJECT TO DEVELOPMENT READY STAGE

-) Latest infill drilling results announced - expected to further upgrade copper resource at Reward deposit**
 -) Placement will fund accelerated high impact infill drilling to further upgrade the Reward Mineral Resource classification and to estimate an Ore Reserve**
-

KGL Resources Limited (ASX:KGL) (KGL or the Company) is pleased to announce it has received commitments to raise \$6.5 million, without costs, through the issue of 21.67 million new shares at 30 cents per share (the Placement).

The Placement comprises the issue of:

-) 12.7 million shares to Marshall Plenty, a company associated with international mineral resources identity Mr Ernie Thrasher, to raise \$3.8 million;**
-) 5.6 million shares to KMP Investments Pte Ltd, KGL's largest shareholder, to raise \$1.7 million; and**
-) 3.3 million shares to ASM Connaught House Fund LP, ASM Connaught House Fund (Master) II LP and ASM Connaught House Fund (Master) III LP, which are managed by Argyle Street Management Limited to raise \$1.0 million.**

KGL Chairman Mr Denis Wood commented:

"Mr Thrasher made his first investment in KGL last February, and ASM Connaught joined the register last August. The decisions by these respected international investors to further increase significantly their stakes in KGL are welcomed and represent a strong vote of confidence in the Company and the Jervois Copper Project.

"KMP Investments, KGL's largest shareholder, has continued its commitment to the Company, by participating to maintain its existing shareholder position.

"Investors can look forward to strong news flow in the months ahead as we apply the funds to high impact infill drilling programs at Reward and Rockface to further upgrade the Mineral Resource classification and to estimate an Ore Reserve to support the financing initiatives for the development of the Jervois Project. These funds will support the continued retention of the services and expertise of the two drill rigs and crews currently on site given their involvement in last year's infill drilling program.

"Drilling will also be undertaken to establish a sustainable water source. The issue of sourcing water was raised in responses to the draft Environmental Impact Study (EIS). We were very pleased with support for the project from stakeholders during the public review period and the absence of any project development stoppers. In dealing with

the water issue, we need to obtain cultural heritage clearance, tenement licences and agreements for the proposed borefield and pipeline areas. These and other processes relating to the EIS are well under way. We expect that the Supplementary EIS submission will be completed by the end of June.

“Subject to government approvals and development financing, construction of the Jervois Project should commence towards the end of 2019.

“In undertaking this Placement to take Jervois towards development, your directors are encouraged by the current and increasingly positive outlook for copper as sustained demand continues to rise driven by heavy use in infrastructure and the manufacturing of electric vehicles and the challenge of declining grades in the larger established copper mines.”

Use of Funds

The funds raised pursuant to the Placement will enable the Company to continue to deliver on commitments to upgrade resources and extend mineralisation at the Jervois Copper Project. Currently, more than half of the Jervois copper Resource of 385,200 tonnes grading 1.49% is now in the Indicated Resource category, a 25% increase since last May.

KGL Use of Funds	Funds (\$'M)
Current Balance (less Creditors) (Feb 2019)	\$9.2
Share Placement	\$6.5
Current Funded Activities	\$(6.7)
) Infill Drilling (Feb – Mar'19)	\$(1.3) M
) Exploration & Geological Teams	\$(1.4) M
) Water Drilling	\$(1.0) M
) EIS Completion	\$(0.4) M
) Engineering	\$(0.7) M
) Other Projects	\$(0.2) M
) Administration 2019	\$(1.5) M
) Capex	\$(0.2) M
JORC Infill Drilling and Resource and Reserve estimate (New funds)	\$(6.8)
Forecast Balance (Dec 2019)	\$2.2

The Placement will be made pursuant to the Company's 15% placement capacity under Listing Rule 7.1.

The funds will be received on 25 March 2019 with the allotment of shares to be made on 26th March 2019.

Recent assays continue to increase confidence in copper resource at Reward

The final assay results from drilling last December have provided high grade intercepts in the Reward Deeps and are expected to contribute to a further increase in the Indicated Copper Resources at Reward.

Significant assays for the five holes are highlighted in Table 1 and Figures 1 & 2 below.

The drilling targeted the periphery of the Reward Deeps with the aim of extending and improving the resource. The best results are from hole KJCD315 with:

-) 5.24 m @ 2.13% Cu, 11.70 g/t Ag and 0.46 g/t Au from 502.50 m, and
-) 4.52 m @ 5.73% Cu, 109.20 g/t Ag and 1.21 g/t Au from 524.80 m.

Being 50 m west of the upper portion of the Reward Deeps Indicated Resource, this intercept should add to the Indicated Resources at Reward.

Enhancing the significance and value of the intercept is the fact that it is outside any known conductors identified by DHEM surveying, being located between conductors R1 and R2 (Figure 2).

Hole KJCD312, in the centre of conductor R6, intercepted:

-) 6.44 m @ 5.09% Cu, 45.70 g/t Ag and 0.76 g/t Au from 733.00 m.

The other intercepts indicate that the copper grades drop off towards the edge of the conductor, similar to what has been observed at Rockface.

Prospect	Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Au g/t
Reward Deeps	KJCD312	699.67	709.35	9.68	0.73	0.01	0.05	6.50	0.06
		733.00	739.44	6.44	5.09	0.16	0.07	45.70	0.76
	KJCD312D1W1	714.00	725.29	11.29	1.00	0.05	0.29	7.20	0.07
		749.56	758.50	8.94	2.45	0.23	0.18	44.70	0.54
	KJCD312D2	713.14	716.00	2.86	1.72	0.01	0.09	14.40	0.22
		745.00	750.46	5.46	1.17	0.10	0.14	17.50	0.36
	KJCD313	820.77	841.55	20.78	0.49	0.11	0.10	13.10	0.12
		820.77	830.64	9.87	0.56	0.11	0.08	13.70	0.18
		833.95	841.55	7.60	0.60	0.12	0.17	14.40	0.08
	KJCD315	502.50	507.74	5.24	2.13	0.09	0.17	11.70	0.46
		524.80	529.32	4.52	5.73	0.55	0.92	109.20	1.21

Table 1: Summary of significant assays from the final results received of drilling last December.




Figure 1: Longitudinal section of the current resource block model of the Reward UG with assays of KJCD312, KJCD312D1W1 and KJCD312D2, KJCD313 and KJCD315.




Figure 2: Longitudinal section of the existing and new conductors at Reward Deepes with assays of KJCD312, KJCD312D1W1 and KJCD312D2, KJCD313 and KJCD315.

For further information, contact:

Ms Kylie Anderson
Company Secretary
Phone: 07 3071 9003

About KGL Resources

KGL Resources Limited is an Australian mineral exploration company focussed on increasing the high-grade resource at the Jervois Copper Project in the Northern Territory and developing it into a profitable and robust mine.

Competent Persons Statement

The Jervois Exploration data in this report is based on information compiled by Adriaan van Herk, a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists, Chief Geologist and a full-time employee of KGL Resources Limited.

Mr. van Herk has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of the mineralisation and the type of deposit under consideration and to the activity to which he is undertaking, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr. van Herk has consented to the inclusion of this information in the form and context in which it appears in this report.

The Jervois Resources information was first released to the market on 23 January 2019 and complies with JORC 2012. The company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. The company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

1 1 JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

1.1 Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) <i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>) <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i>) <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i>) <i>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) At Reward diamond drilling and reverse circulation (RC) drilling were used to obtain samples for geological logging and assaying. The core samples comprised a mixture of sawn HQ quarter core, sawn NQ half core and possibly BQ half core (historical drilling only). Sample lengths are generally 1m, but at times length were adjusted to take into account geological variations. RC sample intervals are predominantly 1m intervals with some 2 and 4m compositing (historical holes only). A total of 586 drillholes for 83,400m, were completed, sited predominantly within the planned open pit area, but include 10 new KGL diamond (and minor RC) infill and extensional drilling totalling 6,812m. Drilling is on a nominal 25m spacing near surface expanding at depth to 50m and then to 100m on the periphery of the mineralisation) At Rockface diamond drilling was used to obtain samples for geological logging and assaying. Sample lengths are generally 1m in length, but adjusted at times to take into account geological variations. The samples comprised sawn HQ quarter core. A total of 33 holes for 19,330m were included on approximately 50m centres.) RC samples are routinely scanned by KGL Resources with a Niton XRF. Samples assaying greater than 0.1% Cu, Pb or Zn are submitted for analysis at a commercial laboratory.) Mineralisation at both deposits is characterized by disseminations, veinlets and large masses of chalcopyrite, associated with magnetite-rich alteration within a psammite. The mineralisation has textures indicative of structural emplacement within specific strata i.e. the mineral appears stratabound.) Documentation of the historical drilling (pre-2011) for Reward is variable.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) <i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) The KGL and previous Jinka-Minerals RC drilling was conducted using a reverse circulation rig with a 5.25-inch face-sampling bit. Diamond drilling was either in NQ2 or HQ3 drill diameters. Metallurgical diamond drilling (JMET holes) were PQ

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none">) There is no documentation for the historic drilling techniques.) Diamond drilling was generally cored from surface with some of the deeper holes at Rockface and Reward utilizing RC pre-collars.) Oriented core has been measured for the recent KGL drilling.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.) Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.) Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) The KGL RC samples were not weighed on a regular basis but when completed no sample recovery issues were encountered during the drilling program.) Jinka Minerals and KGL split the rare overweight samples (>3kg) for assay. Since overweight samples were rarely reported no sample bias was established between sample recovery and grade.) Core recovery for Rockface is >95% with the mineral zones having virtually 100% recovery.) The core recovery for the KGL drilling of Reward has been regarded as acceptable although there is no documentation for the historical drilling.) No evidence has been found for any relationship between sample recovery and copper grade and there are no biases in the sampling with respect to copper grade and recovery.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.) Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.) The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) All KGL RC and diamond core samples are geologically logged. Logging in conjunction with multi-element assays is appropriate for Mineral Resource estimation.) Core samples are also orientated and logged for geotechnical information.) All logging has been converted to quantitative and qualitative codes in the KGL Access database.) All relevant intersections were logged.) Paper logs existed for the historical drilling. There is very little historical core available for inspection.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.) If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.) For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.) Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.) Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.) Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none">) The following describes the recent KGL sampling and assaying process: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – RC drill holes are sampled at 1m intervals and split using a cone splitter attached to the cyclone to generate a split of ~3kg; – RC sample splits (~3kg) are pulverized to 85% passing 75 microns. – Diamond core was quartered with a diamond saw and generally sampled at 1m intervals with samples lengths adjusted at geological contacts; – Diamond core samples are crushed to 70% passing 2mm and then pulverized to 85% passing 75 microns. – Two quarter core field duplicates were taken for every 20m samples by Jinka Minerals and KGL Resources.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – All sampling methods and sample sizes are deemed appropriate for resource estimation <p>) Details for the historical sampling are not available.</p>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<p>) <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p> <p>) <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <p>) <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<p>) The KGL drilling has QAQC data that includes standards, duplicates and laboratory checks. In ore zones standards are added at a ratio of 1:10 and duplicates and blanks 1:20.</p> <p>) Base metal samples are assayed using a four-acid digest with an ICP AES finish. Gold samples are assayed by Aqua Regia with an ICP MS finish. Samples over 1ppm Au are re-assayed by Fire Assay with an AAS finish.</p> <p>) There are no details of the historic drill sample assaying or any QAQC.</p> <p>) All assay methods were deemed appropriate at the time of undertaking.</p>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<p>) <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></p> <p>) <i>The use of twinned holes.</i></p> <p>) <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p> <p>) <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></p>	<p>) Data is validated on entry into the MS Access database, using Database check queries and Maxwell's DataShed.</p> <p>) Further validation is conducted when data is imported into Surpac and Leapfrog Geo.</p> <p>) Hole twinning was occasionally conducted at Reward with mixed results. This may be due to inaccuracies with historic hole locations rather than mineral continuity issues.</p> <p>) For the resource estimation below detection values were converted to half the lower detection limit.</p>
Location of data points	<p>) <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <p>) <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></p> <p>) <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></p>	<p>) For the KGL drilling surface collar surveys were picked up using a Trimble DGPS, with accuracy to 1 cm or smaller.</p> <p>) Downhole surveys were taken during drilling with a Ranger or Reflex survey tool at 30m intervals. Checks were conducted with a Gyrosmart gyro and Azimuth Aligner.</p> <p>) All drilling by Jinka Minerals and KGL is referenced on the MGA 94 Zone 53 grid. All downhole magnetic surveys were converted to MGA 94 grid.</p> <p>) For Reward there are concerns about the accuracy of some of the historic drillhole collars. There are virtually no preserved historic collars for checking.</p> <p>) There is no documentation for the downhole survey method for the historic drilling.</p> <p>) Topography was mapped using Trimble DGPS (see location points)</p>
Data spacing and distribution	<p>) <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p>) <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></p> <p>) <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></p>	<p>) Drilling at Rockface was on nominal 50m centres with downhole sampling on 1m intervals.</p> <p>) Drilling at Reward was on 25m spaced sections in the upper part of the mineralisation extending to 50m centres with depth and ultimately reaching 100m</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>spacing on the periphery of mineralisation.</p> <p>) For Reward shallow oxide RC drilling was conducted on 80m spaced traverses with holes 10m apart.</p> <p>) The drill spacing for all areas is appropriate for resource estimation and the relevant classifications applied.</p> <p>) A small amount of sample compositing has been applied to some of the near surface historic drilling.</p>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<p>) Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</p> <p>) If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</p>	<p>) Holes were drilled perpendicular to the strike of the mineralization; the default angle is -60 degrees, but holes vary from -45 to -80.</p> <p>) Drilling orientations are considered appropriate and no obvious sampling bias was detected.</p>
Sample security) The measures taken to ensure sample security.) Samples were stored in sealed polyweave bags on site and transported to the laboratory at regular intervals by KGL staff or a transport contractor.
Audits or reviews) The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.) The sampling techniques are regularly reviewed internally and by external consultants.

1.2 Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<p>) Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</p> <p>) The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</p>	<p>) The Jervois Project is within E30242 100% owned by Jinka Minerals and operated by Kentor Minerals (NT), both wholly owned subsidiaries of KGL Resources.</p> <p>) The Jervois Project is covered by Mineral Claims and an Exploration licence owned by KGL Resources subsidiary Jinka Minerals.</p>
Exploration done by other parties) Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.) Previous exploration has primarily been conducted by Reward Minerals, MIM and Plenty River.
Geology) Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	<p>) EL30242 lies on the Huckitta 1: 250 000 map sheet (SF 53-11). The tenement is located mainly within the Palaeo-Proterozoic Bonya Schist on the northeastern boundary of the Arunta Orogenic Domain. The Arunta Orogenic Domain in the north western part of the tenement is overlain unconformably by Neo-Proterozoic sediments of the Georgina Basin.</p> <p>) The stratabound mineralisation for the project consists of a series of complex, narrow, structurally controlled, sub-vertical sulphide/magnetite-rich deposits hosted by Proterozoic-aged, amphibolite grade metamorphosed sediments of the Arunta Inlier.</p> <p>) Mineralisation is characterised by veinlets and disseminations of chalcopryrite in association with magnetite. In the oxide zone which is vertically limited malachite, azurite, chalcocite</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		are the main Cu-minerals.) Massive to semi-massive galena in association with sphalerite occur locally in high grade lenses of limited extent with oxide equivalents including cerussite and anglesite in the oxide zone. Generally, these lenses are associated with more carbonate-rich host rocks occurring at Green Parrot, Reward and Bellbird North.
Drill hole Information) A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o easting and northing of the drill hole collar o elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar o dip and azimuth of the hole o down hole length and interception depth o hole length.) If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.) Refer Table 1, Figures 1 and 2
Data aggregation methods) In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.) Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.) The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.) Minimum grade truncation 0.5%Cu
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths) These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.) If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.) If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').) Refer Table 1, figures 1 and 2
Diagrams) Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.) Refer Figures 1 and 2
Balanced reporting) Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.) Refer Table 1
Other substantive exploration data) Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.) Outcrop mapping of exploration targets using Real time DGPS.) Refer Figures 1 and 2
Further work) The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).) Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.) Refer Figure 2