

20 April 2020

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

OPTIMISED MINE SCHEDULE FOR THETA OPEN PIT STARTER PROJECT DELIVERS SIGNIFICANT IMPROVEMENTS (New Mine schedule adds 40 000 gold Ounces to May 2019 Feasibility Study for Theta Project)

Theta Gold Mines Limited ("Theta Gold" or "Company") (ASX: TGM, TGMO | OTCQB: TGMGF) is pleased to announce a new optimised mine schedule for its Theta Open Pit Starter Project that adds 40,000 oz of gold over the Feasibility Study released in May 2019. The new Mine schedule significantly improves the metrics of the project (see Table 1) over the May 2019 Feasibility Study (May '19 FS), has a reduced environmental footprint, reflects an increase in the production rate from 500 ktpa to 600 ktpa, includes the mining of several old mine waste rock dumps and increases the overall mine operational flexibility.

HIGHLIGHTS

(All numbers in USD and financials based on USD 1 500/oz gold price and 16.00 ZAR/USD)

- Pay-back period reduced to 8 months (May '19 FS: 14 months payback period)
- 260 koz delivered to plant over Life of Mine ("LoM") (May '19 FS: 219 koz Au)
- First year production of 49.5 koz (May '19 FS: 46.3 koz)
- Life of Mine (LoM) 6.5 years (May '19 FS: 5 years)
- US\$150.2 million EBITDA over LoM (May '19 FS: \$99.6 million LoM)
- Internal Rate of Return 123% (May '19 FS: 65.1%)
- US\$85 million Net Present Value (May '19 FS: \$50 million NPV)
- US\$855/oz all-in sustaining cost (AISC) LoM, bottom quartile for South Africa producers (May '19 FS: \$760/oz cost ("AISC") over the 5-year LoM)
- Total Capital Cost US\$31.4 million includes 20% plant throughput increase and at 16.00 ZAR/USD (May '19 FS: \$34.3 million at 14.01 ZAR/USD)
- There remains significant resource development upside from the contiguous southerly extension of the Theta Hill mineralisation into Mining Right 341 and other open cut resources nearby this is no change from the May '19 FS and, for clarity, these additional resources have not been included in the May '19 FS or this Optimised Study.

The Theta Open-pit Starter Project includes the Columbia Hill deposit and part of the Theta Hill deposit within Mining Right 83 ("MR83") (Figure 1) in the Pilgrims Rest area of South Africa. Waste Rock dumps of various sizes and from four main areas which were sampled by Rand Mines in the 1990's are now included in the new mine schedule and add easy gold ounces for the planned mine operations. The bulk of the waste rock dumps (75%) have been scheduled to be processed in the last year of Mine Schedule and as such have limited effect on the payback period. The payback period has been reduced from 14 months in the May '19 FS to 8 months.

The optimized mine schedule has reduced initial overburden removal by mining smaller pits and also has improved revenue streams as a result of increased ZAR gold prices.

The Optimised Study reflects strong project economics and commercial viability across a range of gold prices. The new mine schedule significantly enhances all the project economics despite a reduction in the LoM grade.

Table 1 below sets out the comparison of the initial May '19 FS to the Optimised Study at various gold price scenarios. Salient details between the Optimised Study run at \$1 500/oz vs the May'19 FS base case at \$1 257/oz include:

- 1. The IRR nearly doubling from 65% to 123%;
- 2. Mine life increases from 5 years to over 6.5 years;
- 3. EBITDA increases by \$50 million over the LoM to \$150 million; and
- 4. NPV increases by \$35 million to \$85 million.

Real Discount Rate	Unit	Lower Case \$1 369/oz	Base Case \$1 500/oz	Stretch Case \$1 600/oz	May '19 FS \$1 257/oz
NPV @ 5%	USDm	61	85	104	50
Internal Rate of Return (IRR)	%	92.7%	123.0%	157.2%	65.1%
Total ounces in Mine plan	OZ	259 607	259 607	259 607	219 425
Total Oz Recovered	OZ	234 063	234 063	234 063	200 905
Average Payback Period (From Start of Production)	Month	9	8	6	14
Total Capital Requirement	USDm	31.4	31.4	31.4	34.3
All In Sustaining Cost (AISC)	USD/oz	911	855	822	760
Return on investment	USDm	206%	350%	476%	182%
EBITDA over LOM	USDm	108.5	150.2	181.4	99.6
Gold Price	USD/oz	1 369	1 500	1 600	1 257
Exchange Rate	ZAR/USD	14.64	16.00	17.00	14.01

Table 1 : Optimised Study compared May '19 FS

The company continuous to honor its commitment to improving the project economics and expansion of the production profile. The team has delivered a new optimized mine schedule, completed a draft mining contract, delivered the mill to site and has initiated and received initial feedback as part of the plant construction contract which is all a clear demonstration that the project is moving forward.

The company has a five-year plan which targets 4 mine developments, Theta open-pit Starter Project (MR83 only), Theta open pit extension (MR341) and the Rietfontein and Beta

underground mines. This 4-mine strategy provides the company with a clear growth strategy at a combined open pit and underground resource of over 2.75 Moz with only the Theta Project Starter Pit portion of this resource included in the Optimised Study. All ore is planned to be processed within the permitted TGME plant footprint area with the new 600 ktpa CIL plant designed to be readily expanded to cater for 1.2 Mtpa of oxide ore with a modest capital expenditure and minimal operational down time. Expansions for the processing of underground ore can also be readily achieved within the footprint and tied into the new 600 ktpa plant.

Chairman Mr Bill Guy stated, "The new optimised mine schedule is a credit to the team and the work and energy put into the Theta Open pit Starter project has been rewarded. The new mine schedule demonstrates clear robust project economics, with more gold extracted and greater value for the shareholders.

At Theta Gold the resource pipeline into the future is strong, and the grandeur of resources and geology in South Africa should not be underestimated. As gold is now finding favor again, the Company is keen to be part of the South African mining industry that has produced in tonnage terms of gold bullion more tons of gold than any other country. Over 40% of world gold has come from the small corner of South Africa, we call home.

During this quarter, and while the team is restricted in movements due to the coronavirus lock down, we will continue to progress the mining contract through to a final document with a preferred contractor, amend the EIA and resubmit it so we can readily implement the expanded mine schedule, progress discussion with five engineering firms tendering for the TGME gold plant construction.

The Board's eye is firmly on the near-term development into gold production and in the medium term to grow the production profile as we develop and bring more mines on stream. We are building a sustainable business for long term benefits for all shareholders and our local community. "

Optimised Study Mine Schedule Summary

The optimized schedule allows for a life of mine ("LOM") of 6.5 years and design takes into consideration the company's commitment to be an environmentally responsible miner.

The Ore Reserves estimated for the Theta Project represent the lota section of Columbia Hill, and approximately 35% of the Theta Hill and Browns Hill deposits within the MR83 boundary. The Theta Hill and Browns Hill deposits extend to the south and into Mining Right 341 ("MR341") (Figure1). MR83 is fully permitted for underground mining and an amendment to include open pit mining is in progress. There is an inclusion of a portion of historical waste rock dumps (inferred resources) in the schedule, albeit that 75% of this material is processed at the end of the mining schedule. The dumps are within a short trucking distances of the gold plant (average ~4 km). Further expansion opportunity exists to extend the project to the south into MR341 and this will be considered in future development work.



Figure 1 : MR83 Drilling and Upside Potential Expansion to MR341

A whole new CIL Plant has been planned and recently redesigned to treat ore at a rate of 600 ktpa and increased by 20% from the May '19 FS (see ASX release 28 Jan 2020 "Results of the Independent Plant Design Optimisation Study"). Annual plant throughput and total deposition volume is constrained by the current approved Tailings Storage Facility ("TSF") at 600 ktpa and 2.5 Mt respectively. Future tailings dam expansions have also been considered in the study and will require design and approvals before implementation.

All processing layouts were configured in and around the existing CIL Plant infrastructure to allow for potential future plant expansions. The plant capital has allowed for all new equipment, except for the mill (see ASX release 2 Oct 2019 : "Theta Agrees to Purchase Mill Operated by Glencore") An opportunity therefore exists to make use of further high-quality refurbished equipment which may lead to further capital cost savings.



Figure 2 : TGME Plant Layout Showing 600 ktpa CIL Plant & Expansion to 1.2 Mtpa

Financial Summary

Financial modelling was completed over a range of gold and exchange rates to reflect low, mid and high gold and exchange rate scenarios and are labelled Lower Case, Base Case and Stretch case respectively. Unless otherwise stated, the company has considered the Base Case as its primary financial model as it still reflects a conservative approach in the current market environment. All the financial metrics are improved over the feasibility study released in May 2019 and at all scenarios modelled, and clearly demonstrate that modern mining methods being applied to this field for the first time are certainly economically feasible.

Item	Unit	Lower Case \$1 369/oz	Base Case \$1 500/oz	Stretch Case \$1 600/oz	May '19 FS \$1 257/oz
NPV @ 0%	USDm	74	104	125	66
NPV @ 5%	USDm	61	85	104	50
NPV @ 7.5%	USDm	50	71	87	38
NPV @ 10%	USDm	41	60	74	29
NPV @ 15%	USDm	35	51	63	22
Internal Rate of Return (IRR)	%	92.7%	123.0%	157.2%	65.1%
Total ounces in Mine plan ⁽²⁾	OZ	259 607	259 607	259 607	219 425
Total Oz Recovered ⁽²⁾	oz	234 063	234 063	234 063	200 905
Average ounces recovered per month ⁽²⁾	OZ	3 040	3 040	3 040	3 348
Average Grade to Plant ⁽²⁾	g/t	2.09	2.09	2.09	2.71
Benefit-Cost Ratio/Money on Investment _{5.0}	Ratio	3.1	4.5	5.8	2.8
Return on Investment _{5.0}	%	206%	350%	476%	182%
Average Payback Period (From Start of Production)	Month	9	8	6	14
Total Capital	USDm	31.4	31.4	31.4	34.3
Peak Funding Requirement ⁽³⁾	USDm	28.5	26.7	25.1	29.2
Peak Funding Month	Month	9	9	9	21
Revenue over LoM (Undiscounted)	USDm	321.7	350.4	373.8	252.6
EBITDA over LOM (Undiscounted)	USDm	108.5	150.2	181.4	99.6
Net Cash Flow over LoM (Undiscounted)	USDm	74.3	103.7	125.4	65.7
Break-even Milled Grade (Excluding Capex)	g/t	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.6
Break-even Milled Grade (Including Capex)	g/t	1.6	1.4	1.2	2.0
Break-even Gold Price (Excluding Capex - AISC)	USD/oz	911	855	822	760
Break-even Gold Price (Including Capex)	USD/oz	1 058	989	948	933
Gold Price	USD/oz	1 369	1 500	1 600	1 257
Exchange Rate ⁽¹⁾	ZAR/USD	14.64	16.00	17.00	14.01

Table 2 : Key Aspects of Optimised Study - Theta Project

Note:

- Money On Investment (MOI) calculated as present value of income flow over present value of investment (5% discount rate); calculated in USD terms.
- EBITDA = Earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortisation (excludes Capital)
- C1 represents the cash cost incurred at each processing stage, from mining through to recoverable metal delivered to market

Notes:

- 1. All values converted from ZAR to USD at relevant exchange rate
- 2. Including 25% Inferred Mineral Resources (Au content) in Optimised Study only
- 3. Capital costs in Optimised Study were converted from ZAR

The project also demonstrates a robust NPV across a wide range of gold prices as can be seen in the graph below.



Figure 3 : NPV Sensitivity to Gold Price

The AISC costs for the Optimised Study continue to reflect a project that is at the bottom quartile when compared to South African peer mines



Figure 4 : South African Miners AISC Costs 2018: Minxcon 2018



The optimised schedule has been developed to ensure higher volumes of ounces produced in the early months to ensure further de-risking of the project

Figure 5 : Optimised Study Monthly Gold Production (oz)

NEW PRODUCTION TARGET

The Optimised Study mine schedule for the Theta Open Pit Starter Project considers the following Mineral Resources in the LoM plan. Appropriate modifying factors were applied to various Mineral Resource categories as with a Reserve Calculation. Where Inferred Mineral Resources were included, more conservative modifying factors were applied to reflect the added risk of including Inferred Mineral Resources in the financial analysis.

Mineral Resource Category in LoM	Pit	Grade	Reef Tonnes	Au Co	ontent
Plan		g/t	kt	kg	OZ
Indicated		2.30	489	1 124	36 135
Inferred	Browns Hill	2.03	181	368	11 831
Subtotal		2.23	670	1 492	47 967
Indicated	lota section of Columbia Hill	2.38	1 696	4 033	129 673
Inferred		5.53	83	457	14 694
Subtotal		2.53	1 778	4 490	144 367
Indicated	Theta Hill	1.60	557	891	28 662
Inferred		1.32	438	579	18 604
Subtotal		1.48	995	1 470	47 266
Indicated		-	-	-	-
Inferred	Dumps	1.49	418	622	20 007
Subtotal		1.49	418	622	20 007
Total Indicated		2.21	2 741	6 049	194 470
Total Inferred		1.81	1 119	2 026	65 137
Total		2.09	3 861	8 075	259 607

Table 3 : Production Target - Theta Project

The production target includes 25% of Inferred ounces.

ORE RESERVE

The Ore Reserve statement from the May '19 FS is presented below. The Ore Reserve calculation considered Mineral Resources in the Indicated category as the Theta Project does not contain any Measured Mineral Resources (Table 4). The graph below (Figure 3) illustrates the effect of the modifying factors on the diluted scheduled tonnes for the Theta Project. Pit designs are provided in Appendix A.

Table 4 : Ore Reserves – Theta Project

Ore Reserve	Dit	Grade	Reef Tonnes	Au Co	ntent
Category in LoM Plan	PIL	g/t	kt	kg	OZ
Probable	Browns Hill	3.24	564	1 826	58 699
Probable	Iota section of Columbia Hill	2.54	1 253	3 189	102 513
Probable	Theta Hill	2.76	493	1 362	43 798
Total		2.76	2 310	6 377	205 010

Notes:

- The Ore Reserve cut-off grade is 0.4 g/t.
- Totals in the Ore Reserve may not add-up due to rounding.
- No Inferred Mineral Resources have been included in the Ore Reserve.
- Gold price of USD 1 300/oz used to provide the LoM optimised pits converting 61% of the 358 koz Indicated Mineral Resource to Probable Ore Reserves.
- All Ore Reserves reported are delivered to the plant.

The Mineral Resource to Ore Reserve conversion requires application of appropriate factors which would account for any changes to the Mineral Resources (Figure 6) in the life of mine plan as a result of mining the ore. As part of the technical studies the potential ore loss and dilution to the Mineral Resources was determined and applied to the resources available for conversion to Ore Reserves. The ore loss reduces the tonnage and content, while the dilution would add

additional tonnage with no gold content. Note ore reserve included previously undiscovered reefs (Bevetts and Shale Reef).



Notes:

- 1. RIMP = Mineral Resources in Life of Mine Plan.
- 2. RARC = Mineral Resources available for Ore Reserve conversion.

Figure 6 : Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves

CAPITAL COSTS

Mining capital has taken into consideration best environmental practices in an effort to minimise the impact of operations. This has resulted in some additional capital expenditure which has been offset by the reduced price paid for the new mill. The mining capital also considers the construction of haul roads, site water management and site establishment of mining contractor.

Some additional capital has been allowed for to install shared infrastructure such as change houses and additional office space, albeit that this expenditure is small in the overall capex requirement.

The largest component of the project capital is the new processing plant and the numbers have been updated to reflect the increased throughput study which has also included the integration of the second hand mill purchased in October 2019 (see ASX release 28 Jan 2020 "Results of the Independent Plant Design Optimisation Study).

The plant cost includes the installation of a new crushing, milling (including integration of secondhand mill purchased), gravity, CIL, elution, gold room, cyanide destruction and tailings filtration circuits. 46% of the tailings will need to be filtered in order to produce a product to

assist in constructing a stable tailings dam that can accommodate the deposition volumes on the dam. Without this tailings filtration circuit the tailings dam would not be able to accommodate the full volumes for the project.

Some additional capital has also been included to upgrade the incoming power supply system for the increased power requirement. The total available power supply at the main ESKOM substation is sufficient for the project requirements.

The positioning and design of the plant has been carefully considered to allow for future expansion opportunities and organic growth (Figure 7).



Figure 7 : TGME Plant Layout Showing 600 ktpa CIL Plant & Expansion to 1.2 Mtpa

The capital costs have all been developed in ZAR and then converted to USD at the exchange rate relative to the model. In the Base Case an exchange rate of 16.00 ZAR/USD was used and is reflected in the table below.

Capital Expenditure	Total Capital USDm	To Peak Funding USDm
Mining Capital		
Total Direct Mining Capital	4.8	2.7
Mining Capital Contingency	0.7	0.4
Total Mining Capital	5.5	3.1
Plant Capital		
Total Plant	20.0	20.0
TSF 1 Phase 1	2.3	0.9
Plant Capital Contingency	1.3	1.1
Total Plant Capital	23.5	22.1
Other Non-Direct Capital		
Total Other Non-Direct Capital	2.2	1.4
Other Capital Contingency	0.2	0.1
Total Other Non-Direct Capital	2.4	1.5
Total Capital (excl. Contingencies)	29.2	25.0
Total Capital Contingencies	2.2	1.7
Total Capital	31.4	26.7
Exchange Rate ZAR/USD	16.00	16.00

Table 5 : Optimised Study Capital Summary

Notes:

- ZAR/USD exchange rate of 16.00 used for conversion.
- Financial Summary (LoM Average Gold Price of \$1 500/oz)
- Total capital requirement \$31.4 million
- Peak Funding is defined as capital required to first gold being produced and sold (i.e. first revenue)

The capital schedule over the life of the project is illustrated below and reflects the exchange rate used in the Base Case scenario at 16.00 ZAR/USD. The capital in ZAR terms has increased slightly over the May '19 FS due to increases in plant and mine throughput however this has been mitigated by the weaker ZAR/USD exchange rate.



Figure 8 : Monthly Capital Schedule (USD)

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Minxcon performed an independent economic analysis on the Project's Mineral Resources and at three gold price and exchange rate scenarios; low, mid and high and labelled as Lower Case, Base Case and Stretch Case respectively. The financial results all show significant improvements over the May '19 FS and continue demonstrate a robust mining project.

The Lower Case scenario used price and exchange rate forecasts based on the median of various banks, brokers and analyst forecasts, converted to real terms and based on a forecast in February 2020. Given the rapid increase in gold price and exchange rates (ZAR/USD), it was considered prudent to use a forecast developed during a reasonably stable period.

Beyond 2024, a constant long-term forecast is applied for the remaining LoM. The inflation rate was sourced from Investec.

The table below illustrates the forecasts for the first five years as well as the long-term forecast used in the financial model.

ltem	Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Long- term
Gold Price (Real)	USD/oz	1 514	1 456	1 420	1 341	1 308	1 350
Exchange Rate (Real)	ZAR:USD	14.96	15.17	14.81	14.48	14.48	14.48
Gold Price	ZAR/oz	22 649	22 088	21 030	19 418	18 940	19 548
Gold Price	ZAR/kg	728 196	710 130	676 136	624 292	608 930	628 482

Source: Median of various Banks and Broker forecasts (Minxcon), Investec as at February 2020.

For the Base and Stretch Cases scenarios, the company and Minxcon took a view on reasonable gold and exchange rates that were not too conservative, in the Base Case scenario, and not too aggressive in the Stretch Case Scenario.

The NPV is derived from post-royalties and tax, pre-debt real cash flows, after taking into account operating costs, capital expenditures for the mining operations and the processing plant and using forecast macro-economic parameters. The DCF valuation was set up in months, but also subsequently converted to calendar years ending December. The annual ZAR cash flow was converted to USD using the relevant exchange rates determined for each scenario.

The mine plan includes predominantly Probable Mineral Reserve with a portion of Inferred Mineral Resources (approximately 25% on gold content basis), which will be practically required to be mined in order to extract the Indicated Mineral Resources, except for the waste rock dump material which has been scheduled as a small up front volume and the remainder at the back end of the processing schedule.

The Project NPVs are shown in Table 7 below and continue to reflect a financially robust project.

Item	Unit	Lower Case \$1 369/oz	Base Case \$1 500/oz	Stretch Case \$1 600/oz	May '19 FS \$1 257/oz
NPV @ 0%	USDm	74	104	125	66
NPV @ 5%	USDm	61	85	104	50
NPV @ 7.5%	USDm	50	71	87	38
NPV @ 10%	USDm	41	60	74	29
NPV @ 15%	USDm	35	51	63	22
Internal Rate of Return (IRR)	%	92.7%	123.0%	157.2%	65.1%

Table 7 : NPVs at Various Discount Rates (Real Terms)

The monthly and cumulative cash flow over the life of mine for the Base Case Scenario is shown in the figure below in USD terms. The operation has a peak funding requirement of \$27.0 million and a payback period from start of production is 8 months.



Figure 9 : Monthly and Cumulative Cash Flow USD (Undiscounted) Base Case 16.00 ZAR/USD

Minxcon performed single-parameter sensitivity analyses based on the real cash flow to ascertain the impact on the NPV. For the DCF, the commodity prices, exchange rate and grade have the most significant impact on the sensitivity of the project followed by the mining and plant operating cost. The project is least sensitive to capital non-direct costs.



Figure 10 : NPV Sensitivity to Gold Price (Base Case \$1 500/oz and 16.00 ZAR/USD)



The graph below reflects the project sensitives to various input costs

Figure 11 : Project Sensitivity USD (NPV5.0%) at Base Case of \$1 500/oz and 16.00 ZAR/USD



The Optimised Study has allowed for the lower AISC material to be mined and processed at the start of the schedule and has resulted in further de-risking of the project.

Figure 12 : AISC cost on Monthly Basis

The table below reflects the operating data for the project and the improvements in several areas as a result of increasing the annual throughput by 20% from 500 ktpa to 600 ktpa in the plant. In addition, by optimizing the mine schedule and starting the mining on a smaller pit with pushbacks throughout the LOM and further optimization of mining benches in the lower sections of the pits, the economic metrics are significantly improved.

The decrease in grade in the mine schedule is a reflection of the company making adjustments to the mining areas to take into consideration environmental improvements to the project. These improvement opportunities have been identified through the comprehensive reviews by the environmental specialists as part of the environmental authorization amendment process.

Table 8 : Production Data

Description	unit	Base Case \$1 500/oz	May '19 FS \$1 257/oz
Monthly Mining Production Rate (Average)	tonnes/month	50 802	42 215
Monthly Plant Feed Production Rate (Max)	tonnes/month	50 000	42 028
Total Ore Tonnes Mined	kt	3 861	2 520
Waste Tonnes Mined	kt	45 750	33 677
Total Tonnes Mined	kt	49 611	36 198
Strip Ratio	Tonnes w: tonnes ore	11.8	13.4
Backfill Ratio	Tonnes w: tonnes ore	8.2	
Total Ore Indicated Resource Tonnes Mined	kt	2 741	2 310
Total Ore Indicated Resource Content	OZ	194 470	205 010
Total Inferred Mineral Resources Mined	kt	1 119	211
Total Inferred Mineral Resources Content	oz	65 137	14 414
Average Mined Grade	g/t	2.09	2.71
Total Oz in Mine Plan	OZ	259 607	219 425
Gold Recovered	OZ	234 063	200 905
Average ounces recovered per month	OZ	3 080	3 348
Average ounces recovered per annum	OZ	36 957	40 176
Grade Delivered to Plant	g/t	2.09	2.71
Recovered grade	g/t	1.88	2.48
Yield/Recovery	%	90.2%	91.6%
All in Sustaining Costs ("AISC" base case)	USD per oz	855	760
All in Costs ("AIC" base case) ¹	USD per oz	989	933
Life of Mine	Months	76	57
Life of Project (Processing)	Months	80	60

Notes:

1. AISC + non-sustaining capital expenditure.

The recoveries used in the Optimised Study are a continuation of the work completed for the FS. Over 200 laboratory bottle rolls were done on the Reverse Circulation chip samples during the drilling campaign to ensure that the material being sampled would continue to demonstrate the oxidised nature of the ore and return > 90% leach recoveries.

For the feasibility study a selection of samples were identified by Minxcon that provided a fair representation of the various reefs. These samples were then composited and sent to SGS Laboratories for a metallurgical test work campaign. The results from this campaign were used for the process plant design as well as for the gold production model that flowed through into the financial model.

All the results continue to support a metallurgical recovery in a standard CIL plant of > 90%.

STRESS TEST FOR PRODUCTION TARGET

The Optimised Study has included a portion of Inferred Mineral Resources as summarized in the table below. See Production Target in Table 3 for more detail. In order to provide a stress test to the project economics a model was run which excluded all the inferred resources from the schedule. The results are shown below

LoM Production Summany	Grade	Reef Tonnes	Au C	ontent
Low Production Summary	g/t	kt	kg	OZ
Total Indicated Ore	2.21	2 741	6 049	194 470
Total Inferred Ore	1.81	1 119	2 026	65 137
Total Ore	2.09	3 861	8 075	259 607
Total Waste Tonnes (kt)				45 750
Stripping Ratio Including Dumps				11.8
Stripping Ratio Excluding Dumps				13.3

Table 9 : Production Target Summary

The company has also completed an economic evaluation of the optimized mine schedule without the inferred resources and the project continues to demonstrate strong economic performance.

Item	Unit	Base Case \$1 500/oz	May '19 FS \$1 257/oz
NPV @ 0%	USDm	73	66
NPV @ 5%	USDm	62	50
NPV @ 7.5%	USDm	52	38
NPV @ 10%	USDm	45	29
NPV @ 15%	USDm	38	22
Internal Rate of Return (IRR)	%	106.4%	65.1%
Total ounces in Mine plan	oz	194 470	219 425
Total Oz Recovered	oz	177 049	200 905
Average ounces recovered per month	oz	2 766	3 348
Average Grade to Plant	g/t	2.21	2.71
Benefit-Cost Ratio/Money on Investment _{5.0}	Ratio	3.4	2.8
Return on Investment _{5.0}	%	237%	182%
Average Payback Period (From Start of Production)	Month	9	14
Total Capital Requirement	USDm	31.4	34.3
Peak Funding Requirement	USDm	26.7	29.2
Peak Funding Month	Month	9	21
Revenue over LoM (Undiscounted)	USDm	265.0	252.6
EBITDA over LOM (Undiscounted)	USDm	108.1	99.6
Net Cash Flow over LoM (Undiscounted)	USDm	73.0	65.7
Break-even Milled Grade (Excluding Capex)	g/t	1.3	1.6
Break-even Milled Grade (Including Capex)	g/t	1.6	2.0
Break-even Gold Price (Excluding Capex)	USD/oz	886	760
Break-even Gold Price (Including Capex)	USD/oz	1 064	933
Exchange Rate	ZAR/USD	16.00	14.01

Table 10 : Stress Test Financials - Optimised Study Mine Schedule Exc. Inferred Resources

Table 11 : Stress Test Cost per Ton - Optimised Study Mine Schedule Exc. Inferred Resources

	ZAR/Milled	USD/Milled
ltem	tonne	tonne
Net Turnover	1 547	96.7
Mine Cost	364	22.7
Processing Costs	342	21.4
On-Site Other Costs	138	8.6
Royalties	37	2.3
Operating Costs	881	55.1
SIB Capex	0	0.0
Reclamation	17	1.1
Off-Mine Overheads	18	1.1
All-in Sustainable Costs (AISC)	916	57.2
Capital	183	11.5
All-in Costs (AIC)	1 099	68.7
All-in Cost Margin	29%	29%
EBITDA*	631	39.4
EBITDA Margin	41%	41%
Exchange Rate (ZAR/USD)	16.00	16.00

Table 12 : Stress Test Cost per Ounce - Optimised Study Mine Schedule Exc. Inferred Resources

ltem	ZAR/Gold oz	USD/Gold oz
Net Turnover	23 952	1 497
Mine Cost	5 631	352
Processing Costs	5 296	331
On-Site Other Costs	2 137	134
Royalties	580	36
Operating Costs	13 645	853
SIB Capex	0	0
Reclamation	263	16
Off-Mine Overheads	272	17
All-in Sustainable Costs (AISC)	14 179	886
Capital	2 841	178
All-in Costs (AIC)	17 019	1 064
EBITDA*	9 773	611
Exchange Rate (ZAR/USD)	16.00	16.00

Note: * Excludes Capex

MINING METHOD

The mining method selected for this project is modified terrace mining (Figure 13) and is suited to the mountainous profile of the current topography.

The orebodies are considered stratified and on an inclined mountain. The ore will be extracted on a flat surface whereby all the ore is extracted on the horizontal plane via ripping, loading and hauling.

The modified terrace mining method incorporated at the Theta Project will consist of partial in pit waste (backfilling of the waste material) to reduce environmental footprint. Browns Hill open pit will not be backfilled as the pit will be used for stormwater management and then possibly

for future tailings capacity. The waste will be dumped onto a waste rock dump situated nearby. Theta Hill and lota Pit will be partially backfilled and the waste that is not backfilled will be dumped onto a waste rock dump situated at Theta Hill and lota Pit respectively.

The overburden or waste material will be removed with a combination of excavators and trucks with the assistance of ripping via a dozer. The waste material will require some breakage prior to the loading and it is expected that this will be achieved by ripping with a dozer. The ripping of the waste material will increase the simplicity, safety and slope stability of the operation compared to conventional drill and blast methods.



The mining method is illustrated in the simplified diagram in Figure 13.

Figure 13 : Modified Terrace Mining

OPERATING COSTS

The development of the operating costs has advanced since the May '19 FS. Further work has been done on refining the mining costs including the further definition of the scope of works for the mining contractors to provide quotes and these costs are now reflected in the models. The operating costs for the plant have been adjusted to reflect the increase in throughput to 600 ktpa. The deterioration of the ZAR/USD exchange rate has also allowed for improvements in operating cost on a USD/t basis.

Area	Base Case \$1 500/oz	May '19 FS \$1 257/oz
Plant (Labour, Reagents, Maintenance, Assays, Refinery, Power)	20.80	21.04
Royalties	2.50	1.16
Mining (Waste, Ore, Diesel)	19.30	22.98
Other (Site overheads, Tech Services, refining Other Support services, Audit etc., Social and Environmental)	7.50	9.45
Total	50.10	54.63
Exchange Rate (ZAR/USD)	16.00	14.01

Note:

• LoM stripping ratio of 19.0 total (including rollover ratio)

Plant costs were developed from first principals.

- The labour component was calculated from a defined organizational structure.
- Reagents were determined from quoted pricing and applied to defined consumption rates determined through met test work and operating data.
- Power costs was determined from published power rates and a defined daily power draw based on operating time for each piece of equipment.
- Refinery costs were determined from data provided by Rand Refinery.
- Assay costs were determined from daily and weekly required sampling and calculated using a cost provided by an outsourced supplier.
- Maintenance costs are based on a factorized estimate based on capital equipment costs and as per standard estimate principles.

Tailings deposition costs were provided by the tailings design specialists and based on estimates from their current operational sites.

The development of the mining costs has followed a complete and detailed process including:

- Development of pit shells based on the resource model and then client selection of pits based on internal criteria such as grade and volume available on tailings dam.
- The selected pits were then scheduled in detail. The Geotechnical considerations for slope angles were done through an independent third party and included site visits, inspection of core and RC chips on site, a review of the geological model and modelling of geotechnical consideration.
- A detailed scope of work was developed and included a full mining schedule as well as all information considered to be relevant to mining contractors for the purposes of delivering a pricing structure that could be independently evaluated for use in a Feasibility Study.
- A site visit was arranged for all contractors and was led by Minxcon with representation from the client, geotechnical and mining engineers as well as client Geologist responsible for drilling of the resources.
- All pricing received from contractors was carefully evaluated and an independent consultant was brought in to evaluate the pricing based similar projects that he was operating. In addition, the consultant met with the various contractors to discuss their pricing structures and confirm their continued interest in the project as it progresses to the next phase of contract negotiations.
- Only once all of the above items were completed was the selected pricing inputted into the model

The general principle for the project was to avoid the use of drill and blast techniques due to proximity to the town of Pilgrim's Rest. The mine plan assumes mining will largely be done by dozer ripping.

Other costs were determined using the following principles:

- A detailed organizational structure was drawn up and a detailed site-specific Patterson Grading model was applied to determine the labour costs.
- The organizational structure accounts for mine management, finance and admin and other in-house support services.
- Outsourced services such as legal, technical services, IT, health monitoring and environmental auditing are all accounted for.
- The current social and labour plan projects are accounted for as well.

Item	Lower Case \$1 369/oz	Base Case \$1 500/oz	Stretch Case \$1 600/oz
Net Turnover	83.6	90.8	96.8
Mine Cost	21.0	19.3	18.1
Processing Costs	22.8	20.8	19.6
On-Site Other Costs	8.1	7.5	7.2
Royalties	1.5	2.5	3.3
Operating Costs	53.3	50.1	48.2
SIB Capex	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reclamation	0.9	0.8	0.8
Off-Mine Overheads	1.0	0.9	0.9
All-in Sustainable Costs (AISC)	55.2	51.8	49.8
Capital	8.9	8.1	7.7
All-in Costs (AIC)	64.1	60.0	57.5
All-in Cost Margin	23%	34%	41%
EBITDA*	28.4	38.9	47.0
EBITDA Margin	34%	43%	49%
Gold in Mine Plan	259 607	259,607	259,607
Gold Recovered	234 063	234 063	234 063
Exchange Rate ZAR/USD	14.64	16.00	17.00

Table 14 : Operating Costs USD/t milled

Note: * Excludes Capex

7	Table 15	: Operating	Costs USD/	oz milled

ltem	Lower Case \$1 369/oz	Base Case \$1 500/oz	Stretch Case \$1 600/oz
Gold in Mine Plan	259 607	259 607	259 607
Gold Recovered	234 063	234 063	234 063
Net Turnover	1 380	1 497	1 597
Mine Cost	347	318	299
Processing Costs	376	344	323
On-Site Other Costs	133	124	118
Royalties	24	42	54
Operating Costs	880	827	795
SIB Capex	0	0	0
Reclamation	14	13	12
Off-Mine Overheads	17	15	15
All-in Sustainable Costs (AISC)	911	855	822
Capital	147	134	126
All-in Costs (AIC)	1 058	989	948
EBITDA*	469	642	775
Exchange Rate ZAR/USD	14.64	16.00	17.00

Note: * Excludes Capex

ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATIONS

As part of the approval process for a mining right, the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, No. 28 of 2002 and in terms of the One Environmental System, an application for, and approval of, Environmental Authorisation is required. This EA comprises a complete Environmental Management Programme Report ("EMPR"), incorporating an Environmental Impact Assessment ("EIA") and Environmental Management Programme ("EMP"), which must be submitted and approved. The general process is described below.



Figure 14 : Simplified Environmental Authorisations Process and Timeline

The Theta Project is situated on MR83 and has some additional resources in the adjacent MR341 to the south. MR83 is an approved and executed mining right and has an approved Environmental Authorisation for underground mining activities, as well as approval for processing of ore and deposition of residues onto an existing tailings dam.

In order to bring in open cut mining approvals, the Company is required to gain an approved amendment to MR83 and complete an EIA process. The company has advanced the environmental authorisation process and is currently finalising documentation to reflect the environmental improvements, including changes to the mining areas, as identified by the specialists and as part of step 4 in the simplified schematic above.

STUDY INPUTS AND DERIVATION

The Theta Project Optimised Study is based on the following key input parameters:-

- The Mineral Resources were estimated and compiled by Minxcon (Johannesburg);
- The Project mine plan and detailed monthly mining and processing schedule, derived from primarily Indicated Mineral Resources and portion of Inferred Mineral Resources (25% of content) was produced by Minxcon after the application of mining parameters, mining and processing costs from in-country contractors, processing inputs and geotechnical pit design considerations.
- Maiden Probable Reserve has been stated by Minxcon after excluding the Inferred Mineral Resources and confirming the economic viability thereof and follow on from the FS completed in May 2019.
- Geotechnical inputs and parameters for pit designs from Open House Management Solutions (Pty) Ltd ("OHMS") (Rustenburg);
- Process engineering design, capital and operating costs by METS South Africa (Pty) Ltd ("METS") (Pretoria);
- Metallurgical recovery inputs based on test work by SGS South Africa and interpreted by ENC Minerals (Pty) Ltd ("ENC").
- Tailings storage facility design, capital and operating costs by Tailex Management Services (Pty) Ltd ("Tailex")
- Waste, residue and water storage designs by Minxcon;
- Rehabilitation provision by Globesight (Pty) Ltd;
- Other cost inputs, i.e. labour, overheads, outsources services and environmental and socio-economic costs by owner's team; and
- Financial Model compiled by Minxcon.

This announcement was authorised for release by the Board of Directors.

For more information please visit <u>www.thetagoldmines.com</u> or contact:

General Enquiries	Investor Enquiries		
Rob Thomson, Managing Director		Bill Guy, Chairman	
Theta Gold Mines Limited	or	Theta Gold Mines Limited	
M: +61 409 843 963		T: + 61 2 8912 2126	
robt@thetagoldmines.com		billg@thetagoldmines.com	

United States - Michael Porter, Porter, LeVay & Rose Inc: +1 212 564 4700, theta@plrinvest.com

ABOUT THETA GOLD MINES LIMITED

Theta Gold Mines Limited (ASX: TGM, TGMO | OTCQB: TGMGF) is a gold development company that holds a range of prospective gold assets in a world-renowned South African gold mining region. These assets include several surface and near-surface high-grade gold projects which provide cost advantages relative to other gold producers in the region.

Theta Gold's core project is located next to the historical gold mining town of Pilgrim's Rest, in Mpumalanga Province, some 370km northeast of Johannesburg by road or 95km north of Nelspruit (Capital City of Mpumalanga Province). Following small scale production from 2011 – 2015, the Company is currently focussing on the construction of a new gold processing plant within its approved footprint at the TGME plant, and for the processing of the Theta Open Pit oxide gold ore. Nearby surface and underground mines and prospects are expected to be further evaluated in the future.

The Company aims to build a solid production platform to over 150kozpa based primarily around shallow, open-pit or adit-entry shallow underground hard rock mining sources. Theta Gold has access to over 43 historical mines and prospect areas that can be accessed and explored, with over 6.7Moz of historical production recorded.

Theta Gold holds 100% issued capital of its South African subsidiary, Stonewall Mining (Pty) Ltd ("Stonewall"). Stonewall holds a 74% shareholding in both Transvaal Gold Mining Estates Limited ("TGME") and Sabie Mines (Pty) Ltd ("Sabie Mines"). The balance of shareholding is held by Black Economic Empowerment ("BEE") entities. The South African Mining Charter requires a minimum of 26% meaningful economic participation by the historically disadvantaged South Africans ("HDSAs"). The BEE shareholding in TGME and Sabie Mines is comprised of a combination of local community trusts, an employee trust and a strategic entrepreneurial partner.



Competent Persons Statement

Mineral Resources (April 2020)

The information in this report relating to Mineral Resources (April 2020) is based on, and fairly reflect, the information and supporting documentation compiled by Mr Uwe Engelmann (BSc (Zoo. & Bot.), BSc Hons (Geol.), Pr.Sci.Nat. No. 400058/08, MGSSA), a director of Minxcon (Pty) Ltd and a member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions.

Mr Engelmann has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Engelmann consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Mineral Resources (May 2019) and Ore Reserves

The information in this report relating to Mineral Resources (May 2019) is based on, and fairly reflects, the information and supporting documentation compiled by Mr Uwe Engelmann (BSc (Zoo. & Bot.), BSc Hons (Geol.), Pr.Sci.Nat. No. 400058/08, MGSSA), a director of Minxcon (Pty) Ltd and a member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions.

The information in this report relating to Ore Reserves is based on, and fairly reflects, the information and supporting documentation compiled by Mr Daniel van Heerden (B Eng (Min.), MCom (Bus. Admin.), MMC, Pr.Eng. No. 20050318, FSAIMM, AMMSA), a director of Minxcon (Pty) Ltd and a member of the Engineering Council of South Africa.

The original reports titled "Theta Gold increases Mineral Resource to over 6Moz" and "Positive Feasibility Study for Theta Project" were dated 16 May 2019 and were released to the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX) on that date. The Company confirms that –

- it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the ASX announcements; and
- all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the ASX announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed.

DISCLAIMER

This announcement has been prepared by and issued by Theta Gold Mines Limited to assist in informing interested parties about the Company and should not be considered as an offer or invitation to subscribe for or purchase any securities in the Company or as an inducement to make an offer or invitation with respect to those securities. No agreement to subscribe for securities in the Company will be entered into on the basis of this announcement.

This announcement may contain forward looking statements. Whilst Theta Gold has no reason to believe that any such statements and projections are either false, misleading or incorrect, it does not warrant or guarantee such statements. Nothing contained in this announcement constitutes investment, legal, tax or other advice. This overview of Theta Gold does not purport to be all inclusive or to contain all information which its recipients may require in order to make an informed assessment of the Company's prospects. Before making an investment decision, you should consult your professional adviser, and perform your own analysis prior to making any investment decision. To the maximum extent permitted by law, the Company makes no representation and gives no assurance, guarantee or warranty, express or implied, as to, and take no responsibility and assume no liability for, the authenticity, validity, accuracy, suitability or completeness of, or any errors in or omissions, from any information, statement or opinion contained in this announcement. This announcement contains information, ideas and analysis which are proprietary to Theta Gold.

FORWARD LOOKING AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

This announcement may refer to the intention of Theta Gold regarding estimates or future events which could be considered forward looking statements. Forward looking statements are typically preceded by words such as "Forecast", "Planned", "Expected", "Intends", "Potential", "Conceptual", "Believes", "Anticipates", "Predicted", "Estimated" or similar expressions. Forward looking statements, opinions and estimates included in this announcement are based on assumptions and contingencies which are subject to change without notice, and may be influenced by such factors as funding availability, market-related forces (commodity prices, exchange rates, stock market indices and the like) and political or economic events (including government or community issues, global or systemic events). Forward looking

statements are provided as a general reflection of the intention of the Company as at the date of release of the document, however are subject to change without notice, and at any time. Future events are subject to risks and uncertainties, and as such results, performance and achievements may in fact differ from those referred to in this announcement. Mining, by its nature, and related activities including mineral exploration, are subject to a large number of variables and risks, many of which cannot be adequately addressed, or be expected to be assessed, in this document. Work contained within or referenced in this report may contain incorrect statements, errors, miscalculations, omissions and other mistakes. For this reason, any conclusions, inferences, judgments, opinions, recommendations or other interpretations either contained in this announcement, or referencing this announcement, cannot be relied upon. There can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with any such opinions, forecasts or estimates. The Company believes it has a reasonable basis for making the forward looking statements contained in this document, with respect to any production targets, resource statements or financial estimates, however further work to define Mineral Resources or Reserves, technical studies including feasibilities, and related investigations are required prior to commencement of mining. No liability is accepted for any loss, cost or damage suffered or incurred by the reliance on the sufficiency or completeness of the information, opinions or beliefs contained in this announcement.

The Feasibility Study referred to in this announcement is based on technical and economic assessments to support the estimation of Ore Reserves. There is no assurance that the intended development referred to will proceed as described, and will rely on access to future funding to implement. Theta Gold Mines believes it has reasonable grounds the results of the Feasibility Study. At this stage there is no guarantee that funding will be available, and investors are to be aware of any potential dilution of existing issued capital. The production targets and forward looking statements referred to are based on information available to the Company at the time of release, and should not be solely relied upon by investors when making investment decisions. Theta Gold cautions that mining and exploration are high risk, and subject to change based on new information or interpretation, commodity prices or foreign exchange rates. Actual results may differ materially from the results or production targets contained in this release. Further evaluation is required prior to a decision to conduct mining being made. The estimated Mineral Resources quoted in this release have been prepared by Competent Persons as required under the JORC Code (2012). Material assumptions and other important information are contained in this release.

APPENDIX A

Theta Starter Project Pits (MR83 Only)



lota Pit

Browns Hill Pit



Theta Hill Pits



APPENDIX B JORC Mineral Resources for the Total Theta Project (as at May 2019)

Mineral Resource	Open Pit Mine	Reef	Reef Grade	Reef Width	Content	Reef Tonnes	Au Cor	ntent
Classification			g/t	cm	cmgt	Mt	Kg	koz
	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Shale	1.02	200	204	0.395	402	12.9
	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Bevett's	1.10	221	244	0.802	886	28.5
	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Upper Theta	2.41	100	241	0.652	1568	50.4
	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Lower Theta	3.70	100	370	0.799	2956	95.0
Indicated	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Beta	2.49	100	249	0.345	859	27.6
	lota	Bevetts	2.89	114	330	0.105	303	9.7
	lota	Upper Rho	2.43	393	956	0.808	1965	63.2
	lota	Lower Rho	2.51	550	1381	0.815	2047	65.8
	lota	Upper Theta	1.08	114	123	0.158	171	5.5
Total Indicated	1 2.29			252	577	4.879	11 157	358.7
Mineral Resource	Open Pit Mine	Reef	Reef Grade	Reef Width	Content	Reef Tonnes	Au Content	
Classification			g/t	cm	cmgt	Mt	Kg	koz
	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Shale	1.11	216	240	0.598	666	21.4
	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Bevetts	1.07	213	227	0.551	589	19.0
Inforred	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Upper Theta	1.86	100	186	0.910	1692	54.4
interreu	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Lower Theta	8.11	100	811	1.397	11329	364.3
	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Beta	2.23	100	223	0.636	1417	45.6
	lota	Upper Rho	5.13	106	544	0.099	507	16.3
Total Inferred			3.87	131	508	4.190	16 202	520.9
Mineral Resource	Open Pit Mine	Reef	Reef Grade	Reef Width	Content	Reef Tonnes	Au Content	
Classification			g/t	cm	cmgt	Mt	Kg	koz
Indicated	Total Theta Project	All	2.29	252	577	4.879	11157	358.7
1	Total Thata Draiget	A11	2 0 7	121	508	4 190	16202 520.9	
Inferred	Total meta Project	All	5.67	131	500	4.150	10202	520.5

Notes:

- 1. Theta Project (Theta Hill, Browns Hill and Iota) cut-off is 0.35 g/t;
- 2. The gold price used for the cut-off calculations is USD 1 500/oz;
- 3. Geological losses applied are 10% for inferred and 5% for Indicated;
- 4. Theta Hill and Browns Hill Upper Theta Reef, Lower Theta Reef and Beta Reef are diluted grades over 100 cm;
- 5. Historical mine voids have been depleted from the Mineral Resource;
- 6. The inferred Mineral Resources have a high degree of uncertainty and it should not be assumed that all or a portion thereof will be converted to Ore Reserves;
- 7. Mineral Resource fall within the mining right 83MR and 341MR.

JORC Mineral Resources for the Waste Rock Dumps (as at April 2020)

Mineral			Tonnage	Gold Grade	Gold C	ontent
Resource Category	Surface Operation	Reef	Mt	g/t	Kg	koz
Inferred	Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	0.121	1.64	199	6.4
Inferred	South East (DGs)	Rock Dump	0.408	0.93	379	12.2
Inferred	Peach Tree	Rock Dump	0.092	1.23	114	3.7
Inferred	Ponieskrantz	Rock Dump	0.129	1.63	211	6.8
Inferred	Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump	0.134	1.16	156	5.0
Total Inferre	d		0.885	1.20	1059	34.0

Notes:

- 3. No Geological losses applied;
- 4. The inferred Mineral Resources have a high degree of uncertainty and it should not be assumed that all or a portion thereof will be converted to Ore Reserves;

^{1.} Waste rock dump cut-off is 0.35 g/t;

^{2.} The gold price used for the cut-off calculations is USD 1 500/oz;

APPENDIX C

JORC Checklist – Table 1 Assessment and Reporting Criteria

		SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQU	ES AND DATA		
Criteria	Explanation		Detail		
	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools	Sampling types discussed in this section mainly pertain to historical data with the exception of the Theta Project subsequent to the 2017/2019 drilling campaign. Drilling data sampling types include diamond, reverse circulation ("RC"), percussion and auger drilling. Other sampling data types include underground channel chip sampling (as individual sample section composite data points on plans or as development or stope face composite stretch values), grab sampling as well as trench and sample pit sampling for bulk sampling for the purposes of size fraction analysis.			
	appropriate to the minerals under	The table below outlines the types of sa Exploration Target estimates for each of	ampling data collected or u the Project Areas.	tilised in the Mineral Resource or	
	as down hole	Project Area	Reef	Sampling Data Types	
	gamma sondes, or handheld XRF	Rietfontein	Rietfontein	Drillhole Data Channel Chip Sample Data	
	instruments, etc.). These examples	Beta	Beta	Drillhole Data Channel Chin Sample Data	
	should not be taken as limiting the broad	Frankfort	Bevetts and Theta	Drillhole Data	
	meaning of sampling.	Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon	Rho	Drillhole Data	
	1 5	Olifantsgeraamte	Olifantsgeraamte	Channel Chip Sample Data Drillhole Data	
			Olianogeraame	Channel Chip Sample Data	
			Vaalhoek and Thelma	Drillhole Data	
		Vaalhoek	Leaders	Channel Chip Sample Data	
				Stretch Values	
		Glynn's Lydenburg	Glynn's	Channel Chin Sample Data	
		Ciyin's Lydenburg	Giyiiiis	Stretch Values	
			Beta, Shale, Lower	Drillhole Data	
		Theta Project (Theta Hill, Browns	Theta, Upper Theta,	Trench Sampling Data	
		Hills and lota)	Lower Rho, Upper Rho and Bevetts	Channel Chip Sample Data	
		Columbia Hill	Rho, Shale and Shale	Drillhole Data	
Comercia di			Leaders	Channel Chip Sample Data	
Sampling		Hermansburg	Eluvial	RC Drillhole Data	
teoriniques		DG1	Eluvial	RC Drillhole Data	
		DG2	Eluviai	RC Drillhole Data	
		DG5	Eluvial	RC Drillhole Data	
		Glynn's Lydenburg TSF	Tailings	Auger Drillhole Data	
		Blyde TSFs (1, 2, 3, 3a, 4, 5)	Tailings	Auger Drillhole Data	
		TGME Plant	Tailings	Auger Drillhole Data	
		Vaalback South East (DG's) Boach		Bulk Sampling Data	
		Tree Ponieskrantz Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump	Trench Sampling Data	
				Sampling Pit Data	
		 a) Channel Chip Sampling Data:- Historical (Pre-1946) chip sample content and in inches for channel w due to the historical nature there established sampling method in th activity on the mines was usually n conducted to specific company-wid More recent chip sample values we recorded in centimetres as is the Mines Limited. During 2008, Mino Simmer & Jack and found the proce b) Stretch Values:- In some instances (such as at Vaa plans were not available, stretch v value for a stope length or develop integrity of these plans as a sourca same mines where both chip sam compared. It was found that the cor values in these areas. 	a values were captured in ' vidth. The quality of the chip e-of; however, it should be ne underground South Afric nanaged by each mine's su de standards. ere captured as cm.g/t conte case at Frankfort while un xcon audited the chip sam cedures employed to be of in lhoek and Glynn's Lydenbu ralue plans recording a com pment end were available a e of grade information has the nple plans and stretch valu rrelation to old sampling has	pennyweight' (dwt) units for gold samples could not be ascertained e noted chip sampling is a well- an mining industry. The sampling rvey department and were usually nt values and channel widths were der ownership of Simmer & Jack pling procedure as employed by ndustry standard. rg) in areas where original sample posite content and channel width ind included in the database. The been proven in other areas on the e plans were available and were been representative of the stretch	
		c) Dhiinole Data:-			

Critoria	Explanation	SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA
Ulteria	Explanation	Historical (pre-2007/8) drillhole data (inclusive of diamond, RC, and auger) exists on many of the operations. However very little backing data is available for many of these older holes and it must be assumed that QAQC was not included in the process. Minxcon has however reviewed the general quality of the survey data for these drillholes. For the most part, collar data has been found to agree well with local topography and is considered to be acceptable for modelling purposes.
		Downhole survey data with respect to diamond and RC drilling is also often absent from the older holes; however, it should be noted that over 98% of these holes were seldom drilled to depths in excess of 150 m and were vertically collared. Only 1.40% of all the drillholes on all the properties were drilled as inclined drillholes, thus it is Minxcon's view that the holes and their relative reef intercept points would be spatially acceptable for modelling purposes.
		The historical drillhole data has no accompanying assay QAQC, however this fact is considered in allocation of Mineral Resource classification during modelling.
		More recent drillhole data (inclusive of diamond, RC and auger) from 2008 onward is considered to be of high quality as it was conducted to updated industry standards with the incorporation of drillhole collar survey as well as assay QAQC where blanks and certified reference material were inserted for monitoring purposes, with the inclusion of coarse duplicate samples. These later drilling programmes were also either monitored, audited or managed by Minxcon personnel under Minxcon previous sister company Agere Project Management ("Agere").
		d) Trench, Sample Pit and Bulk Sampling (Vaalhoek Rock Dump):- In order to evaluate the Vaalhoek Rock Dump, trenches and sample pits were dug. The trenches and pits were surveyed by a Mine Surveyor and were sampled in sections down to a depth 1.2 m, each sample representing a composite of 40 cm down the wall of the trench or pit. These samples were then assayed. The discard material from the trenches and pits was then composited to form a bulk sample of 50 tonnes for conducting size fraction analysis. The nature and quality of the sampling in question has been considered in the Mineral Resource classification for the Vaalhoek Dump, which is Inferred.
		 Bulk Sampling (South East (DG's), Peach Tree, Ponieskrantz, Dukes Clewer):- Bulk sampling was done through a triple deck screening plant (bulk samples were between 20t and maximum 520t per waste rock dump).
		f) Trench Sampling (Theta Project Browns Hill):- Trenching was conducted on Browns Hill during the 2017/2019 drilling campaign to assist in locating the Lower Theta Reef outcrop. Trenches were dug in roughly an east-west orientation to a depth of between 1.0 m to 2.1m. A total of 10 trenches were dug with an approximate spacing of approximately 30 to 35 m. The trenches were sampled near to vertical at 2 m intervals, due to the very shallow dip of the reef, where full side-wall composite samples were taken. Samples were dispatched to SGS Laboratory in Barberton for analysis. The trench sampling was not used in any evaluation as its only purpose was to locate reef outcrops.
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representiv	a) Chip Sampling:- In concordant reef underground projects chip samples were taken normal to the reef dip and calculated to give a composited value for a true reef thickness. In the case of cross-reefs such as that at Rietfontein, chip sample positions were plotted on the development centre lines indicating face sampling normal to the reef dip. Scatter plots were also generated to examine the data set for errors introduced while capturing the data. All values were converted using factors of 2.54 cm for 1 inch and 1.714285 g/t for 1 dwt.
	ity and the appropriate calibration of any measurem ent tools or systems	The older underground sampling took place at approximately 6 m spacing along on-reef development, whilst in newer mining areas this spacing was reduced to approximately 2 to 3 m along on-reef development. In the stoping areas a grid was targeted on an approximate 5 m by 5 m grid where applicable, which is a historical grid (Pre-1946). This grid was put in place due to the nugget effect of the reef. The minimum size of the samples was 20 cm to obtain a minimum weight of 500 g.
used.	used.	b) Trench, Sample pit and Bulk Sampling (Vaalhoek Rock Dump):- The trenches at Vaalhoek Rock Dump were located and spread as evenly as possible on the top of the dump, while pits were located on the sides of the dump and these were sampled in sections down to a depth 1.2 m, each sample representing a composite of 40 cm down the wall of the trench or pit. The discard material from the trenches and pits was then composited to form a bulk sample of 50 tonnes for conducting size fraction analysis and screened at -10 mm, +40 mm and -75 mm. The nature and quality of the sampling in question has been considered in the Mineral Resource classification for the Vaalhoek Dump, which is Inferred.
		c) Trench, Sample pit and Bulk Sampling (Theta Project):- The trenches were dug in roughly an east-west orientation to a depth of between 1.0 m to 2.1m. A total of 10 trenches were dug with an approximate spacing of approximately 30 m to 35 m. The trenches were sampled near to vertical at 2 m intervals, due to the very shallow dip of the reef, where full side-wall composite samples were taken. The trench sampling was not used in any evaluation as its only purpose was to locate reef outcrops.
	Aspects of the determination of	Samples presented in the historical database represent tull reet composites for both diamond drilling as well as chip sampling. The historical nature of the data and the high grades encountered implies the

		SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
	mineralisation that	use of fire assay as an assay technique. Sample preparation and aspects regarding sample submission
	are Material to the	for assay are not known due to the historical nature of the sampling data.
	Public Report. In	Indexerve and compling for motallurgical numerous was undertaken at the northern Neck costion of
	'industry standard'	Valbeek during Eebruary 2018. Two samples weighing approximately 4kg were taken from exposed
	work has been done	faces of the Vaalhoek Reef in two senarate underground localities of previous mining. Two samples
	this would be	were also taken of Thelma Leader mineralisation located in underground exposures adjacent to the
	relatively simple	Vaalhoek Dyke. These samples also weighed approximately 4 kg each. All samples were composites
	(e.g. 'reverse	of rock chipped over the reef width. The four samples were submitted for Bottle Roll test work at SGS
	circulation drilling	Barberton, which is discussed under the Metallurgical section.
	was used to obtain 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	m samples from	The smallest split drillcore sample taken was 15 cm in length. After crushing and pulverising the core
	which 3 kg was	sample, a 30 g cupel was utilised for analysis. Low core recoveries resulted in reverting to RC drilling
	pulverised to	for evaluation purposes. For the RC drilling conducted at the Theta Project, the mass of recovered
	produce a 30 g	sample obtained was recorded on a per metre drilled basis, with approximately 3 kg of sample per
	charge for fire	metre run, being split off by means of a 3-tier riffle splitter for submission to SGS Laboratories in
	assay'). In other	Barberton. Assays pertaining to the Theta Project were conducted by means of gold by fire assay with
	cases more	a gravimetric and/or flame atomic absorption spectrometry ("AAS") utilising a 30 g cupel.
	explanation may be	
	required, such as	
	where there is	
	inherent sampling	
	problems I Invisual	
	commodifies or	
	mineralisation types	
	(e.g. submarine	
	nodules) may	
	warrant disclosure of	
	detailed information.	
		 a) Underground/Hard Rock Projects:- All historic (pre 2007/2008) Mineral Resource evaluation drilling for the underground projects
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).	 was conducted in the form of diamond drilling. Information regarding drilling diameter, drill tube type and core orientation is not available or discernible for the earlier 1995/1996 drilling as the core is no longer available. Only core loss, intersection length and grade (g/t) are recorded with various levels of geological lithological information. Due to the age of the data in question and the non-availability of the historical drill core, information regarding drilling diameter, drill tube type, core orientation is not available. More recent drillhole data (inclusive of diamond, RC and auger) from 2008 onward is considered to be high quality as it was conducted to updated industry standards with the incorporation of assay QAQC where blanks and certified reference material ("CRM") were inserted for monitoring purposes. Core drilling utilised an NQ (47.6 mm) drill bit. Details pertaining to earlier drilling programs' core orientation are not available. Due to poor diamond drillcore recoveries during the 2017/2019 drilling campaign, core orientation was not conducted. b) Open Pit or Eluvial Projects:- Drilling on the eluvial deposits took place under the auspices of Horizon Blue Resources ("HBR") and is regarded as being of high quality due to good survey control and inclusion of QAQC practices. The main drilling method (95% of drillholes) utilised to evaluate these projects was reverse circulation (4.5 inch (115 mm) and 6 inch (150 mm) diameter) drilling, vertical reverse circulation drillholes, with or without temporary casing depending on ground condition in the vicinity of the various drill sets. Rotary core drilling (Ng zize with 75.7 mm outside diameter and 47.6 mm inside diameter) was utilised in 5% of the drillholes on these projects. More recent drillhole data (inclusive of diamond, RC and auger) from 2008 onward is considered to be of high quality as it was conducted to updated industry standards with the incorporation of assay QAQC where blanks and certified reference mate
		station. All holes were drilled vertically. a) Diamond Drilling:-
		Information regarding the 1995/1996 recoveries is not available. However, during the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling campaigns the recoveries were recorded.
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed	Diamond drill core recoveries were recorded during the 2013 drilling programmes, which was managed by Minxcon Exploration (Pty) Ltd. Core recovery percentage was calculated for each drill run. Sample recoveries were maximised through drilling techniques (diamond drilling), however drilling recoveries versus grade relationships were not assessed.
	results assessed.	During the 2017/2019 drilling campaign consistent and accurate records relating to core and RC drill sample recovery were maintained on a per sample basis. Diamond drill samples were measured on a per sample basis and related back to the recorded drill run length versus the length of drill core recovered, which was then presented as a percentage. The average drill

	SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA				
Criteria	Explanation	Detail			
		recovery achieved during the diamond drilling campaign was approximately 65%, with at least 33.3% of samples achieving recoveries of 50% or less. This low recovery resulted in reverting to RC drilling as a means of obtaining representative drill data for evaluation purposes.			
		b) RC Drilling:- Details regarding the chip sample recovery of the historical RC drilling for the eluvial project are not available or existent in Minxcon's data records. For the RC drilling conducted at the Theta Project, the mass of recovered sample obtained was recorded on a per metre drilled basis, with approximately 3 kg of sample per metre run, being split off by means of a 3-tier riffle splitter for submission to SGS Laboratories in Barberton.			
	Measures taken to	Owing to the historical nature of the data in question (prior to 2005), measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure the representative nature of the samples are not known.			
	maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	During the 2008, 2012/2013 and 2017/2019 drilling campaign, sample recoveries were maximised through utilising appropriate drilling techniques depending on the deposit in question. In order to ensure the representative nature of the drilled intersections and due to the dip of the reefs being very shallow at between 3° to 12°, drillholes were drilled vertically in order to obtain an intersection as close to normal as possible. Owing to low core recoveries achieved in the 2017/2019 drilling campaign, RC drilling was utilised to maximise sample recovery.			
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse	Sample recovery versus grade was not assessed due to the lack of historical drill core and sample rejects, as well as due to the low diamond drilling sample recovery experience during the 2017/2019 drilling campaign. Sample recovery and grade relations with regard to the RC drilling was not possible due to not having a historical RC dataset to compare with. It is Minxcon's view that samples recording a core loss would result in a net negative bias, resulting in a potentially lower reported gold value. Twinning of these holes might serve to support this theory.			
	material.				
	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining	Historical drillholes (pre-2007/2008) in most cases have no original drillhole logs available for review. Summary lithological strip logs or MS Excel [™] logs are available in most cases however and present lithological changes and reef positions. It is Minxcon's view that the level of detail available is still supportive and appropriate for Mineral Resource estimation. This level of detail has been considered in allocation of Mineral Resource classification. All 2008 drillholes were geologically logged including the deflections (or wedges) and the 2012/2013, as well as the 2017/2019 drilling campaign drillholes were both geologically and geotechnically logged. It is Minxcon's view that logging was done to a level of detail appropriate to support Mineral Resource			
	studies and metallurgical studies.	estimation.			
Logging	qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.	The detailed drilling to go are available for the historical (pre-2007/2008) surface drilling. No core of core photography is available for review. The 2008 and 2012/2013 logging was qualitative in nature and core photos of all intersections were also taken. Logging conducted during the 2017/2019 drilling campaign was also qualitative in nature. All drill core and reference RC Chip sample trays were photographed and archived for record purposes.			
	The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	Historical drillholes (pre-2007/2008) in most cases have no original drillhole logs available for review. Summary lithological strip logs or MS Excel [™] logs are available in most cases however and present lithological changes and reef positions. Based on the information available it is assumed that all historical intersections represented in the Mine Resource estimation dataset were logged. All drilling and relevant intersections relating to 2007 through to, and including the 2017/2019 drilling programme were logged. The logging information per Project is presented in the full CPR document and described in detail.			
	If core, whether cut or	It is not known how core was split in historical drilling (pre-2007/2008) campaigns. It is assumed that core was split as has been routine exploration practice. However, sampling/core records/libraries or protocols for this period are not available for review.			
Sub- sampling techniques and sample preparation	sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.	In later drilling programmes (including the 2017/2019 drilling campaign) core was sawn in half lengthwise down the core axis. Once the core had been split the core was sampled along lithological boundaries. The smallest sample that was taken was 15 cm which was governed by the low core recovery, as well as the minimum weight required for a laboratory sample.			
		Individual samples for NQ cores were 20 cm long. Reef samples were >10 cm and <40 cm.			
	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.	Historical Protocols pertaining to the RC and auger drilling sample splitting are not available for scrutiny and thus unknown. During the 2017/2019 RC drilling programme, samples were dry sampled and riffle split through a 3-tier riffle splitter			
	For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample	For historical diamond drilling (pre-2007/2008) no protocols pertaining to sample preparation techniques are available for scrutiny. Recent (inclusive of the 2017/2019 drilling campaign) drilling sampling preparation and its appropriateness is in line with industry practice.			

Onthesis	Freelowetter	SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA
Criteria	preparation	Detail
	technique.	
	Quality control	Historical (pre-2007/2008) historical sub-sampling techniques were not available for review.
	procedures	
	adopted for all sub-	All later drilling programmes utilised blanks and certified reference materials in order to maximise
	sampling stages to	representivity of samples. In the 2017/2019 drilling campaign, coarse duplicates were added to the
	maximise	QAQC programme to test repeatability and thus representivity of samples.
	representivity of	
	Measures taken to	Pertaining to historical (nre-2007/2008) drilling programmes sub-sampling techniques were not
	ensure that the	available for review. In 2008 only blanks and certified reference material were used. No field
	sampling is	duplicate/second –half or subsequent guarter sampling was conducted to Minxcon's knowledge.
	representative of	
	the in situ material	Later drilling programmes utilised only blanks and certified reference material. No field
	collected, including	duplicate/second-half or subsequent quarter sampling was conducted. In the 2017/2019 drilling
	for instance results	campaign, coarse field duplicates were added to the QAQC programme to test repeatability and thus
	dunlicate/second-	representivity of samples. Out of 292 duplicates taken, three were identified as outliers. Once these were removed from the dataset, a correlation coefficient of 0.9683 was achieved, presenting very high
	half sampling.	correlation, thus supporting the view of sample representivity.
	··········p·····g·	Pre-2007/2008: Not known. Historical sample size taken were not recorded.
	Whather comple	
	sizos aro	Later programmes considered sample length versus core diameter together with assay laboratory
	appropriate to the	techniques and protocols to ensure sample sizes were appropriate relative to the material in question
	grain size of the	being sampled. It is Minxcon's view that the sample sizes take are appropriate to the gold grain size
	material being	being sampled due to the fact that out of 292 duplicates taken (2017/2019 drilling programme), three were identified as sufficient of
	sampled.	0.9683 was achieved presenting very high correlation thus supporting the view of sample
		representivity.
	The nature, quality	Historical underground channel chips were reported in dwt, it is assumed that only fire assay was
	and	utilised and it is assumed that the technique represents total analysis.
	appropriateness of	
	the assaying and	In 2008, all diamond core samples including blanks and certified reference material ("CRM") were
	laboratory	dispatched to Set Point Laboratories ("Set Point") in Isando, Johannesburg, South Africa. Set Point is
	and whether the	17025:2005 with accreditation number T0223. The samples were analysed for Gold ("Au") by standard
	technique is	fire assay with ICP finish, and specific gravity ("SG") analysis were conducted on selected samples. It
	considered partial	is assumed that the technique represents total analysis.
	or total.	
		Up to May 2007, all RC samples were sent to ALS Chemex Laboratory. From May 2007 onwards, RC
		samples were sent to Performance Laboratories (now SGS Performance Laboratories) and core
		samples to ALS Chemex (which is SANAS accredited) for fire assay by lead separation and AA finish.
		techniques. It is assumed that the technique represents total analysis
		In 2017, samples from drillholes V6 and V8 including blanks and certified reference material were
		dispatched to Super Laboratory Services (Pty) Ltd ("Super Labs") in Springs, South Africa. Super Labs
		is a SANAS certified laboratory, in accordance with the recognised international standard ISO/IES
		170252005, with accreditation number 10494. The assay samples are 50 g samples in mass and are assayed for gold (Au) by means of fire assay with gravimetric finish. It is assumed that the technique
		represents total analysis
Quality of		
assay data		For the 2017/2019 drilling campaign, all drillhole samples were sent to SGS Performance Laboratories
and		in Barberton. SGS Performance Laboratories, Barberton is a SANAS certified laboratory, in
laboratory		accordance with the recognised international standard FAA303, with accreditation number T0565.
tests		Assays pertaining to the Theta Project were conducted by means of gold by fire assay with a
		gravimente and/or name atomic absorption spectrometry (AAST) utilising a 30 g cupel. This assay technique is viewed as being total
	For geophysical	No assay methods other than those conducted by laboratories as mentioned above were utilised in the
	tools,	generation of any of the TGME projects sampling database.
	spectrometers,	
	handheld XRF	
	instruments, etc.,	
	the parameters	
	the analysis	
	includina	
	instrument make	
	and model, reading	
	times, calibrations	
	factors applied and	
	their derivation, etc.	No records of Access (AAAA) are available for the historical data due to the same three of (1) and (2)
	control procedures	two records or Assay QAQC are available for the historical data due to the age there-of (<i>i.e.</i> pre-1946 for channel chip sampling, and for drilling predating 2007/2008) and due to the accord presting in
	adopted (e a	place at the time.
	standards, blanks,	
	duplicates, external	Drilling campaigns conducted post 2007/2008 and the accompanying sampling was conducted
	laboratory checks)	according to industry standards. QAQC measures were implemented by regular insertion of blanks and

	SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA			
Criteria	Explanation	Detail		
	and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have	standards into the sampling stream. Minxcon considers that the QAQC measures, as well as data used for Mineral Resource estimation, were of adequate quality. Approximately 17% of the samples sent to the laboratory represented assay control material. Minxcon is of the opinion that an adequate number of control samples were utilised during this drilling programme. No field duplicates were however used during the 2008 drilling and sampling programmes.		
	been established.	During the 2012/2013 exploration programme, the project was stopped due to budgetary constraints and the completed drillholes were not assayed at the time.		
		For the 2013 drilling programme the samples were analysed in 2017 and a total of 84 samples including blanks and certified reference material were dispatched to Super Labs. Two CRMs, namely AMIS0016 and AMIS0023, and silica sand blanks were used in the sampling sequence. Roughly every fifth sample inserted in the sampling sequence was a QAQC sample. A total of two AMIS0023, two AMIS0016, five duplicates and six blank samples were used. Approximately 18% of the samples sent to the laboratory represented assay control material. Minxcon is of the opinion that an adequate number of control samples were utilised.		
		During the 2017/2019 drilling programme the CRMs and blanks were inserted at predetermined positions in the sampling sequence, namely: analytical blank samples were placed at the beginning and at the end of a drillhole. With the diamond drilling control samples were placed in the sampling stream at every tenth sample, with a sequential rotation between a blank, CRM and duplicate. With the RC drilling, this was similarly done, but at every twentieth sample position. In both cases the control sample spacing was based upon the batch size utilised by the laboratory in order to ensure each tray included at least one blank and an additional control sample during sample preparation and analysis.		
		Approximately 2.75% of the samples sent to the laboratory represented CRM and 4.5% represented analytical blanks and 1.3% represented coarse duplicates. These samples are in addition to the in- laboratory assay conducted by the laboratory which traditionally adds up to 20% control samples to the total sample stream, usually incorporating a CRM as well as an analytical blank and two duplicate samples to each sample batch. Minxcon is of the opinion that an adequate number of control samples were utilised during this drilling programme.		
		question and the non-availability of the core.		
		Minxcon verified the historically bagged samples for drillholes V6 and V8 for accuracy and representativeness before sending them to the laboratory in 2017. Those samples that were not representative or missing were re-sampled from the remaining core at TGME.		
	The verification of significant intersections by either independent	Minxcon reviewed all historical datasets chip sampling and the historical drilling attributed to the various historical operations, as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling file were found and cross validated to test for data changes or eliminations. These were corrected where applicable.		
Varification	or alternative company personnel.	Minxcon reviewed, verified and cross-checked captured assays relating to the 2008 drilling dataset by means of checking for transfer mistakes, gaps and overlaps in sampling intervals and also checked that all reef composites were correctly calculated for each reef intersection, before calculating the weighted mean of drillhole points with multiple intersections of wedges.		
Verification of sampling and assaying		Minxcon conducted checks on sampling during the 2017/2019 drilling programme by means of standard assay QAQC procedures and reviewing and cross-checking the .pdf assay results provided by the laboratory and those copied into the database utilised for evaluation. In addition, reviews of the sampling process were conducted by Minxcon personnel other than those managing the programme, namely the then Competent Person Mr Uwe Engelmann, and Mr Paul Obermeyer, the Minxcon Mineral Resource Manager.		
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	No adjustments were made to raw assay data according to Minxcon's knowledge.		
	Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.	Not known. Historical data capture and data entry procedures were not available for review. The 2007/2008 and 2013 exploration programmes were logged and captured on hardcopy. These were then transferred to MS Excel™. Minxcon currently only has the data in this digital format for verification purposes. During the 2017/2019 drilling campaign, all logging and sampling were logged and captured on hardcopy and then captured in MS Excel™. Assay results were received from the laboratory in MS Excel™ .csv format as well as .PDF, thus allowing verification and comparison between hardcopy, source and digital data files.		
	The use of twinned	No twinned holes were drilled.		
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drillholes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and	TGME utilised a handheld GPS for the purpose of locating historical adits and mine entrances, which in turn have been utilised in conjunction with historical survey data in positioning the historical underground workings in 3D. Historical survey plans with plotted survey peg positions and elevations are available for most of the historical underground operations. These pegs were installed by mine surveyors relative to fixed local mine datum's. The survey pegs and workings have been digitised in ARCView GIS 10 [™] .		
	other locations used in Mineral	Each data point and stretch value on the original assay plans was marked and annotated with a reef width and gold grade. Assay plan images were imported into GIS and co-ordinates converted from a		

	SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA			
Criteria	Explanation			
	Resource estimation.	Datamine Studio 3 [™] . The captured assay points were plotted on a plan of the underground workings to ensure that the points plotted correctly relative to development and stoping. The sampling has in turn been fixed to the underground development and stoping voids. It is Minxcon's opinion that sample positional accuracy would be within 5 to 10 m of the original sample point (within acceptable limits of a GPS). Drillhole collars were also located by means of handheld GPS co-ordinates.		
		Assay plan images were imported into GIS and co-ordinates converted from a local grid co-ordinate system to a WGS84 grid system. The plans were then captured into Datamine®. The captured assay points were plotted on a plan of the underground workings to ensure that the points plotted correctly relative to development and stoping.		
		Historically, sampling points were measured by means of measuring tape and the resultant offsets plotted on the sampling and development plans.		
		Information pertaining to the instrument used for downhole survey conducted before and including the 2007/2008 drilling programmes is not available During the 2012/2013 drilling programme an EZ-Trac with EZ Com was used.		
		Drillholes drilled at the Theta Project did not have downhole surveys conducted due to all being drilled vertically and due to them all being under 200 m in depth. Drillhole collars were located by two means. Of the 371 holes drilled some 99 collars were surveyed utilising an RTK Trimble R8 GPS Survey Total Station, while the balance was recorded by means of handheld GPS.		
	Specification of the grid system used.	The grid system used is Hartebeeshoek 1994, South African Zone WG31.		
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	Minxcon utilised the GPS co-ordinates provided by TGME for the adit positions, as well as ventilation openings to assist in verifying and fixing the underground workings in 3D space. Very good correlation between the digital topography and the underground mining profiles was found. The tailings and rock dump projects were surveyed utilising standard survey methods (Survey total station) and detailed topographical data collected. This data was subsequently rendered as digital contour plans. A LIDAR survey was conducted in March 2019 and was compared to the original digital topography utilised in the reef modelling. Discrepancies were found to be small with negligible impact on the geological model or the reef block models.		
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	In the stoping areas, the mean channel chip sample grid spacing was approximately on a 5 m x 5 m grid, while on development in older areas samples were taken at about 5 m to 6 m intervals, while in more recent areas sample sections were taken at between 2 m to 3 m spacing. Available information shows that diamond drillholes were drilled on an irregular grid of between 200 m to 500 m. Owing to the more advanced investigation stage (<i>i.e.</i> Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves), no Exploration Results have been reported. In the stoping areas, the sample stretch values were spaced approximately at 15 m on dip and 4 m on strike, while in more detailed areas sample spacing was found to be as little as 3 m between points. In the development, stretch values spacing varied from 4 m to 20 m, while in more detailed areas sample spacing is seen to be as close a 3 m. Drillhole spacing for the underground projects varies significantly and is considered during Mineral Resource classification. In one specific case (Vaalhoek) two drillholes (V6 and V8) did not significantly affect the Mineral Resource estimation as they were beyond the variogram range of the sample points (1,000 m) as Minxcon did not include the drillhole data with the stretch value data. They did however prove continuity of the reef. For the Glynn's Lydenburg and Blyde TSF projects, auger drilling was conducted on a 25 m x 25 m grid spacing, while on the TGME Plant TSF auger drilling was conducted on an approximate 50 m x 50 m grid. The Hermansburg eluvial deposit was drilled on an approximate 25 m x 25 m grid, while the DG deposits were drilled on an approximate 20 m x 20 m by 25 m x 25 m grid spacing, depending on local topography and access.		
	spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	The Minxcon's opinion that drinnole and sample spacing is adequate for the purpose of conducting meaningful Mineral Resource estimation in and around stoping areas due to the density of the chip sampling data. It is Minxcon's view that the drillhole spacing pertaining to the Theta Project conducted during the 2017/2019 drilling programme is adequate for the purpose of conducting Mineral Resource estimation. Spacing per reef is viewed as being appropriate to the Mineral Resource categories applied.		
	compositing has been applied.	composites. Full reef composites were applied to drillholes belonging to the underground operations due to the inherent narrow nature of the reefs concerned. All eluvial, TSF drillholes and rock dump		

SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA				
Criteria	Explanation	Detail		
		sample points were composite at fixed downhole sample intervals for the purposes of conducting full 3D Mineral Resource Estimations on these types of deposits. During the 2017/2019 drilling programme, in thin reef environments with reefs of <1m (Upper Theta, Lower Theta and Beta Reefs) diluted (to 1 m) reef composites were utilised for evaluation purposes due to the minimum sample width obtained during the RC drilling being 1 m. In thick reef environments (Upper Rho, Lower Rho, Bevetts and Shale reefs), individual original sample widths of 1 m were maintained for utilisation in 3D estimation.		
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	Concordant reefs are all near horizontal and as such these dip at between 3° to 12° to the west and strike in a north-south direction. Drillholes were drilled vertically (-90° dip) to intercept the mineralised shear zones at a near perpendicular angle in order that the sampling of the drill core minimises the sampling bias. Chip sampling in concordant reef environments was conducted normal to reef dip. It is Minxcon's view that sampling orientation has attempted to reduce sample bias with respect to angle of intersection. All intersections represented corrected reef widths. Discordant reef as encountered at Rietfontein is vertical to sub-vertical. Drillholes were orientated at angles to intercept the mineralised shear zones at as near a perpendicular angle in plan and acute angle in section as possible in order that the sampling of drill core minimises the sampling was conducted normal to reef dip. It is Minxcon's view that sampling bias. Chip sampling was the respect to angle of intersection. All intersections represented corrected reef widths.		
	If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is	All sampling of the TSF was conducted vertically. This is normal to the orientation of deposition and is therefore achieves unbiased sampling Available information indicates that the drilling orientation provides reasonably unbiased sampling of the mineralisation zones.		
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Measures taken to ensure sample security pertaining to the historical chip sampling are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question. Measures taken to ensure sample security during historical drilling programmes (1995/1996 and 2008 drilling) are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question. During 2012/2013 all core samples were stored in a locked facility prior to dispatch to the laboratory. The samples from the 2013 drilling campaign were bagged and labelled in 2013 but were not sent away to a laboratory for assayed due to the project ending prematurely. The samples were stored at the TGME plant in Pilgrims Rest and delivered to the Minxcon Exploration offices in Johannesburg in November 2017 to check and verify the previously bagged samples. A standard chain of custody was implemented during the 2017/2019 drilling campaign. Immediately when the core arrived in the core yard daily, the geologist or core yard manager was required to sign the core shed register (core) after inspecting the core against the reported drilled metres in acknowledgement of having received the core in good condition. On a weekly basis (or more often when required) samples were despatched directly to the analytical		
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	Minxcon reviewed all historical datasets attributed to the various projects comprising the Mineral Resources, historical plans and sections as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that historically captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling files were found and cross validated to test for data changes or eliminations. Minxcon also digitised a series of plans or sampling points and stretch values which were used in the various estimations. Minxcon was not able to audit or review the sampling techniques in practice due to the historical nature of the data in question.		

		SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the	The mining rights are held under Transvaal Gold Mining Estates Limited ("TGME"). The mineral rights 83MR, 340MR, 358MR and 433MR have been granted, registered and executed and are currently active, held over certain Mineral Resource areas. Their accompanying environmental and social permits are also executed. The mining rights 10161MR and 10167MR are pending execution. The mining rights 330MR, 341MR and 198MR are still in the approval process. A Section 102 amendment process for inclusion of Theta Project into 83MR is currently underway, with the environmental and socio-economic studies, as well as water use licence application process, following prescribed regulatory timelines.
	time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a	years have lapsed since the last formal DMR communication on 330MR, 341MR and 198MR, and notes that the security of these rights may be at risk. The 83MR Section 102 application is following timelines as stipulated by applicable regulations.

SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS			
Criteria	Explanation	Detail	
	licence to operate in the area	The Mineral Resource is located within the above permit areas as per the figure to follow.	
	the area.		
Exploration	Aakpowladamant	Acknowledgement is hereby made for the historical exploration conducted from 1977 to 1982 by Placid	
done by other parties	and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Mines conducted sporadic alluvial prospecting along the Blyde River, limited surface diamond drilling, re-opening of old workings and extensive exploration programmes around the town of Pilgrims Rest. TGME and Simmer & Jack conducted drilling, geochemical soil sampling, trenching and geological mapping.	
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	Epigenetic gold mineralisation in the Sabie-Pilgrims Rest Goldfield occurs as concordant and discordant (sub-vertical) veins (or reefs) in a variety of host rocks within the Transvaal Drakensberg Goldfield, and these veins have been linked to emplacement of the Bushveld Complex. Mineralisation in the region occurs principally in concordant reefs in flat, bedding parallel shears located mainly on shale partings within the Malmani Dolomites. These bodies are stratiform, and are generally stratabound, and occur near the base of these units. The discordant reefs (or cross-reefs) are characterised by a variety of gold mineralisation styles. At Rietfontein, a sub-vertical quartz-carbonate vein occurs which reaches up from the Basement Granites and passes to surface through the Transvaal. They are found throughout the Sabie-Pilgrims Rest Goldfield, and are commonly referred to as cross reefs, blows, veins, and leaders and exhibit varying assemblage of gold-quartz-sulphide mineralisation generally striking northeast to north-northeast. They vary greatly in terms of composition, depth and diameter. In addition to the above, more recent eluvial deposits occur on the sides of some of the hills and are through to represent cannibalised mineralised clastic material resulting from the erosion of underlying reefs. Gold mineralisation is accompanied by various sulphides of Fe, Cu, As and Bi.	
Drillhole Information	northing of the collar to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drillholes: * easting and northing of the drillhole collar * elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea	A summary of the data types and the number of data attributable to each project is presented in the table below. It should be noted that all the projects listed are historical mining areas and do not constitute exploration projects in the true sense of the word. However, detailed drillhole summary tables are presented in the CPR in the appropriate sections pertaining to Exploration Targets. It should be noted that the numbers presented for drillholes in the table below represent all drillhole records, regardless of the status of the data concerned.	

		SECTION 2: REPORTING OF	EXPLORATION RESULTS				
Criteria	Explanation		Detail				
	level in metres) of			Historical datasets	Recent		
	the drillhole collar	Project Area	Sampling Data Types	(Pre - 2007/2008)	Datasets		
	* dip and azimuth of	, ,		Quantity (Incl.	Quantity		
	* down hole length		Drillholo Data	wedges)			
	and interception	Rietfontein	Channel Chin Sample Data	2 265			
	depth		Drillhole Data	7	20		
	* hole length.	Beta	Channel Chip Sample Data	4,553	-		
		En en lafe at	Drillhole Data	15	59		
		Frankfort	Channel Chip Sample Data	3,187	864		
		CDM	Drillhole Data	115	-		
			Channel Chip Sample Data	24,483	-		
		Olifantsgeraamte	Drillhole Data	1	-		
			Channel Chip Sample Data	316	-		
		Vaalboek	Channel Chin Sample Data	3 836	0		
		Vaanoek	Stretch Values	1.472	-		
			Drillhole Data	-	-		
		Glynn's Lydenburg	Channel Chip Sample Data	26,435	-		
			Stretch Values	872	-		
		Theta Project (Theta	Drillhole Data	263	371		
		Browns Hill & lota)	Trench Sampling	-	10		
		,	Channel Chip Sample Data	7,472	-		
		Columbia Hill	Drillhole Data	26	-		
		Hermansburg	RC Drillbole Data	14,470	- 70		
		DG1	RC Drillhole Data	-	57		
		DG2	RC Drillhole Data	-	221		
		DOS	Grab Samples	-	≈100		
		DG5	RC Drillhole Data	-	19		
		Glynn's Lydenburg TSF	Auger Drillhole Data	-	140		
		Blyde TSFs (1, 2, 3, 3a, 4,	Auger Drillhole Data	-	86		
		5) TGME Plant	Auger Drillbole Data		34		
			Bulk Sampling Data	-	1		
		Vaalhoek (Rock dump)	Trench Sampling Data	-	13		
			Sampling Pit Data	-	57		
		South East (DG's) (Rock	Bulk Sampling Data	50	-		
		dump)					
		Peach Tree (Rock dump)	Bulk Sampling Data	8	-		
		dump)	Duk Samping Data	10	-		
		Dukes Clewer (Rock	Bulk Sampling Data	40			
		dump)		13	-		
	this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	All the available drillholes on all projects and project types that were historically sampled and ha assay result available, were used for Mineral Resource estimation with the exception of four drill (in the case of Rietfontein) where out of eight drillholes, a total of four were excluded from the estim due to excessive poor core recovery. All 10 drillholes drilled in 2012/2013 as well as three drill drilled in 2008 were only used for geological modelling due to the fact that the project was stopped to budget constraints and the mineralised zones were never assayed.					
Data aggregation methods	Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of birth	All chip samples and drillhole s to the small number of drillhole were composited to a 3 m cor separately and not included immediately relegated to Infer During the 2017/2019 drilling Lower Theta and Beta Reefs) due to the minimum sample wi (Upper Rho, Lower Rho, Bey maintained for utilisation in 3D All chip samples and drillhole s to the small number of drillhol were composited to a 3 m cor separately, and not included	samples were agglomerated. Dat e intersections. Where stretch va nposite based on a minimum str in the chip sample database red Mineral Resource classificati programme, in thin reef environr diluted (to 1 m) reef composite idth obtained during the RC drillir retts and Shale Reefs), individu e estimation.	a type biases were not invest alues were used in the estim etch length. These values w . Areas utilising stretch v on. ments with reefs of <1 m (U s were utilised for evaluation being 1 m. In thick reef er al original sample widths on a type biases were not invest alues were used in the estim etch length. These values w Areas utilising stretch v	stigated due nation these vere treated alues were pper Theta, in purposes invironments f 1 m were stigated due vere treated alues were		
	grade results and longer lengths of low	separately and not included in the chip sample database. Areas utilising stretch values were immediately relegated to Inferred Mineral Resource classification.					

		SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
	grade results, the	During the 2017/2019 drilling programme, in thin reef environments with reefs of <1 m (Upper Theta,
	procedure used for	Lower I neta and Beta Reets) diluted (to 1 m) reet composites were utilised for evaluation purposes
	should be stated	(Upper Rho, Lower Rho, Beyetts and Shale reefs), individual original sample widths of 1 m were
	and some typical	maintained for utilisation in 3D estimation.
	examples of such	
	aggregations should	
	be shown in detail.	
	The assumptions	
	used for any	
	equivalent values	No metal equivalents were calculated.
	should be clearly	
	stated.	
	If the geometry of	For the historical drillhole intersections (as well as intersections pertaining to the 2017/2019 drilling
	the mineralisation	campaign) no downhole lengths have been reported – only true reef widths have been recorded in the
	drillhole angle is	normal to bedding so is reef width would be very closely related to the intersection length due to the
5.4.4.4.4	known, its nature	low dip of the orebody and the vertical drilling of the drillholes.
Relationship	should be reported.	
mineralisatio	If it is not known	Historical underground chip sampling is sampled normal to the dip of the reef so is therefore the true
n widths and	and only the down	
intercept	reported there	the value attributable to the corrected sample width and not the real sampled length
lengths	should be a clear	
	statement to this	
	effect (e.g. 'down	
	hole length, true	
	Appropriate maps	
	and sections (with	
	scales) and	
	tabulations of	
	intercepts should be	
	included for any	The TGME Mineral Resource is not a true greenfields exploration project but rather a mature mining
Diagrams	being reported	operation with a wealth of historical underground chip sampling and drillhole intersections which have
0	These should	been collated, captured and digitised. The CPR has the detail diagrams of the sampling datasets for
	include, but not be	the various operations. These include only samples and drinnole intersections.
	limited to a plan	
	view of drillhole	
	appropriate	
	sectional views.	
	Where	
	comprehensive	
	Exploration Results	
	is not practicable,	The various Mineral Resource estimations were conducted by Minxcon and are based upon the
Balancod	representative	information provided by TGME. This Mineral Resource Report contains summary information for all
reporting	reporting of both low	historic sampling and drilling campaigns within the Project Area, as well as new data obtained during
	and high grades	the evaluation drilling conducted at the Theta Project and provides a representative range and mean
	should be practiced	of grades intersected in the datasets.
	to avoid misleading	
	reporting of	
	Exploration Results.	
	Other exploration	various exploration campaigns have been conducted over the years but not all information is available
	and material. should	for the purposes of the Mineral Resource estimation is therefore presented here. TGMF has recently
	be reported	undertaken additional drilling at Columbia Hill (lota), Theta Hill, Browns Hill and lota (Theta Project).
	including (but not	This data has been incorporated in the current Mineral Resource estimate.
	limited to):	
	geological	I GME has completed and is still in the process of completing metallurgical test work and studies for
Other	geophysical survey	completed.
substantive	results;	
exploration	geochemical survey	
data	results; bulk	
	samples – size and	
	treatment:	
	metallurgical test	
	results; bulk density,	
	groundwater,	
	geotechnical and	
	TOOK CHARACLEHSLICS,	

SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS				
Criteria	Explanation			Detail
	potential deleterious or contaminating substances.			
	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large- scale step-out drilling)	Ine properties nave a number of potential exploration targets that may increase the current Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve. These are spread over a number of the project areas and cover lateral extensions, depth extensions as well as compiling and re-interpreting historical datasets. The table below is a summary of the near-term potential exploration targets. The scale of the exploration depends on the available budget and therefore cannot be defined currently. Project Type of Potential Comment Lateral extension is possible to the south which is		
		Rietfontein	extensions	untested as well as at depth below the current historical mining areas
		Beta	Lateral extension	Lateral extension of the main beta "Payshoot"
		CDM	Lateral extension	Lateral extension to the south toward Dukes' Hill South
Further work		Theta	Lateral extension	Lateral extension to the south on both Theta Hill and Browns Hill once 341MR is available. Lateral extension to the west and southwest at lota
		Vaalhoek	Depth extensions and open-pit opportunities	Near surface potential (open pit) exists on the Vaalhoek Reef and Thelma Leaders Reef
		Glynn's Lydenburg	Shallow lateral extensions	The new model has identified new high-grade exploration targets for possible near surface open pit opportunities
		Columbia Hill	Shallow lateral extensions	The new geological interpretation has identified Columbia Hill as a potential open pit target that will be drilled in the near future
		This table exclud	es all the other historical min	es that have not been investigated yet.
	Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	The potential are and budget has r	as for the various mines have to been finalised due to the	e been detailed in the CPR. Detailed exploration strategy unknown available budget.

SECTION 3: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES				
Criteria	Explanation	Detail		
Databas e integrity	Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.	Minxcon reviewed all historical datasets attributed to all the underground projects, as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset except for a small number of chip samples (<1%), which Minxcon subsequently corrected. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling file were found and cross validated to test for data changes or eliminations over the years. Minxcon found that database integrity was maintained over time.		
		The chip sampling data that was captured was also verified on an ad-hoc basis by different personnel as to the personnel that captured the data. Prior to estimation a duplicate check in Datamine Studio RM [™] was carried out on the datasets to eliminate duplicate data point errors, and found that less than 2% of the population included duplicate captured sample points.		
		Minxcon reviewed existing digital drillhole logs and assay sheets for the historical drilling relative to scans of drillhole strip logs and found very good agreement. In cases were errors were encountered, these were corrected and incorporated into a date-stamped database for sign-off prior to submission for Mineral Resource estimation.		
		With regards to the 2017/2019 exploration campaign, assay data integrity was maintained by cross-validating MS Excel [™] .csv assay results files from the laboratory with the .pdf files also provided by the Laboratory. Hard copy geological logs were kept as a means of referral with reference to the geological information captured in the project database.		
	Data validation procedures used.	Minxcon reviewed all historical datasets attributed to all the underground projects, as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset except for a small number of chip samples (<1%), which Minxcon subsequently corrected. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling file were found and cross validated to test for data changes or eliminations over the years. Minxcon found that database integrity was maintained over time.		
		The chip sampling data that was captured was also verified on an ad hoc basis by different personnel as to the personnel that captured the data. Prior to estimation a duplicate check in		

SECTION 3: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES			
Criteria	Explanation	Detail	
		Datamine Studio RM [™] was carried out on the datasets to eliminate duplicate data point errors, and found that less than 2% of the population included duplicate captured sample points.	
		Minxcon reviewed existing digital drillhole logs and assay sheets for the historical drilling relative to scans of drillhole strip logs and found very good agreement. In cases were errors were encountered, these were corrected and incorporated into a date-stamped database for sign-off prior to submission for Mineral Resource estimation.	
		With regards to the 2017/2019 exploration campaign, assay data integrity was maintained by cross-validating MS Excel [™] .csv assay results files from the laboratory with the .pdf files also provided by the Laboratory. Hard copy geological logs were kept as a means of referral with reference to the geological information captured in the project database.	
Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.	Minxcon personnel have consistently visited the gold properties in the Sabie-Pilgrims Rest area since 2007. Mr Uwe Engelmann, who is a Competent Person and who is responsible for the sign- off of the Mineral Resources, undertook a site visit to the Beta Mine on 15 December 2016, as well as on 23 November 2017 and 18 May 2018 to review the current RC and diamond drilling conducted at the Theta Project to inspect the drilling and sampling procedures. During the May visit Mr Engelmann also inspected the tailings storage facilities ("TSFs") and Vaalhoek Rock Dump for possible depletions. An additional site visit by Mr Engelmann was conducted on 10 April 2019 to review the close-out procedures associated with the protracted preceding drilling programme. The most recent site visit by Mr Uwe Engelmann was on 21 January 2020 to investigate the additional waste rock dumps for which the historical data was supplied by Mr Phil Bentley.	
	If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	Not applicable – refer to above.	
		Four types of digital 3D geological models were created in Datamine Studio 3 [™] and Datamine Studio RM [™] for the different types of orebodies within the TGME Projects. The four types of geological models relate to the type of orebodies encountered and include:- • Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models • Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models • Topographical surficial reef models • Topographical TSF models The table below presents each of the four types of geological model and the projects that they were applied to:	
Geologic al interpret ation	Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.		

	SECTION 3	ESTIMATION AND REPORTIN	IG OF MINERAL RESOURCES			
Criteria	Explanation		Detail			
		Geological Model Type	Project Area	Reef		
		Sub-vertical discordant				
		(cross-reet) reet models	Rietfontein	Riettontein		
		(and leader) reef models	Beta (3D)	Beta	-	
			Frankfort (2D)	Theta		
			CDM (2D)	Rho		
			Olifantsgeraamte (2D)	Olifantsgeraamte		
			Vaalbaak (2D)	Vaalhoek		
			Vaainoek (SD)	Thelma Leaders		
			Glynn's Lydenburg (3D	Glynn's		
				Shale Reefs		
				Bevetts		
			Thete Dreiget (Thete Drewne	Upper Rho		
			Hill & lota) (3D)	Lower Theta		
				Lower Theta		
				Beta		
				Rho		
			Compound Hill (3D)	Shale		
				Shale Leaders		
		Topographical surficial reef	Hermansburg	Eluvial		
		models	DG1	Eluvial		
			DG2	Eluvial		
			DG5 Clypp'o Lydonburg	Eluvial	+	
		Iopographical ISF models	Giynn s Lydenburg	i allings	+	
			Blyde 2	Tailings	<u> </u>	
			Blyde 3	Tailings	1	
			Blyde 4	Tailings		
			Blyde 5	Tailings		
			Blyde 3a	Tailings		
			Vaalhoek	Rock Dump		
			South East (DG's), Peach Tree,	Rock Dump (manual)		
			Ponieskrantz and Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump (manual)		
		development plans and histo provided by TGME. Where thi stoping outlines, pillars, chip s available) and survey pegs fro Drillholes, survey pegs and thi reefs for the Theta Project. T Minxcon geologists and are ba drillhole collars. In the case of drillhole collars, were utilised geological wireframe models. Minxcon is of the view that the or relevant Mineral Resource cate Scanned plans were digitised	circal surveyed peg files (honouring is information did not exist, Minxcor ample data, geological mapping an om digital scans of historical mine is ckness modelling were utilised to me he eluvial deposits and TSF model ased upon surveyed contour lines (ir the eluvial deposits, topographical to generate the geological and ge confidence in the geological wireframe gorisation currently utilised in the Mir to generate development strings. Th	a the on-reef development) a digitised the development, d interpretation data (where survey and sampling plans, odel the stacked concordant s were also constructed by a the case of the TSFs) and contours in conjunction with tographical 3D limits to the the sis such that it supports the meral Resource estimate. hese were co-ordinated and	-	
	Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.	repositioned relative to undergr conjunction with limited und conjunction with historical and r open-pit project geological mod	round plans and survey pegs. Geolog erground geological mapping, und new drillholes were used in the genera- lels.	gical plans were also used in derground survey pegs in ation of the underground and		
	The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.	The geological interpretation of the Sabie-Pilgrims Rest Goldfield (as discussed in the geology section) has not been re-interpreted but what Minxcon has undertaken is a process of collating, capturing and digitising the historical datasets (chip samples, drillhole intersections and historical plans into the electronic environment (GIS and Datamine) to assist in re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-estimation of Mineral Resources if there is potential. Due to the quality and volume of drilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017/2019, Minxcon was able to generate a lithological model for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the lithological modelling has played a significant role in the Mineral Reserving process associated with the Theta Project. The surficial or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control.				
		The Mineral Resource estimat geological interpretation in the depth below surface of 440 m n The geological reef wireframe	tion has been restricted to the hard form of faulting and outcrop lines. estricts the depth extension. s for the various underground proi	d boundaries defined in the For Rietfontein, a maximum ects were constructed by a	-	
	The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.	Minxcon geologist and are base (honouring the on-reef develop were then utilised as a closed v Resources. Geological structu purposes of Mineral Resource the Theta Project during 2017/2 time, which assisted greatly in of lithological modelling has played	ed upon mine development plans and opment) provided by TGME. The res- rolume to constrain the volume and sp res were constructed and utilised estimation. Due to the quality and vol 2019, Minxcon was able to generate a correctly identifying and correlating inc ed a significant role in the Mineral Re-	I historical surveyed peg files ultant geological wireframes patial estimate of the Mineral as hard boundaries for the lume of drilling conducted on lithological model for the first dividual reefs. In addition, the eserving process associated		

	SECTION 3	ESTIMATION A	ND REPORTING	OF MINERAL RE	SOURC	ES				
Criteria	Explanation			Detai	l					
		with the Theta Project. The surficial or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed								
		to geological co	ntrol.							
	The factors affecting	The Mineral Re	esource estimation	has been restri	cted to	the ha	rd boui	ndaries	defined	in the
	continuity both of grade and	geological inter	pretation in the fo	rm of faulting an	d outer	on lines	s With	regards	Rietfon	tein a
	deology	maximum denth	below surface of	440 m restricts the	denth	extensi	on	roguruc		
	The extent and variability of	The block mode	l extents for all the	digital project mo	dole ar	e showr	n in the	tahla ha	low The	block
	the Minerel Resource	modele sover el	the structures me	delled		5 5110 WI			iow. The	DIOCK
	ule Willeral Resource	models cover al		delled.						
	expressed as length (along									
	strike or otherwise), plan	Geological			E	Block Siz	e.	В	IOCK MOD	31
	width, and depth below	Model Type	Project Area	Reef	Х	Y	Z	X	Y	Z
	surface to the upper and				(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
	lower limits of the Mineral	Sub-vertical								100
	Resource.	(cross-reef)	Rietfontein	Rietfontein	20	30	30	900	4020	0
		reef models								-
			Beta	Beta	50	50	10	4350	4550	10
			Frankfort	Bevetts	20	20	10	2100	1580	10
			Clewer, Dukes	Rho	50	50	10	3100	7100	10
			Hill & Morgenzon	Olifantananata	20	20	4	000	1000	1
			Ollianisgeraamie	Visalla all	20	20	10	000	1000	10
			Vaalhoek	Vaainoek Tholmo Loodoro	20	20	10	2500	4360	10
				Theima Leaders	20	20	10	2500	4380	10
		Sub-			20	20	5	4000	3000	600
		horizontal	Theta Hill &	Lower Ineta	20	20	5	4000	3000	600
		concordant	Browns Hill	Opper Ineta	20	20	5	4000	3000	600
		(and leader)		Sholes	20	20	5	4000	3000	600
		icer models		Snales	20	20	5	4000	3000	000
				Rho Upper	20	20	1	1140	1600	0
				Pho Lower	20	20	4	1140	1600	182
			lota	Rho Lower	20	20	1	1140	1600	0
				Bevetts	20	20	1	1140	1600	182
										182
Dimensi				Upper Theta	20	20	1	1140	1600	0
ons			Glynn's	Glypp's	20	20	10	7840	7440	10
			Lydenburg	Giyilli S	20	20	10	7040	7440	10
			Hermansburg	Eluvial	20	20	3	240	360	87
			DG1	Eluvial	20	20	3	292	432	103
			DG2	Eluvial	20	20	3	58	560	213
		Tananakiaa	DG5	Eluvial	20	20	3	623	355	89
		l surficial reef	South East	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		models	Peach Tree	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Ponieskrantz	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			South East	Rock Dump						
			(DG's)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Glynn's	Tailings	25	25	3	360	485	19
			Lydenburg Blude 1	Teilinge	25	25	2	240	260	20
			Blyde I Blyde 2	Tailings	25	20	3	340	200	20
			Blyde 2	Tailings	25	25	3	156	1/2	20
		Topographica	Blyde 3	Tailings	25	25	3	155	190	23
		I TSF models	Blyde 4	Tailings	25	25	3	130	145	12
			Blyde 5	Tailings	25	25	3	95	60	12
			Blyde 3a	l ailings	25	25	3	120	135	7
			TGME Plant	Tailings	10	10	1.5	720	450	51
			Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	10	10	1	280	300	40
		Block Plans	Ponieskrantz*	Portuguese	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		and/ or Block	⊢rankfort Theta*	Iheta	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Lisungs	Nestor*	Sandstone	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Note: * These	historical mines ha	ave not been con	verted y	et and	are stil	i manua	al ore res	ource
		block lists.								
	The nature and	Estimations wer	e carried out utilisi	ng Ordinary Krigin	g for the	e latest e	estimati	ons, witł	h the exc	eption
	appropriateness of the	of the TGME PI	ant tailings where	Inverse distance	squared	l was se	een as	most ap	propriate	e. The
	estimation technique(s)	table shows the	different estimati	ons techniques pe	er proje	ct and t	he nun	nber of	domains	used.
	applied and key	Domains were b	based on data typ	e available and st	ructural	bounda	aries. T	he sear	ch parar	neters
	assumptions, including	informed by the	e variography for	the various areas	are pr	esented	d in the	e table b	oelow wi	th the
	treatment of extreme grade	minimum and m	aximum number o	f samples used in	the est	imation.				
Estimati	values, domaining.									
on and	interpolation parameters and	Project Area	Boof	Vgram Ra	nge	Est no	Sample	s -	vpo Eoti-	ation
modellin	maximum distance of	Project Area	Reet	Min	Max	Min	Ma	ax I	ype Estin	
a	extrapolation from data	Rietfontein	Rietfontein	40	120		5	15 Ord	dinary Krig	ing
9 techniqu	points. If a computer assisted	Beta Frankfort	Bevette	40	297		3 2	20 Oro	unary Krig	ing
es	estimation method was	CDM	Rho	383	583	1	0 :	25 Ord	dinary Kric	ing
62	chosen include a description	Olifantsgeraam	te Olifantsgeraam	te	0.00			0rc	dinary Kric	ing
	of computer software and	Vaalbook	Vaalhoek	68.9	174.8		4 3	20 <u>O</u> ro	dinary Krig	jing
	noramotors used	vadiiiOeK	Thelma Leader	s 86.7	96.5		4 2	20 Oro	dinary Krig	ing
	parameters used.		Beta	90.3	90.3		3	15 Ord	dinary Krig	ing
		Theta & Browns	Unner Theta	99.7	99.7 10.4		3	15 Ord	dinary Krig	ing
		Hill	Bevetts	89.5	89.5		3	15 Ord	dinary Kric	ing
			Shale	79.6	79.6		3	15 Ord	dinary Kric	ing

	SECTION 3:	ESTIMATION AND	REPORTING OF I	MINERAL F	RESOURC	ES		
Criteria	Explanation			Det	ail			
			Upper Theta	72	72	3	15	Ordinary Kriging
		lota	Upper Rho	126.9	126.9	3	15	Ordinary Kriging
		lota	Bevetts	72.2	72.2	2	10	Ordinary Kriging
			Shale	72.2	72.2	3	15	Ordinary Kriging
		Glynn's	Glynn's	75	188 5	3	30	Ordinan/ Kriging
		Hermansburg	Eluvial	25.8	25.8	12	40	Ordinary Kriging
		DG1	Eluvial	264	264	1	20	Simple Kriging
		DG2	Eluvial	24.7	24.7	4	40	Ordinary Kriging
		DG5 Glynn's	Eluvial	264	264	1	20	Simple Kriging
		Lydenburg	Tailings	92.3	195.8	4	40	Ordinary Kriging
		Blyde 1	Tailings	31.8	31.8	4	40	Ordinary Kriging
		Blyde 2	Tailings	30.1	30.1	4	40	Ordinary Kriging
		Blyde 3	Tailings	30.7	30.7	4	40	Ordinary Kriging
		Blyde 5	Tailings	7.1	7.1	4	40	Ordinary Kriging
		Blyde 3a	Tailings	31.6	31.6	4	40	Ordinary Kriging
		TGME Plant	Tailings	120	120	2	10	Squared
		Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	18.2	32.9	2	40	Ordinary Kriging
		South East	Rock Dump					Manual/Historic
		(DG's) Reach Tree	Pock Dump					Manual/Historic
		Ponieskrantz	Rock Dump					Manual/Historic
		Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump					Manual/Historic
		Ponieskrantz*	Portuguese					Manual/Historic
		Franktort Theta*	I heta Sandstone					Manual/Historic
		Note: * These histo	rical mines have no	t been con	verted vet a	nd are sti	Imanua	Manual/Historic
		hlock lists					, manac	
		brook note.						
		The Mineral Resou	rce was then deplet	ed with the i	minina voic	ls. The est	imation	techniques applied
		are considered an	propriate Datamine	Studio™ \	was utiliser	d for the s	tatistics	a neostatistics and
		block model estima	ition					, geostatistics and
	The availability of check	block model estime						
	estimates previous						Listeri	- Estimate Available
	estimates and/or mine	Proje	ct Area	Re	eef		HISTORI	
	production records and					N		res/no
	whether the Mineral	Rietfontein		Rietfonte	IN	Yes		
	Resource estimate takes	Beta		Beta		Yes		
	appropriate account of such	Frankfort		Bevetts		Yes		
	data.	Clewer, Dukes H	lill & Morgenzon	Rho		No – no	ot a com	bined resource
	uuu	Olifantsgeraamte	;	Olifantsg	eraamte	Yes		
		Vaalbaak		Vaalhoek	(No – no	ot a com	plete electronic resour
		vaainoek		Thelma L	eaders	No – no	ot a com	plete electronic resour
		Glynn's Lydenbu	rq	Glynn's		No – no	ot a com	plete electronic resour
			5	Beta		No		1
				Lower Th	neta	No		
		Theta & Browns	Hill	Linner Th	neta	No		
		Theta & Drowns		Boyotte	icia	No		
				Chala		No		
				Shale		No		
				Upper In	neta	No		
		lota		Lower R	10	No		
				Upper RI	סו	No		
				Bevetts		No		
		Hermansburg		Eluvial		Yes		
		DG1		Eluvial		Yes		
		DG2		Eluvial		Yes		
		DG5		Eluvial		Yes		
		Glynn's Lydenbu	rq	Tailings		Yes		
		Blvde 1	0	Tailings		Yes		
		Blyde 2		Tailings		Yes		
		Blyde 2		Tailings		Voc		
		Blyde 3		Tailings		Vee		
				Tailings		res		
		Blyde 5		Tailings		Yes		
		Blyde 3a		Tailings		Yes		
		TGME Plant		Tailings		No – no	ot from o	drill sampling
		Vaalhoek		Rock Du	mp	Yes		
		South East (DG's	s)	Rock Du	mp	Yes		
		Peach Tree		Rock Du	mp	Yes		
		Ponieskrantz		Rock Du	mp	Yes		
		Dukes Clewer		Rock Du	mp	Yes		
		Ponieskrantz*		Portuque	se	No		
		Frankfort Theta*		Theta		No		
		Nestor*		Sandstor	ne	No		
		Note: * These hist	orical mines have	not been co	onverted v	et and are	still m	anual ore resource
		block lists.			, <u> </u>			

	SECTION 3	ESTIMATIO	N AND REPO	RTING OF MIN	ERAL	RESO	JRCE	S			
Criteria	Explanation				De	etail					
	The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-	No investig between pyr	No investigation has been conducted with regards secondary mineralisation or correlation between pyrite and gold.								
	products.										
	Estimation of deleterious	No estimate	es pertaining	to deleterious	elemer	nts or (other	non-grad	e variabl	es of e	conomic
	elements or other non-grade	significance	(e.g. sulphur f	or acid mine dra	ainage	charac	terisat	ion) have	been cor	nducted.	
	variables of economic										
	significance (e.g. sulphur for										
	acid mine drainage										
	In the case of block model										
	interpolation the block size										Sample
	in relation to the average	Geological Model Type	Project Area	Reef	x	Block Si Y	ize Z	Bloc	k Model Din Y	nension Z	Spacing
	search employed.	Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models	Rietfontein	Rietfontein	20	30	30	900	4020	1080	3-5 m
			Beta	Beta	50	50	10	4350	4550	10	3-5 m
			Frankfort	Bevetts	20	20	10	2100	1580	10	3-5 m
			Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon	Rho	50	50	10	3100	7100	10	3-5 m
			Olifantsgeraa mte	Olifantsgeraamt e	20	20	1	800	1000	1	3-5 m
		Sub-	Vaalhoek	Vaalhoek Thelma Leaders	20 20	20 20	10 10	2500 2500	4380 4380	10 10	3-5 m 3-5 m
		horizontal	Glynn's	Glvnn's	20	20	10	7840	7440	10	3-5 m
		(and leader)	Lydenburg	Beta		20		4000	2000	600	3 100
		reef models		Lower Theta	20	20	5	4000	3000	600	3-100 m 3-100 m
			Theta Hill &	Upper Theta	20	20	5	4000	3000	600	50-100 m
			Browns Hill	Bevetts	20	20	5	4000	3000	600	50-100 m
				Shales	20	20	5	4000	3000	600	50-100 m
				Rho Upper	20	20	1	1140	1600	1820	3-75 m
			lota	Rho Lower	20	20	1	1140	1600	1820	50-100 m
				Bevetts	20	20	1	1140	1600	1820	50-100 m
			Hermansburg	Upper Theta Fluvial	20	20	1	240	1600 360	1820	50-100 m 25 m
		Topographic	DG1	Eluvial	20	20	3	240	432	103	25 m
		al surficial	DG2	Eluvial	20	20	3	58	560	213	25 m
		reet models	DG5	Eluvial	20	20	3	623	355	89	25 m
			Glynn's Lydenburg	Tailings	25	25	3	360	485	19	25 m
			Blyde 1	Tailings	25	25	3	340	260	20	25 m
			Blyde 2	Tailings	25	25	3	156	172	20	25 m
			Blyde 3	l allings	25	25	3	155	190	23	25 m
			Blyde 5	Tailings	25	25	3	130	60	12	25 m
			Blyde 3a	Tailings	25	25	3	120	135	7	25 m
		al TSF	TGME Plant	Tailings	10	10	1.5	720	450	51	50 m
		models	Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	10	10	1	280	300	40	25 m
			South East	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			(DG's) Peach Tree	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	A N/	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Ponieskrantz	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	A N/	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		Dia ta Pi	Ponieskrantz*	Portuguese	N/A	N/A	N/	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		and/ or Block	Frankfort Theta*	Theta	N/A	N/A	N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		Listings	Nestor*	Sandstone	N/A	N/A	N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		Note: * The	se historical r	nines have not	been o	converte	ed yet	and are	still man	ual ore r	resource
	Any commission behind	block lists. The Block M above table interpretatio	lodels produce . Final estima n.	ed in Datamine ted models we	Studio re proj	RM™ (jected t	consis o the	ting of a reef plar	cell sizes based o	as show on the s	n in the tructural
	modelling of selective mining units.	NO assumpt	ions were mad	e in terms of sel	ective	mining		nun respe		en size s	electea.
Estimati	Any assumptions about correlation between	Grade (Au g found during	/t) and reef wi the statistical	dth were estima I analysis, howe	ated - r ever a c	no corre cm.g/t v	alue w	between /as calcu	thickness lated on a	s and gra a post es	ade was timation
on and modellin	Description of how the	The Minoral	Resource esti	mation has been	n rostri	ctad to t	the he	rd hound	arios onor	mnaeee	d by the
g techniau	geological interpretation was used to control the resource	geological w	vireframes.	malion has deel	rrestfi		ше па	i u DOUNDA	anes enco	mpasse	a by the
es	estimates.										
(continu	Discussion of basis for using	The data se	ets were cap	ed per domain	and t	the follo	owina	table inc	licates th	e minim	um and
ed)	or not using grade cutting or	maximum ca	apping of the u	pper limits of th	e data	sets. N	linxco	n utilised	'Cumulati	ve Coeff	ficient of
	oapping.		0.0 10 assist W	iai are capping.	11661	widuis I	were (apped in	and squitt		

	SECTION 3	: ESTIMATION AND RE	PORTING OF MIN	ERAL RESOURC	ES		
Criteria	Explanation			Detail			
		anomalies in the samp	ling thickness and	generally occur be	tween the	95 th to th	e 99 th percentile.
		CAE Studio RM™ was	utilised for the stati	stics, geostatistics	and block ı	model est	imation. Capping
		ranges as depicted in th	ne table below repre	esent capping rang	e for the va	arious dor	nains per project.
		These are broken up in	detail in the CPR.				
		Geological Model			Сарр	oing	Number of
		Type	Project Area	Reef	RW	Au	Estimation
		Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef)	Rietfontein	Rietfontein	(cm) 236	(g/t) 123.5	2.262
		reef models '	Beta	Beta	170.0	300	4,566
			Frankfort	Bevetts	200- 281	46.6- 57.5	4,114
			Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon	Rho	50	314.5	24,693
			Olifantsgeraamte	Olifantsgeraamte	142	147.3	316
			<u> </u>	Vaalhoek	335.3	411.4	16,652
			Vaalhoek	Thelma Leaders	54 -78	137- 304	901
		Sub-horizontal	Glynn's	Glynn's	105-	100-	29,444
		leader) reef models	Lydenburg	Data	281	134	1 670
		,		Lower Theta	176	14.0	1,073
			Theta Hill &	Lower Theta	170	63.4	5,009
			Browns Hill	Bevetts	N/A	14.0	140
				Shale	N/A	4.9	59
				Upper Theta	N/A	9.1	39
				Lower Rho	N/A	23.0	680
			lota	Upper Rho	N/A	212.0	208
				Bevetts	N/A	19.4	26
			Hermansburg	Eluvial	N/A	67.1	1,076
		Topographical surficial	DG1	Eluvial	N/A	4.0	784
		reef models	DG2	Eluvial	N/A	17.3	234
			DG5	Eluvial	N/A	4.0	Included in DG1
			Glynn's Lydenburg	Tailings	N/A	1.8	793
			Blyde 1	Tailings	N/A	2.2	288
			Blyde 2	Tailings	N/A	2.1	176
			Blyde 3	Tailings	N/A	1.0	179
			Blyde 4	Tailings	N/A	0.9	104
		Topographical TSF models	Blyde 5	Tallings	N/A	1.0	40
			TCME Plant	Tailings	N/A	0.9	21
			Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	N/A	4.1 -	80
			South East (DG's)	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Peach Tree	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Ponieskrantz	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Ponieskrantz*	Portuguese	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Block Plans and/ or Block Listings	Frankfort Theta*	Theta	N/A	N/A	N/A
		3	Nestor*	Sandstone	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Note: * These historic	al mines have not	been converted y	et and are	still mar	ual ore resource
		block lists.					
	The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drillhole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	Swath analysis of the c directions in order to ch values. Swath analysis between the estimate (eluvials & TSFs and c between drillholes or s mean sampled value w	urrent estimated pr leck correlations be shows a good cor and the average Olifantsgeraamte) ampling points an as compared to the	ojects were condu- stween the block m rrelation with the s value of a block v were reviewed vis d the final block m e mean estimated	cted in the nodelled gra ample grad was invest ually to er nodels. In value of the	east-wes ades and de. In ad- igated. H nsure sim addition, e block m	t and north-south the raw sampled dition, correlation listoric estimates ilar grade trends for the TSFs the nodels.
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	The density is based of	n a dry rock mass.				
Cut-off paramet ers	The basis of the adopted cut- off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	The Mineral Resource Resources and tailings The following paramete MCF, dilution, discount USD1,497/oz, is the 90	has been split in dams. ers were used for t rate, plant recove th percentile of the	to underground M the declaration and ry factor, mining ce historical real terr	lineral Res d pay limit ost total pla n commod	sources, calculatio ant cost. ity prices	open pit Mineral on: Gold price, % The gold price of since 1980.

	SECTION 3	: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF	MINERAL RESOURCES	
Criteria	Explanation		Detail	
		Description	Unit	Value
		Gold Price	USD/oz	1,500
		% MCF	%	90%
		Dilution	%	0%
		Plant Recovery Factor	%	90%
		Mining Costs		522
		Total Plant Cost		472
		Total Cost	ZAR	994
		101010031		504
		For the open pit Mineral Resource cu	t-off, the following parameters w	ere used.
		Description	Unit	Value
		Cold Price		Value
			03D/02	
		78 MCI	/0	
		Plant Recovery Eactor	×	
		Mining Costs	70 74R/t	
		Total Plant Cost	ZAR/t 7AP/t	
		Total Plant Cost	ZAR/I	
		For the tailings Mineral Resource cut- recovery factor which was 50% and the discount. The resultant cut-offs were 160 cm.g/ g/t for the Theta Project (economic c	off, the parameters were the sam ne total mining and processing c t for the underground (pay limit c ut-off calculation) for the open p	e as above except the plant ost of ZAR135/t with a 10% alculation); 0.5 g/t and 0.35 t (with in the pit shell using
	A	Datamine Maxipit software) and 0.35	g/t for the tailings dam and rock d	umps (pay limit calculation).
Mining factors or assumpti ons	Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	A minimum stoping width of 90 cm w than 70 cm, dilution was increased a adding 20 cm dilution to the Mineral F Mineral Resources, nor the TSF Mine where narrow reefs (<100 cm reef thic achieved in the RC drilling programm	as assumed. Where reef width (ccordingly. Elsewhere, the stopi Resource Estimation. No dilution rral Resources, with the exceptio kness) were diluted to 100 cm di e being at 1 m intervals.	(or channel width) was less ng width was calculated by was applied to the open pit on of the new Theta Project ue to the drilling sample run
	The basis for assumptions or	For the Mineral Resource cut-off pur	pose, an average plant recover	y of 90% was used for the
	predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining	various reefs for the underground pay the use of CIL and BIOX as part o achievements.	limit calculation. This is an optir f the processing flow and is in	nistic view and is based on line with current industry
Metallur gical factors	reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding	However, in February 2018, TGME of Section, of the Vaalhoek Mine, to de resources. They took four samples wit bottle roll test work. The four bottle 91.04%, 96.16% and 94.48%.	conducted sampling at the histo etermine the possible recoveries h the results averaging a 92 % th roll results supplied to Minxcon	rical workings at the Neck s for the potential open pit neoretical recovery from the n are as follows:- 86.34%,
assumpti ons	metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always	These samples were milled to a P80 period of 24 hours. The Vaalhoek Re Thelma Leader returned an average g	of 80 microns and then subjec eef returned an average gold re old recovery of 93.6%.	ted to bottle roll tests for a ecovery of 90.4% while the
	be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical	This recovery of 92% was therefore ut off for the open pit resource i.e. more conducted currently, for the feasibility	ilised in the cut-off parameters fo oxidised material. The recent m study, is returning similar recove	r the Mineral Resource cut- letallurgical test work being iries.
	assumptions made.	A plant recovery of 50% was assumed industry achievements.	for the tailings Mineral Resource	which is in line with current
Environ mental factors or assumpti ons	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for	No environmental factors or assumpt	ons were applied to this Mineral	Resource estimation.

	SECTION 3	ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
	eventual economic	
	extraction to consider the	
	imposts of the mining and	
	processing operation While	
	at this stage the	
	determination of potential	
	environmental impacts,	
	particularly for a greenfields	
	project, may not always be	
	well advanced, the status of	
	early consideration of these	
	impacts should be reported	
	Where these aspects have	
	not been considered this	
	should be reported with an	
	explanation of the	
	environmental assumptions	
	made.	
	Whether assumed or	No historical bulk density measurement data is available besides a tabulated summary table
	basis for the assumptions. If	indicating instoncally applied defisities for the various in situ reels. However, built defisity tests
	determined, the method	density tests were however limited due to the poor core recovery achieved in the 2017/2019
	used, whether wet or dry, the	diamond drilling programme. A density of 3.6 g/cm ³ was used for the calculation of in situ
	frequency of the	underground and open pit hard rock ore tonnes, in line with the value used in previous
	measurements, the nature,	declarations. A density of 2.84 g/cm ³ , which is the average density of dolomite, was used for the
	size and representativeness	waste or dilution tonnes. The Rietfontein estimate uses a 2.9 t/m ³ based on historical assumptions
	of the samples.	and estimates.
		The Thete Design to use a bulk density of 0.75 t/m ³ for the petimetics in success where there we
		The Theta Project uses a burk density of 2.75 (m ⁻ for the estimation in areas where there was new drilling data. The historical 3.6 t/m ³ for reaf and 2.84 t/m ³ for the dolomites were still used in
		the historical areas as there was no new data. In these areas the diluted reef density is in the
		region of 3.1 t/m ³ . The 2.75 t/m ³ is based on the field testing of the core samples only as the RC
		chips could not be used due to the weathered nature and fine material in the samples. 156 density
		readings were taken on the available reef core of which 27 were not reliable due to high clay
		(WAD) content and fine material. For the 129 representative core samples the density was 2.69
		t/m ³ and for the solid core (53 samples) it was 2.78 t/m ³ . Therefore, a density of 2.75 t/m ³ was
		utilised. More work is required on the density with further drilling campaigns to obtain more
		readings and a higher level of confidence in the density. The density is one of the reasons that
		the Mineral Resource categories in the Theta Project are only indicated and interfed with no Measured Mineral Resources. Densities were determined utilising the Archimedes principle
		measured mineral resources. Densities were determined utilising the Archimedes principle.
		Bulk density for the eluvial deposits was assumed at 2.3 t/m ³ based on typical unconsolidated
		material densities.
		Minxcon used an SG of 1.4 t/m ³ for the modelling of all of the historical TSFs, with the exception
Bulk		of the TGME Plant TSF, where SG measurements were conducted utilising the "pipe method".
density		The SG for this TSF was calculated at 1.54 t/m ³ from a total of 40 samples taken at various
		TSF
	The bulk density for bulk	The pipe method (as utilised on the TGME Plant TSF) of measuring bulk density is utilised on soft
	material must have been	sediments and is conducted in such a manner as to ensure that little to no compaction of the
	measured by methods that	material within the pipe occurs. This serves to preserve the inherent sediment porosity.
	adequately account for void	
	spaces (vugs, porosity, etc.),	
	moisture and differences	
	zones within the deposit	
		No historical bulk density measurement data is available besides a tabulated summary table
		indicating historically applied densities for the various in situ reefs. However, bulk density tests
		have been carried out for the Theta Project reefs host lithologies. Reef samples suitable for bulk
		density tests were however limited due to the poor core recovery achieved in the 2017/2019
		diamond drilling programme. A density of 3.6 g/cm3 was used for the calculation of in situ
		underground and open pit hard rock ore tonnes, in line with the value used in previous
		declarations. A density of 2.84 g/cm3, which is the average density of dolomite, was used for the
	Discuss assumptions for bulk	waste or allution tonnes. The Riettontein estimate uses a 2.9 t/m3 based on historical assumptions
	evaluation process of the	anu esumates.
	different materials.	The Theta Project uses a bulk density of 2.75 t/m3 for the estimation in areas where there was
		new drilling data. The historical 3.6 t/m3 for reef and 2.84 t/m3 for the dolomites were still used in
		the historical areas as there was no new data. In these areas the diluted reef density is in the
		region of 3.1 t/m3. The 2.75 t/m3 is based on the field testing of the core samples only as the RC
		chips could not be used due to the weathered nature and fine material in the samples. 156 density
		readings were taken on the available reef core of which 27 were not reliable due to high clay
		(VVAD) content and time material. For the 129 representative core samples the density was 2.69
		who and for the solid core (55 samples) it was 2.76 t/m3. Therefore, a density of 2.75 t/m3 was

	SECTION 3	ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
		utilised. More work is required on the density with further drilling campaigns to obtain more readings and a higher level of confidence in the density. The density is one of the reasons that the Mineral Resource categories in the Theta Project are only Indicated and Inferred with no Measured Mineral Resources. Densities were determined utilising the Archimedes principle.
		Bulk density for the eluvial deposits was assumed at 2.3 t/m ³ based on typical unconsolidated material densities.
		Minxcon used an SG of 1.4 t/m ³ for the modelling of all of the historical TSFs, with the exception of the TGME Plant TSF, where SG measurements were conducted utilising the "pipe method". The SG for this TSF was calculated at 1.54 t/m ³ from a total of 40 samples taken at various locations all over the TSF. In Minxcon's view this SG may be considered to representative for this TSF.
Classific	The basis for the classification of the Mineral	The Mineral Resource classification for the all the block models is based on a positive kriging efficiency, calculated variogram ranges and number of samples informing the estimation. Where confidence in the historical sampling values or position were low the classification was downgraded to Inferred Mineral Resource.
ation	Resources into varying confidence categories.	At the Theta Project, the highest Mineral Resource classification applied was Indicated (regardless of data spacing: 1) Historical nature associated with the chip sampling dataset, stretch values and block values and around the historical drillholes. 2) The low availability of detailed bulk density data 3) the low volume of diamond drilling conducted at the Project.
	Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e. relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and	Mineral Resources were only classified as Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources in the vast majority of cases due to the age and spacing of the data utilised. Measured Mineral Resources were only identified on a small portion of Frankfort due to the recent nature of some areas of the channel chip sampling data. Minxcon utilised a combination of variogram ranges, spread in confidence limits and minimum number of samples to be utilised in the estimate, in conjunction with geological continuity to assign Mineral Resource categories.
	metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).	At the Theta Project, the highest Mineral Resource classification applied was Indicated (regardless of data spacing: 1) Historical nature associated with the chip sampling dataset, stretch values and block values and around the historical drillholes. 2) The low availability of detailed bulk density data 3) the low volume of diamond drilling conducted at the Project.
		The additional rock dumps (South East (DG's), Peach Tree, Ponieskrantz and Dukes Clewer) have all been classified as Inferred Mineral Resources due to the historical nature of the database. A bulk sampling programme would have to be undertaken to confirm the Mineral Resource in order for them to be converted to an Indicated Mineral Resource.
	Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	It is the Competent Person's opinion the Mineral Resource estimation conducted by Minxcon is appropriate and presents a reasonable result in line with accepted industrial practices.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	Minxcon, as well as the Competent Person, conducted internal reviews of the Mineral Resource estimate, geological modelling and the data transformations from 2D to 3D.
	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of	Upon completion of the estimations, the older block models were visually checked with regards to the drillholes and sample points to the estimated values. Swath plot analysis was carried out on the newly estimated block models, comparing the chip samples and drillholes in a particular swath to the estimation block model also falling within the same swath. The swath plots produce a good correlation with regards the estimation and the data in both the north-south plots and the east-west plots. The Competent Person deems the Mineral Resource estimate for the current estimated projects. The estimation conducted at the Theta Project underwent similar swath and visual checks as the historical Mineral Resource block model estimates.
Discussi on of relative accuracy / confiden ce	statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.	The Competent Person deems the Mineral Resource estimate for the Current Estimated Projects to reflect the relative accuracy relative to the Mineral Resource categories as required by the Code for the purposes of declaration and is of the opinion that the methodologies employed in the Mineral Resource estimation, based upon the data received may be considered appropriate.
	The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. These statements of relative	Regional accuracy is considered acceptable as evidenced by the swath plots, and direct sample point versus block model checks have ensured acceptable local accuracy with regards the estimated Projects.
	accuracy and confidence of	this point as the project is still in the exploration phase. Accurate historical production figures are

	SECTION 3: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES						
Criteria	Explanation	Detail					
	the estimate should be	not readily available. At the Theta Project, a DFS has been completed with no accurate production					
	compared with production	data being available from the historical workings for the various reefs. Production has not					
	data, where available.	commenced, thus "ground-truthing" at this point is not possible. Also, proposed open pit mining					
		methods are not aligned to the historical underground mining methods employed.					

	SECTIO	N 4: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
Mineral Resour ce estimat e for	Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve.	Ore Reserves and mining were only investigated for the Theta Project (Theta Hill, Browns Hill and lota Pit). The Ore Reserve estimation utilises the same Mineral Resource model used for Mineral Resource classification the Theta Project. These Mineral Resource models exclude grade cut-offs and geological losses. The conversion to Ore Reserves includes an Ore Reserve grade cut-off determined during the pit optimisation process with the relevant geological losses applied as part of the conversion factors.
convers ion to Ore Reserv es	Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.	The Mineral Resources are state inclusive of the Ore Reserves.
Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.	 The Competent Person Mr D. van Heerden conducted two separated site visits on January 2019 and March 2019. This was to become familiar with project location and state of the land. From this site visit, an understanding of the potential layouts of the pits, infrastructure and infrastructure routes was gained, as well as a general understanding of the practical design consideration. The second site visit was done along with potential contractors. The purpose of the site visit was to familiarise the potential contractors with the environment and rock characteristics to get an understanding of the Theta Project and to produce an accurate mining estimation quote for the Theta Project. Engineering and Infrastructure – Site visit conducted to evaluate topography, site layout and available infrastructure. Information and knowledge gained during site visit utilised in the placement of critical infrastructure. Visit to the processing facilities were completed by Mets (responsible for plant design and metallurgy) in July 2018. The site visits were completed by processing, structural, civil and electrical experts. The purpose was to complete detailed assessments of existing infrastructure and determine the refurbishment and upgrade requirements for the new TGME plant. Tailex (responsible for tailings dam design and detail) representatives visited the site on several occasions. Sufficient information was gathered in order to calculate the available TSF capacity. The TSF has good overall slope stability. Some of the existing infrastructure will need to be evaluate the available TSF
	If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	Site visits have taken place, as described above.
	The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves.	The Theta Project did execute exploration activities complaint to a FS. Indicated and Inferred resources were classified.
Study status	The Code requires that a study to at least Prefeasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered.	A life of mine plan to a feasibility level of detail was the basis of the reserve classification. The mine plan takes into consideration all relevant modifying factors, productivities. A financial valuation was conducted on the life of mine plan and was financially viable.
Cut-off parame ters	The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	In e cut-on parameters was determined by completing a pit optimisation. The pit optimisation determines a range of economically viable pits from the pit optimisation inputs. A separate pit selection process followed where an economically viable pit shell was selected to be used as a template for mine design. The cut-off for the pit optimisation results determined in the optimisation software is 0.42 g/t.
		to determine the processing cut-off grade of 0.4 g/t which is applied as the Ore Reserve cut- off.

	SECTIO	N 4: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
	The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre- Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design).	The Mineral Resources in the life of mine plan ("RIMP") was reported from the mineral resource models at the resource cut-off. The RIMP is reported before any geological losses. The tonnage and content is then then reduced by applying the geological losses as defined in the Mineral Resource estimation. This results in a 50% Mineral Resource depleted from the 83 MR Mineral Resource. Only the Indicated ore at the reserve cut-off can be converted to Ore Reserves and the Inferred ore have to be removed for economic analysis and inclusion in the Ore Reserve. The Mineral Resources to Reserve conversion is calculated before any other factors are applied to ensure it can be compared to the Mineral Resources, the Ore Reserve conversion for 83MR is 47%. The Resource to Reserve conversion requires application of appropriate factors which would account for any changes to the mineral resources in the life of mine plan as a result of mining the ore. As part of the technical studies the potential oreloss and dilution to the resources was determined and applied to the resources available for conversion to reserves. The oreloss reduces the tonnage and content, while the dilution would add additional tonnage with no gold content reducing the ore.
Mining factors or assump tions	The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc. The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (e.g. pit slopes, stope sizes, etc.), grade control and pre-	 The mining method selected for this Project is modified terrace mining and is suited to the mountainous profile of the current topography. The orebodies are considered stratified and on an inclined mountain. The steeply dipping nature of the mountain and relatively small scale of the operation eliminated the use of draglines and conventional strip mining. To overcome the steeply dipping orientation, the ore will be extracted on a flat surface whereby all the ore are extracted on the horizontal plane via ripping, loading and hauling. A combined overall slope angle of 40° was selected to accommodate all the rock type in the Theta Project. The selected slope angle is well in the range of the recommended slope angles.
	production drilling. The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate). The mining dilution	Geological Losses applied to Indicated Mineral Resources are 5.00%, as applied in the Mineral Resource estimation. Geological Losses applied to Inferred Mineral Resources are 10.00%, as applied in the Mineral Resource estimation. The contamination is calculated as 10 cm on the floor of the reef and 10 cm in the top of the
	factors used. The mining recovery factors used.	reef for operating pits. An overall extraction of 100% was utilised as a MCF as all the gold will be accounted for.
	Any minimum mining widths used.	No minimum mining widths was used in the design of the Theta Project as the ripping of the dozers can rip the minimum orebody widths.
	The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion.	A portion of the life of mine ("LoM") design and schedule was prepared within Inferred Mineral Resources that cannot be included as Ore Reserves. The Inferred Mineral Resources in the Theta Project contains 8.35% of the total 2,520 kt Mineral Resource which amounts to 211 kt.
	The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.	 Infrastructure for the selected mining method includes:- Mining contractor site – Earth Moving Vehicle workshops, stores, offices, changing facilities, fuel storage facility, wash bay and contractor's site power and water supply; Haul roads; Waste rock dumps; Strategic ore stockpile; RoM stockpile; Clean water diversion trenches; Dirty water collection trenches; Pollution control dams; Pit dewatering system; Site water management system; and Low level river crossing.
Metallur gical factors	The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation.	 The TGME Plant has an overall design capacity of approximately 42 ktpm and will consist of conventional free-milling gold leaching technologies that is appropriate to the oxide mineralisation. The plant will achieve recoveries of between 86.5% and 95.3% depending on the ore type. 50% of the plant tailings slurry material will filtered with the filter cake used for TSF buttress walls. The other 50% of the tailings slurry will be deposited by means of conventional cycloning onto the TSF.
or assump tions	Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature.	Metallurgical testwork was used as the basis for the plant design and flowsheet selection. The processing methodology and equipment for the treatment of the oxide ore body is well established and understood.
	The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work	Samples for metallurgical testwork were obtained from recent RC drilling. The samples were collected from envisaged mining areas.

	SECTIO	N 4: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
	undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied.	
	Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements.	A cyanide destruction circuit was included in the plant design which will ensure that the weak acid dissociable ("WAD") cyanide concentration in the tailings fraction that will be pumped to the TSF does not exceed the stipulated maximum level of 50 ppm.
	The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole.	No bulk sampling was completed. Samples for the metallurgical testwork where obtained from RC drilling.
	For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?	Specifications are not applicable. The product will be sold as gold Doré to Rand Refinery with payability calculated based on the final gold content.
Environ mental	The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.	Owing to topography and the environmentally sensitive nature of the Theta Project Area a number of locations have been considered for the placement of waste rock dumps ("WRDs") for the open pit mining operation. The Theta Project Area has been sub-divided into two main areas. The first being the Browns Hill and Theta Hill area and the second the lota area. Two WRD locations has been considered for each of these areas. All options have been designed in CAD mine design software and a preferred option chosen from a mining and engineering perspective.
Infrastr ucture	The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	 The Theta Project Area is well established. Access roads are available and in a serviceable condition. Power supply is available on site and with some expansion / upgrades on the power supply system power supply capacity to the project will be sufficient. Based on a total project static water balance (includes – mine, process plant and TSF) the project will be water positive during the wet season (October – March) and water negative during the dry months. Allowance has been made for the treatment of excess water as well as for a pumping system to supply any short falls of water. Additional make up water will be sourced from the Blyde River. Additional make up water sourced from the Blyde River as stipulated in the existing water use licence ("WUL"). Gold will be transported from site to Rand Refineries via helicopter. Allowance has been made for the construction of a Helistop on site for this purpose. The Theta project is located in an area of Mpumalanga which has long been associated with mining. Skilled labour can be sourced from nearby towns such as Lydenburg, Nelspruit and Steelpoort. Towns such as Lydenburg, Graskop and Sabie are well developed with facilities such as hospitals, police stations, schools and churches. These towns are located within 57 km of the Theta project and can thus provide accommodation to employees of the project
Costs	The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study. The methodology used to estimate operating costs. Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements.	Capital costs where estimated from first principles and engineering designs. Bills of quantities were utilised to obtain quotations for the capital cost estimation. The project capital has a base date of April 2019 and an exchange rate of ZAR/USD 14.50 were utilised where applicable. The mining operating costs are sourced form budget quotes received from reputable contactors. The overhead owner's cost were provided by TGME. The plant operating costs were completed from first principles with consumable supplier quotes utilised were necessary. Allowance has been for the costs associated with removal of deleterious elements (WAD cyanide) prior to deposition onto the TSF.

	SECTIO	N 4: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
	The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal minerals and co- products.	The price forecasts are based on forecasts from Consensus Economics which considers various brokers and analyst forecasts; the long-term price was derived using an in-house model based on the real historic price trends.
	The source of exchange rates used in the study.	The exchange rate forecasts are based on forecasts sourced from various South African banks (ABSA, Investec, First National Bank and Nedbank) with the long-term exchange rate calculated using an in-house model based on the historic purchasing price parity of the Rand to the Dollar.
	Derivation of transportation charges	Transport costs are based on indicative rates sourced from Rand Refinery; a conservative estimate has been used
	The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc.	Gold specification, refining charges and penalties are as per refining offer from Rand Refinery.
	The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	The refined Mineral and Petroleum Resources Royalty Act formula was used for this Project.
Revenu e factors	The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc.	The head-grade is based on an Ore Reserve LoM plan. The price forecasts are based on forecasts from Consensus Economics which considers various brokers and analyst forecasts; the long-term price was derived using an in-house model based on the real historic price trends. The exchange rate forecasts are based on forecasts sourced from various South African banks (ABSA, Investec, First National Bank and Nedbank) with the long-term exchange rate calculated using an in-house model based on the historic purchasing price parity of the Rand to the Dollar. Transport costs based on indicative rates sourced from Rand Refinery, conservative estimate used. Gold specification, refining charges, penalties and payabilities as per refining offer from Rand Refinery.
	The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co- products.	No co-products.
Market assess ment	The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future.	 Total supply of gold increased by 1% in 2018 to 4,490 t. Mine production grew by 1% in 2018 to 3,347 t, making it the tenth consecutive year of growth. Modest de-hedging of approximately 29 t saw the global hedgebook fall below 200 t by end 2018. Recycling increased slightly in 2018 to approximately 1,173 t, more in-line with historic recycling after a dramatic jump in 2016. The market was therefore in slight surplus, with supply exceeding demand by 75 t. The average gold price for 2018 was 1,269, compared to 1,257 in 2017. Demand for defensive assets like gold is likely to pick up as the economic growth cycle nears its end, as concerns deepen around the widening US budget deficit and ongoing trade-war negatively affecting the country's economy. Factors that could increase interest in gold and drive the dollar lower include rising inflation, the interest rate-hiking trajectory nearing the end of its cycle and a stock-market correction. Structural economic reforms in India and China, could lead to a resurgence in gold demand in these countries as well as the wider emerging market.
	A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product.	ALC (Inc. Capitality of the second se

SECTION 4: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES				
Criteria	Explanation		Detail	
	Price and volume	Gold dorè will be produced for s the material and if requested miners, Theta Project has a si of USD933/oz (inclusive of cap producer in South Africa on an Volume forecasts based on res	sale. In the case of the Theta Project, Rand Refinery shall refine - sell, on their behalf. When compared to South African gold gnificant cost advantage with an AISC of USD764/oz and AIC bital). This places Theta Project as potentially the lowest costs AISC basis. erve LOM plan. The price forecasts are based on forecasts from	
	forecasts and the basis for these forecasts.	Consensus Economics which price was derived using an in-h	considers various brokers and analyst forecasts; the long-term nouse model based on the real historic price trends.	
	For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.	N/A		
Econo mic	The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc.	 In generating the financial model and deriving the valuations, the following were considered:- This Report details the optimised cash flow model with economic input parameters. The cash flow model is in constant money terms and completed in ZAR. The DCF valuation was set up in months, but also subsequently converted to financial years ending June. The annual ZAR cash flow was converted to USD using constant term forecast exchange rates (Median of bank forecasts) to provide real results in this currency. A hurdle rate of 7.5% (in real terms) was calculated for the discount factor. The impact of the Mineral Royalties Act using the formula for refined metals was included. Sensitivity analyses were performed to ascertain the impact of discount factors, commodity prices, exchange rate, grade, operating costs and capital expenditures. Valuation of the tax entity was performed on a stand-alone basis. The full NPV of the operation was reported for the Theta Project. All results are inclusive of a small portion of Inferred Mineral Resources which forms part of the mine plan, unless indicated otherwise. 		
	NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	Commodity Price Grade Exchange Rate Mining Operating Costs Plant Operating Cost Plant Capex Non-Direct Mine Costs Mining Capex Other Capex -40.0 The Project is most sensitive to and plant operating costs. The Ore Reserve Valuation NPV @ 0% NPV @ 5%	±15% Change	
		NPV @ 7.5% NPV @ 10% NPV @ 15% IRR	479 35 411 30 303 22 52% 53%	
Social	The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	A public participation process process for inclusion of the Th impacts, and incorporate social Report is currently out for publ EA has been approved. A revis	has initiated as part of the 83MR Section 102 amendment eta Project to establish community views and potential project upliftment measures into the social strategy. The Draft Scoping ic review. Social engagement is ongoing until such time as the sed SLP is currently being drafted.	
Other	To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: Any identified material naturally occurring risks.	- No material naturally occurring	risks have been identified.	
	The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements.	There are no legal or marketing	g agreements in place for the Project.	
	governmental agreements and	been approved. A Section 102 addition of the Theta Project	amendment application has been submitted to the DMR for the . Currently, a WULA process is underway to authorise the	

	SECTION 4: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES				
Criteria	Explanation	Detail			
	approvals critical to the viability of the project	anticipated water uses at the open pit project. An EA process is also underway. The approvals process in anticipated to be completed by November 2019, pending no delays from the DMR			
	such as mineral	or DWA as the authorising authorities.			
	tenement status, and government and				
	statutory approvals.				
	There must be				
	expect that all necessary				
	Government approvals				
	will be received within the timeframes anticipated in				
	the Pre-Feasibility or				
	Feasibility study.				
	materiality of any				
	unresolved matter that is				
	dependent on a third party on which extraction				
	of the reserve is				
	contingent.	The enversion enterest of the Decentric is determined primarily by the relevant level of			
	The basis for the	confidence in the Mineral Resource. The Mineral Resource estimate, which includes all the			
	Reserves into varying	project areas for TGME, was the basis of the Mineral Reserve estimation for the Theta Project.			
	confidence categories.	The level of confidence in the indicated Mineral Resource is sufficient to convert to Probable Ore Reserves.			
	Whether the result	The results as presented appropriately reflect the CP's view of the deposit.			
Classifi cation	appropriately reflects the Competent Person's				
outon	view of the deposit.				
	The proportion of	No Measured Mineral Resources was converted to Probable Ore Reserves.			
	that have been derived				
	from Measured Mineral				
Audits	Resources (If any). The results of any audits	No external audits or reviews of the Theta Project Ore Reserves have been conducted.			
or	or reviews of Ore				
reviews	Reserve estimates.	The appropriate category of Ore Reserve is determined primarily by the relevant level of			
	statement of the relative	confidence in the Mineral Resource. The global Mineral Resource estimate, which includes all			
	accuracy and confidence	the project areas for TGME, was the basis of the local Ore Reserve estimation for the Theta			
	estimate using an	Probable Ore Reserves.			
	approach or procedure				
	the Competent Person.				
	For example, the				
	application of statistical or geostatistical				
	procedures to quantify				
	the relative accuracy of				
	confidence limits, or, if				
Dissues	such an approach is not				
ion of	qualitative discussion of				
relative	the factors which could				
accurac v/	affect the relative accuracy and confidence				
confide	of the estimate.				
nce	The statement should specify whether it relates	The global Mineral Resource estimate, which includes all the project areas for TGME, was the basis of the local Ore Reserve estimation for the Theta Project.			
	to global or local				
	estimates, and, if local,				
	tonnages, which should				
	be relevant to technical				
	evaluation.				
	Documentation should				
	include assumptions made and the				
	procedures used.				
	Accuracy and confidence	The modifying factors applied were determined by technical studies at the appropriate level of confidence producing a mine plan and production schedule that is technically achievable and			
	extend to specific	economically viable.			
	discussions of any				

SECTION 4: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES				
Criteria	Explanation	Detail		
	applied Modifying	The overall slope angles were determined with limited geotechnical information and requires		
	Factors that may have a	additional technical work before project execution. A conservative approach was followed with		
	material impact on Ore	the selection of the slope angles and any changes will have a minimal impact on the overall		
	Reserve viability, or for	project.		
	which there are			
	remaining areas of			
	uncertainty at the current			
	study stage.			
	It is recognised that this	No previous Ore Reserve statements are available. However, the modifying factors were		
	may not be possible or	determined by technical studies and based on current operations utilising the selected mining		
	appropriate in all	method and are at the appropriate level of confidence to produce a mine plan and production		
	circumstances. These	schedule that is technically achievable and economically viable.		
	statements of relative			
	accuracy and confidence			
	of the estimate should be			
	compared with			
	production data, where			
	available.			