

9 September 2019

ASX/TSX-V: JRV

OTC: JRVMF/FRA: IHS

Jervois Mining receives rock chip results from Kilembe Area, Uganda

HIGHLIGHTS

- * Detailed soil grid completed and all results received over Cu-Au mineralization within Kilembe area (2,265 soil and 441 rock chip samples). Cu-Au mineralization discovered has strike length over 1.7km along parallel structures identified from ground geophysics and is open to SW and NE.
- * 43 rock chip samples contain greater than 0.75 grams per tonne gold ("g/t Au"), including 17 samples with greater than 5.03 g/t Au and 7 samples with greater than 10.45 g/t Au (up to 18.15 g/t Au). As well, 25 rock chip samples contain greater than 0.11 percent copper ("% Cu"), including 11 samples greater than 1.46 % Cu (up to 37.8% Cu).
- * From soil samples, 621 contain greater than 11 parts per billion gold ("ppb Au"). Samples range up to 2.76 g/t Au, including 30 samples with greater than 51 ppb Au and 7 samples with greater than 0.2 g/t Au.
- * Although outcrop is limited in the area, 3 mineralized Cu-Au showings have been discovered (named Senator, Eagle and Bond). Highlights include: Senator (28 rock chip samples with greater than 0.85 g/t Au (up to 16.3 g/t Au, 7.8 % Cu and 1.06 g/t Au in soil) over a strike length of 300m; Eagle (3 rock chip samples greater than 1.7 g/t Au; up to 18.15 g/t Au and 37.8 % Cu and 2.76 g/t Au in soil) over a strike length of 45m; Bond (10 rock chip samples with greater than 0.75 g/t Au; up to 12.45 g/t Au and 7.1 % Cu) over a strike length of 700m.
- * Jervois Board has approved US\$1.5 million Ugandan exploration programme in Q4 2019, including US\$0.9 million for initial drilling in Kilembe at the Senator, Eagle and Bond Cu-Au showings, and US\$0.6 million for further drilling at Bujagali.
- * Initial drilling has concluded at Bujagali, which targeted copper and cobalt anomalies. Jervois will update shareholders in October 2019 once all results have been received.

Jervois Mining Limited. (the "Company" or "Jervois") (ASX:JRV) (TSX-V: JRV) (OTC: JRVMF) (FRA: IHS) is pleased to provide an update on its 2019 exploration at its Kilembe Area properties, and to confirm Board approval of the Q4 2019 programme.

A detailed soil grid has now been completed to cover the Cu-Au mineralization within the Kilembe area properties (2,265 soil and 441 rock chip samples).

The Cu-Au mineralization discovered within the Kilembe Area exploration licenses, now has a strike length of over 1.7km along parallel structures identified from ground geophysics and is open to the SW and NE. Three mineralized Cu-Au showings have been discovered (named Senator, Eagle and Bond). Highlights include: Senator (28 rock chip samples with greater than 0.85 g/t Au (up to 16.3 g/t Au, 7.8 % Cu and 1.06 g/t Au in soil) over a strike length of 300m; Eagle (3 rock chip samples greater than 1.7 g/t Au (up to 18.15 g/t Au and 37.8 % Cu and 2.76 g/t Au in soil) over a strike length of 45m; Bond (10 rock chip samples with greater than 0.75 g/t Au; up to 12.45 g/t Au and 7.1 % Cu).

From the rock chip sample results received, 43 samples contain greater than 0.75 grams per tonne gold ("g/t Au"), including 17 samples with greater than 5.03 g/t Au and 7 samples with greater than 10.45 g/t Au (up to 18.15 g/t Au). As well, 25 rock chip samples contain greater than 0.11 percent copper ("% Cu"), including 11 samples greater than 1.46 % Cu (up to 37.8% Cu). From the 2,265 soil sample results received, 621 samples contain greater than 11 parts per billion gold ("ppb Au"), up to 2.76 g/t Au (including 30 samples with greater than 51 ppb Au and 7 samples with greater than 0.2 g/t Au). The results are shown in Figure 1 and Table 1.

Figure 1: Kilembe area Cu-Au Occurrences, Rock Chip & Soil Samples




Table 1: Rock Chip Sample Highlights*

Sample Number	Type of Sample	Exploration Licence	Cu-Au Occurrence	Rock Type	Au g/t Au	Ag ppm	Co ppm	Cu ppm	Cu %
X564733	Rock Chip	EL1736	Eagle	Meta-Sediment	18.15	47.0	24	378,000	37.80
X564729	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	16.30	0.7	20	36,300	3.63
X564730	Rock Chip; Duplicate	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	14.15	1.2	66	77,900	7.80
X569913	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	12.80	0.6	130	17,950	1.80
X569941	Rock Chip	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	12.45	20.0	2	39,500	3.95
A0300332	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	10.90	0.4	8	1,420	0.14
X564638	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	10.45	0.6	157	3,500	0.35
A0300275	Rock Chip	EL1736	No Occur	Meta-Sediment	7.81	-	37	77	
A0306906	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	7.58	0.6	10	1,440	0.14
X564734	Rock Chip	EL1736	Eagle	Meta-Sediment	6.85	23.7	35	246,000	24.60
X569914	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	6.75	0.6	18	2,750	0.27
X569912	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	6.69	0.5	62	14,550	1.46
X564637	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	6.61	0.5	19	1,450	0.14
X569936	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	6.34	0.3	14	925	0.09
A0306902	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	6.30	0.7	35	1,840	0.18
X569927	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	6.20	0.4	33	1,260	0.12
X569934	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	5.03	-	10	378	
A0306907	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	4.92	0.9	10	244	
X569937	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	4.91	0.3	10	3,900	0.39
A0300026	Rock Chip	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	4.27	-	4	54	
A0300234	Rock Chip	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	4.05	0.4	2	911	0.09
X569918	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	3.99	-	12	297	
A0300270	Rock Chip; Duplicate	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	3.98	5.9	3	28,600	2.86
X569931	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	3.78	0.5	18	586	
X569924	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	3.68	0.2	25	281	
A0306909	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	2.99	-	4	273	
A0300333	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	2.80	0.2	4	255	
X564728	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	2.67	0.2	39	5,950	0.60
X569919	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	2.35	-	26	137	
A0300303	Rock Chip	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	2.24	6.1	5	30,000	3.00
X569933	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	2.21	0.3	3	250	
X569921	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	1.89	-	5	107	
X564642	Rock Chip	EL1736	Eagle	Meta-Sediment	1.69	-	13	137	
X569935	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	1.64	0.3	3	224	
A0306908	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	1.58	0.4	7	58	
A0306910	Rock Chip; Duplicate	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	1.47	-	4	280	
A0300331	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	1.23	-	8	67	
A0300268	Rock Chip	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	1.20	13.8	2	70,700	7.10
A0300269	Rock Chip	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	0.99	5.2	4	35,200	3.52
A0300307	Rock Chip	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	0.95	0.3	7	299	
X569923	Rock Chip	EL1736	Senator	Meta-Sediment	0.85	-	108	744	
A0300301	Rock Chip	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	0.78	0.7	21	8,030	0.80
A0300302	Rock Chip	EL1736	Bond	Meta-Sediment	0.75	1.2	10	6,480	0.65

* Rock chip samples are by their nature selective and are not necessarily indicative of the general geology or grade within the property.

Drilling has concluded at Bujagali, which targeted the Waragi, copper, cobalt anomalies. Jervis expects final results during October and will update shareholders once available.

The Jervis Board has also approved the Q4 2019 exploration programme for Uganda. For the Kilembe area properties this includes further rock chip and soil sampling, ground geophysics (magnetics) and 2,500 metres of drilling, at a cost of US\$0.9 million.

A further 2,500 metres of drilling is also planned at Bujagali at a cost of US\$0.6 million, with total Q4 forecast expenditure of US\$1.5 million.

Quality Assurance

All rock and soil samples are sent to ALS Chemex South Africa (Pty) Ltd., an independent and fully accredited laboratory in South Africa for analysis for gold multi-element Induction Coupled Plasma Spectroscopy. Jervois also has a regimented Quality Assurance, Quality Control program where at least 10% duplicates and blanks are inserted into each sample shipment.

For further information, please contact:

Investors and analysts:

Bryce Crocker
Chief Executive Officer
Jervois Mining
bcrocker@jervoismining.com.au
Office: +61 3 9583 0498

Media:

Nathan Ryan
NWR Communications
nathan.ryan@nwrcommunications.com.au
Mob: +61 420 582 887

Competent Person's Statement

The information in this release that relates to Mineral Exploration is based on information compiled by David Selfe who is full time employee of the company and a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and Dean Besserer, P.Geol. who is a consultant to the company and a member of The Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of Alberta. Both David Selfe and Dean Besserer have sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralization and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. David Selfe and Dean Besserer consent to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

Disclosure required for TSX-V Regulations

Qualified Person's Statement

The technical content of this news release has been reviewed and approved by Dean Besserer, P.Geol., the Technical Advisor of the Company and a Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101

Neither TSX Venture Exchange nor its Regulation Services Provider (as that term is defined in policies of the TSX Venture Exchange) accepts responsibility for the adequacy or accuracy of this release.

This news release may contain certain “Forward-Looking Statements” within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and applicable Canadian securities laws. When used in this news release, the words “anticipate”, “believe”, “estimate”, “expect”, “target”, “plan”, “forecast”, “may”, “schedule” and other similar words or expressions identify forward-looking statements or information. These forward-looking statements or information may relate to exploration work to be undertaken in Uganda, the reliability of third party information, and certain other factors or information. Such statements represent the Company’s current views with respect to future events and are necessarily based upon a number of assumptions and estimates that, while considered reasonable by the Company, are inherently subject to significant business, economic, competitive, political and social risks, contingencies and uncertainties. Many factors, both known and unknown, could cause results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results, performance or achievements that are or may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The Company does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update these forward-looking statements or information to reflect changes in assumptions or changes in circumstances or any other events affecting such statements and information other than as required by applicable laws, rules and regulations.

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> 	<p>Sampling to date includes 807 diamond drill samples (from 9 diamond core drill holes); 17,798 soil samples; 2471 rock samples, 26 Heavy Mineral Concentrates; 25 stream silt samples; 1258 trench samples (rock); and, 379 trench samples (soil).</p> <p>All drill core was generally sampled on 1m intervals, contingent on geology and core recovery:</p> <p>Core was collected directly from the core barrel into core boxes, and Core samples were split in half, with the top half of the core analysed and other half retained as reference core in the tray. Core trays were clearly labelled with the hole number, tray number and metre intervals marked. Bottom-of-hole orientation line was marked prior to geological logging and sampling.</p> <p>Soil samples (B Horizon) are collected using a pick and spade to dig small pits which are filled back in after the sample is collected. The samples are collected in 4x6' kraft bags and closed/sealed with a zip tie. All sample information is recorded on hand-held devices utilizing the Fulcrum App. ALS Sample tag books are utilized for sample identifiers which are scanned and/or entered manually. The sample identifier is written on the bag and a tag is placed in the bag. Sample and site photos are recorded at every site. Devices are downloaded daily are all information is stored to the cloud.</p> <p>Rock samples (typically grab samples) are collected using a rock hammer. The samples are selective and are not necessarily indicative of mineralization. The samples are collected in 12x20 plastic ore bags and closed/sealed with a zip tie. All sample information is recorded on hand-held devices utilizing the Fulcrum App. ALS Sample tag books are utilized for sample identifiers which are scanned and/or entered manually. The sample identifier is written on the bag and a tag</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> 	<p>is placed in the bag. Sample and site photos are recorded at every site. Devices are downloaded daily are all information is stored to the cloud.</p> <p>Samples were cut along the orientation line before being correctly placed back into the tray. The half-core was sampled, ensuring that the same side is consistently sampled, and placed into sample bags labelled with the assigned sample number. Orientation lines are determined using a Reflex ACTIII orientation tool. Downhole measurements are recorded using a Reflex EZ-Gyro Kit at multiple intervals down each hole and always at the end of every hole.</p> <p>Field sampling followed Jervois protocols including industry standard quality control procedures.</p> <p>All samples were sent to ALS Chemex South Africa (Pty) Ltd., an independent and fully accredited laboratory in South Africa (“ALS”) for analysis for gold multi-element Induction Coupled Plasma Spectroscopy (“ICP”). Jervois also has a regimented Quality Assurance, Quality Control (“QA/QC”) programme where at least 10% duplicates and blanks are inserted into each sample shipment.</p> <p>Sample representativity is ensured by:</p> <p>Diamond Core: For all drilling core was halved for sub-sampling with a diamond saw. Sample intervals range from 0.1 to 1 m in length, with majority of samples assayed over 1 m intervals.</p> <p>Rock grab samples are by their nature selective and are not necessarily indicative of the general geology of the property.</p> <p>Handheld XRF instruments were used to spot check rock grab and/or drill core for mineralization, however those results were not relied on. All sample results reported on are from ALS Chemex South Africa (Pty) Ltd. Drill holes were lined with PVC piping and in most holes, downhole Electromagnetics were completed after drilling was complete.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<p>All of the drilling was diamond drill core (HQ/NQ). Typically, drill core was sampled on nominal 1m half core samples.</p> <p>All sample analyses were completed at ALS Chemex South Africa (Pty) Ltd. and/or ALS Chemex Vancouver, Canada. ALS is a global independent laboratory which is ISO accredited.</p> <p>Samples are received at the laboratory: Bar codes are scanned and logged; samples are weighed and dried; samples are crushed and pulverized (-180 mesh soils; -75microns rocks) then riffle split; all samples are analyzed for 35 elements using ICP-AES and gold using 30 gram fire assay for soils and 50 gram Fire assay for rocks, both with an AA finish. Any samples with over-limits specific to base metals or gold are re-analyzed.</p>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<p>HQ casing/coring within saprolite yet the majority of the core was NQ</p> <p>Holes were generally angled from 60 to 90 degrees at varying azimuths. Reflex Orientation tool was used for structural orientations, and depths varied from 8.85m to 243m.</p>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<p>All holes are teched and all intervals are measured for recovery and RQD's are calculated. Recovery % recorded in the geotechnical records as equivalent to the length of core recovered, as a percentage of the drill run.</p> <p>Excellent recoveries were obtained from Diamond drilling.</p> <p>There is no bias noted between sample recovery and grade. Excellent recoveries were obtained from Diamond drilling.</p>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. 	<p>Diamond drilling:</p> <p>Drill core is photographed and logged prior to sampling;</p> <p>Core has been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. • The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<p>appropriate to support mineral resource estimation and mining studies.</p> <p>Logging has been conducted both qualitatively and quantitatively; full description of lithologies, alteration and comments are noted, as well as percentage estimates on veining and sulphides.</p> <p>In total, 2027 m of diamond drill core have been completed. All drill holes are logged in their entirety.</p>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<p>Core was half-cut lengthwise using a diamond saw along the orientation line. The half-core was sampled, generally on metre intervals.</p> <p>Samples are received at the laboratory: Bar codes are scanned and logged; samples are weighed and dried; samples are crushed and pulverized (-75 microns rocks) then riffle split; all samples are analyzed for 35 elements using ICP-AES and gold using 50 gram Fire assay with an AA finish. Any samples with over-limits specific to base metals or gold are re-analyzed.</p> <p>For core sampling the same side is consistently sampled, half-core with the bottom of hole line is retained in the tray. The assay sub- sample is placed into sample bags labelled with the assigned sample number.</p> <p>One in 20 samples is duplicated where the core is quartered and a quarter cut sample is analysed as a duplicate. The remaining quarter samples is retained in the tray.</p> <p>Sample sizes of 2-3 kg are appropriate for the grain size of material. The sample preparation technique and sample sizes are considered appropriate to the material being sampled.</p>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and</i> 	<p>The ICP-AES and Fire Assay (50 gram) are considered total and are high quality.</p> <p>Jervois has a regimented Quality Control protocol which has consisted of</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<p>systematic submission of blanks and duplicates in addition to those conducted at the laboratory.</p> <p>Precision levels for all blank and duplicate samples fell within acceptable ranges.</p>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<p>Since no significant intersections have been reported, independent verification has not yet been necessary.</p> <p>No holes have been twinned.</p> <p>Data is collected using a customized version of the Fulcrum app. The data is backed up systematically on and off site as well as on the cloud. As well, data is recorded using a master Microsoft Office Excel spreadsheet and all location and assay data are compiled in a Microsoft Office Access database.</p> <p>All data below detection limit have been entered as zero.</p> <p>Samples received damaged at the laboratory, or with insufficient sample weight for analysis had the interval or location left blank, but in general were re-sampled and/or re-collected (specific to soils and rock grab samples).</p>
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<p>All collars were surveyed by trained surveyors using a Leica Differential GPS. Down-hole surveys were routinely carried out on all holes using a Reflex EZ-Gyro Kit. Trenches and surface samples were recorded using handheld GPS.</p> <p>All datum is collected and recorded in UTM WGS 1984.</p> <p>The 3D location of the individual samples is considered to be adequately established, consistent with accepted industry standards.</p>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> 	<p>To date, due to the exploratory nature of the drilling, the spacing is highly variable. Similarly, rock grab sample spacing is random. Soil samples are collected in grids designed at varying spacings from >350m to 25m spaced samples.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<p>To date all exploration is exploratory and data spacing would not be considered sufficient to establish a Mineral Resource or Ore Reserve Estimation.</p> <p>Not applicable.</p>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<p>Drilling sections are orientated perpendicular to the strike of the host rocks. Drill holes were inclined between 60° and 90° to optimize intercepts of mineralisation with respect to thickness and distribution.</p> <p>Drilling with angled and vertical holes in most instances provides a representative sample across the stratigraphy.</p>
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<p>All individual samples are bagged and sealed with a zip tie. Then individual samples are bagged in poly woven sacks and sealed with coded security seals. The laboratory reports all the security seals numbers to Jervois and any problems with the samples. To date, no sample shipments have had reported problems and/or a breach in security.</p>
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<p>Jervois protocols consist of a regimented internal QA/QC which match or exceed global industry standards. Thus far, due to the exploratory nature of the programme, no audits or external reviews have been conducted.</p>