21 June 2024



LAND ACCESS AGREEMENT EXECUTED & PROCESSING UPDATE

Aeon Metals Limited (ASX:AML) (**Aeon** or the **Company**) is pleased to announce that the Company has now executed the Cultural Heritage Protection Agreement (CHPA) with the Waanyi PBC and Waanyi People #3 Applicant. Execution of this Agreement allows Aeon to recommence activities at Walford Creek.

Highlights:

- Following extensive negotiations, Aeon has successfully secured a new Cultural Heritage Protection Agreement (CHPA) with the Waanyi PBC. This agreement governs the relationship between the Waanyi People and Aeon.
- Aeon will now be able to recommence activities at Walford Creek which will include both drilling of the Fish River Fault and its surrounds and ongoing project development alternative assessment.
- Aeon is finalising a drill program aimed at continuing to rapidly increase the Walford
 Creek project Resource to take advantage of project economies of scale. The Company
 will also target several copper rich prospective targets within the Amy resource (near
 WFDH548: 98m@1.54% Cu) and other untested highly prospective targets to
 supplement the high-grade Copper portion of its Resource.
- Aeon has also conducted a complete tenement review and is now finalising the sale and Joint Venture of a number of its large Mt Isa tenement package with two separate parties.
- Aeon continues to assess different processing alternatives at Walford Creek and at Mt Isa and has recently signed a non-binding MOU with SMAC Development for the potential sale of a portion of its Walford Creek Ore in the form of a Concentrate.

Commenting on the agreement, Aeon Executive Chair, Paul Harris, said:

"The Company welcomes the successful completion of negotiations with the Waanyi People resulting in the execution of the new Cultural Heritage Protection Agreement (CHPA). The terms of the Agreement provide a strong commitment to create mutual benefits while continuing to respect the traditional lands, activities, values and the rights of the Waanyi Traditional Owners. We thank the Waanyi People and the community for their support and assistance in finalising this agreement.

The resolution of this matter at this time means that exploration activities for the full 2024 dry season can be undertaken again after a one-year hiatus. The Company is now in the process of finalising a drill program to both increase the Walford Creek Resource whilst also targeting high grade copper across our project and surrounding tenements. Given the uniform nature of the geology along the Fish River Fault and the success of the 2022 drill program that resulted in a 65% increase in the Walford Creek JORC resource to 72.6Mt we are very confident we can replicate a similar program of resource identification and continue to grow the Walford Creek resource.

'The Company continues to assess different processing alternatives for the Walford Creek Orebody including talking to key stakeholders on processing Ore at Mt Isa whilst also assessing our commodity mix production and will update the market on progression as appropriate.

The Company has also recently signed a MOU with SMAC Development with the intention to negotiate the sale and purchase of concentrate from Walford Creek. SMAC is undertaking a Feasibility Study on taking the concentrate from Walford Creek to feed a SMAC-build common user process facility including an acid plant near Cloncurry. This is an exciting development for the region and one of a number of initiatives Aeon in pursuing in relation to its processing.'

This ASX release has been authorised by the Aeon Board:

For more information, please contact:

Investors Media
Paul Harris Michael Vaughan

Executive Chair Fivemark Partners info@aeonmetals.com.au +61 422 602 720

ABOUT AEON METALS

Aeon Metals Limited (**Aeon**) is an Australian based mineral exploration and development company listed on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX: AML). Aeon holds a 100% ownership interest in the Walford Creek Copper-Cobalt Project (**Walford Creek Project**) located in north-west Queensland, approximately 340 km to the north north-west of Mount Isa.

Aeon's vision: making a difference – creating sustainable value by delivering key metals driving the low carbon future.

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT 21 June 2024



Appendix 1: Competent Person's Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results for the Walford Creek Deposit is based on information compiled by Mr. Iain Miller who is a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists and who has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the "JORC Code"). Mr. Miller is a full-time employee of Aeon Metals Limited and consents to the inclusion in the announcement of Exploration Results in the form and context in which they appear.

Appendix 2: JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Walford Creek

Section 1 - Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria .	IORC Code explanation	Commentary
	channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representativity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g., 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g., submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	 WMC: 1986-1994 completed diamond core and RC drilling on nominal 400 x 40m grid spacing. The holes were generally drilled vertically to appropriately target the stratabound Pb-Zn mineralisation. Sampling procedures were in line with industry standards of the day (as documented in historic reports); all RC drilling was sampled at 1m intervals and the drill core was split/sawn into approximately 1m half-core samples. All samples were analysed inhouse by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry. Copper Strike: 2004-2005 RC drilling was completed to infill the existing grid by WMC. RC drilling was used to obtain continuous 1m samples. Dry samples were split at the rig and wet samples were speared. Approximately 2kg samples were weighed, dried, crushed and pulverised at a commercial laboratory for analysis by four-acid digest with an ICP finish. Aston to Aeon: 2010-2018 infill and extension diamond drilling with some RC precollars; good quality predominantly HQ core was obtained from which 1m sawn half-core samples were collected and weighed, dried, crushed and pulverised at a commercial laboratory for analysis by four-acid digest with an ICP finish. Drill core and RC sample recoveries were recorded in the database. All above grades (termed Ore Grade) were assayed as such via OG62 four-acid digest by ALS. Drill core sample recoveries were recorded in the database. 2016 saw metallurgical samples taken using quarter-cut HQ core and limited PQ. Aeon 2018: Genalysis Laboratory was used. The technique employed 4-acid digest with ICP finish and ore grade via four-acid digest (termed 4AH/OE by Intertek Genalysis). Aeon 2019 and 2021: ALS used and is employing a 4-acid digest with ICP finish and ore grade via four-acid digest (termed 4AH/OE by Intertek Genalysis). Where RC sampling has been undertaken, mostly for pre-collars, Aeon has utilised riffle splitting of 1m bagged sample passed through a cyclone. Where RC sampling was undertaken through ore zones,



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g., core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	 1986 to 1994 WMC: 45 Diamond holes 12,735m & 49 RC holes 3,678m; NQ & minor BQ Diamond drilling and RC, no mention of core orientation in any historic WMC report. 2004 to 2005 Copper Strike: 30 Reverse Circulation ("RC") holes 3,162m; RC drilling bit type/size not reported by CSE. 2010 to 2012 Aston Metals: 92 Diamond holes 14,929m; HQ Triple Tube Diamond drilling with some RC pre-collars. Core-oriented, where possible, by Reflex ACT tool and structural data recorded in the database. 2014 Aeon Metals Limited: 19 RC, RCDD and DD (Diamond) holes completed for 9021m. HQ Triple Tube Diamond drilling with some RC pre-collars. Core-oriented, where possible, by Reflex ACT 111 tool and structural data recorded in the database. 2016 to 2022 Aeon Metals Limited. Reverse Circulation (5.5-inch hammer bit) and Diamond Drilling (HQ Triple tube and minor PQ). Core-oriented, where possible, by Reflex ACT 111 tool and structural data recorded in the database. 2016 = 4,030 m - 28 holes 2017 = 6,865.65 m - 48 holes 2018 = 3,6032 m - 147 holes 2019 = 13,481.15 m - 60 holes 2021 = 8,951 m - 46 holes 2022 = 13,255 m - 33 holes
Drill sample recovery	 Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse 	 WMC: No known written record (however, any core loss intervals were recorded graphically in geological logs). Copper Strike: No written record. Copper Strike has noted some areas of poor sample recovery through mineralised zones due to high water pressure but noted that grades were comparable to WMC diamond drilling and therefore assumed any bias based on drilling technique and/or sample type was low. Aston and Aeon Metals: HQ Triple Tube drilling to improve recovery. Generally, >90%; lower recoveries can in some cases be associated with higher mineral grades attributed to hydrothermal brecciation & dissolution in the Dolomite Unit rather than drilling or sampling practice.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Logging	 Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	 2014 recoveries are considered to be better than 2012 recoveries. 2016 recoveries are considered the same or better than 2014. Shallow holes close to the fault generally have poorer recoveries. Recoveries of samples in the 2017, 2018, 2019, 2021, and 2022 drilling have been similar and are considered good with greater than 90% in 90% of all drilling. There is a minor inverse relationship between sample recovery and grade, this however is due to brecciation and dissolution rather than sample bias. WMC: Detailed hard-copy lithological logging of all holes transcribed by AML into an Access Database with a full set of logging codes acquired from BHP Billiton. Core photographs were taken but could not be recovered from the data archives. A few core photographs were made available to AML as scans. Copper Strike: Digital logging of all holes loaded into AML's Access database with a full set of logging codes acquired from Copper Strike. No chip tray photographs were made available. Aston and Aeon: Detailed digital geological and geotechnical logging of all holes with a full set of logging codes transcribed into an Access database; full set of core photographs. All logging has been converted to quantitative codes in the Access database. Some geotechnical logging of diamond drill core was undertaken in both 2018 and again in 2019 for geotechnical assessment for integration into mining studies.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	 If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representativity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ 	 All relevant intersections were logged. WMC: Split/sawn half core under geological control and no record for RC; 1m RC samples and half core samples of typically 1m, but as small as 0.25m sent for in-house lab assay. Copper Strike: Dry RC samples were riffle split and wet samples speared; 1m samples (of approximately 2kg) were sent to the commercial laboratory with appropriate sample prep process. Aston and Aeon: Company procedures for core handling documented in a flow sheet; sawn half core under geological control; 1m samples sent to commercial laboratory with appropriate sample prep. Company procedure for RC sample handling documented in flowsheet; bulk 1m samples in most cases rotary split from rig with only some riffle split; sample dried, crushed and pulverised to appropriate levels; use of field duplicates and quarter core checks were completed and indicated comparable results with the original samples.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	 material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	 In 2016 PQ and HQ core were collected for metallurgical samples. The sawn half core was submitted for metallurgical testing, from mineralised intervals, with the remaining half core sawn and quarter section samples sent for multi-element analysis at ALS. Ongoing gathering of metallurgical samples has continued in 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2021 where mineralised intercepts were encountered. All sampling methods and sample sizes are deemed appropriate. Sampling in 2017, 2018, 2019, 2021 and 2022 was conducted in the same manner as previous years.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	 The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	 WMC: In-house analysis by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (digest recorded as PBKRS) as cited in annual reports of the day by WMC. The relevant QA/QC was not reported and the drill core is no longer available. Copper Strike: Appropriate analytical method using a 4-acid digest with ICP finish with ore grade analysis for Cu, Pb, Zn & Ag. Assaying was carried out by ALS, an accredited laboratory. CSE did not make use of any standards or run duplicate samples for QA/QC. Aston Metals drilled 4 HQ Triple Tube diamond core twin holes with comparable results. Aston and Aeon pre-2017: analytical procedure documented as a flow sheet; Appropriate analytical method using a 4-acid digest with ICP finish. Ore grade analysis for Cu, Pb, Zn & Ag by OG62 method. Assaying was carried out by ALS, an accredited laboratory. Extensive QA/QC program with standards, blanks, laboratory duplicates & secondary lab checks. Acceptable outcomes. Aeon 2017 and 2018: analytical procedure documented as a flow sheet; Appropriate analytical method using a 4-acid digest with ICP finish. Ore grade analysis, where appropriate, for Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, S and as by 4AH/OE. Assaying was carried out by Intertek Genalysis in 2018, an accredited laboratory. 2019, 2021, and 2022 ALS acting as a main assaying laboratory. Genalysis doing checks. Extensive QA/QC as above. All assay methods for both Aston and Aeon were appropriate at the time of undertaking. Aeon has continued to undertake QA/QC including undertaking check analysis at a secondary laboratory.
Verification of sampling and assaying	 The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. 	 WMC: Hardcopy sampling and assay data have been compared with recent drilling work by Aston and Aeon. Aeon considers the data reliability to be reasonable. Copper Strike: Aston twinned 4 CSE holes to assess grade repeatability and continuity; results are comparable. All samples were submitted to an accredited laboratory, ALS. 1 hole was



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
	 Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, and data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	 removed from the database because the geological logging and assay results appeared significantly at odds with several surrounding holes. Aston: Site visit to review core confirms mineral intercepts; Twinned holes (4) to test RC drilling by Copper Strike; results are comparable. Aeon have core handling procedures as flow-sheets. Aeon: Site visit by H&SC to review core confirms mineral intercepts; Aeon uses the same core handling procedures, including similar data entry and logging as previous with the same codes. Aeon database managed by Elemental Exploration Pty Ltd using GEOBANK with all final data stored off-site. The spacing of drill holes is considered appropriate with closer spacing and in some cases crossing holes undertaken in 2018, 2019, 2021 and 2022 confirming grades in previous holes. 				
Location of data points	 Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	 WMC: Survey pickup of collar locations by EDM in 1992 and tied to the datum grid point at drillhole WFDD1. The precision of pickups was ±100mm with respect to the datum on average. The downhole survey method was not recorded; the database contains azimuth and dip readings every 30-50 m. Copper Strike: Drill hole location and orientation data determined by CSE staff. Collars were buried and therefore validation by subsequent Companies was not possible. Downhole survey methods were not recorded; the database contains azimuth and dip readings based on collar and end-of-hole measurements. Aston: DGPS on all AML holes in MGA94 Zone 54 grid projection by MH Lodewyk Surveyors, Mount Isa. AML also had WMC drill hole collar locations validated by DGPS with good accuracy. Downhole surveys were taken every 30m by REFLEX, EZI-SHOT. A detailed Digital Elevation Model (DEM) was generated by David McInnes, consulting geophysicist, as part of the process of developing the 2010 3D geological model. The DEM was generated using a combination of data from the drill hole collars (DGPS), the WMC Gravity survey (with a 3cm accuracy), with variable data point spacing of 100x100m – 500x500m, and high-resolution satellite data with an estimated 80 m accuracy. Aeon: DGPS on all previous Aeon drill holes in MGA94 Zone 54 grid projection by MH Lodewyk Surveyors, Mount Isa in September 2014. 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2021 holes have been picked up by DGPS by D Ericson at Diverse Surveyors, Mt Isa. In 2022, holes were picked up by DGPS by Jarrah Wren of Diverse Surveyors, Mt Isa. 				



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
		 Downhole surveys were generally taken every 30 m by REFLEX (ACT 111) EZI-SHOT or as ground conditions permitted. In 2018, Aeon commissioned ANC to carry out a Digital Terrain Model (DTM) over the Vardy and Marley deposits. 2018 Seismic Survey, shot points and geophone locations were surveyed by RPS using GDA 94, MGA Zone 55. 				
Data spacing and distribution	 Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	 Drillhole section spacing is 25 m to 50 m in the eastern section of the Marley-Vardy deposit, becoming 100m or greater in the west. On-section spacing is approximately between 20m to 80m. 100m spacing is appropriate for geological continuity, and 50m spacing allows for a reasonable assessment of grade continuity. 25 m by 20 m can lead to measured status depending on the continuity of both geology and grade. The new 2023 estimates for Amy/Le Mans are based on drill hole spacing comprised of localised 50m-spaced drilling extending to 100m along strike and 30-60 m down dip. Several of the 100m spaced cross sections comprise a single hole. Some holes have encroached closer than the nominal 25 m by 20 m due to hole deviation and also the necessity to relocate holes around geographical and or cultural features and or vegetation. Very limited sample compositing undertaken. 2018 Seismic, shot point and receiver spacing of 8 m on a 160-channel nominal spread were the selected parameters based on geological variables. 				
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	 Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	 Drilling generally achieved a high angle of intercept with the stratabound mineralisation but local variation due to folding has been logged. Any mineralisation related directly to structures with the same strike and dip of the Fish River Fault, has been intersected at a moderate angle. A broad alteration zone (with variable mineralisation) associated with both the stratabound mineral and the mineral proximal to the Fish River Fault has been intersected at reasonable angles. Drilling orientations are considered appropriate with no obvious bias. Holes have been steepened in recent drilling of the deeper PY3 but the angle of intercept is still considered appropriate. 2018 Seismic, 5 lines were orientated north-south (perpendicular to structure) and 1 line east-west (along strike). 				



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	 WMC: All assaying in-house. No documentation was available on sample security. Copper Strike: All assaying completed by ALS Townsville. No documentation was available on sample security. Aston and Aeon: RC chip samples in calico bags are sealed in polyweave bags. The drill core is contained in lidded core trays, strapped down and transported by a dedicated truck to Mount Isa. The core is cut and sampled by company employees in the Mount Isa core yard and sent directly to ALS Mount Isa where assaying is completed. After analysis, all samples are returned to Isa, stored in a lock-up shed and digitally archived. The core is stored in Mount Isa in a lock-up shed. Previously sections of massive sulphide were kept in secure cool storage. Aeon – recent core crush of -9mm has been kept in cryovac bags with a nitrogen flush prior to sealing. This is aimed at eliminating the requirement to use cold storage for the core. The remaining core is stacked on pallets and then plastic-wrapped prior to storage in a covered shed out of the weather. Visual inspection of the drill core continues to show that assay grades match mineral assay distribution. 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2021 Metallurgical samples comprised sawn quarter/half core completed at an appropriate facility in Mt Isa by Aeon personnel. Core was then bagged and cryovac using nitrogen to expel oxygen and then protected in Mt Isa prior to use in test work at other secure sites including at ALS. All drillcore in core trays is wrapped in plastic and strapped to pallets on-site at Walford and before transport to Mt Isa by either Aeon personnel in appropriate vehicles or via the local transport company from Doomadgee. This transport of core is considered satisfactory. Of note, a fire at the Aeon Metals core storage facility in Mt Isa in December 2022 resulted in the destruction of some early Walford Creek core and coarse rejects. 				
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	 WMC: Data transcribed from historic reports and subsequently validated by Aston with no material inconsistencies evident. Copper Strike: Supplied digital database checked by Aston against hard copy with no material discrepancies found. Aston: All data checked and validated prior to loading into the internal database by Aston geologists and external database managers. As part of the process of developing the geological model, Aston reviewed all the recent and historic data and considered it suitable for the purposes of resource estimation. A QA/QC audit by ALS found no major discrepancies in the assay data. 				



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
		 Aeon – all data now being received has undergone the same validation as used previously by Aston. A substantial QA/QC review has been completed by H&S Consultants as part of the resource estimate undertaken previously. A more recent rigorous QAQC review was undertaken by Aeon Metals database management consultants, Elemental Exploration Pty Ltd in February-March 2023 in support of the March 2023 resource estimation. QA/QC work continues to be undertaken as previously with check analysis undertaken at a different laboratory. 				



Section 2 - Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	 Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	 Walford Creek is located wholly within EPM 14220. The EPM is located 65km west-northwest of Doomadgee township and 340 km north-northwest of Mount Isa. Following a transfer of title (dated 12 March 2013) EPM 14220 is held 100% by Aeon Walford Creek Limited formerly Aston Metals (Qld) Limited and the previous Joint Venture Agreements no longer apply. The tenement currently consists of 41 sub-blocks. The tenement is a granted Exploration Permit for Minerals and no known impediments exist. As it currently stands, no Native Title claim is in existence over EPM 14220, however, AML continues to operate under the premises of the previous agreements negotiated with the Carpentaria Land Council Aboriginal Corporation "CLCAC" representing the Waanyi and Gangalidda-Garawa peoples and signed prior to commencement of exploration.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	 Numerous companies have explored within the tenement area, largely concentrating on the discovery of a significant stratabound lead-zinc system. More recently, companies have been focused on targeting copper mineralisation in the hanging wall of the Fish River Fault. All exploration is considered to have been completed to a reasonable standard by experienced companies in a professional manner. Most exploration work has been appropriate but there are minor issues on historic documentation. Previous exploration of the Walford Creek Prospect is summarised below:
		1984-1996 WMC
		 Re-evaluation of the Walford Creek area resulting in a major exploration program targeting Pb-Zn mineralisation near the Fish River Fault: Systematic grid-based mapping, rock chip and soil sampling. Detailed Tempest EM and aeromagnetic survey; gravity survey, 600-line km of SIROTEM. 45 diamond and 49 percussion holes totalling approximately 16,500 m of drilling on 400 and 800 m spaced drill hole fences. Isolated higher grade Pb-Zn-Cu-Ag intersections but no coherent economic Pb-Zn resource. Brief JV with MIMEX from 1995-1996. MIMEX completed CSAMT, EM and IP over 9 conceptual targets but no drilling.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		2004-2006 Copper Strike
		Exploration program targeting copper mineralisation at the Walford Creek Prospect in and along the Fish River Fault:
		A small RC drilling program was commenced in 2004 but curtailed prematurely due to the 2004-2005 wet season.
		A significant RC drill program was completed in 2005.
		 30 holes were drilled for a total of 3,162m, of which 60.7m was diamond cored. Estimation of an Inferred Mineral Resource for the Walford Creek Project of 6.5 million tonnes at 0.6% Cu, 1.6% Pb, 2.1% Zn, 25 g/t Ag and 0.07% Co.
		2010 to 2012 Aston Metals Limited
		 Exploration undertaken by Aston continued from the targeting approach adopted by Copper Strike in drilling along the Fish River Fault to test both the SEDEX lens and the associated copper/cobalt mineralisation close to the fault. Aston Metals drilled a total of 92 Diamond holes 14,929m; HQ Triple Tube Diamond drilling with some RC pre-collars. 2012 Indicated and Inferred Resources of 48.3 million tonnes at 0.39% Cu, 0.83% Pb, 0.88%
		Zn, 20.4 g/t Ag and 731 ppm Co.
		All subsequent work since June 2014 has been undertaken by Aeon Metals.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting, and style of mineralisation.	 At the Walford Creek Prospect structurally controlled, vein/breccia hosted or replacement Cu ± Co mineralisation, with minor Pb-Zn-Ag and stratabound, diagenetic Pb-Zn-Ag ± Cu mineralisation, are hosted in dolomitic and argillaceous sediments of the Paleoproterozoic Fickling Group, forming part of the Lawn Hill Platform stratigraphic sequence, along the eastwest to east-northeast trending, steeply south-dipping Fish River Fault. The mineralisation typically occurs as early diagenetic sphalerite-galena-(chalcopyrite) to late epigenetic chalcopyrite-(galena-sphalerite) associated with three stacked massive pyrite lenses and talus, hydrothermal and tectonic breccias in the hanging wall of the Fish River Fault.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
		 Mineralisation shows affinities to both early sediment-hosted SEDEX-type and late Mississippi Valley-type mineralisation styles. The wide diversity of mineralisation styles reflects multiple events in a long-lived re-activated structural setting that originated as a growth fault. Further interpretation of the geological model is ongoing and views will reflect the geological team's assessment as both the database grows in size and as the results are interpreted. Recent re-interpretation also shows strong analogies to some Zambian-style sediment-hosted copper deposits where elevated copper in association with high cobalt values is often characteristic. 				
Drill hole Information	 A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	 Exploration results have not previously been reported in the public domain as Aston Metals, the previous company, was privately listed. Information on the pre-2016 drill holes is included in the 2015 Resource Estimate Report. Summary Information pertaining to the completed 2018 drilling holes is contained in previous ASX releases. Summary Information pertaining to the completed 2019 drilling is contained in the body of the relevant 2019 ASX releases. Summary Information pertaining to the completed 2021 drilling is contained in the body of the relevant 2021 ASX releases. Summary Information pertaining to the completed 2022 drilling is contained in the body of the relevant 2022 and 2023 ASX releases. 				
Data aggregation methods	 In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade 	 Exploration results have not previously been reported in the public domain as Aston Metals, the previous company, was privately listed. Aeon has not undertaken any cutting of grades as it currently believes that all the grades 				
	truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades)	received are an accurate reflection of the sampled interval.				



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Com	mentary				
	 and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical 	• (Aeon has maintained realistic intervals of dilution when stating mineralised intercepts, however further refinement of what are considered realistic mining widths will be understood following further resource calculations. Copper equivalent (CuEq) values have been used for 2021 and 2022 drill hole results, reflecting the currently published processing flow sheet as per the 2021 scoping study (released 30/06/2021). The metal prices and assumed recovery parameters used for this are as follows:				
	examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.The assumptions used for any reporting		Metal Prices	USD/lb	Comments	Recovery assumptions after processing of bulk composite	
	of metal equivalent values should be		Copper	4.54		95%	
	clearly stated.		Lead	1.0	Assumption not recovered	0%	
			Zinc	1.36		92%	
			Cobalt	20.42		79%	
			Nickel	8.16		76%	
			Silver	27		82%	
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	 These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	t • [• • • • • • • • • •	he previous comp Drill hole angle rel ying stratabound teeper dipping e tratabound inter	any, was pative to massive so oigenetic are cepts are	orivately listed. ineralisation has been ulphide bodies with mineralisation proxin closer to true width n be apparent widths	rted in the public domain as Aston M n a compromise to accommodate the associated replacement breccias an nal to the Fish River Fault. Generally whereas epigenetic and/or overpr depending on drill angle. This is mod	e flat- nd the y, the inting
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and	• A	2013 Resource Est Appropriate maps Appropriate section From the 2016, 20	imation re and sections have to 17, 2018 a	port by H&SC for all vons have been provide been included for sore and 2019 drilling.	t of the mineralisation are included in work prior to 2014. The death of the 2016 and 2017 work to date of the significant intercepts recommended as an appendix in the control of the significant intercepts.	te. orded



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	appropriate sectional views.	relevant ASX releases
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practised to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	 Exploration results have not previously been reported in the public domain by Aston as the previous company was privately listed. All results reported on by Aeon are considered to be accurate and reflective of the mineralised system being drill tested.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	 Aeon believes that the results and data provided give a meaning and material reflection of the geological lithologies and structure being tested at Walford Creek. Metallurgical test work undertaken continues to show that acceptable levels of mineralisation for all the important elements can be satisfactorily extracted from Walford Creek mineralisation. More definitive metallurgical test work is ongoing.
Further work	 The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	Aeon's future exploration will focus on upgrading and expanding upon the current Inferred and Indicated Resource Estimates along with exploring the broader prospective region for a similar mineralisation style as at the Walford Creek Prospect, through further drilling.