



**ASX RELEASE** 

6th December 2022

ASX:AEE

AIM:AURA

# Australia's Leading Nuclear Science Organisation Confirms The Quality of Tiris Project Uranium Product

### **KEY POINTS:**

- Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO) Minerals confirms that yellowcake product from the Tiris uranium project in Mauritania meets ASTM International specifications<sup>1</sup>.
- Key milestone in moving towards the first phase of production at the Tiris project
- Opens door to marketing discussions with leading nuclear utilities and converters

Aura Energy Limited (ASX: AEE, AIM: AURA) is pleased to announce the successful production of yellowcake product from beneficiation pilot plant concentrate samples from the Tiris Uranium Project in Mauritania. The samples used in this program were announced on 23 June 2022. The production of yellowcake in the form of uranium oxide concentrate (UOC) from the samples is a key milestone as the Company moves towards near-term Phase 1 uranium production.

The result successfully completes the uranium recovery test work program at the ANSTO laboratory and indicates that Tiris production could be sold in the international market as a high-standard product without penalties<sup>1</sup>.



Figure 1 – ANSTO Produced Yellowcake from Tiris Project. See attachment 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ASTM C967-20, "Standard Specification for Uranium Ore Concentrate"

### Aura's Managing Director, Dave Woodall, commented:

"The successful production of yellowcake from material upgraded in the pilot plant is a significant step for Aura Energy. It opens the door to commercial discussions with nuclear utilities, demonstrates the simple processing parameters required for uranium oxide production at Tiris and provides a strong technical basis for moving the project forward. This will allow us to focus on operating efficiencies as we progress to a final investment decision."

This ASX Release is authorised by the Aura Energy Board of Directors.

For Further Information, please contact:

**Company** David Woodall Managing Director and CEO

info@auraenegy.com.au

### Aura Energy Limited

Suite 1, Level 3, 62 Lygon Street Carlton South, VIC 3053

### About Aura Energy (ASX : AEE, AIM AURA)

Aura Energy is an Australian-based minerals company that has major uranium and polymetallic projects with large resources in Africa and Europe.

The Company is now focused on uranium production from the Tiris Project, a major greenfields uranium discovery in Mauritania, with Aura announcing a Resource Upgrade in August 2021 of 10% or 5.0 million lb  $U_3O_8$  bringing the total JORC Resource to 56 Mlbs (at a 100 ppm U3O8 lower cut-off grade).

Aura also completed a capital estimate update for the Tiris Definitive Feasibility Study, to reflect current global pricing, with these 2021 figures reconfirming Tiris as one of the lowest capex, lowest operating cost uranium projects.

In October 2021, the Company entered a US\$10m Offtake Financing Agreement with Curzon, which includes an additional up to US\$10m facility, bringing the maximum available under the agreement to US\$20m.

In 2022, Aura will continue to transition from a uranium explorer to a uranium producer, to capitalise on the rapidly growing demand for nuclear power as the world continues to shift towards a decarbonised energy sector.

### **Disclaimer Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

This ASX announcement (Announcement) contains various forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to uncertainties in that they may be affected by a variety of known and unknown risks, variables and factors which could cause actual values or results, performance, or achievements to differ materially from the expectations described in such forward-looking statements. The Company does not give any assurance that the anticipated results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied in those forward-looking statements will be achieved.

### **Notes to Project Description**

The Company confirms that the material assumptions underpinning the Tiris Uranium Production Target and the associated financial information derived from the Tiris production target as outlined in the Aura Energy release dated 18 August 2021 for the Tiris Uranium Project Definitive Feasibility Study continue to apply and have not materially changed.

The Tiris Uranium Project Resource was released on 27 August 2021 "Resource Upgrade of 10% - Tiris Uranium Project". The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the relevant market announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed.

Concerning the Resource statements, there is a low level of geological confidence associated with the inferred mineral resource and there is no certainty that further exploration work will result in the determination of indicated measured resource or that the production target will be realised.

# Attachment 1

Tiris UO<sub>4</sub> precipitate impurity concentrations with reference to ASTM standards limit without penalty or rejection. The results generated from bulk metallurgical test work program at ANSTO Minerals on concentrate samples from beneficiation pilot program (see JORC Table 1 below and ASX Release: 23<sup>rd</sup> June 2022)

	UO₄ wt% U	Limit Without	Limit Without
	Basis	Penalty	Rejection
As	<0.001	0.05	0.10
В	<0.07	0.01	0.10
Ca	<0.001	0.05	1.00
К	0.06	0.20	2.00
Mg	<0.001	0.02	0.50
Мо	<0.001	0.10	0.30
Na	0.07	0.50	7.50
Р	<0.07	0.10	0.70
S	0.13	1.00	4.00
Si	<0.04	0.23	1.17
Ti	<0.001	0.01	0.05
v	0.02	0.06	0.30
Zr	0.01	0.01	0.10
Cl	0.02	0.05	0.10
F	<0.004	0.01	0.10

# Aura Energy Limited

Suite 1, Level 3, 62 Lygon Street Carlton South, VIC 3053

# **APPENDIX 1** JORC Code 2012 Table 1 Appendix 5A ASX Listing Rules 2022 Tiris Vanadium Resource Estimate

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The data on which this resource estimate is based is from 5 field sampling programmes:         <ol> <li>An air-core (AC) drilling programme in 2010/11 with grade estimation by chemical analysis of drill samples</li> <li>An AC drilling programme at Lazare in 2012 with grade estimation by chemical analysis of drill samples</li> <li>An AC drilling programme at Sadi in 2015 with grade estimation by chemical analysis of drill samples</li> <li>An AC drilling programme at Sadi in 2015 with grade estimation by chemical analysis of drill samples</li> <li>An AC drilling programme in 2017 with grade estimation by downhole gamma logging</li> <li>A diamond drilling (DD) programme with grade estimation by both chemical analysis of core and by downhole gamma logging, for validation purposes.</li> <li>The 2011/12 drilling was the basis of 2 previous Resource Estimation exercises (ASX release: announcement 14 July 2011 "First Uranium Resource in Mauritania – 50 million pounds", &amp; ASX release: 16 July 2014 "Reguibat Uranium Project Scoping Study Complete). The 2018 resource estimation exercise has been aimed at upgrading a substantial portion of Inferred Resource to a higher resource category.</li> <li>The 2011/12 drilling was at a spacing of 50m x 100m drilled to define Indicated Resources.</li> <li>AC drill cuttings were riffle split on site to extract approx. 2 kg samples for assay for the downhole intervals 0 to 0.5m, 0.5 n.0.0m, 1 to 2m, &amp; thereafter in 1m intervals to end of hole.</li> <li>Down hole gamma logging in 2017 was by 2 down-hole Auslog gamma sondes operated by Poseidon Geophysics (Pty) Ltd based in Gaborone Botswana using 3 geophysicists employed by Poseidon geophysics</li> <li>The 2 sondes were sent to the Department of Environment, Water &amp; Natural Resources, Adelaide South Australia for calibration prior to the survey</li> </ol></li></ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drilling techniques	<ul> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>AC drilling in all programmes was conducted by Wallis Drilling of Perth WA using a Mantis drillrig and NQ size bit (outer diameter 75.7 mm). AC drilling Diamond drilling (DD) was carried out by Capital Drilling Mauritanie SARL utilising triple tube PQ coring (122.6 mm outer diameter bit, 85 mm diameter core). In 2017 1484 vertical drillholes were gamma logged of which 1428 were AC drillholes and 56 were cored diamond drillholes.</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In 2011/12/15 AC drilling the total drill return for each sample interval was bagged and weighed to an accuracy of approximately 0.25 kg to estimate sample recovery.</li> <li>Efforts were made to minimise dust loss, eg in most holes the first metre was drilled without applying compressed air, and thereafter minimum air necessary to lift the sample was applied.</li> <li>No relationship between estimated recovery and uranium grade was observed.</li> <li>In view of the ultrafine grain size of the uranium mineral carnotite, even where high recoveries were recorded, it is possible that some carnotite was lost in dust emitted from the drillrig cyclone resulting in underestimation of uranium grade.</li> <li>2017 AC drillholes were not physically sampled.</li> <li>All drillcore was transported in covered core trays to Nouakchott for geological logging, density determination, and core cutting.</li> <li>Drillcore lengths were measured to an accuracy of c. 1 cm immediately on removal from the core barrel to determine &amp; record core recovery.</li> <li>Given the ultra-fine grained nature of the carnotite mineralisation, loss of uranium is likely in any core runs recording less than 100% recovery, and even where 100% recovery is recorded it is possible some loss of carnotite may have occurred.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In 2011/12/15 AC drilling each sample interval was geologically logged by an onsite geologist and drill logs were uploaded to Aura's database managed by Reflex Hub in Perth. A sample of sieved &amp; washed chips for each sample interval was retained in chip trays for reference.</li> <li>In 2017 AC drilling only the bottom hole sample was geologically logged, and a sample retained in chip trays.</li> <li>Drillcore was photographed, geologically logged and logs were recorded on Aura's logging template and uploaded to Aura's database managed by Reflex Hub in Perth. 385 density measurements (which included 25</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		duplicate determinations) were taken on drillcore by ALS Laboratories in Nouakchott under the supervision of Aura's geologist.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2011/12/15 AC drill samples were riffle split on site to provide a minimum 2 kg sample for assay and a duplicate split for reference and possible umpire analysis.</li> <li>Duplicates, blanks, and standards were inserted in the assay sample stream at regular intervals as detailed in the next section.</li> <li>Drillcore was cut in half longitudinally by diamond saw by ALS Laboratories after marking up by, and under the supervision of, an Aura geologist.</li> <li>For each half-metre of core half-core was bagged for assay</li> <li>Given the fine-grained nature of the uranium minerals these sample sizes are appropriate</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2011/12 AC drill samples were submitted to Stewart Laboratories sample preparation facility near Zouerate in Mauritania (In 2012 Stewart Laboratories became part of ALS Laboratories). Samples were crushed by jaw crusher to -12mm and 1kg was riffle split for pulverising to +85% passing 75 microns. An c. 100g split was bagged and sent to Stewart Laboratories in Ireland for analysis by pressed pellet XRF. Previous analysis comparing different analytical methods (XRF, ICP, DNC) had indicated that XRF is an accurate method on this material, if an x-ray band is selected for measurement that is not affected by the presence of strontium, and this was done. This method will measure total uranium. 2015 AC drill samples were submitted to ALS Laboratories sample preparation facility in Nouakchott Mauritania. Samples were crushed by jaw crusher to -12mm and 1kg was riffle split for pulverising to +85% passing 75 microns. An c. 100g split was bagged and sent to ALS Global in Ireland for analysis by ALS method MC-ICP61 after 4-acid digestion. This method will measure near total uranium.</li> <li>Bagged ½ core was prepared by ALS Laboratories Nouakchott by Method Prep 22</li> </ul>
		Laboratories Nouakchott by Method Prep 22 (Crush to 70% less than 6mm, pulverize entire sample to better than 85% passing 75 microns). An c. 100g sample of pulp was split off using mini-riffle splitter, placed in sample envelope and forwarded by air to ALS in Ireland for uranium analysis by ALS Method U-MS62 (U by ICP-MS after 4 acid digestion). 4 acid

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		digestion provides near total extraction.
		<ul> <li>Downhole gamma logging was performed by 2 down-hole Auslog gamma sondes comprising:         <ul> <li>DLSS Winch Controller</li> <li>W600-1 12V Portable Winch</li> <li>A075 Natural Gamma Tool</li> </ul> </li> <li>Logging procedures involved:         <ul> <li>Drill holes were gamma logged as soon as possible after drilling to avoid radon build-up.</li> <li>Each borehole logged in both directions to verify consistency</li> <li>Logging speed: 2 metres per minute</li> <li>Sampling interval: 1 cm</li> <li>At least one hole was re-logged after each 20 holes as a repeatability check.</li> <li>A reference hole was established and relogged every 2 days as a check on consistency</li> <li>Gamma logging procedures &amp; interpretation were supervised by consultant David Wilson who qualifies as a Competent Person in these matters.</li> </ul> </li> <li>QAQC procedures for the 2011/12 AC drilling comprised, on average:         <ul> <li>Field duplicates assays: 1 in every 12 samples</li> <li>Blanks: 1 in every 31 samples</li> <li>Umpire assays: 1 in every 11 samples</li> <li>Umpire analysis was carried on 427 sample intervals. For each of these the original pressed pellet XRF sample assayed by ICP by Stewart Labs and also by XRF by ALS Labs and by ICP by ALS.</li> <li>Certified Reference material: 1 in every 129 samples</li> <li>Total QAQC samples: 1 in every 5 samples</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
		Accuracy & precision were within acceptable limits.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Approximately 2,675 drillholes were used in this Resource Estimate. In 1484 of these U grades was determined by downhole gamma logging, and in the remainder U grade was determined by chemical assay. This provides verification of average grades. 57 diamond drillholes were both gamma logged and chemically assayed for validation purposes.</li> <li>To test for radioactive disequilibrium 204 samples were sent to either Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO) in Australia or the Activation Laboratories (Actlabs) in Canada for equilibrium determinations. Results were compiled and</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul> <li>concluded that a factor of 1.29 needs to be applied to all raw gamma grades to provide the correct U grade. Diamond drillcore assaying confirmed the appropriateness of this factor.</li> <li>All drillhole data recorded was uploaded to Aura's online database managed by Reflex Hub. Analyses were forwarded directly from the laboratories to Reflex Hub for incorporation in the database.</li> </ul>
Location of data points	<ul> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2011/12 drillhole collars were surveyed by handheld GPS with reported accuracy of +/- 3 metres.</li> <li>All 2017 drillhole collars were surveyed by differential surveying conducted by IRC- Magma to an accuracy of +/- 20 cm in all dimensions.</li> <li>The grid projection used is UTM WGS84 Zone 29N</li> <li>An independent check on topography was provided by satellite data provided by PhotoSat of Vancouver to an accuracy of +/- 20 cm confirming the quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drillholes were spaced in different programmes at 50m x 50m, 50m x 100m, 100m x 100m or 100m x 200m.</li> <li>In most cases Measured Resources are based on 50m x 50m spaced drillholes, Indicated Resources are based on 100m x 100m spaced holes, and Inferred Resources on 100m x 200m spaced holes.</li> <li>Downhole gamma data was composited into 0.5m intervals.</li> <li>Three 100m x 100m areas were drilled at 12.5m spacing in both N-S &amp; E-W directions for geostatistical purposes and to examine variability. Variography constructed by the resource consultants confirmed that the drill spacings are appropriate for the Resource classifications. Resource classification was done by the independent resource consultants with no input from Aura.</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	• Three 100m x 100m squares were drilled at 12.5m hole spacing in both N-S and E-W directions to investigate grade anisotropy. This indicated a weak NW-SE trend to the mineralisation. The drilling pattern employed is considered appropriate for the mineralisation orientation.
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	• Sample collection was supervised by geologists. Samples were transported as soon as practicable to independent sample

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		preparation facilities. Approx.65% of drillholes were assayed by downhole gamma logging and for these sample security is not relevant.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	Resource estimation in 2012 was conducted by Oliver Mapeto of Coffey Mining. This was independently reviewed and confirmed by Wardell Armstrong International in 2016. The 2021 Resource Estimate at Sadi was done by Oliver Mapeto acting then as an independent consultant. The 2018 resource estimate has been carried out by independent consulting group H&S Consultants Pty Ltd. All of these consulting groups have reviewed and endorsed the sampling, grade estimation and QAQC procedures.

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Resource Estimates are based on drilling conducted on 2 mineral exploration permits held 100% by Aura Energy: 562B4 Oum Ferkik, 2365B4 Oued EL Foule Sud, on 2 Exploitation permits: 2492C4 Oued El Foule, 2491C4 Ain Sder held by Tiris Ressources SA, a 100% subsidiary of Aura Energy. Aura is in the process of divesting 15% of Tiris Ressources SA to the Mauritanian Government as required by the Mining Act.</li> <li>Aura has completed an Environmental and Social Impact Assessment which concluded there are no known issues arising from native title, historical sites, environmental or third-party matters which are likely to materially affect exploitation.</li> </ul>
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	• Aura is unaware of any prior exploration on these areas.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style     of mineralisation.	• The mineralisation is of the calcrete uranium style. It occurs within Proterozoic rocks of the Reguibat Craton. The mineralisation is developed within near surface altered and weathered granites and within shallow colluvium lying on granite or adjacent metasediments.
Drill hole Information	<ul> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:         <ol> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	• Specific drillhole data is not relevant to the reporting of this resource estimation

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ol> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ol>	
Data aggregation methods	<ul> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	Data aggregation methods are summarised in the Resource Estimate report by H&S Consultants which this table accompanies.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	• All drillholes on which the resource estimate is based were vertical and approximately perpendicular to the thickness of the mineralisation.
Diagrams	<ul> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	• Refer to the ASX announcement which this table accompanies.
Balanced reporting	<ul> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	•
Other substantive exploration data	<ul> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Metallurgical testwork is ongoing. Information on processing has been reported in ASX announcement: 29 July 2019 "Tiris Uranium Definitive Feasibility Study Completed".</li> <li>Additional metallurgical test work undertaken on bulk samples from the Lazare</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	North and Lazare South Resources was undertaken at pilot scale for the beneficiation circuit and reported on 23 June 2022 "Tests confirm average 550% upgrading of uranium at Tiris",
Further work	<ul> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	• Refer to the ASX announcement which this table accompanies.

# Section 3. Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources – PART 1

Note this Section 3 has been prepared by H&S Consultants and relates to the Hippolyte, Hippolyte
South, Lazare North & Lazare South Resources

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	<ul> <li>Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.</li> <li>Data validation procedures used.</li> </ul>	Aura's database was managed by the independent organisation Reflex Hub, based in Perth. H&SC conducted data validation checks such as comparing assay certificates to database records and a variety of checks for internal inconsistencies such as overlapping intervals, records beyond end of hole depth, unassayed intervals and unrealistic drill hole data.
Site visits	<ul> <li>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</li> <li>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</li> </ul>	H&SC has not visited the Tiris East deposits due to time and budget constraints. H&SC based its view of the geological setting and mineralisation on drill hole data, discussions with Aura geologists and on information in technical reports. Representatives of Coffey Mining and Wardell Armstrong International conducted site visits in Aril 2012 and May 2016 respectively.
Geological interpretation	<ul> <li>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</li> <li>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</li> <li>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</li> </ul>	The uranium mineralisation generally forms shallow horizontal tabular bodies ranging in thickness from 1 to 12 m hosted in weathered granite and granitic sediments. Differentiation of the weathered granite from granitic sediments is unreliable from AC sample returns. A purely geological model of the Tiris deposits has not been produced. H&SC created a surface representing the base of the estimates in order to limit the extrapolation of grades into volumes that had no data. This is important at Tiris East as there is a general decrease in uranium grades with depth. This surface nominally represents the top of the less- weathered granite, where AC drilling could penetrate no further. The base surface was produced using the locations of the end of the deepest assay from each drill hole. Where drill holes were very close, within around 15 m, the shallower point was removed. The base surface also honoured mapped surface outcrops. At the time that the estimates were completed, no topographic survey data were available. The vast majority

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	of the 2017 drill collar locations were surveyed using a Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS). H&SC used the locations of all drill hole collars that had been located with the DGPS to create a wireframe representing the topographic surface. The elevations of all drill holes that had been located using a handheld GPS were then derived from this topographic surface. The proportion of the block between the topographic and base surfaces were assigned to the block model and used to weight the reported estimates. The interpretation of the mineralisation as flat lying tabular bodies is undisputed. The lateral extents of the mineralisation are poorly defined and additional drilling around the edges of the deposits may indicate that mineralisation is more limited than currently interpreted. Alternative interpretations of the geology are very unlikely to significantly impact estimated resources. The continuity of both grade and geology are affected by the extent of weathering of the granitic host. The continuity does not appear to be
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	weathering of the granitic host. The
		occur in an area 6 km east-west and 5.5 km north-south. This region is comprised of several separate areas that range in plan dimensions from 500 m to 1.1 km wide and 500 m to 2.2 km long. The upper limit of the mineralisation occurs at surface and the reported resources reach a maximum depth of 11 m below surface. The Mineral Resources at Hippolyte South at a cut-off of 100 ppm U308 occur in an area 5.6 km east-west and 5.4 km north-south. This region is

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary comprised of three isolated areas each with a north-south length of around 1.3 km and an east-west length that ranges 400 m to 1.1 km. The upper limit of the mineralisation occurs at surface and the reported resources reach a maximum depth of six metres below surface The Mineral Resources at Lazare North at a cut-off of 100 ppm U3O8 occur in an area 4.5 km east-west and 2.4 km north-south. This region is comprised of three isolated areas. The smallest of these areas has an east-west length of 900 m and a north-south length of 550 m. The largest area has an east-west length of 2.2 km m and a north-south length of 1.8 km. The upper limit of the mineralisation occurs at surface and the reported resources reach a maximum depth of 12 m below surface. The Mineral Resources at Lazare South at a cut-off of 100 ppm U3O8 occur in an irregular shape with an east-west length of 5.5 km and a north-south length of 2.7 km. The largest area has an east-west length of 2.2 km m and a north-south length of 1.8 km. The upper limit of the mineralisation occurs at surface and the reported resources reach a maximum depth of 100 ppm U3O8 occur in an irregular shape with an east-west length of 5.5 km and a north-south length of 2.7 km. The largest area has an east-west length of 1.8 km. The upper limit of the mineralisation occurs at surface and the reported resources reach a maximum depth of 10 m below
Estimation and modelling techniques	<ul> <li>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters, maximum distance of extrapolation from data points.</li> <li>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.</li> <li>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</li> </ul>	surface. The uranium concentrations were estimated by recoverable Multiple Indicator Kriging (MIK) using the GS3 geostatistical software. The uranium grades at the Tiris East deposits exhibit a positively skewed distribution and therefore show reasonable sensitivity to a small number of high grades. MIK is considered an appropriate estimation method for the uranium grade distribution at the Tiris East deposits because it specifically accounts for the changing spatial continuity at different grades through a set of indicator variograms at a range of grade thresholds. It also reduces the need to use the practice of top cutting. All drill hole intervals were composited to 0.5 m for estimation. The following number of half metre

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul> <li>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g., sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</li> <li>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</li> <li>Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.</li> <li>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</li> <li>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</li> <li>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</li> <li>The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</li> </ul>	composites were used to estimate the deposits: Hippolyte North: 9,920 Hippolyte South: 1,078 Lazare North: 1,585 Lazare South: 6,743 Top-cut values were chosen by assessing the high end distribution of the grade population within each zone and selecting the value at which the distribution became erratic. Only one composite in Lazar North was top-cut. This interval had a U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> grade of 7,937 ppm and was cut to 3,200 ppm. The four deposits were subdivided into a total of seventeen Subzones for estimation. Conditional statistics were produced for each of the Subzones. All class grades used for estimation of the mineralised domains were derived from the class mean grades. Vanadium is a potential by-product and vanadium oxide (V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) has been estimated for the mineral resources using the stoichiometric V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> ratio for carnotite group minerals. These V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> values represent potentially recoverable vanadium in carnotite and not total vanadium occurring in mineralisation, which is significantly higher in almost all cases. These potentially recoverable V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> values are based on the analysis of a substantial database of available sample data and represent average values that may be conservative. This procedure relies on the correlation between uranium and vanadium in carnotite group minerals, which are the only uranium minerals identified to date at Tiris. No deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance have been identified or estimated. The base surface created to represent the top of the less-weathered granite was used to limit the extrapolation of grades into volumes that had no data. The Recoverable MIK technique employed by H&SC in this case requires a set of 14 variogram models, one for each of the fourteen grade bins used. A set of variogram

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		models were created for Subzones of
		the Hippolyte North, Lazare North
		and Lazare South deposits. These variogram models were applied to
		Subzones that did not have sufficient
		data to generate reliable models.
		The Hippolyte North, Lazar North and
		Lazar South deposits have areas that
		have been drilled on a 50x50 m grid
		whereas the Hippolyte South areas have been drilled on a 100x100 m
		grid. Separate block models were
		created for Hippolyte North, Lazar
		North, Lazar South and for each of
		the three Zones in Hippolyte South.
		Nominal downhole sampling interval
		is 0.5 m. Drill hole grade data were composited to 0.5 m intervals. The
		block dimensions were 50 x 50 m in
		plan view and 1 m vertically. The plan
		dimensions were chosen as it is the
		nominal drill hole spacing (preferable
		for MIK estimation). The vertical dimension was chosen to reflect the
		anisotropy of the mineralisation and
		the downhole data spacing.
		The minimum selective mining unit
		size is assumed to be 10x10x0.5 m.
		A three pass search strategy was used
		to estimate the $U_3O_8$ grades at each of the deposits. Each pass required a
		minimum number of samples with
		data from a minimum number of
		octants of the search ellipse to be
		populated. Discretisation was set to 10x10x0.5 m. The search criteria are
		shown below. The short first axis of
		the search ellipse is vertical.
		1. 1.5x60x60m search, 16-48 samples, minimum 4
		octants
		<ol> <li>1.5x150x150m search, 16- 48 samples, minimum 4</li> </ol>
		octants
		3 - 2 4 x 2 4 0 x 2 4 0 m coarch 16
		<ol> <li>2.4x240x240m search, 16- 48 samples, minimum 4</li> </ol>
		octants
		The maximum distance of
		extrapolation of the reported
		estimates from drill hole data points
		is limited to 220 m. The Hippolyte North and Lazar North
		deposits were estimated by Mr.
		Mapeto of Coffey Mining in 2011.
		Lazar South was estimated by Mr.
		Mapeto in 2012. H&SC has access to
		these block models and considers

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		that the current Mineral Resource Estimate takes appropriate account of these models. Significant additional drilling has occurred since these estimates were produced so the volume and confidence category have increased. Reasonably large differences exist between the current and previous estimates due to differences in estimation methodologies. No check estimates were produced. No mining has occurred on the Tiris East deposits so mine production data were unavailable for comparison. The final H&SC block model was reviewed visually by H&SC and Aura and it was concluded that the block model fairly represents the grades observed in the drill holes. H&SC also validated the block model statistically using histograms, boxplots, scatter plots and summary statistics.
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	Tonnages are estimated on a dry weight basis. The moisture content was not determined.
Cut-off parameters	The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	A cut-off of 100 ppm U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> cut off is used to report the resources as it is assumed that ore can be economically mined at this grade in an open pit scenario. This cut-off is considered to be relatively low compared to operating uranium mines, but metallurgical test work indicates that a significant upgrade in uranium and decrease in sulphates can be achieved by a simple grinding and sieving process.
Mining factors or assumptions	<ul> <li>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It may not always be possible to make assumptions regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources. Where no assumptions have been made, this should be reported.</li> </ul>	All of the resources reported here have been estimated on the assumption that the deposits will be mined by open-pit. Recoverable MIK allows for block support correction to account for the change from sample size support to the size of a mining block. This process requires an assumed grade control drill spacing and the assumed size of the Selective Mining Unit (SMU). The variance adjustment factors were estimated from the U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> metal variogram models assuming a minimum SMU of 10x10x0.5 metres (east, north, vertical) with high quality grade control sampling on a

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		10x10x0.5 metre pattern (east, north,
		vertical).
		The application of the variance
		adjustments to the resource
		estimates is expected to provide
		estimates of recoverable resources
		without the need to apply additional
		mining dilution or mining recovery
		factors. Internal dilution, that is, within the SMU unit is accounted for.
		If a larger SMU size or a broader
		grade control drill pattern is
		implemented the selectivity assumed
		in the reported resources may not be
		realised.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	The basis for assumptions or	The metallurgical test work
	predictions regarding metallurgical	information supplied to H&SC
	amenability. It may not always be	indicates that the Tiris East deposits
	possible to make assumptions	are amenable to a process of
	regarding metallurgical treatment	crushing, screening and an alkaline
	processes and parameters when reporting Mineral Resources. Where	carbonate leach in order to recover uranium. Bench scale test work
	no assumptions have been made, this	indicates that a significant upgrade in
	should be reported.	uranium and decrease in sulphate
		concentrations can be achieved
		through screening.
		No penalty elements identified in
		work so far.
		Metallurgical testwork on Tiris ore
		has shown that about 55% to 58% of
		vanadium was also extracted during
		the alkaline leach. The $V_2O_5/U_3O_8$
		ratios for the final leach liquor are close to the carnotite $V_2O_5/U_3O_8$
		ratio, indicating that effectively only
		vanadium from carnotite is being
		leached under these conditions. To
		date, no vanadium extraction
		testwork has been carried out for the
		recovery of vanadium from the
		pregnant leach solution, so further
		work is required to demonstrate that
		a marketable vanadium product can
		be produced on a commercial basis.
		No further assumptions have been made.
		Metallurgical test work on 1t
		composite samples representative of
		metallurgical domains from the
		Lazare North and Lazare South
		Resources were processed using 1m
		rotary scrubber and production scale
		Derrick screen at Mintek South Africa.
		Concentrate product from these tests
		were processed at ANSTO Minerals,
		Sydney using leaching, ion exchange
		and precipitation conditions defined

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		within the process design criteria. Final Uranium Oxide Concentrate (UOC) was produced within ASTM standard limits without penalty and limits without rejection.
Environmental factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	Aura has informed H&SC that an Environmental and Social Impact Assessment has been completed which concluded there are no known issues arising from native title, historical sites, environmental or third party matters that are likely to materially affect exploitation. H&SC therefore assumes that there are no known unusual aspects of the Tiris East deposits that may lead to adverse environmental impacts beyond what is expected from a mining operation. Waste rock and process residue are expected to be disposed of in the areas surrounding the deposits and processing facility, in a responsible manner and in compliance with local
Bulk density	Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.	mining law. Dry bulk density of diamond drill core was measured at the ALS facility in Nouakchott using an immersion method (Archimedes principle) on selected PQ diamond drill core intervals ranging in size from 10 to 30 cm. Competent pieces of drill core were selected on a nominal interval of 50 cm. The samples chosen are believed to be representative of the surrounding rock type. All density samples are wrapped in cling film to avoid water absorption. A total of 304 density measurements have been taken from drill core at the Tiris East deposits with values ranging from 1.55 to 2.66 t/m3. Measured density values show that there is a reasonable correlation between density and the depth of the sample. A regression was used to assign densities to each block in the block model based on the depth below surface.
Classification	<ul> <li>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</li> <li>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e., relative confidence in</li> </ul>	The classification is based on the search pass used to estimate the block. In order to limit small, isolated volumes of different classification (spotted dog effect) the search passes used to populate each block were locally averaged. Pass one nominally equates to Measured Resources, Pass two translates to Indicated Resources

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	tonnage/grade estimations, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	and Pass three equates to Inferred Resources. This scheme is considered by H&SC to take appropriate account of all relevant factors, including the relative confidence in tonnage and grade estimates, confidence in the continuity of geology and metal values, and the quality, quantity and distribution of the data. The classification appropriately reflects the Competent Person's (Arnold van der Heyden) view of the deposit.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	This Mineral Resource estimate has been reviewed by Aura personnel. The estimation procedure has also been internally reviewed by H&SC. No material issues were identified as a result of these reviews. No external audits have been completed on the Mineral Resource estimates.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	<ul> <li>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</li> <li>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tontages, which should be relevant to to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</li> </ul>	The relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimates are considered to be in line with the generally accepted accuracy and confidence of the nominated JORC Mineral Resource categories. This has been determined on a qualitative, rather than quantitative, basis. The main factor that affects the relative accuracy and confidence of the Mineral Resource estimate is sample data density due to the reasonably high variability in uranium grades. The estimates are global although the resources classified as Measured and Indicated are suitable for long term mine planning studies. It should be noted that the Indicated Resources are based on broadly spaced data and may be locally inaccurate. Closer spaced drilling is necessary prior to detailed mine planning. No production data are available as only small scale illegal artisanal mining has occurred around the Tiris East deposits.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	be compared with production data,	
	where available.	

# Section 3. Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources – PART 2 Note: This Section 3 has been prepared by Oliver Mapeto and relates to the Sadi, *Hippolyte West, Marie, Ferkik East & Ferkik West Resources*

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. Data validation procedures used.	Aura's database was managed by the independent organisation Reflex Hub, based in Perth. The consultant conducted data validation checks including comparing assay certificates to database records and a variety of checks for internal inconsistencies such as overlapping intervals, records beyond end of hole depth, unassayed intervals, and unrealistic drill hole data. Additional checks included collar details checks. Unrealistic RL on historical data based on new survey data were adjusted using nearest neighbour.
Site visits	<ul> <li>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</li> <li>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</li> </ul>	Site visit to the project area was conducted by the Consultant in April 2012 (Coffey Mining). No site visit was conducted during the recent 2015 Sadi South extension drilling campaign. However, based on previous site visit the consultant is familiar with geological setting and mineralisation style.
Geological interpretation	<ul> <li>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</li> <li>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</li> <li>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</li> </ul>	The uranium mineralisation generally forms shallow horizontal tabular bodies ranging in thickness from 1 to 12m hosted in weathered granite, granitic sediments, and calcrete. Differentiation of the weathered granite from granitic sediments is unreliable from AC sample returns. A purely geological model of the Tiris deposits has not been produced. The material above the top of ore is waste largely made of loose sandy material. The base of ore defines the top of consolidated material, harder going into unmineralized granite basement. Ore surfaces representing the top and base of the mineralisation using a grade cut-off of 100 ppm U308 were generated across all project areas and mineralised zones. The top and base of ore surfaces were used as hard boundaries to control grade estimation.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Criteria	JORC Code explanation         JORC Code explanation         Jord Code explanation <td< td=""><td>Commentary A lower cut-off grade of 75 ppm U308 was used to model the Sadi South. Due to the nature of the mineralisation, the change in lower cut-off grade had marginal changes on the mineralised volumes. The grade varied significantly within the drill hole intersections. At the time that the estimates were completed, the natural topographical surface was not available. The 2012 drill data had no topographic survey data available. Most of the 2015 and 2017 drill collar locations were surveyed using a Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS). The consultant used drill hole collars that had been located with the DGPS to create a wireframe representing the topographic surface. The elevations of all drill holes with no survey were then interpolated using the nearest neighbour. The interpretation of the mineralisation as flat lying tabular bodies was defined with high confidence by the top and base of ore surfaces. In some zones, pods of low-grade mineralisation occur showing moderate continuity of both grade and geology. The mineralisation is recent and unaffected by faulting. The Mineral Resource as reported in the 2011 Resource estimate lie in the following areas, Sadi South, Sadi North, Marie, Hippolyte W, Hippolyte E, Oum Ferkik West and Oum Ferkik East. Sadi South resource has since been updated following infill and extension drilling campaign in 2015. The Mineral Resources at a cut-off of 100 ppm U308 was applied across all project's areas. Marie occurs in an area 1.2 km east- west and 6 km north-south. This region is comprised of six separate zones that range in plan dimensions from 200m to 1.2 km wide and 500 m to 1.4km long. Hippolyte W occurs in an area 0.8 km east-west and 2.0 km north-south.</td></td<>	Commentary A lower cut-off grade of 75 ppm U308 was used to model the Sadi South. Due to the nature of the mineralisation, the change in lower cut-off grade had marginal changes on the mineralised volumes. The grade varied significantly within the drill hole intersections. At the time that the estimates were completed, the natural topographical surface was not available. The 2012 drill data had no topographic survey data available. Most of the 2015 and 2017 drill collar locations were surveyed using a Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS). The consultant used drill hole collars that had been located with the DGPS to create a wireframe representing the topographic surface. The elevations of all drill holes with no survey were then interpolated using the nearest neighbour. The interpretation of the mineralisation as flat lying tabular bodies was defined with high confidence by the top and base of ore surfaces. In some zones, pods of low-grade mineralisation occur showing moderate continuity of both grade and geology. The mineralisation is recent and unaffected by faulting. The Mineral Resource as reported in the 2011 Resource estimate lie in the following areas, Sadi South, Sadi North, Marie, Hippolyte W, Hippolyte E, Oum Ferkik West and Oum Ferkik East. Sadi South resource has since been updated following infill and extension drilling campaign in 2015. The Mineral Resources at a cut-off of 100 ppm U308 was applied across all project's areas. Marie occurs in an area 1.2 km east- west and 6 km north-south. This region is comprised of six separate zones that range in plan dimensions from 200m to 1.2 km wide and 500 m to 1.4km long. Hippolyte W occurs in an area 0.8 km east-west and 2.0 km north-south.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		This region is comprised of three separate zones that range in plan dimensions from 150m to 600m wide and 400 m to 600m long. Oum Ferkik West occurs as a single zone with dimensions of 1.6 km east- west and 2.5 km north-south. Oum Ferkik East occurs in an area 2.0 km east-west and 2.0 km north- south. This region is comprised of six separate zones that range in plan dimensions from 150m to 400m wide and 400 m to 1.2km long Mineralisation forms flat lying tabular bodies ranging in thickness from 1 to 12m with some internal waste patches occurring within the mineralisation envelope. In places, there the top of mineralisation is covered by sand or waste overburden. Sadi North occurs in an area extending 3.2 km east-west and 4.0 km north-south. This region is comprised of six separate zones that range in plan dimensions from 100m to 800m wide and 300 m to 1400m long. Sadi South has been updated with extension in the north-south trending zone extending approximately 2.5 north-south and 1.4km east-west. Sadi South Mineral Resource was defines using a lower grade cut-off of 75ppm U308. Ore thickness varies from 1m to a maximum of 9.5m.
Estimation and modelling techniques	<ul> <li>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters, maximum distance of extrapolation from data points.</li> <li>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.</li> <li>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</li> <li>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</li> </ul>	The uranium concentrations were estimated using the Ordinary Kriging estimation method. Sample database has assays samples at a nominal 1m sample interval. Some samples were sampled at 0.5m interval using overburden-ore contact depending on thickness of topsoil (sand). 2m sample composite were created for grade estimation. Area specific high-grade assays top cuts were applied to minimise grade spearing. The top-cut values were chosen by assessing the high-end distribution of the grade population within each zone and selecting the value at which the distribution became erratic Each zone was divided into subzones for grade estimation. The subzones

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Criteria	<ul> <li>JORC Code explanation</li> <li>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</li> <li>Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.</li> <li>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</li> <li>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</li> <li>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</li> <li>The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</li> </ul>	<b>Commentary</b> were based on defined solid using a 100ppm lower U308 grade cut-off. Each zone was coded and only samples within the same matching zone code were used in estimation. Additional estimation parameters were applied as follows: A three-pass estimation strategy was adopted to estimate the U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> grades. The estimation search parameters are shown below for estimation run pass 1, 2 and 3. The short first axis of the search ellipse is vertical. Pass 1. 300x200x6m search, 8-24 samples Pass 2. 360x250x6m search, 6-24 samples Pass 3. 600x400x6m search, 4-24 samples Pass 3. 600x400x6m search, 4-24 samples In addition, upper cut-off grade specific to the ore zones were applied. Vanadium oxide (V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) has been estimated for the mineral resources using the stoichiometric V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> ratio for carnotite group minerals. These V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> values represent potentially recoverable vanadium in carnotite and not total vanadium occurring in mineralisation, which is significantly higher in almost all cases. These potentially recoverable V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> values are based on the analysis of a substantial database of available sample data and represent average values that may be conservative. This procedure relies on the correlation between uranium and vanadium in carnotite group minerals, which are the only uranium minerals identified to date at Tiris. No deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance have been identified or estimated. In Sadi South 2021 Mineral Resource update, Inverse Distance -Power 2 (ID2) estimation method was used as no reliable variogram structure could be defined partly due to the limited drill data and variability U308 in grade. An alternate estimation would not produce material different outcome. In Sadi South Mineral Resource update sample grade values up to

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		2,500ppm U308 were reported. 2m
		composite samples were used in the
		grade estimation. An upper cut-off
		grade of 850ppm U308 was applied
		on the composite before estimation.
		Very few (< 4) samples were cut.
		Vanadium resource was estimated
		using the stoichiometric $V_2O_5/U_3O_8$
		ratio for carnotite group minerals as
		discussed above
		Drill hole drill spacing is generally
		regular grid approximately 100x 200m over 2.5 x1.4km
		Parent block model size was 50 x 50x
		2m
		Sub-block size 5 x 5 x 0.5m
		The vertical dimension was chosen to
		reflect the anisotropy of the
		mineralisation and the downhole
		data spacing.
		A three-pass search strategy was
		used to estimate the U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> grades in
		Sadi South 2021 Mineral Resource
		update. Each pass required a
		minimum number of samples with
		data from a minimum number of
		samples in the search ellipse to be
		populated with discretistion 5x5x2.
		The search criteria are shown below. The short first axis of the search
		ellipse is vertical.
		Pass 1. 250x250x12m search, 12-
		24 samples
		Pass 2. 350x350x12m search, 8-
		24 samples
		-
		Pass 3. 500x500x12m search, 6-
		24 samples
		Sadi South Mineral Resource update
		increased in resource volume due to
		additional lateral extension on the
		Sadi South mineralisation.
		Block model grade estimates were
		validated using the following
		methods:
		<ul> <li>Statistical comparison of black model prodes against</li> </ul>
		block model grades against
		drill composite grades by
		zone • Visual check of cross
		• visual check of cross sections, transverse, and
		long sections
		<ul> <li>Plan views of grade</li> </ul>
		distribution
		Comparison of block model and drill
		data grade distribution using
		histograms

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	Tonnages are estimated on a dry weight basis. The moisture constant was not determined.
Cut-off parameters	The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	A cut-off of 100 ppm U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> cut off is used to report the resources as it is assumed that ore can be economically mined at this grade in an open pit scenario. This cut-off is considered to be relatively low compared to operating uranium mines, but metallurgical test work indicates that a significant upgrade in uranium and decrease in sulphates can be achieved by a simple Mineralisation occurs as a Uranium vanadate mineral and there is potential of recovery of vanadium as by product which justifies a lower U308 grade cut-off based on information supplied to the Consultant following recent leaching test work on the Tiris Uranium Project on uranium and Vanadium.
Mining factors or assumptions	<ul> <li>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It may not always be possible to make assumptions regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources. Where no assumptions have been made, this should be reported.</li> </ul>	The resources reported here have been estimated on the assumption that the deposits will be mined by open pit mining method. Analysis was done for grade continuity and variography. However, in some cases, no reliable variogram structure could defined on a zone by zone basis. The global variogram was assumed as this could have been affected by lack of data due to limited extend of the individual mineralised zones. Parent block model size was 50 x 50x 2m Sub-block size 5 x 5 x 0.5m The selected sub-blocks were appropriate for minimum selective mining unit.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It may not always be possible to make assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters when reporting Mineral Resources. Where no assumptions have been made, this should be reported.	The metallurgical test work information supplied to the consultant is based on reports by H&SC which indicates that the Tiris deposits are amenable to a process of crushing, screening and an alkaline carbonate leach in order to recover uranium. Bench scale test work indicates that a significant upgrade in uranium and decrease in sulphate concentrations can be achieved through screening.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		No penalty elements identified in work done to date.
		work done to date.
		No other assumptions have been made.
		Metallurgical test work on Tiris ore has shown that about 55% to 58% of vanadium was also extracted during the alkaline leach. The $V_2O_5/U_3O_8$ ratios for the final leach liquor are close to the carnotite $V_2O_5/U_3O_8$ ratio, indicating that effectively only vanadium from carnotite is being leached under these conditions. To date, no vanadium extraction test work has been carried out for the recovery of vanadium from the pregnant leach solution, so further work is required to demonstrate that a marketable vanadium product can be produced on a commercial basis. No further assumptions have been made.
		Final confirmation of metallurgical parameters were defined on bulk composite samples sourced from metallurgical trenching program undertaken in 2018 and forming the source for Feasibility Study metallurgical test work. Metallurgical test work on 1t composite samples representative of metallurgical domains from the Lazare North and Lazare South Resources were processed using 1m rotary scrubber and production scale Derrick screen at Mintek South Africa (refer ASX announcement 23 <sup>rd</sup> June 2023). Concentrate product from these tests were processed at ANSTO Minerals, Sydney using leaching, ion exchange and precipitation conditions defined within the process design criteria. Final Uranium Oxide Concentrate (UOC) was produced within ASTM standard limits (C967-20) without
Environmental factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the	penalty and limits without rejection. Aura completed an Environmental and Social Impact Assessment which concluded there are no known issues arising from native title, historical sites, environmental or third party matters which are likely to materially affect exploitation. The consultant therefore assumes that there are no known unusual aspects of the Tiris

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	Uranium deposits that may lead to adverse environmental impacts beyond what is expected from a mining operation. Waste rock and process residue is expected to be disposed of in the areas surrounding the deposits and processing facility.
Bulk density	Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size, and representativeness of the samples.	The Mineral Resource estimates for Sadi North Marie, Hippolyte W, Oum Ferkik West and Oum Ferkik East used an average density of 2.0/g/cc dry bulk density based on test done by Aura Energy. This was considered realistic for material and ore similar ore deposits. In the August 2021 Sadi South resource update, an average density value of 2.1g/cc dry bulk density was applied based on previous work as reported by H & SC Consultants
Classification	<ul> <li>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</li> <li>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e., relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity, and distribution of the data).</li> <li>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</li> </ul>	The Mineral Resource estimates for Sadi South, Marie, Hippolyte W, Oum Ferkik West and Oum Ferkik East were based on: Drill hole drill grid spacing Mineralisation and grade continuity The grade estimation search pass used to estimate the block. All mineral Resource estimates estimated in 2011 were classified as Inferred. In Sadi South Mineral Resource estimate reported in August 2021, there was moderate confidence where the resource is coherent and grade estimated search pass one and the resource was classified as Indicated. Mineral Resource estimated in pass two and three were classified as Inferred. Regions where grade was assigned an average grade due to insufficient drill data or isolated drill holes were not classified.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	This Mineral Resource estimates for Sadi North, Marie, Hippolyte W, Oum Ferkik West and Oum Ferkik east are

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	<ul> <li>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and</li> </ul>	based on 2011 models and these were reviewed internally by Coffey Mining Consultants. No material issues were identified as a result of these reviews. However, no audit for August 2021 Sadi South Mineral Resource update has been completed by other external party. The relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource
conjidence	<ul> <li>of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</li> <li>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</li> <li>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</li> </ul>	level in the Mineral Resource estimates are in line with the generally accepted accuracy and confidence of the nominated JORC CODE (2012) Mineral Resource categories. This has been determined on both qualitative and quantitative basis. The main factor that affects the relative accuracy and confidence of the Mineral Resource estimate is sample data density and high variability in uranium grades. Confidence in mineralisation continuity is moderate. Most blocks were estimated in estimation run pass 1 and 2. In regions where the geology and mineralisation are continuous and grade was estimated in estimation run pass 1, the confidence in the grade estimates is high and the resource was classified as indicated. The rest of the resource estimated was classified as inferred. Some blocks were not estimated due to insufficient drill data particularly at the margins of the mineralised pods. Block not estimated in were assigned an average grade and these blocks were not classified. The estimates are global, and the resources classified as Indicated are suitable for long term mine planning studies. It should be noted that the Indicated Resources are based on broadly spaced data and may be locally inaccurate. Closer spaced drilling is necessary prior to detailed mine planning studies to increase confidence in the mineralisation variability. There is no record available of historical production data as only small scale illegal artisanal mining has occurred around the Tiris deposits.