

# (ARBN 627 968 567)

# Amended Corporate Governance Statement, 01 March 2019

#### Overview

Euro Manganese Inc. (the "**Company**") believes in the importance of a strong board of directors ("**Board**") and sound corporate governance policies and practices to direct and manage its business affairs. The Company considers that good corporate governance enhances its performance, and is essential to retaining the trust of shareholders, attracting the right people to the organisation and maintaining its social license in the communities in which it operates.

#### **Canadian Corporate Governance**

The Board is responsible for the overall corporate governance of the Company, and it recognises the need for the highest standards of ethical behaviour and accountability. It is committed to administering its corporate governance structures to promote integrity and responsible decision making.

The Company is incorporated in the Province of British Columbia, Canada and its shares were listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("**TSXV**") on 2 October 2018. Accordingly, the Board seeks to apply the corporate governance practices and procedures set out in National Policy 58-201 – *Corporate Governance Guidelines* ("**NP 58-201**") (published by the British Columbia Securities Commission and other Canadian corporate securities regulators) where possible, having regard to the Company's size and the nature of its operations.

#### **Compliance with ASX Recommendations**

The corporate governance principles and practices adopted by the Company may depart from those generally applicable to ASX-listed companies under the Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations' (Third Edition) ("**ASX Recommendations**") published by the ASX Corporate Governance Council.

The Company was officially admitted to the ASX on 28 September 2018 and adopted the new ASX Recommendations in August 2018 in preparation for listing on ASX. Prior to this date, the Company did not adopt any of the ASX Recommendations.

From August 2018, the Company sets out below its "if not why not" report in relation to those matters of corporate governance where the Company's practice departs from the ASX Recommendations, to the extent that they are currently applicable to the Company.

#### **Corporate Governance Documents**

Copies of the Company's corporate governance mandates, policies and charters are available on its website, <u>https://www.mn25.ca</u>.

#### Date

This statement, current as of 01 March 2019, is an amendment to the statement lodged on 10 December 2018 and has been approved by the Board of Directors of the Company. The amendment relates to the comments adjacent to recommendation 2.3.

	ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент
1.	Principle 1 – Lay solid foundation	ns for mana	agement and oversight
	A listed entity should establish and o and management and how their per		respective roles and responsibilities of its board monitored and evaluated.
1.1	<ul> <li>ASX Recommendation 1.1</li> <li>A listed entity should disclose: <ul> <li>a. the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management; and</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. those matters expressly reserved to the board and those delegated to management.</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	The Company has a formal Board Charter (a copy is located on the Company's website) which sets out those matters reserved for the Board and those delegated to management. The Board's functions include, among other things, developing and setting the Company's strategic direction in conjunction with management, overall review of performance against targets and objectives, reviewing management's performance, ensuring the Company has adequate systems and internal controls together with appropriate monitoring of compliance activities, approval and compliance with policies including health, safety and environment and reporting to shareholders on the direction and performance of the Company. The Board has also established various committees to assist in carrying out its duties. These Committees include the Audit Committee, and the Governance, Compensation, Nominating and Sustainability Committee (the "GCNS Committee"). The Chief Executive officer ("CEO"), supported by senior executives, is responsible for the day-to-day management of the Company's affairs and the implementation of strategy and policy initiatives.
1.2	<ul> <li>ASX Recommendation 1.2</li> <li>A listed entity should: <ul> <li>a. undertake appropriate checks before appointing a person, or putting forward to security holders a candidate for election, as a director; and</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. provide security holders with all material information in its possession relevant to a decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect a director.</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	Before the Company proposes to appoint a new Director, appropriate checks are undertaken which include but are not limited to reviewing the person's character, experience, education, work experience and criminal record. Interviews with the potential candidates are conducted by existing Directors to make sure their experience, personality and ethics are an appropriate fit for the strategic direction of Company. Appropriate discussions with third parties who know the proposed Director may also be undertaken. Directors' biographical details, including their relevant qualifications, experience and the skills they bring to the Board are detailed on the Company website and in the Annual Report. Details of any other public company directorships held are also provided in the Annual Report. Director's biographical details are also included in the notice of annual general meeting when a Director stands for re-election.
1.3	<b>ASX Recommendation 1.3</b> A listed entity should have a written agreement with each director and senior executive setting out the terms of their appointment.	Partially complied with.	All senior executives, including the Company's non- independent, executive directors, have a written employment agreement with the Company setting out the terms of their appointment. As a matter of practice, the Company does not currently enter into written formal agreements with its non-executive (independent) directors. All Directors are provided with copies of the board mandate, sub-committee mandates, and all other codes of conduct and policies outlining their responsibilities and company polices that they are expected to abide by. Additionally, the Company enters into a formal indemnity agreement with each director and senior executive upon their commencement with the Company.
1.4	<b>ASX Recommendation 1.4</b> The company secretary of a listed entity should be accountable directly to the board, through the chair, on all matters to do with the proper functioning of the board.	Not followed	Given the Company's current size and stage of development, the company does not have a separate Corporate Secretary. Prior to 1 November 2018, the Company's VP Finance and Chief Financial Officer also acted as the Company's Corporate Secretary and reported to the President & CEO. Effective 1 November 2018, the Company hired a new executive as VP Corporate Development, who also acts as the Company's Corporate Secretary, reporting to the President & CEO. The CEO and the Corporate Secretary communicate regularly with the board Chair on matters having to do with the proper functioning of the board and its committees. All board meeting agendas are approved by the Chair.

	ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент
1.5	ASX Recommendation 1.5		
	A listed entity should:		
	<ul> <li>have a diversity policy which includes requirements for the board or a relevant committee of the board to set measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity and to assess annually both the objectives and the entity's progress in achieving them;</li> </ul>	Partially followed.	The Diversity policy was established and approved by the Board on 14 December 2017. Given the Company's current size and stage of development, it is not practical to set measurable objections for achieving gender diversity. The Company has a policy to select the best available officers and staff for each relevant position in a non-discriminatory manner based on merit.
	<ul> <li>b. disclose that policy or a summary of it; and</li> </ul>	Yes	A copy of the Diversity Policy is disclosed on the Company's website.
	c. disclose as at the end of each reporting period the measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity set by the board or a relevant committee of the board in accordance with the entity's diversity policy and its progress towards achieving them, and either:	No	Not done to date given The Company's current size and stage of development.
	<ol> <li>the respective proportions of men and women on the board, in senior executive positions and across the whole organisation (including how the entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes); or</li> </ol>	No	Not done to date given the Company's current size and stage of development, and there are requirement to have such disclosures under Canadian securities laws or the requirements of TSXV.
	<ol> <li>if the entity is a "relevant employer" under the Workplace gender Equality Act, the entity's most recent "Gender Equality Indicators", as defined in and published under that Act.</li> </ol>	Not applicable.	The Company is a Foreign company and is not subject to the Workplace Gender Equality Act. Canada has no mandated/legislated requirements for gender equality for company directors or senior management.
1.6	ASX Recommendation 1.6		
	A listed entity should:		
	<ul> <li>have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of the board, its committees and individual directors; and</li> </ul>	Yes	The processes for periodic Board member performance evaluation are incorporated into the Board mandate and mandate of the GCNS Committee – both of which were approved by the Board on 14 December 2017, and are disclosed on the Company's website.
			Through the GCNS Committee, the Board will assess the overall effectiveness of (i) the Board as a whole, (ii) individual directors (including the Chairman, and any Lead Director, if appointed) and (iii) each of the committees (other than the GCNS Committee which shall be evaluated by the full Board) from a corporate governance perspective and compliance with the relevant mandate, charter or terms of reference as applicable. In connection with such evaluations, each director will be required to provide his or her assessment of the effectiveness of the Board and each committee as well as the performance of the individual directors, annually. Such evaluations take into account the competencies and skills each director is expected to bring to his or her particular role on the Board or on a committee, as well as any other relevant facts.
	<ul> <li>b. disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether a performance evaluation was undertaken in the reporting period in accordance with</li> </ul>	Not done in reporting period.	The Audit Committee must also assess, on an annual basis, its effectiveness. Given that the Board mandate and mandate of the GCNS Committee were both approved by the Board on 14 December 2017, no evaluations were completed during the reporting period ended 30 September 2018.

	ASX RECOMMENDATION		MPLIED TH?	COMMENT
1.7	ASX Recommendation 1.7			
	A listed entity should:			
	<ul> <li>have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the periodically evaluating the period its senior executives; and</li> </ul>		s	The Board Mandate provides for the monitoring of management's successes, which involves assessing the performance of senior executives. The performance of the CEO is evaluated by the Chairman with input from the Board and the review is then discussed with the Board. The CEO will also evaluate the performance of key executives on an annual basis. Due to the Company's current size and stage of development, no senior executive evaluations have been completed to date.
	<ul> <li>b. disclose, in relation to each reperiod, whether a performance evaluation was undertaken in reporting period in accordance that process.</li> </ul>	the	t done to te.	Given that the Board mandate was approved by the Board on 14 December 2017, no management evaluations were completed during the reporting period ended 30 September 2018. The Company intends to carry out these evaluations on a calendar year basis, in late 2018.

## 2. Principle 2 – Structure the board to add value

A listed entity should have a board of an appropriate size, composition, skills and commitment to enable it to discharge its duties effectively.

2.1	ASX Recommendation 2.1		
	The board of a listed entity should:		
	a. have a nomination committee which:		
	<ol> <li>has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and</li> </ol>	Yes	The GCNS Committee is composed of a minimum of three directors, the majority of whom shall be independent directors. Current members of the GCNS Committee include
	2. is chaired by an independent director,	Yes	Harvey N. McLeod, John Webster, and Marco Romero, with Messrs. McLeod and Webster being independent directors. Harvey N. McLeod is the current chair of the committee.
	and disclose:		
	3. the charter of the committee;	Yes	The GCNS Charter is disclosed on the Company's website. The members of the GCNS committee are disclosed above and on
	<ol> <li>the members of the committee; and</li> </ol>	Yes	the Company's website.
	<ol> <li>as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or</li> </ol>	Yes	Since its formation 14 December 2017 to the end of the reporting period on 30 September 2018, the GCNS Committee held three meetings, each of which was attended by all three committee members.
	b. if it does not have a nomination committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs to address board succession issues and to ensure that the board has the appropriate balance of skills, knowledge, experience, independence and diversity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively.	Not applicable.	
2.2	ASX Recommendation 2.2 A listed entity should have and disclose a board skills matrix setting out the mix of skills and diversity that the board currently has or is looking to achieve in its membership.	Not followed	<ul> <li>The Company does not currently have a formal skills or diversity matrix in relation to the Board members. The Board considers that such a matrix is not necessary given the current size and stage of the Company's development.</li> <li>However, as a matter of practice, the Board requires that each director: <ul> <li>possess the skills and experiences required to carry out their duties and functions; and</li> <li>demonstrate a track record of honesty, integrity, ethical behaviour, fairness and responsibility and a commitment to representing the long-term interests of the Company's shareholders.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент
			The Board endeavours to ensure that the Board is comprised of individuals with varying backgrounds, who have (either collectively or individually) significant experience in running and managing public companies, particularly in the resource sector. The significant and relevant board experience is set out in each Director's biography on the Company's website and in the Company's Annual Report. The Board may adopt a formal skills matrix at a later time as
			the Company's operations grow and evolve.
2.3	ASX Recommendation 2.3		
	A listed entity should disclose:		
	<ul> <li>a. the names of the directors considered by the board to be independent directors;</li> </ul>	Yes	The Board currently consists of eight members, a majority of whom are independent. Marco A. Romero is not independent as he is the President and CEO of the Company, and Jan Votava is not independent as he is Managing Director of Mangan Chvaletice s.r.o., the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary. Additionally, Daniel J. Rosický is not independent as he is a partner in PRK Raft s.a., a Czech law firm which provides legal services to the Company's Czech subsidiary. Roman Shklanka, David B. Dreisinger, Harvey N. McLeod, Gregory Martyr and John Webster are considered independent as none of them is an adviser or supplier to the Company or has any other material contractual relationship with the Company other than their position as a Director.
	b. if a director has an interest, position, association or relationship of the type described in Box 2.3 but the board is of the opinion that it does not compromise the independence of the director, the nature of the interest, position, association or relationship in question and an explanation of why the board is of that opinion; and	N/A	The Company has determined that there are no known conflicts of interest. As indicated above, Daniel J. Rosický is not independent as he is a partner in PRK Raft s.a., a Czech law firm which provides legal services to the Company's Czech subsidiary. The Board has determined that Mr. Rosický's position as partner in this firm does not interfere with Daniel's independent judgement as a director of the Company.
	c. the length of service of each director.	Yes	Each director has served since their appointments shown opposite their names:
			Roman Shklanka – 25 November 2014; Marco A. Romero - 25 November 2014; Harvey N. McLeod – 14 September 2015; John Webster -14 September 2015; David B. Dreisinger – 14 September 2015; Daniel J. Rosický – 11 January 2016; Jan Votava – 21 September 2017; and Gregory P. Martyr – 20 March 2018.
2.4	ASX Recommendation 2.4		
	A majority of the board of a listed entity should be independent directors.	Yes	The Board currently comprises a majority of independent directors (six independent directors and two non-independent directors). Refer to Recommendation 2.3 for further information.
2.5	ASX Recommendation 2.5		
	The chair of the board of a listed entity should be an independent director and, in particular, should not be the same person as the CEO of the entity.	Yes	The Company has adopted the recommendation that the Chairman should be independent. Roman Shklanka is non-Executive Chairman of the Board and is independent. The CEO is Marco A. Romero.
2.6	ASX Recommendation 2.6		
	A listed entity should have a program for inducting new directors and provide appropriate professional development opportunities for directors to develop and maintain the skills and knowledge needed to perform their role as directors effectively.	Yes	In conjunction with the GCNS Committee, the Board oversees the establishment of suitable orientation programs for new Directors and continuing education opportunities for all Directors. New Directors are provided with corporate policies, historical information about the Company, management reports, Chvaletice Manganese Project site visits, as well as information on the Company's performance and its strategic plan with an outline of the general duties and responsibilities entailed in carrying out their duties.

ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент
		The Company also encourages Directors to attend, enrol or participate in courses and/or seminars dealing with financial literacy, corporate governance and related matters. Each Director of the Company has the responsibility for ensuring that he maintains the skill and knowledge necessary to meet his obligations as a Director.

#### 3. Principle 3 – Act ethically and responsibly

A listed entity should act ethically and responsibly.

3.1	ASX Recommendation 3.1		
	A listed entity should:		
	a. have a code of conduct for its directors, senior executives and employees; and	Yes	The Board adopted and approved a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct on 14 December 2017. All Directors, senior executives & employees are required to read and acknowledge
	b. disclose that code or a summary of it.	Yes	(in writing) their having read the code. A copy of the Company's Code of Ethics and Business Conduct is disclosed on the Company's website.

### 4. Principle 4 – Safeguard integrity in corporate reporting

A listed entity should have formal and rigorous processes that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its corporate reporting.

AS	<b>K</b> Recommendation 4.1		
The	board of a listed entity should:		
a.	have an audit committee which:		
	<ol> <li>has at least three members, all of whom are non-executive directors and a majority of whom are independent directors; and</li> </ol>	Yes	All members of Audit Committee are non-executive directors, John Webster, Roman Shklanka and David Dreisinger, and al are independent.
	<ol> <li>is chaired by an independent director, who is not the chair of the board,</li> </ol>	Yes	John Webster is the chair of the Audit Committee, is independent and is not the Chair of the Board.
	and disclose:		
	3. the charter of the committee;	Yes	A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on the Company's website.
	<ol> <li>the relevant qualifications and experience of the members of the committee; and</li> </ol>	Yes	The relevant qualifications and experience of the Committee members is included in Directors' Report contained in the Annual Report.
	<ol> <li>in relation to each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or</li> </ol>	Yes	Since its formation 14 December 2017 to the end of the reporting period on 30 September 2018, the Audit Committee held three meetings, of which John Webster attended all three and Roman Shklanka and David Dreisinger attended two.
b.	if it does not have an audit committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its corporate reporting, including the processes for the appointment and removal of the external auditor and the rotation of the audit engagement partner.	Not applicable.	

	ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент		
4.2	ASX Recommendation 4.2				
	The board of a listed entity should, before it approves the entity's financial statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their opinion, the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained and that the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity and that the opinion has been formed on the basis of a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.	Yes	As a foreign registered company, the Company is not required to comply with the annual financial reporting requirements of the Corporations Act. The Company is therefore not required to provide these declarations in accordance with section 295A of the Corporations Act. However, in accordance with the requirements of Canadian securities law (National Instrument 52- 109), the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of the Company are required to formally certify financial statements filed by the Company. As part of this certification process, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer are required to provide a certificate declaring that they have each reviewed the financial statements, the financial statements contain no misrepresentations and that they fairly present, in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company.		
4.3	ASX Recommendation 4.3				
	A listed entity that has an AGM should ensure that its external auditor attends its AGM and is available to answer questions from security holders relevant to the audit.	Yes	The Company will request that a representative of its external auditor attend each annual general meeting and be available to answer any shareholder questions concerning the conduct of the audit and the preparation and content of the auditor's report.		
5.	Principle 5 – Make timely and ba	lanced disc	losure		
	A listed entity should make timely a	nd balanced	disclosure of all matters concerning it that a		
			rial effect on the price or value of its securities.		
5.1	ASX Recommendation 5.1				
	A listed entity should:				
	<ul> <li>have a written policy for complying with its continuous disclosure obligations under the Listing Rules; and</li> </ul>	Yes	The Board has adopted a Continuous Disclosure Policy which raises awareness of the Company's obligations under the continuous disclosure regime; establishes a process to ensure that information about the Company, which may be market sensitive and which may require disclosure, is brought to the attention of the person(s) primarily responsible for ensuring that the Company complies with its continuous disclosure obligations in a timely manner and is kept confidential; and sets out the obligations of Directors, officers, employees and contractors of the Company to ensure that the Company complies with its continuous disclosure obligations.		
	b. disclose that policy or a summary of it.	Yes	A copy of the Continuous Disclosure Policy is located on the Company's website		
6.	Principle 6 – Respect the rights	of security	holders		
	A listed entity should respect the rights of its security holders by providing them with appropriate information and facilities to allow them to exercise those rights effectively.				
6.1	ASX Recommendation 6.1				
	A listed entity should provide information about itself and its governance to investors via its website.	Yes	The Company provides information about itself and its governance to investors via its website. The Corporate Governance tab/menu provides access to all Committee Charters and other relevant Corporate Governance Policies		
6.2	ASX Recommendation 6.2				
	A listed entity should design and implement an investor relations program to facilitate effective two-way communication with investors.	Yes	The Board aims to ensure that shareholders are provided with all of the information necessary to assess the performance of the Company. The Company follows the principles outlined in its Continuous Disclosure policy to ensure all investors are fully informed on the activities of the Company. The CEO is responsible for other investor relations activities with the assistance of the VP Corporate Development and Company Secretary.		
	1	1	1		

	ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент
			Shareholders or the public may make enquires to the Company via its website. Shareholder queries are dealt with on an individual basis and any requested information is provided whenever possible. Significant shareholder queries are brought to the attention of management or the Board.
6.3	ASX Recommendation 6.3		
	A listed entity should disclose the policies and processes it has in place to facilitate and encourage participation at meetings of security holders.	Yes	Prior to each meeting of shareholders, the Company disseminates a notice of meeting and an information circular which informs of all matters to be put to the meeting, encourages participation of shareholders in such meetings and sets out the processes of facilitating participation.
6.4	Recommendation 6.4		
	A listed entity should give security holders the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.	Yes	The Company considers that communicating with shareholders by electronic means is an efficient way to distribute information in a timely and convenient manner. Canadian law does not permit the Company to send all types of disclosure documents to shareholders electronically. However, as a matter of practice, the Company provides shareholders with the option to receive communications from the Company electronically, wherever possible. Existing shareholders are able and encouraged to:
			<ul> <li>consent to receiving communications electronically (where permitted by law) by completing and returning a consent form which may be obtained from the Company or its securities registry; and</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>subscribe to the Company's mailing list, to receive ongoing updates in relation to the Company and its operations via email.</li> </ul>

# 7. Principle 7 – Recognise and manage risk

A listed entity should establish a sound risk management framework and periodically review the effectiveness of that framework.

ASXI	Recommendation 7.1		
The b	oard of a listed entity should:		
	<ul> <li>ave a committee or committees to oversee risk, each of which:</li> <li>has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and</li> <li>is chaired by an independent director,</li> <li>and disclose:</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	The Company has delegated risk oversight and risk management to the Audit Committee. Risk oversight and risl management are also a part of the overall responsibilities of the Board. The audit committee is comprised of three non-executive directors, all of which are independent. John Webster is the current chair of the Audit Committee.
	<ol><li>the charter of the committee;</li></ol>	Yes	A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on the Company's website.
2	<ol> <li>the members of the committee; and</li> </ol>	Yes	John Webster, Roman Shklanka and David Dreisinger, all of which are independent. John Webster is the current chair.
5	<ol> <li>as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or</li> </ol>	Yes	Since its formation 14 December 2017 to the end of the reporting period on 30 September 2018, the Audit Committee held three meetings, of which John Webster attended all three and Roman Shklanka and David Dreisinger attended two.
	f it does not have a risk committee or committees that satisfy (a) above, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for overseeing the entity's risk nanagement framework.	Not applicable.	

	ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент
7.2	ASX Recommendation 7.2		
	The board or a committee of the board should:		
	<ul> <li>review the entity's risk management framework at least annually to satisfy itself that it continues to be sound; and</li> </ul>	Yes	The Company has recently commenced an organisation wide risk review to identify potential business risks, with such risks then being assessed and ranked using the Company's risk
	<ul> <li>b. disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether such a review has taken place.</li> </ul>	Yes	matrix. The effectiveness of controls in place to address each risk is to be reviewed on a regular basis and, and where the residual risk is considered outside of acceptable limits, further controls and risk mitigation measures are to be developed and implemented.
7.3	Recommendation 7.3		Given its size and current stage of development, the Company
	A listed entity should disclose:		does not have a formal internal audit function. Under the Audit Committee Charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for
	<ul> <li>a. if it has an internal audit function, how the function is structured and what role it performs; or</li> </ul>	Not applicable.	(amongst other things) inquiring as to the adequacy of the Company's system of internal controls and reviewing periodic reports from management regarding internal controls, which includes assessing risk with respect to financial reporting. The
	<ul> <li>b. if it does not have an internal audit function, that fact and the processes it employs for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of its risk management and internal control</li> </ul>	Yes	Audit Committee provides quarterly reports to the Board in this regard, and the Board is responsible for overseeing the processes implemented to ensure the integrity of the Company's internal control and management information systems.
	processes.		The processes that the Board and Audit Committee employ for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of the Company's risk management and control processes are set out in Recommendation 7.1 above.
7.4	ASX Recommendation 7.4 A listed entity should disclose whether it has any material exposure to economic, environmental and social sustainability risks and, if it does, how it manages or intends to manage those risks.	Yes	The Company is a mineral exploration company whose primary focus is the evaluation and development of the Chvaletice manganese project in the Czech Republic. The Company is therefore exposed to economic, environmental and social sustainability risks.
			Economic risks: The Company considers that the following (non-exhaustive) operational risks are inherent in the industry in which it operates:
			• fluctuations in commodity prices and exchange rates;
			<ul> <li>accuracy of mineral reserve and resource estimates;</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>reliance on licenses, permits and approvals from governmental authorities, as well as the Company's ability to secure surface rights over the claims which comprise its Chvaletice Manganese Project;</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>the intensively competitive nature of the mineral exploration and extraction industry for financial resources and technical expertise;</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>ability to obtain additional financing;</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>the dependence on key personnel, employees and consultants;</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>access to infrastructure and critical supplies; and</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>changed operating, market or regulatory environments, and in-country risks.</li> </ul>
			The Audit Committee Charter provide for the establishment, maintenance and evaluation of risk management systems, to manage and minimise risks to the Company.
			<u>Environmental risk:</u> All phases of the Company's operations are subject to environmental regulation. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which requires increasingly strict standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for corporations and their officers, directors and employees. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect the Company's operations, including its ability to develop the Chvaletice Manganese Project, capital and operating

ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент
		expenditures, earnings and competitive position.
		The area covered by the Company's Chvaletice Manganese Project has been significantly impacted by past mining and other heavy industrial activities. Czech law exempts land owners and developers from impacts prior to 1989. Mining activity at the Chvaletice Manganese Project predates 1975. The Company is, however, responsible for any new disturbances and impacts that it may cause.
		Environmental baseline studies have been in progress since the summer of 2016. These include hydrological sampling and monitoring, as well as fauna and flora surveys. As part of the permitting for the project, the Company will adhere to Czech environmental regulations, standards and best practices for an Environmental Monitoring and Management Plan, including waste water, waste and tailings storage, air, noise and other environmental regulations.
		The Company has initiated pro-active and regular consultation with community stakeholders, which are expected to intensify as the evaluation and planning for the Chvaletice Manganese Project advances. The Company's subsidiary, Mangan, opened a Project Information Center in November 2017 in the Town of Chvaletice's Municipal Culture House to provide local residents with opportunities to learn about the Chvaletice Manganese Project and to provide feedback and suggestions.
		Due to the location of the Chvaletice Manganese Project on the shore of the Labe River, there is potential for environmental sensitivities related to run-off and potential impacts to local groundwater. Adequate additional baseline environmental data collection and planning will be required to ensure the effects to the receiving environment are well understood. This baseline work has been initiated and is ongoing.
		Social sustainability risks: The Company emphasizes a safe and secure working environment and recognizes the importance of operating in a sustainable manner. The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct which sets out the standards which guide the conduct of its business and the behavior of its directors, officers, employees and consultants. All new employees must read, and acknowledge that they will abide by, the Code when hired. The Code, among other things, sets out standards in areas relating to the Company's: compliance with applicable occupational health and safety laws and regulations; promoting and providing a work environment in which individuals are treated with respect, and are free of all forms of discrimination and abusive and harassing conduct; providing employees with equal opportunity; and ethical business conduct and legal compliance.
		The Code also requires the Company to conduct its exploration, development and mining operations using environmental best practices with a goal of protecting human health, minimizing impact on the ecosystem and returning exploration and mining sites to a high environmental standard, and always in compliance with all applicable environmental laws and regulations. Further, the Code requires that the Company conduct its operations with a view to respecting and enhancing the economic and social situations of the communities in which the Company operates.
		The Company has also adopted a Whistleblowing Policy wherein employees and consultants of the Company are provided with the mechanics by which they may raise concerns with respect to falsification of financial records, unethical conduct, harassment, theft, and violation of the Code, or any other "wrong-doing" in a confidential, anonymous process.
		More information on the environmental and social responsibility risks and how the company manages such risks can be found on the Company's website under <a href="https://www.mn25.ca/ethics">https://www.mn25.ca/ethics</a>

	ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент		
8.	Principle 8 – Remunerate fairly and responsibly				
	A listed entity should pay director remuneration sufficient to attract and retain high quality directors and design its executive remuneration to attract, retain and motivate high quality senior executives and to align their interests with the creation of value for security holders.				
8.1	ASX Recommendation 8.1 The board of a listed entity should: a. have a remuneration committee which: 1. has at least three members, a	Yes	The Company has established a GCNS Committee which has been delegated responsibility of, among other things, making recommendations to the board regarding Director remuneration, as well as executive remuneration. The GCNS Committee is composed of a minimum of three		
	majority of whom are independent directors; and		directors, the majority of whom shall be independent directors.		
	<ol> <li>is chaired by an independent director,</li> <li>and disclose:</li> </ol>	Yes	Harvey N. McLeod, an independent director, is the current chair of the GCNS Committee.		
	3. the charter of the committee;	Yes	The Company has adopted a charter for the GCNS Committee, a copy of which is available on the Company's website.		
	<ol> <li>the members of the committee; and</li> </ol>	Yes	Current members of the GCNS Committee include Harvey N. McLeod, John Webster, and Marco Romero.		
	<ol> <li>as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or</li> </ol>	Yes	Since its formation 14 December 2017 to the end of the reporting period on 30 September 2018, the GCNS Committee held three meetings, each of which was attended by all three committee members.		
	<ul> <li>b. if it does not have a remuneration committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for setting the level and composition of remuneration for directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive.</li> </ul>	Not applicable			
8.2	ASX Recommendation 8.2				
	A listed entity should separately disclose its policies and practices regarding the remuneration of non-executive directors and the remuneration of executive directors and other senior executives.	Yes	The structure of non-executive Director remuneration is clearl distinguishable from that of executive Directors and other senior executives. Non-executive Directors are remunerated on a fixed fee basis for time and responsibility as part of an aggregate remuneration approved by shareholders. Non-executive and executive Directors are eligible to receive incentive stock options under the Company's shareholder approved stock option plan. As of the date hereof, non-executive and executive Directors hold an aggregate of 6,675,000 stock options to purchase shares in the Company, representing 53.29% of the total incentive options outstanding. Senior executives are remunerated on an annua basis based on a combination, and in some cases are eligible for pre-defined bonuses based on the achievement of certain milestones. Senior officers of the Company are also eligible to receive incentive stock options under the Company's shareholder approved stock option plan.		
			Further details regarding the remuneration practices and policies for the Company's Directors and officers are included in the Remuneration Report that forms part of the Directors' Report within the Annual Report. Additionally, this information can be found in the information circular which informs of all matters to be put to shareholders at an annual general meeting of the Company.		
8.3	ASX Recommendation 8.3				
	A listed entity which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should:				
	<ul> <li>have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives</li> </ul>	Yes	The Company's only equity-based remuneration scheme is its Stock Option Plan which governs the issuance of incentive stock options to directors, officers, employees and consultants		

ASX RECOMMENDATION	COMPLIED WITH?	Соммент
or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme; and b. disclose that policy or a summary of it.	Yes	The plan was ratified by the shareholders of the Company on 11 June 2018. The Company's Securities Trading Policy prohibits participants of any equity-based remuneration scheme entering into transactions which limits the economic risk of a participant. A copy of the Company's Insider Trading Policy is available on the Company's website