

8 November 2022

ANTILLES GOLD REPORTS PRELIMINARY ASSAYS FROM EL PILAR, CUBA

Antilles Gold Limited (ASX Code: AAU, OTCQB: ANTMF, FSE Code: PTJ) (“Antilles Gold” or the “Company”) is pleased to announce that it has received preliminary assays for three cored holes from a six hole (1,800m) program at El Pilar in central Cuba that was completed in September 2022.

The holes were located along 300m of strike on the gold-copper oxide deposit that appears to overlay a large copper-gold porphyry deposit. Five of the holes penetrated the gold and copper domains of the oxide deposit, and into what is probably the outer zone of a porphyry intrusion.

The assays must be considered as preliminary as they were undertaken at the Cuban Government’s LACEMI (Laboratorio Central de Minerales) laboratory in Havana which though capable and with modern equipment, is not qualified under the JORC Code.

All assays will be replicated at SGS’s laboratory in Peru within the next six to eight weeks.

HIGHLIGHTS

Drill Hole

PDH -001

Gold Domain 3.0m at 13.81 g/t Au from 10.0m

PDH-001A

Gold Domain 4.3m at 4.47 g/t Au from surface

13.4m at 3.53g/t Au from 14.3m

Copper Domain 72.4m at 0.63% Cu from 36.6m including 26.2m at 0.86% Cu from 72.8m

PDH-002

Gold Domain [Results Pending]

Copper Domain 30.4m at 0.55% Cu from 49.5m including 8.0m at 1.39% Cu from 53.5m

[Gold assays from hole PDH-002 will be included with assays from holes PDH-003 and PDH-004 when released].

Sampling Techniques and Data are set out in the JORC Code 2012 Edition Template attached.

Antilles Gold's Exploration Director, Dr Christian Grainger, commented that the assays from the oxide deposit were consistent with the results from 24,000m of historic drilling that were the basis for the Exploration Target Range reported to ASX on 5 July 2022.

The virtually continuous copper grades in holes PHD-001A and PDH-002, shown in Table 3 (Raw Data), below the enriched gold domain, are associated with secondary chalcocite that is an easily leachable copper oxide mineral and is 80 weight percent copper in nature.

Notably, only chalcopyrite and bornite have been identified in the fresh rock sulphide mineralization below the oxide mineralized zones with a lack of arsenic bearing sulphides indicating a very clean concentrate will be able to be created.

A gold oxide zone of +2 g/t Au, with an additional lower oxide zone of leached copper of +0.5% Cu, is seen as extremely positive for early mine development and cash flow generation.

The preparation for the upcoming detailed ground geophysical program of both I.P. (induced polarization) and magnetics, is on track and the 3km x 2km geophysical grid will guide the upcoming drilling campaign to target the concealed sulphide porphyry copper-gold mineralization, that is the source of the El Pilar oxide copper-gold mineralization.

Additionally, extensions to the surface oxide copper-gold mineralization, or additional new zones, are highly probable.

A 15,000m cored drilling program is planned to commence on the porphyry deposit in February 2023.

In addition, the Company will commence a separate 7,000m program on the oxide deposit in January 2023 to establish JORC Resources and a Scoping Study for an open pit mine to produce gold and copper concentrates, which could potentially be commissioned in Q2 2025, soon after the La Demajagua gold-silver mine.

END

This announcement has been authorised by the Chairman of Antilles Gold Limited.

For further information, please contact:


Brian Johnson, Executive Chairman, Antilles Gold

T: +61 (02) 4861 1740

E: brianjohnson@antillesgold.net


If you have any questions on this announcement or any past Antilles Gold announcements, check out our Interactive Investor Hub. Like, comment, or ask a question on important announcements. You can find this here: <https://aau.freshamplify.com>

El Pilar Oxide Deposit




El Pilar Oxide Deposit

Cross section C - C'



El Pilar Oxide Deposit

Cross section C - C'



El Pilar Oxide Deposit

Cross section E - E'




Table 2: Drill Hole Co-Ordinates

Hole ID	Northing	Easting	RL(m)	Dip	Azimuth	Hole Length
PDH-001	2407084.6	757556.68	49.497	-70	132	33
PDH-001A	2407081.2	757560.28	49.497	-70	132	290.5
PDH-002	2407181.6	757677.79	53.071	-50	312	279.5

Table 3: Raw Data +0.5 g/t Au +0.3% Cu

Sample ID	Hole ID	Depth From	Depth To	Sample Interval	Au g/t	Cu%
PEL-0001	PDH-001	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.53	0.01
PEL-0004	PDH-001	5.00	7.00	2.00	0.66	0.00
PEL-0006	PDH-001	7.00	9.00	2.00	0.63	0.00
PEL-0007	PDH-001	9.00	10.00	1.00	0.94	0.01
PEL-0008	PDH-001	10.00	13.00	3.00	13.81	0.00
PEL-0009	PDH-001	17.50	20.50	3.00	0.86	0.00
PEL-0010	PDH-001	20.50	22.50	2.00	1.69	0.00
PEL-0011	PDH-001	22.50	24.50	2.00	2.29	0.01
PEL-0016	PDH-001	30.50	32.00	1.50	0.52	0.00
PEL-0018	PDH-001A	0.00	0.30	0.30	11.34	0.01
PEL-0019	PDH-001A	0.30	2.30	2.00	0.65	0.00
PEL-0021	PDH-001A	2.30	4.30	2.00	7.26	0.00
PEL-0027	PDH-001A	14.30	16.30	2.00	1.03	0.00
PEL-0028	PDH-001A	16.30	18.20	1.90	2.27	0.01
PEL-0029	PDH-001A	18.20	20.20	2.00	0.78	0.03
PEL-0030	PDH-001A	20.20	22.20	2.00	1.30	0.02
PEL-0031	PDH-001A	22.20	24.20	2.00	8.39	0.01
PEL-0032	PDH-001A	24.20	26.20	2.00	7.53	0.02
PEL-0033	PDH-001A	26.20	27.70	1.50	3.32	0.03
PEL-0034	PDH-001A	27.70	29.20	1.50	0.72	0.01
PEL-0035	PDH-001A	29.20	30.60	1.40	1.80	0.02
PEL-0042	PDH-001A	40.60	42.60	2.00	0.02	0.37
PEL-0043	PDH-001A	42.60	44.60	2.00	0.03	0.54
PEL-0044	PDH-001A	44.60	46.00	1.40	0.02	0.41
PEL-0046	PDH-001A	46.00	47.50	1.50	0.03	0.35

PEL-0047	PDH-001A	47.50	49.00	1.50	0.05	0.92
PEL-0048	PDH-001A	49.00	50.00	1.00	0.13	1.57
PEL-0049	PDH-001A	50.00	51.00	1.00	0.06	2.69
PEL-0051	PDH-001A	52.00	52.80	0.80		2.03
PEL-0052	PDH-001A	52.80	54.80	2.00		0.34
PEL-0053	PDH-001A	54.80	56.80	2.00		0.46
PEL-0054	PDH-001A	56.80	58.80	2.00		0.46
PEL-0055	PDH-001A	58.80	60.80	2.00		0.72
PEL-0057	PDH-001A	60.80	62.80	2.00		0.89
PEL-0058	PDH-001A	62.80	64.80	2.00		0.41
PEL-0059	PDH-001A	64.80	66.80	2.00		0.32
PEL-0061	PDH-001A	66.80	68.80	2.00		0.56
PEL-0062	PDH-001A	68.80	70.80	2.00		0.45
PEL-0064	PDH-001A	72.80	73.80	1.00		0.87
PEL-0065	PDH-001A	73.80	74.80	1.00		0.92
PEL-0066	PDH-001A	74.80	75.80	1.00		0.79
PEL-0067	PDH-001A	75.80	76.70	0.90		1.25
PEL-0068	PDH-001A	76.70	78.70	2.00		0.77
PEL-0069	PDH-001A	78.70	80.70	2.00		0.87
PEL-0070	PDH-001A	80.70	82.70	2.00		0.76
PEL-0071	PDH-001A	82.70	84.70	2.00		1.09
PEL-0072	PDH-001A	84.70	86.70	2.00		0.92
PEL-0073	PDH-001A	86.70	88.70	2.00		0.85
PEL-0074	PDH-001A	88.70	90.70	2.00		1.37
PEL-0075	PDH-001A	90.70	92.00	1.30		0.55
PEL-0076	PDH-001A	92.00	93.00	1.00		0.54
PEL-0077	PDH-001A	93.00	95.00	2.00		0.72
PEL-0078	PDH-001A	95.00	97.00	2.00		0.89
PEL-0079	PDH-001A	97.00	99.00	2.00		0.52
PEL-0221	PDH-002	45.10	47.10	2.00		0.48
PEL-0224	PDH-002	49.50	51.50	2.00		0.35
PEL-0225	PDH-002	51.50	53.50	2.00		0.36
PEL-0226	PDH-002	53.50	55.50	2.00		0.79
PEL-0227	PDH-002	55.50	57.50	2.00		3.61
PEL-0229	PDH-002	57.50	59.50	2.00		0.56
PEL-0230	PDH-002	59.50	61.50	2.00		0.59
PEL-0232	PDH-002	63.50	65.50	2.00		0.37
PEL-0233	PDH-002	65.50	67.50	2.00		0.30

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Sampling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. • Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. • Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. • In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<p><u>Historic Drilling (pre 2022)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Historic drilling (pre-2021) was completed using open hole (reverse Circulation) and diamond core. • Sample intervals were variable based on geological features however the majority range from 1m to 2m in length <p><u>Recent Drilling (2022 onwards)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recent drilling has been completed using diamond drilling at HQ core size. Samples are collected at 2m intervals although adjusted for geological features as required.
<i>Drilling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<p><u>Historic Drilling (pre 2022)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Historical drilling was undertaken utilising both Reverse Circulation and Diamond drilling. It is not known the diameter of either the RC or diamond holes that were drilled. <p><u>Recent Drilling (2021 onwards)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recent drilling was completed exclusively using diamond drilling methods using HQ triple tube techniques (HQ3) with a core diameter of ~61mm.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Drill sample recovery</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> • <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> • <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i> 	<p><u>Historic Drilling (pre 2022)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed records on drill core and chip recovery are not available. <p><u>Recent Drilling (2022 onwards)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core recoveries were measured after each drill run, comparing length of core recovered vs. drill depth. Core recoveries were generally better than 96% however core recoveries as low as 80% have been recorded in some vein zones. There is no relationship between core recovery and grade. * Diamond drill core was no oriented due to technological limitations in-country.
<i>Logging</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> • <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<p><u>Historic Drilling (pre 2022)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No drill logs have been seen for the historical drilling. <p><u>Recent Drilling (2022 onwards)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All core has been geologically logged by qualified geologists under the direct supervision of a consulting geologist to a level to support reporting of Mineral Resources. • Core logging is qualitative and all core trays have been digitally photographed and will be stored to a server.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<p><u>Historic Drilling (pre 2022)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Records on the nature of sub-sampling techniques associated with the historical drilling are not available for review. • Information available from historic reports regarding the sample preparation techniques are that 1m core intervals were course ground, homogenised and screened at 1mm. Cuttings from RC drilling were similarly homogenised, pulverised and screened at 1mm. • It is not known what sample size was sent for analysis. <p><u>Recent Drilling (2022 onwards)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core is cut using diamond saw, with half core selected for sample analysis. • Samples submitted for preparation at LACEMI in Havana are dried at a temperature between 80 and 100 deg C for a minimum 24hrs. Sample is then crushed to 75% passing 2mm, with two 250g subsamples collected through a Jones riffle splitter. • One 250g sample will be analysed at Havana based LACEMI (Au/Cu only) and the other sample sent for Au, and 37 element analysis at SGS Peru in Lima. • Duplicates are being collected from quartered ½ core at an average rate of 1 in every 33 samples.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<p><u>Historic Drilling (pre 2022)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Soil samples were sent to Chemex Labs Ltd. in Vancouver through CIMTEC, where they were analyzed by means of Fire Assay with AA finish (Au – AA) for gold, determining another 32 elements (Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, Hg, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb, Sb, Sc, Sr, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Zn) via ICP. The trench and drill samples were sent to the XRAL laboratory in Canada where the determination of the gold was carried out via fire assay with instrumental finish (FA – DCP, ppb), the results higher than 1000 ppb were verified with Fire Assay (FA) reporting their values in g / t. The rest of the elements (Be, Na, Mg, Al, P, K, Ca, Sc, Tl, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Sr, Y, Zr, Mo, Ag, Cd, Sn, Sb, Ba, La, W, Pb and Bi), were determined by ICP <p><u>Recent Drilling (2022 onwards)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preliminary analysis has been undertaken at LACEMI in Havana Cuba, which is not a certified laboratory for the purposes of JORC. The LACEMI facilities have however been inspected by Competent Persons and it is the intention to work through the process of having the laboratory certified. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis for gold is via 30g fire assay with AA finish. Over range gold assays (+30g/t) are repeated with Fire Assay and a gravimetric finish. Cu is analysed by 2 acids HNO₃ -HCL, and measurement by ICP Both Fire Assay and 2 acid digest are considered total assay methods for the elements of interest. Certified reference materials from OREAS (21f, 907, 506, 503d, 254b and 258) are inserted at a rate of one every 20 samples, with a blank inserted every 40 samples. Coarse field duplicates are submitted at a rate of 1 in every 33 samples. The corresponding duplicate pulp samples are progressively being sent to SGS in Lima for certified analysis, and will be cross referenced against the preliminary results obtained through LACEMI. No SGS analysis has been completed as yet.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant intersections are reviewed by multiple personnel. • Recent drilling has been designed in part to twin where possible historic drilling as part of a sample verification process in generation of the Mineral Resource, as well as extend further into the mineralisation at depth.
<i>Location of data points</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two datum points have been established on the site using high precision GPS. • All drill collars were surveyed by total station utilizing the local survey datum, on the WGS 84 UTM 17N grid. • A total Station has be utilised to survey completed hole collars. • Natural surface topography is developed from 1m contours across the project area and is sufficient for use in Mineral Resources.
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The holes drilled were aimed at verifying data from historical drilling, rather than being on a specific spacing. • Approximately 25,000m of historical drilling exists in a database, and the 6 holes drilled to date were aimed at verifying historical intercepts. •
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> • <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Given the oxide zones are sub-horizontal and elongated, based on the level of oxidation, the drilling has been oriented to cut both the oxide gold and copper zones at optimal angles from previous drilling. However, given there are multiple subvertical structures, along with the oxidation boundaries, this has to be taken in mind also in the optimum orientation of drillholes. The underlying sulphide mineralization has been shown to be largely sub-vertical in nature and drilling has cut these zones at more optimal angles.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All core is securely stored in a warehouse in Ciego de Avila where it is logged and sampled. Samples are transported to the sample preparation laboratory in Havana in a company vehicle with Company driver. For transport of pulp samples to SGS Peru, the prepared samples are collected by company personnel in a company vehicle, and driven directly to the Jose Marti International airport, where the waybill is prepared by Cubana . The samples are be flown to Lima via Cubana airfreight, where they are delivered to Thompson Company, Ahearn and Coa customs clearance agent prior to transport to the SGS laboratory.
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No audits have been conducted to date

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The El Pilar Reconnaissance Permit is registered to the Los Llanos International economic Association, which is an agreement between Antilles Gold Inc (a 100% subsidiary of Antilles Gold Limited) and Gold Caribbean Mining SA, which is a subsidiary of the Cuban State owned mining company Geominera SA. The Reconnaissance Permit encompasses 17,839 Ha and is located in the topographic sheets at scale 1: 50 000 Ceballos (4481-I), Gaspar (4481-II), Corojo (4581-III) and Primero de Enero (4581-IV), 25 km east-southeast of the city of Ciego de Ávila, central Cuba.
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The El Pilar prospect was explored most recently by Canadian company KWG, who undertook airborne geophysics, trenching (22 trenches totalling 4640m) and RC and Diamond drilling. Drilling was undertaken between 1994 and 1997, with 159 RC holes drilled for a total of 20,799m and 29 diamond holes drilled for a total of 3,611m. Chemical analysis for Au, Cu and other elements undertaken at Chemex laboratories in Canada. No core samples remain.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The El Pilar copper-gold porphyry system is hosted within a Cretaceous age volcanic island arc setting that is composed of mafic to intermediate composition tuffs, ash and volcanoclastic rocks that are intruded by similar age granodiorite and diorite intrusive stocks. • The geological setting is very similar to the many prospective volcanic island arc geological environments that are related to porphyry style mineralization, and associated vein systems. • The El Pilar system has shown to date both overlapping hydrothermal alteration styles, and complex multiple veining events that is common with the emplacement of a mineralized porphyry copper-gold system.
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> ○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> ○ <i>hole length.</i> • <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All relevant data +0,5g/t Au and 0.3% Cu is listed in Table 2
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> • <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> • <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Length weighted averaging for Au and Cu has been used to determine intercepts, with no top cut.
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> • <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All intercept lengths are down the hole intercepts.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer plans and section within this release.
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No grades reported to date.
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No other significant unreported exploration data for El Pilar is available at this time.
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reported drill data is part of a two stage 9,000m drilling program aimed at defining a Au/Cu oxide resource at El Pilar. Drill hole locations and depths have been determined utilising historical drilling data generated up in the 1990's, with the remaining drill hole locations to be determined following receipt of results from the 6 holes that have been drilled.

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Database integrity</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. Data validation procedures used. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Site visits</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. • If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A •
<i>Geological interpretation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. • Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. • The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. • The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. • The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A
<i>Dimensions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A •
<i>Estimation and modelling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. • The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. • The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. • Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). • In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. • Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. • Any assumptions about correlation between variables. • Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates. • Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. • The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A.
<i>Moisture</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A.
<i>Cut-off parameters</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mining factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
<i>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
<i>Environmental factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
<i>Bulk density</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
<i>Classification</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Discussion of relative accuracy/confidence</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i> • <i>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</i> • <i>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A •

Competent Person – Christian Grainger PhD. AIG

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results and observations is based on information reviewed by Dr Christian Grainger, a Competent Person who is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG). Dr Grainger is a Consultant to the Company and has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity being undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Dr Grainger consents to the inclusion of the Exploration Results based on the information and in the form and context in which it appears.

ABOUT ANTILLES GOLD LIMITED:

- Antilles Gold's strategy is to participate in the successive development of previously explored gold, silver, copper, and zinc deposits in mineral rich Cuba.
- The Company is at the forefront of the emerging mining sector in Cuba and expects to be involved in the development of a number of projects through its 49:51 mining joint venture with the Cuban Government's mining company, GeoMinera SA.
- Importantly, GeoMinera's 51% shareholding in the joint venture company reflects ownership and does not provide control of decisions at Board or Shareholder Meetings, where the two shareholders have equal votes.
- The joint venture agreement includes the requirement for all funds to be held in a foreign Bank account with the only transfers to Cuba being for local expenses, and for Antilles Gold to nominate all senior management.
- Antilles Gold is comfortable operating under the applicable law on Foreign Investment in Cuba which protects minority shareholdings, and the realistic Mining and Environmental regulations, and has been granted a generous fiscal regime by the Government which is supportive of its objectives.
- The near-term project of the joint venture company, Minera La Victoria SA, is the proposed development of the La Demajagua gold-silver open pit mine on the Isle of Youth in south-west Cuba which, based on geological modelling and metallurgical test work, is planned to produce approximately 65,000 tpa of concentrate, containing gold, silver, and antimony for 7 years.
- The current pipeline of additional projects with near-term development potential includes the El Pilar gold-copper oxide deposit overlying a very large copper-gold porphyry system, and the reopening of four previously producing copper-zinc mines. These properties in central Cuba will be explored initially by Antilles Gold prior to their transfer to a joint venture with GeoMinera for additional exploration and studies, and potential development to produce gold, silver, copper, and zinc concentrates.
- The joint venture partners intend to invest part of the expected profits from the La Demajagua mine to fund future mine developments, and an extensive exploration program of major targets, including the large El Pilar copper-gold porphyry system and the 40km long New Horizons VMS style polymetallic mineral belt. Both of these Concessions are held in an Exploration Agreement with GeoMinera.