

# High grade gold identified at the Maggie Hays Hill Project

## Highlights

- Multiple high grade gold assays reported for rock samples from quartz veins:
  - 15.6 g/t gold (MRK006)
  - 17.8 g/t gold MRK009)
  - 5.4 g/t gold (MRK013)
  - 4.1 g/t gold (MRK016)
  - 4.0 g/t gold (MRK010)
- Two separate gold lode systems (East and West) have been identified.
- Both lodes are open along strike and at depth.

Intra Energy Corporation Limited (**ASX: IEC**) ("**IEC**" or the "**Company**") is pleased to advise that it has received multiple high grade gold results from the current mapping and rock sampling program at the recently acquired Maggie Hays Hill Project ("**MHH**", "**Project**").

### Gold in Quartz Veins Identified at Surface


Mapping and rock sampling conducted in early February has identified high grade gold mineralisation associated with quartz veining within basalts at two locations in the southern part of the tenement. Rock chip samples were collected along historically identified trends specifically from the exposed quartz veins and vein walls (Table 1, Figures 1-3).

**Table 1:** Rock sample assay results.

Sample ID	Gold (ppm)	Lode	Sample ID	Gold (ppm)	Lode
MRK005	0.01	Central	MRK013	4.1	East
MRK006	15.6	West	MRK014	0.03	Central
MRK007	2.4	West	MRK015	0.01	Central
MRK008	2.8	West	MRK016	5.4	East
MRK009	17.8	West	MRK017	0.8	East
MRK010	4.0	West			



**Figure 1:** West Lode trench showing quartz sample MRK006 grading 15.7 g/t gold.



**Figure 2:** West Lode trench showing quartz sample MRK009 grading 17.8 g/t gold.

Two separate gold lodes (East and West) have been defined over 130 metres each, trending north-south roughly parallel with stratigraphy. Importantly, both lodes are open along strike to the north and south for approximately 300 metres in both directions (Figure 3). A review of the 2021 soil sampling results identified several areas of gold anomalism along strike that have not been followed up.

The gold targets investigated in this release include historical exploration on the western side of Maggie Hay Hill (Figure 3) where a five-hole RC drilling program identified 2 metres at 11 g/t gold, 1 metre at 1.7 g/t gold and 3 metres at 1.7 g/t in drill holes LJPC004, LJPC005 and LJPC0058 respectively (figure 3, Tables 3-5 appendix 1). Further exploration was planned but never completed.


On the eastern side of Maggie Hays Hill (East Lode) a historical 118 metre RC hole intersected 7 metres at 0.48 g/t gold including 1 metre at 1.5 g/t gold in mafic volcanic rocks. The gold is associated with disseminated sulphides and quartz.

### Further Work

The high-grade gold results at the West lode, East lode and the broader gold anomalism identified in the 2021 soil program will be followed up with infill soil sampling and field mapping to identify the scale of mineralisation and to test several historically identified anomalies that were never evaluated.

### IEC Managing Director, Ben Dunn, commented:

*“The identification of gold mineralisation at surface in outcropping quartz veins at the Maggie Hays Hill Project adds to the existing compelling lithium exploration plan to generate shareholder value. IEC intends to follow up both targets with vigour”.*



**Figure 3:** Overview of gold mineralisation area showing rock sampling results, vein trends and potential extensions to mineralisation.

## Maggie Hays Hill Project Background

The Maggie Hays Hill Project (MHH) is adjacent to the Norseman-Hyden Road and the Maggie Hays and Emily Anne nickel mines (Poseidon Mining) and camp at Windy Hill. The Project is accessible via well-formed tracks particularly the southern end. The geology consists of NNW trending extensively faulted mafic and ultramafic rocks bounded by younger granitic rocks to the west and east. The Project is prospective for lithium, nickel, and gold.


IEC recently announced the acquisition Maggie Hays Hill Lithium Project in the Lake Johnston region of Western Australia from Global Uranium Enrichment Limited who are now focused on American uranium projects.

The Project is 25 kilometres north of two separate spodumene lithium discoveries at Burmeister Hill (TG Metals) and Lake Medcalf (Charger Metals (Figure 4). Lithium mica (lepidolite) pegmatites also occur at Mt Day 10 kilometres North of the MHH Project. Recently, Rio Tinto has farmed into the Charger Metals tenements in the region, and in a related transaction, Charger Metals has acquired all of Lithium Australia's interests in their joint venture tenements.

Lithium spodumene targets include a series of pegmatite dykes outcropping along a 2-kilometre north-northwest trend. Geological mapping indicates that the dykes all occur adjacent to an

amphibolite ultramafic unit which can be traced for 7 kilometres across the tenement. Soil sampling geochemistry conducted in 2021 identified lithium anomalism adjacent to the 2-kilometre pegmatite trend and for a further 2.5 kilometres north of the outcropping pegmatites (I.E, along a 4.5-kilometre trend) (Figure 3).

There is also potential for pegmatites to the east and north. A key element of the lithium prospectivity is the presence of spodumene and lepidolite in the same mafic rock sequence to the north and south of the tenement indicating that there are multiple LCT fertile granitoid in the area.



**Figure 4:** Tenement location map overlaid on geology showing regional lithium deposits.

**This announcement has been approved for release by the Board of Intra Energy Corporation.**

**For further information:**

---

**Benjamin Dunn**

Managing Director

T: +61 (0) 412 559 918

E: [ben.dunn@intraenergycorp.com.au](mailto:ben.dunn@intraenergycorp.com.au)

**Chloe Hayes**

Investor Relations Manager

T: +61 (0) 458 619 317

E: [chloe@janemorganmanagement.com.au](mailto:chloe@janemorganmanagement.com.au)

**About IEC**

Intra Energy Corporation (ASX:IEC) is an environmentally responsible, diversified mining and energy group with a core focus on battery, base and precious metals exploration to support the global decarbonisation and electrification for the clean energy future.

IEC is currently focused on the development of three highly prospective and underexplored projects:

- Maggie Hays Hill Lithium Project – located in Western Australia near Esperance is an 80% owned joint venture cover 49 km<sup>2</sup> targeting lithium as spodumene, tantalum, niobium and Archean lode gold mineralisation.
- Llama Lithium Project – in the prolific James Bay Region of Québec, Canada, comprising 123 mineral claims for 63km<sup>2</sup>, with reported outcropping pegmatites.
- Yalgarra Project - located in Western Australia near Kalbarri is a 70% owned joint venture targeting the exploration of magmatic nickel-copper-cobalt-PGE mineralisation.

The Company combines many years of experience in developing major projects, along with a highly skilled board and a demonstrated track record of success.

**Competent Person Statement**

The Information in this report that relates to exploration results, mineral resources or ore reserves is based on information compiled by Mr Todd Hibberd, who is a member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Hibberd is a full-time consultant to the company. Mr Hibberd has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity that he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the JORC Code)'. Mr Hibberd consents to the inclusion of this information in the form and context in which it appears in this report.

## Appendix 1

**Table 3.** Historical drill hole positions and depths

Hole_ID	Northing_MGA51	Easting_MGA51	EOH Depth
LJC0262	6,424,959.00	271,024.00	96
LJC0263	6,425,050.00	271,165.00	150
LJC0264	6,425,154.00	271,332.00	114
LJPC0004	6,425,491.09	271,546.97	103
LJPC0005	6,425,560.08	271,463.97	110
LJPD0105	6,425,637.60	271,740.70	101.6
LJPC0057	6,425,492.08	271,481.97	100
LJPC0058	6,425,574.08	271,485.97	120
LJPC0059	6,425,508.08	271,569.96	100
LJPC0094	6,425,637.60	271,740.70	118

**Table 5.** Historical Assay results – significant intersections -gold

Hole_ID	From	To	Au_ppb	Hole_ID	From	To	Au_ppm
LJPC0004	25	26	3	LJPC0058	69	70	0.07
LJPC0004	26	27	9380	LJPC0058	70	71	0.24
LJPC0004	27	28	12700	LJPC0058	71	72	1.74
LJPC0004	28	29	66	LJPC0058	72	73	0.33
LJPC0004	29	30	18	LJPC0058	73	74	3.15
LJPC0005	25	26	4	LJPC0057	0	100	<0.01
LJPC0005	26	27	1640	LJPC0059	0	100	<0.01
LJPC0005	27	28	322				
LJPC0005	28	29	38				
LJPC0005	29	30	3				
LJPC0005	30	31	-1				
LJPC0094	51	52	2				
LJPC0094	52	53	159				
LJPC0094	53	54	372				
LJPC0094	54	55	859				
LJPC0094	55	56	287				
LJPC0094	56	57	1480				
LJPC0094	57	58	105				
LJPC0094	58	59	100				
LJPC0094	59	60	18				
LJPC0094	60	61	40				
LJPC0094	61	62	19				
LJPC0094	62	63	6				

## JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling Techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></li> <li><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></li> <li><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></li> </ul>	Twenty-four rock chip samples were collected from pegmatite and quartz outcrops mainly along the contacts with mafic rocks
<b>Drilling Techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of the samples were rock chip samples, no drill samples were collected.</i></li> </ul>	IEC has not undertaken any drilling at the Maggie Hays Hill project yet.
<b>Drill Sample Recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to maximize sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></li> <li><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></li> </ul>	IEC has not undertaken any drilling at the Maggie Hays Hill project yet and no drilling results are reported.
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></li> <li><i>Whether logging is qualitative or</i></li> </ul>	No logging was undertaken for this release

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></li> </ul>	
<b>Sub-sampling Techniques and Sample Preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></li> <li><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></li> <li><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximize representivity of samples.</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul>	<p>No drill sampling undertaken for this release.</p>
<b>Quality of Assay Data and Laboratory Tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></li> <li><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></li> <li><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The analytical techniques used Aqua Regia acid digest, or multi acid digest, Atomic adsorption Spectrophotometry for gold analysis and ICP MS or OES for multi-element analysis are considered suitable for the reconnaissance style sampling undertaken.</p> <p>Gold and multi-element analysis was carried out by four acid digest with ICP MS and OES analysis.</p> <p>All mineralised multi-element intervals have been digested and refluxed with a mixture of Acids including Hydrofluoric, Nitric, Hydrochloric and Perchloric Acids.</p> <p>Cu and Zn have been determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) Optical Emission Spectrometry.</p> <p>Ag, As, Mo, Pb, and Sb have been determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) Mass Spectrometry.</p> <p>Laboratory QAQC involves the use of internal lab standards using certified reference</p>

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		material, blanks, splits and replicates as part of the in house procedures.
<b>Verification of Sampling and Assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	No drilling results are included in this release.
<b>Location of Data Points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drillholes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	Handheld GPS Garmin 64's were used to locate the data positions, with an expected +/- 5m vertical and horizontal accuracy. The grid system used for all sample locations is the UTM Geocentric Datum of Australia 1994 (MGA94 Zone 51). GPS measurements of sample positions are sufficiently accurate for first pass geochemical sampling.
<b>Data Spacing and Distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li><i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul>	Data spacing was approximately 200-300 metres and is not sufficient to establish geological continuity.
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></li> <li><i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></li> </ul>	Samples were taken perpendicular to the pegmatite outcrops along the geological contacts.
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></li> </ul>	The samples were collected by the exploration manager and personally transported to the laboratory for analysis.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Audits or Reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></li> </ul>	No audit was undertaken for this release as the sample are for reconnaissance

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral Tenement and Land Tenure Status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Tenement E63/2039 granted to Okapi Resources limited (now Global Uranium Resources, GUE) on 25 May 2021. The tenement is in good standing.</p> <p>IEC entered into an agreement with GUE in January 2024 as detailed in this announcement to the ASX.</p> <p>There are no reserves or national parks to impede exploration on the tenure.</p> <p>IEC have agreed to the assignment of the GRU Standard Heritage Agreement with the Ngajdu native title claimant.</p>
<b>Exploration Done by Other Parties.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></li> </ul>	LionOre and predecessors conducted exploration on E63/2039 for nickel and gold between 2003 and 2006 drilled RC 8 holes and one diamond hole.
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralization.</i></li> </ul>	The tenement area is capable of hosting traditional nickel, base metal (Cu, Zn, Pb) and orogenic gold deposits found throughout greenstone belts of the Yilgarn Craton. As well as LCT pegmatites containing lithium minerals.
<b>Drillhole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drillholes:</i></li> <li><i>easting and northing of the drillhole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drillhole collar dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li><i>down hole length and interception depth hole length.</i></li> </ul>	No drilling was undertaken for this announcement.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Data Aggregation Methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></li> <li><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></li> <li><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul>	No data aggregation method were used to report results
<b>Relationship Between Mineralisation Widths and Intercept Lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drillhole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> </ul>	Not applicable.
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drillhole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	See maps in the body of the report.
<b>Balanced Reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced avoiding misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	All exploration results reported
<b>Other Substantive Exploration Data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<p>All meaningful data and relevant information have been included in the body of the report.</p> <p>Airborne Magnetics used as background for the presentation of soil results are from government magnetic datasets.</p>
<b>Further Work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-</i></li> </ul>	Additional sampling (including infill soil sampling) and surface mapping is planned for the coming months.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<p>out drilling).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<p>Electro-magnetic geophysical surveys and drilling will be planned subject to results.</p> <p>The images included show the location of the current areas of interest.</p>