



**DGO Gold Limited**

ABN 96 124 562 849

Level 9, 63 Exhibition Street  
Melbourne VIC 3000  
Australia  
  
Telephone: + 61 3 9133 6251  
Facsimile: + 61 3 9691 6899  
Email: [admin@dgogold.com.au](mailto:admin@dgogold.com.au)  
Website: [www.dgogold.com.au](http://www.dgogold.com.au)

**8 November 2019**

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION STATEMENT

DGO Gold Limited (ASX: DGO) wishes to provide an additional information statement as detailed in the attached Table in relation to its announcement released on 8 November 2019.

**Eduard Eshuys**  
**Executive Chairman**

# JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

The following Table 1 relates to reversed circulation drilling conducted over DGO Gold Limited's Black Flag tenements in October 2019 to follow-up mineralisation intersected in hole BFRC0005 (4m @ 7.5g/t Au from 116m to bottom of hole).

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling was designed to follow-up mineralisation intersected in drill hole BFRC0005 (4m @ 7.5g/t Au from 116m to bottom of hole). This mineralisation potentially corresponds to interpreted extensions of the NNW trending Gimlet-Teal shear structures into DGO's tenements. The Gimlet-Teal gold mineralisation is centred approximately 7km south of DGO's tenements. Geophysical interpretation has traced potential extensions of the structures into DGO's ground. RC holes drilled on 160m spacing on traverses across the structural and lithological targets within the Gimlet-Teal extension corridor. Holes were drilled on 300 to 500m spaced traverses angled at 60° towards grid West (270° mag.).</li> <li>All RC recovered samples were collected and passed through a cone splitter. Prior to drilling the drill hole locations were pegged using hand held GPS units. After drilling, all drill hole locations are picked up using a Garmin etrex hand held GPS. Drill holes were not down hole surveyed.</li> <li>All RC drilling was sampled on one metre down hole intervals. Samples were passed through a cone splitter and a nominal 2.5kg – 3.5kg sample collected. Initial assays were performed on 4m composite samples collected by spear sampling of individual 1m sample piles and composited into 4m samples of approximately 3.5kg weight. Composite samples were submitted to Intertek Genalysis contract laboratory. Samples were oven dried, reduced by riffle splitting to 3kg as required and pulverized in a single stage process to 85% passing 75 µm. The sample is then analysed by aqua regia digestion using method AR25/eMS01 for gold and AR25/OM for arsenic. Individual 1m samples were collected from composite samples results greater than 0.1g/t Au and submitted for assay by fire assay utilising a 50g charge (FA50/E04).</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drilling utilized a reverse circulation (RC) rig using a face sampling hammer and a nominal 146mm diameter drill bit.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All RC 1m samples are logged for drilling recovery by a visual estimate and this information is recorded and stored in the drilling database. Sample loss or gain is reviewed on an ongoing basis in the field and addressed in consultation with the drillers to ensure the best representative sample is collected.</li> <li>RC samples are visually logged for moisture content, sample recovery and contamination. The RC drill system utilizes a face sampling hammer which is industry best practice and the contractor aims to maximise recovery at all times. RC holes are drilled dry whenever practicable to maximise sample recovery.</li> <li>No study of sample recovery vs gold grade has been conducted as this is a maiden drilling program. The drilling contractor uses standard industry drilling techniques to ensure minimal loss of any size fraction.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All RC samples are geologically logged to record weathering, regolith, rock type, alteration, mineralization, shearing/foliation and any other features that are present.</li> <li>Where required the logging records the abundance of specific minerals or the amount of alteration (including weathering) using defined ranges.</li> <li>The entire length (100%) of each RC hole is logged on 1m intervals. Where no sample is returned due to voids or loss of sample it is recorded in the log and the sampling sheet.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>not applicable</li> <li>All RC samples are put through a cone splitter and the sample is collected in a unique, pre-numbered, calico sample bag. The</li> </ul>

<b>techniques and sample preparation</b>	<p>split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the insitu material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<p>moisture content of each sample is recorded in the database.</p> <p>The drilling method is designed to maximize sample recovery and representative splitting of samples. The drilling method utilises high pressure air and boosters where required to keep water out of the hole when possible to maintain a dry sample.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The sample preparation technique for all samples follows industry best practice, by an accredited laboratory. The techniques and practices are appropriate for the type and style of mineralization. The RC samples are sorted, oven dried, the entire sample is pulverized in a one stage process to 85% passing 75 µm. The bulk pulverized sample is then bagged and approximately 200g extracted by spatula to a numbered paper bag that is used for the 25g aqua regia digestion (composite samples) of 50g fire assay (individual 1m samples).</li> <li>RC samples submitted to the laboratory are sorted and reconciled against the submission documents. In initial drilling programs such as this, DGO does not insert blanks and standards into the sample stream. The laboratory uses their own internal standards of 2 duplicates, 2 replicates, 2 standards, and 1 blank per 50 assays. The laboratory also uses barren flushes on the pulveriser. DGO inserts duplicate samples every 20<sup>th</sup> sample.</li> <li>Field duplicate samples were collected every 20<sup>th</sup> sample during this initial drilling campaign.</li> <li>The sample sizes are standard industry practice sample size collected under standard industry conditions and by standard methods and are considered to be appropriate for the type, style, thickness of mineralisation which might be encountered at this project.</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The assay method is designed to measure total gold in the sample. The laboratory procedures are standard industry practice and are appropriate for the testing of the style of gold mineralisation being explored. The initial assaying of 4m composite samples involves using a 25g sample charge, digested by aqua regia and analysed by mass spectrometer, follow up analysis of 1m samples is by fire assay using a 50g charge.</li> <li>Geophysical tools were not used in this program.</li> <li>The laboratory is accredited and uses its own certified reference material. The laboratory has 2 duplicates, 2 replicates, 1 standard and 1 blank per 50 assays. DGO submitted field duplicate samples every 20<sup>th</sup> sample but did not submit additional blanks and standards for this program</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The holes are logged by an independent geological contractor and the sampling, logging, drilling conditions and RC chips are reviewed DGO's General Manager to verify the field sampling and logging regime and the correlation of mineralised zones with assay results and lithology.</li> <li>No twinned drill holes were drilled in this campaign.</li> <li>Primary data is sent from the field to DGO's Administration Geologist who imports the data into the industry accepted DataShed database software. Assay results are merged when received electronically from the laboratory.</li> <li>No adjustments or calibrations were made to any assay data used in this report.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drill holes have their collar location recorded from a hand held GPS unit. No downhole surveys are completed.</li> <li>All drill hole collars are MGA94, Zone 51 grid system.</li> <li>The topographic data used (drill collar RL) was obtained from hand held GPS and is adequate for the reporting of initial exploration results.</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nominal drill spacing in this follow-up program is approximately 800m x 40m.</li> <li>This report is for the reporting of exploration results derived from a follow-up drilling program to evaluate the significant intersection in hole BFRC0005. The drill spacing, spatial distribution and quality of assay results is sufficient to support quotation of exploration results and indications of gold mineralisation. The data is not intended to be used to define mineral resources at this stage.</li> <li>Compositing has been utilised in drill holes where 4m composite samples were initially collected by spear sampling of individual 1m sample piles.</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The drilling is to grid west to examine a potential NNW trending mineralising structures, perpendicular to the drilling direction. Geophysical interpretations support the drilling direction and sampling method.</li> <li>No drilling orientation and sampling bias has been recognised</li> </ul>

<b>geological structure</b>	<i>and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	at this time.
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RC samples are delivered directly from the field to the Kalgoorlie laboratory by DGO personnel on a daily basis with no detours, the laboratory then checks the physically received samples against an DGO generated sample submission list and reports back any discrepancies</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No external or third party audits or reviews have been completed.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results reported in this Announcement are on a granted Prospecting Licence, P24/4992, held by Yandan Gold Mines Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of DGO Gold Limited.</li> <li>The tenement is believed to be in good standing. There are no known impediments to obtaining a license to operate, other than those set out by statutory requirements which have not yet been applied for.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploration by other parties has been reviewed and is used as a guide to DGO's exploration activities. Previous parties have completed RAB and aircore drilling, auger geochemical surveys and geophysical data collection and interpretation. This report makes reference to historical drilling and comments on exploration results collected by DGO.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Economic gold mineralisation in the Black Flag area is predominately associated shear structures within mafic units. There are no historical workings within the area of this drilling campaign.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The parameters of all drill holes reported in this Announcement are tabulated (Table 1) in the body of the Announcement. All drill holes completed, including holes with no significant gold intersections are reported in this announcement.</li> <li>Easting and northing are in MGA94 Zone 51</li> <li>RL is AHD</li> <li>Dip is the inclination of the hole from the horizontal (i.e. a vertically down drilled hole from the surface is -90°). Azimuth is reported in magnetic degrees as the direction toward which the hole is drilled. MGA94 and magnetic degrees vary by approximately 1° in this project area</li> <li>Down hole length of the hole is the distance from the surface to the end of the hole, as measured along the drill trace. Interception depth is the distance down the hole as measured along the drill trace. Intersection width is the downhole distance of an intersection as measured along the drill trace</li> <li>Hole length is the distance from the surface to the end of the hole, as measured along the drill trace.</li> <li>No results have been excluded from this report.</li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No high-grade cuts have been applied to assay results. RC assay results are distance weighted using 1m for each assay.</li> <li>Intersections are reported if the interval is at least 1m wide at 0.2g/t Au grade for this drilling program.</li> <li>No metal equivalent reporting is used or applied.</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The intersection width is measured down the hole trace, it may not represent the true width.</li> <li>The geometry of any mineralisation is not known at this stage.</li> <li>All drill results within this announcement are downhole intervals only.</li> </ul>

<b>lengths</b>	known').	
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A drill hole location plan is contained within this Announcement. A drill hole cross section is included in this Announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drill holes completed are included in Table 1 in the Announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reference to other relevant exploration data is contained in the Announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Further work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Future diamond drilling is being carried out to test the down dip extensions to the mineralisation in hole BFRC0005 RC drilling will be undertaken in the future to test lateral strike extensions to the mineralisation.</li> <li>The follow up diamond drilling is illustrated on the cross section in the Announcement. This diamond drilling has not yet been completed so results are not yet available. The diamond drilling is designed to provide structural information on the orientation of the mineralised structure and further RC drilling will be planned based on the diamond drilling results.</li> </ul>