

Cobre Limited A.C.N. 626 241 067 (ASX: CBE)

Level 7/151 Macquarie Street

SYDNEY NSW 2000

Tel: + 61 2 9048 8856

www.cobre.com.au

24 October 2022

ASX Limited - [Company Announcements Platform](#)

NEW COPPER ZONE INTERSECTED AT THE NGAMI COPPER PROJECT

First diamond hole drilled into new soil sample targets intersects anomalous copper mineralisation 10km from ongoing infill drilling

Highlights:

- The first diamond hole (NCP21) into a set of newly identified copper targets generated using low detection limit partial leach sampling at the Ngami Copper Project (NCP) in the Kalahari Copper Belt (KCB), Botswana, has intersected **12m of anomalous copper mineralisation**.
- Drill hole NCP21, the first of eight planned diamond holes designed to test a new set of copper in soil targets in the vicinity of ongoing infill drilling (Comet Target), **has intersected 12m of visible copper mineralisation** consisting of fine-grained chalcocite and chrysocolla (confirmed with pXRF).
- In addition to establishing an exciting new copper target ('Nova'), located just 10km to the southwest of the recently discovered Comet Target ('Comet'), results demonstrate the effectiveness of the exploration methodology in areas with thicker cover, providing Cobre with a fast-track approach for developing new copper targets.
- To date, 13 diamond holes have been completed on the current Comet Target. Drilling is ongoing with visuals in several holes identifying abundant chalcocite mineralisation. Assays on all outstanding diamond holes are still pending and will be released once received.

Commenting on the intersection of this new copper zone, Executive Chairman and Managing Director, Martin Holland, said:

"Intersecting further copper mineralisation at a distinct new target (Nova), 10km away from current drilling at Comet, is a significant exploration milestone that validates the Company's targeting strategy and methodology. In particular, the identification of anomalous copper mineralisation at the Nova



Target represents an important indicator of the significant scale opportunity unfolding in this exciting new copper district.

Cobre's current focus on exploration at NCP is based on the potential we see for the expansion of our footprint in this highly prospective district as we continue to unlock multiple distinct copper targets. We are currently undertaking a diamond drilling program to infill higher-grade portions of our Comet Target, with a second drill rig secured to test the extent of mineralisation at the newly identified Nova Target before moving onto additional new targets in the vicinity.

In addition to our ongoing drill programme at NCP, an extensive soil sampling programme on the neighbouring ~2,000 km² Kitlanya West Project is nearing completion. This data will be used to prioritise additional new targets for our 2023 exploration campaign as we endeavour to unlock a potential new copper district in Botswana."

Cobre Limited (ASX: **CBE**, **Cobre** or **Company**) is pleased to announce results from its first diamond drill hole into a new set of exploration targets in the vicinity of the recent Comet Target on Kalahari Metals Limited's (KML) NCP licenses (Refer **Figure 1**). Drill hole NCP21 intersected a 12m zone of anomalous copper mineralisation consisting of chrysocolla and fine-grained chalcocite which occurs from 117 – 129m downhole. The hole was designed to test a Terraleach™ partial digest soil¹ anomaly which occurs in proximity to a compelling structural jog and large demagnetised zone identified in high-resolution magnetic data (Refer **Figure 2**).

The demagnetised zone is potentially indicative of hydrothermal alteration associated with the mineralising event, providing support for a larger scale target. Further drilling is planned to test the extent of mineralisation across this newly identified Nova Target. Importantly, Nova is located only 10km along strike from ongoing drilling at Comet demonstrating the potential for multiple, structurally controlled copper deposits within the NCP project area.

To date, results from 55% of the ~5,000 samples submitted for partial-digest, low detection limit assays have been received with the remaining results expected by the end of November. These results will be combined with an additional ~8,500 samples from the Company's neighbouring Kitlanya West Project to assist with prioritisation of further targets across this extensive emerging copper district.

Ngami Copper Project Exploration

The drill programme at NCP has been designed to intersect sedimentary-hosted, structurally controlled, copper-silver (Cu-Ag) mineralisation associated with the redox contact between oxidised Ngwako Pan Formation red beds and overlying reduced marine sedimentary rocks of the D'Kar Formation on the dipping limbs of anticline structures. Mineralisation intersected at NCP appears to

¹ Proprietary Intertek-Genalysis partial digest method

follow the general pattern observed in the prospective north-eastern KCB, where high-grade copper deposits are surrounded by anomalous copper halos which may extend over 2 to 5 km, separated by long-tracts of low-grade background mineralisation which is pervasive along the redox contact. Locally, Cu-Ag mineralisation is concentrated structurally, resulting in a variability in grade and intersection widths, with higher grade zones associated with dilatational trap-sites.

Target generation at NCP has relied on litho-structural interpretation of high-resolution magnetic data combined with soil sampling. Significant Cu-Ag intersections at Comet have demonstrated the existence of an active mineralising system in this relatively unexplored portion of the KCB. The recent Cu intersection at Nova highlights the effectiveness of our current exploration strategy and methodology despite the relatively thick (70 – 90m) Kalahari Group cover in the area.

Nova Target

The new Nova target is defined by a zone of demagnetisation with anomalous Terraleach™ samples occurring in proximity to a distinct structural jog along the Ngwako Pan – D’Kar Formation contact and in proximity to an interesting ENE trending fault which appears to bound the demagnetised zone. Drill hole NCP21 has intersected 93m of Kalahari Group cover underlain by a series of coarsening upward sedimentary cycles of lower D’Kar Formation. Notable copper mineralisation (confirmed by pXRF measurements), consisting of chrysocolla and fine-grained chalcocite (*Refer Figure 3*), is hosted in the lowermost siltstone above the Ngwako Pan Formation contact which occurs at 131m downhole. Importantly, post-mineralisation faulting appears to have removed the lowermost portion of D’Kar Formation stratigraphy, suggesting the higher-grade portion of mineralisation may have been displaced locally.




Figure 1. Plan map illustrating completed drill holes on lithological interpretation. Current and new targets along with notable drill assay results highlighted.




Figure 2. Key aspects of the Nova target illustrated on detailed magnetic imagery.



Figure 3. (A) *Chrysocolla* mineralisation on fracture plans, (B) and (C) fine grained *chalcocite* (grey metallic to black) mineralisation along parting planes and cleavage.


Table 1. Drill hole collar information for the current drill programme, (UTM34S, WGS84)

Hole ID	X	Y	RL	Inclination	Azimuth	EOH (m)
NCP07	599890	7685403	1079.339	-60	150	387.3
NCP08	598985	7684910	1082.076	-60	150	171.3
NCP10	601624	7686326	1073.118	-60	150	351.54
NCP09	598092	7684452	1082.774	-60	150	246.3
NCP14	600307	7685612	1078.215	-60	150	276.3
NCP12	599433	7685161	1081.269	-60	150	252.3
NCP13	598533	7684686	1083.772	-60	150	210.15
NCP15	601192	7686076	1075.607	-60	150	243.25
NCP11	598960	7684952	1082.715	-60	150	45.4
NCP11-A	598963	7684949	1082.818	-60	150	81.3
NCP11-B	598958	7684955	1082.593	-60	150	384.35
NCP20	598749	7684810	1083.061	-60	150	50.62
NCP20-A	598759	7684802	1083.012	-60	150	In progress
NCP19	599215	7685019	1081.237	-60	150	186.3
NCP17	599184	7685060	1081.476	-60	150	261.3
NCP18	598730	7684840	1083.076	-60	150	63.95
NCP18-A	598728	7684849	1083.02	-60	150	317.65
NCP16	602078	7686535	1072.192	-60	150	225.25
NCP21	589689	7679007	1100.563	-60	150	243.35

Ngami Copper Project (NCP) and Kitlanya West Project background

The NCP is located near the northern margin of the KCB (*Refer Figure 4*) and includes significant strike of sub-cropping Ngwako-Pan / D’Kar Formation contact on which the majority of the known deposits in the KCB occur. The Project is located immediately east of KML’s Kitlanya West licenses collectively covering a significant portion of prospective KCB stratigraphy. In terms of regional prospectivity, the greater license package includes:

- Over 500km of interpreted sub-cropping Ngwako Pan / D’Kar Formation contact which has been divided into 55 prospective targets across the KML licenses with 43 ranked targets located in the KITW and NCP properties;
- Strategic location near the basin margin typically prioritised for sedimentary-hosted copper deposits;
- Outcropping Kgwebe Formation often considered a key vector for deposits in the northeast of the KCB;
- Well defined gravity low anomalies indicative of sub-basin architecture or structural thickening (a number of the deposits in the KCB are hosted on the margins of gravity lows);
- Relatively shallow Kalahari Group cover (between 0m and ~90m thick); and
- Numerous soil sample anomalies identified on regional sample traverses.



The Company is targeting analogues to the copper deposits in Khoemacau's Zone 5 development (*Refer **Figure 5***) in the north-eastern portion of the KCB. These include Zone 5 (92.1 Mt @ 2.2% Cu and 22 g/t Ag), Zeta NE (29 Mt @ 2.0% Cu and 40 g/t Ag), Zone 5N (25.6 Mt @ 2.2% Cu and 38 g/t Ag) and Mango NE (21.1 Mt @ 1.8% Cu and 21 g/t Ag)².

This ASX release was authorised on behalf of the Cobre Board by: Martin C Holland, Executive Chairman and Managing Director.

For more information about this announcement, please contact:

Martin C Holland

Executive Chairman and Managing Director

holland@cobre.com.au

² <https://www.khoemacau.com/>

COMPETENT PERSONS STATEMENT

The information in this announcement that relates to exploration results is based on information compiled by Mr David Catterall, a Competent Person and a member of a Recognised Professional Organisations (ROPO). David Catterall has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC 2012). David is the principal geologist at Tulia Blueclay Limited and a consultant to Kalahari Metals Limited. David Catterall is a member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions, a recognised professional organisation.

David Catterall consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.




Figure 4. Locality map illustrating the position of KML's projects in the Kalahari Copper Belt.

JORC Table 1 - Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data for the NCP and KITW Projects

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections)

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The information in this release relates to the technical details from the Company's exploration and drilling program Ngami Copper Projects (NCP) located within the Ngamiland District on the Kalahari Copper Belt, Republic of Botswana. The first batch of sample results has been received from ALS laboratories, Johannesburg, South Africa. Quoted mineralisation is based on visual logging by geologists on-site with verification done using a handheld pXRF. pXRF spot measurements are being taken 25cm intervals through sections of interest to avoid operator bias. pXRF measurements have also been performed on ground material collected along 1-meter continuous intervals from cutting a shallow groove along the core. Results are intended to provide indicative numbers only. Representative diamond half core samples are taken from zones of interest. Samples were taken consistently from the same side of the core cutting line. Core cutting line is positioned to result in two splits as mirror images with regards to the mineralisation, and to preserve the orientation line.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample representativity was ensured by bisecting structures of interest, and by the sample preparation technique in the laboratory. The diamond drill core samples were selected based on geological logging and pXRF results, with the ideal sampling interval being 1m, whilst ensuring that sample interval does not cross any logged significant feature of interest.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual core samples were crushed entirely to 90% less than 2mm, riffle split off 1kg, pulverise split to better than 85% passing 75 microns (ALS PREP-31D).

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample representivity and calibration for ICP AES analysis is ensured by the insertion of suitable QAQC samples. Samples are digested using 4-acid near total digest and analysed for 34 elements by ICP-AES (ALS ME-ICP61). Over range for Cu and Ag are digested and analysed with the same method but higher detection limits (ALS ME-OG62). pXRF measurements are carried out with appropriate blanks and reference material analysed routinely to verify instrument accuracy and repeatability.
<i>Drilling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> KML's Diamond drilling is being conducted with Tricone (Kalahari Sands), followed by PQ/HQ/NQ core sizes (standard tube) with HQ and NQ core oriented using AXIS Champ ORI tool.
<i>Drill sample recovery</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Core recovery is measured and recorded for all drilling. Once bedrock has been intersected, sample recovery has been very good >98%. Samples were taken consistently from the same side of the core cutting line to avoid bias. Geologists frequently check the core cutting procedures to ensure the core cutter splits the core correctly in half. Core samples are selected within logged geological, structural, mineralisation and alteration constraints. Samples are collected from distinct geological

		domains with sufficient width to avoid overbias.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample recovery was generally very good and as such it is not expected that any such bias exists. pXRF measurements quoted are not considered a replacement for laboratory assay and are provided for indicative purposes only. The nature of point samples are intrinsically biased. Cut groove samples are considered more representative but have a notable loss of fine material and again are intended for indicative purposes only.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> KML Diamond drill core is logged by a team of qualified geologists using predefined lithological, mineralogical, physical characteristic (colour, weathering etc) and logging codes. The geologists on site followed industry best practice and standard operating procedure for Diamond core drilling processes. Diamond drill core was marked up on site and logged back at camp where it securely stored. Data is recorded digitally using Ocris geological logging software. The QA/QC'd compilation of all logging results are stored and backed up on the cloud.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All logging used standard published logging charts and classification for grain size, abundance, colour and lithologies to maintain a qualitative and semi-quantitative standard based on visual estimation. Magnetic susceptibility readings are also taken every meter and/or half meter using a ZH Instruments SM-20/SM-30 reader.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100% of all recovered intervals are geologically logged.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Selected intervals are currently being cut (in half) with a commercial core cutter in half, using a 2mm thick blade, for one half to be sampled for analysis while the other half is kept for reference. For selected samples core is quartered and both quarters being sampled as an original and field replicate sample.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A

	<i>whether sampled wet or dry</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation techniques 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Field sample preparation is suitable for the core samples. The laboratory sample preparation technique (ALS PREP-31D) is considered appropriate and suitable for the core samples and expected grades.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> KML's standard field QAQC procedures for core drilling include the field insertion of blanks, selection of standards, field duplicates (quarter core), and selection of requested laboratory pulp and coarse crush duplicates. These are being inserted at a rate of 2.5- 5% each to ensure an appropriate rate of QAQC.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sampling is deemed appropriate for the type of survey and equipment used. The duplicate sample data (field duplicate and lab duplicates) indicates that the results are representative and repeatable.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> KML's core samples are being sent for 4-acid digest for "near total" digest and ICP-AES analysis (34 elements) at ALS laboratories in Johannesburg, South Africa. The analytical techniques (ALS ME-ICP61 and ME-OG62) are considered appropriate for assaying.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> KML use ZH Instruments SM20 and SM30 magnetic susceptibility meter for measuring magnetic susceptibilities and readings were randomly repeated to ensure reproducibility and consistency of the data. A Niton FXL950 pXRF instrument is used with reading times on Soil Mode of 120seconds in total. For the pXRF analyses, well established in-house SOPs were strictly followed and data QAQC'd before accepted in the database. A test study of 5 times repeat analyses on selected soil samples is conducted to establish the reliability

		<p>and repeatability of the pXRF at low Cu-Pb-Zn values.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the pXRF Results, no user factor was applied, and as per SOP the units calibrated daily with their respective calibration disks. • All QAQC samples were reviewed for consistency and accuracy. Results were deemed repeatable and representative.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate certified reference material was inserted on a ratio of 1:20 samples. • Laboratory coarse crush and pulp duplicate samples were alternated requested for every 20 samples. • Blanks were inserted on a ratio of 1:20. • ALS Laboratories insert their own standards, duplicates and blanks and follow their own SOP for quality control. • Both internal and laboratory QAQC samples are reviewed for consistency. • The CRM's accuracy, precision and control charts is within acceptable limits for Cu, with two Ag result being outside of the acceptable limits (currently being queried with the laboratory). • The coarse Blank and lab internal pulp Blank results suggest a low risk of contamination during the sample preparation and analytical stages respectively • The duplicate sample data indicates that the results are representative and repeatable. • External laboratory checks will be carried out in due course when enough samples have been collected to warrant.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All drill core intersections were verified by peer review.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No twinned holes were drilled to date.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All data is electronically stored with peer review of data processing and modelling • Data entry procedures standardized in SOP, data checking and verification routine. • Data storage on partitioned drives and backed up on server and on the cloud.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No adjustments were made to assay data.

	<i>assay data.</i>	
<i>Location of data points</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KML's Drill collar coordinates are captured by using handheld Garmin GPS and verified by a second handheld Garmin GPS. • Downhole surveys of drill holes is being undertaken using an AXIS ChampMag tool.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The grid system used is WGS84 UTM Zone 34S. All reported coordinates are referenced to this grid.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Topographic control is based on satellite survey data collected at 30m resolution. Quality is considered acceptable.
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data spacing and distribution of all survey types is deemed appropriate for the type of survey and equipment used. • Drill hole spacing is broad, as might be expected for this early stage of exploration, and not yet at a density sufficient for Mineral Resource Estimation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill spacing is currently broad and hole orientation is aimed at intersecting the bedding of the host stratigraphy as perpendicular as practically possible (e.g. within the constraint of the cover thickness). This is considered appropriate for the geological setting and for the known mineralisation styles in the Copperbelt.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existence, and orientation, of preferentially mineralised structures is not yet fully understood but current available data indicates mineralisation occurs within steep, sub-vertical structures, sub-parallel to foliation. No significant sampling bias is therefore expected.
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample bags are logged, tagged, double bagged and sealed in plastic bags, stored at the field office. Diamond core is stored in a secure facility at the field office and then moved to a secure warehouse. Sample security includes a chain-of-custody procedure that consists of filling out sample submittal forms that are sent to the laboratory with sample shipments to make certain that all samples are received by the laboratory. Prepared samples were transported to the analytical laboratory in sealed gravel bags that are accompanied by appropriate paperwork, including the original sample preparation request numbers and chain-of-custody forms
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> KML's drill hole sampling procedure is done according to industry best practice.

JORC Table 2 - Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cobre Ltd holds a controlling interest in Kalahari Metals Ltd with a call option in place to acquire the remaining share from Metal Tiger plc subject to shareholder approval at an EGM scheduled for 22 November 2022. Kalahari Metals in turn owns 51% of Triprop Holdings Ltd (with an earn-in in place to acquire the remaining 49%) and 100% of Kitlanya (Pty) Ltd both of which are locally registered companies. Triprop Holdings holds the NCP licenses

		<p>PL035/2017 (309km²) and PL036/2017 (51km²), which, following a recent renewal, are due their next extension on 30/09/2024</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kitlanya (Pty) Ltd holds the KITW licenses PL342/2016 (941 km²) and PL343/2016(986 km²), which are due their next renewal on 31 March 2024: • Kitlanya has been recently awarded a 363km² license area previously relinquished by Tripprop Holdings Ltd.
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous exploration on portions of the NCP and KITW projects was conducted by BHP. • BHP collected approximately 125 and 113 soil samples over the KITW and NCP projects respectively in 1998. • BHP collected Geotem airborne electromagnetic data over a small portion of PL036/2012 and PL342/2016, with a significant coverage over PL343/2016.
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The regional geological setting underlying all the Licences is interpreted as Neoproterozoic meta sediments, deformed during the Pan African Damara Orogen into a series of ENE trending structural domes cut by local structures. • The style of mineralisation expected comprises strata-bound and structurally controlled disseminated and vein hosted Cu/Ag mineralisation.
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> ○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> ○ <i>hole length.</i> • <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information relating to the drilling described in this announcement are listed in Table 1. • Summary table of all core drill holes is presented below:

not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.

Company	Project	Drill Hole Type	Interval	Eastings	Northings	RL	Drill Depth	Drill Inclination	EDH Length
AME	Entrance West	OD	817-W-0001	540578	7078583	1047.2577	238	-90	237.63
AME	Entrance West	OD	817-W-0002	888888	7678718	1036.4819	346	-90	345.87
AME	Entrance West	OD	817-W-0003	540584	7078552	1044.8225	0	-90	28
AME	MCP	OD	NCP01	284788	7084088	1032	0	-90	75.4
AME	MCP	OD	NCP02A	354788	7084078	1032	0	-90	85.5
AME	MCP	OD	NCP03	617238	7082324	888	0	-90	347.65
AME	MCP	OD	NCP08	884788	7084074	1038	0	-90	394
AME	MCP	OD	NCP04	356788	7081124	1034	0	-90	109.22
AME	MCP	OD	NCP05	280364	7081488	1033	0	-75	178.04
AME	MCP	OD	NCP06	356818	7081398	1030	0	-75	283.12
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-01	812238	7087853	1043	0	-90	71.65
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-02	812338	7087853	1043	0	-90	56.55
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-03A	812338	7087854	1047	0	-90	83.85
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-03	812382	7087867	1043	0	-90	62.8
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-04	899703	7086343	1040	0	-90	148.7
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-05	829356	7086513	1040	0	-90	58.7
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-06	829863	7086413	1038	0	-90	88.7
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-07	808863	7086414	1042	0	-85	113
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-08	887268	7086683	1036	0	-90	71.4
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-09	887233	7084829	1033	0	-90	72.88
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-10	827063	7084836	1034	0	-90	86.3
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-11	887288	7084778	1034	0	-90	182.88
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-12	808845	7085698	1080	0	-90	71.2
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-13	888839	7083863	1033	0	-90	80.4
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-14	808818	7083757	1070	0	-90	115.4
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-15	888733	7083803	1043	0	-90	181.68
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-16	888788	7083804	1081	0	-90	88.23
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-18A	828764	7085828	1083	0	-90	280.72
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-17	888888	7083778	1027	0	-90	81.88
Titanop	MCP	OD	TR0H14-17A	888882	7083803	1028	0	-90	178.72

Data aggregation methods

- In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.
- Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.
- The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.

- Results > 0.5% Cu have been averaged weighted by downhole lengths, and exclusive of internal waste.
- No aggregation of intercepts has been reported
- Where copper equivalent have been calculated it is at current metal prices: 1g/t Ag = 0.0081% Cu

Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths

- These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.
- If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.
- If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').

- Down hole intersection widths are used throughout.
- The geometry has not been sufficiently defined by the current drilling
- All measurements state that downhole lengths have been used, as the true width has not been suitably established by the current drilling

<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Included within the report.
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results from the previous exploration programmes are summarised in the target priorities which are based on an interpretation of these results. • The accompanying document is considered to be a balanced and representative report.
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing relevant at this early stage of reporting
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> • <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based upon the results announced in this release further diamond drilling has been planned. • The additional drill holes are shown on diagrams within the announcement.