

ASX RELEASE

12 March 2024

Tiris drilling defines extensive new uranium mineralisation

Phase one drilling results demonstrate significant resource growth potential at Tiris beyond the current uranium Mineral Resources of 58.9Mlbs U₃O₈¹

KEY POINTS:

- **Hippolyte South:** New high-grade mineralisation defined over an extensive 6km² area. Mineralisation extended to the east and northwest from the previous resource areas and to the southwest for over three kilometres and remains open, Figure 1

High-grade intercepts include:

- 4.8m grading 1,170ppm U₃O₈ from 0.5m (23FEAC000389)
- 2.2m grading 802ppm U₃O₈ from 0.6m (23FEAC001079)
- 3.2m grading 555ppm U₃O₈ from 0.2m (23FEAC000997)
- **Sadi:** Mineralisation extended over 1.2 kilometres south and west from the current resources and remains open, Figure 2
- **Hippolyte West C:** Drilling extended mineralisation linking the three previously separate resource areas, Figure 8
- Mineralised intercepts were returned from low and very low strength radiometric anomalies, **significantly increasing the exploration potential of the Tiris East area** as these have been ignored in past exploration
- Phase two drilling is underway and will follow-up the excellent results received from phase one, further defining mineralisation and extending mineralised trends
- Resource growth and potential high-grades have the potential to further enhance the excellent economics (NPV₈ US\$ 366M and IRR 34%)² of the 17-year 2Mlbspa Tiris Uranium Project

Aura's Managing Director and CEO Andrew Grove said,

"The drilling results are excellent and clearly demonstrate the significant potential to grow the Mineral Resources at Tiris beyond the current 58.9Mlbs U₃O₈³. Two large areas of new mineralisation have been defined during the initial phase of drilling at both Hippolyte South and Sadi. Further, drilling has defined extensions to known mineralisation throughout the project area. The fact that low-level radiometric anomalies have delivered potentially economic mineralisation, significantly increases the exploration potential of the areas that were not considered prospective previously. Some of the 13,000km² of new tenement applications⁴ also overlie large radiometric anomalies (Figure 3) adjacent to our current resource areas at Tiris East, further demonstrating the huge potential of the region."

"The recently released FEED study demonstrated excellent economics for a low-cost 2Mlbs U₃O₈ pa near term uranium mine with a 17-year mine life based on the current Mineral Resources. There is significant optionality in the Project design for a modest capital investment to increase the production rate to make the most of any new economic resources."

"We are looking forward to the future drilling results and updating the Minerals Resource estimate in the second quarter of 2024."

¹ ASX and AIM Release: 14 Feb 2023 - Major Resource Upgrade at Aura Energy's Tiris Project

² ASX and AIM Release: 28 Feb 2024 - Aura's Tiris FEED Study Returns Excellent Economics

³ ASX and AIM Release: 14 Feb 2023 - Major Resource Upgrade at Aura Energy's Tiris Project

⁴ ASX Release: 29 Nov 2023 - New Tiris Project Tenements Applications




Figure 1. Hippolyte South: showing grade*thickness from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines. Drilling has confirmed significant new mineralisation outside the resource outlines.




Figure 2. Sadi: showing grade*thickness from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines. Drilling has confirmed significant new mineralisation outside the resource outlines. Drilling has confirmed significant mineralisation outside the resource outlines. Most significant intercepts occur on low strength radiometric anomalies.

Aura Energy Limited (**ASX: AEE, AIM: AURA**) (“**Aura**” or “the **Company**”) is pleased to provide an update on drilling results from the Tiris Uranium Project (“**Tiris**” or “the **Project**”) in Mauritania. This release contains the radiometric results from the first 1,612 air core drill holes (9,239m of drilling, average depth 5.5m) of the 15,500m exploration drilling program which commenced late in December 2023 (Figure 3).

The drill program aims to expand Mineral Resources by targeting extensions to known mineralisation and testing previously un-drilled radiometric anomalies around Tiris East and includes testing of the previously announced **8Mlbs and 32Mlbs U₃O₈⁵ Exploration Target**.

Drilling was planned in several phases, with phase one providing wide spaced drill holes to assess target viability, before proceeding to phase two follow-up drilling.

The significant intercepts obtained from the drill holes covered by this release are presented in Table 1, Appendix 1, drill hole locations tabled in Table 2, Appendix 2, and Figures showing the spatial distribution of grade and grade times thickness for each targeted prospect are presented in Appendix 3.

In this release discussion of material issues relevant to the JORC code are limited to the current drilling program, JORC Table 1, Appendix 4.

A summary of drilling by prospect area is as follows:

Prospect	Number of holes	Drilled metres
Sadi	570	3,381
Hippolyte South	434	2,734
Hippolyte North	101	371
Hippolyte East	70	259
Hippolyte West D	16	56
Hippolyte West C	74	412
Lazare North	87	608
Lazare South	76	769
Marie E-H	79	384
Marie F-G	105	265
TOTAL	1,612	9,239

⁵ ASX Release: 17 Oct 2023 – New Uranium Exploration Target identified at Tiris Project




Figure 3. Tiris East drill hole locations reported in this release, resource areas, prospects, U-radiometric anomalies and granted tenements and tenement applications

Tiris Uranium Project summary

The Tiris Uranium Project is in north-eastern Mauritania, approximately 1,200km northeast of the capital Nouakchott.

Calcrete-type uranium mineralisation was first identified by Aura from targeting high strength airborne radiometric anomalies. Mineralisation generally lies either within weathered, partially decomposed red granite or in colluvial gravels, within unconsolidated near-surface material and is typically less than five metres in depth. The uranium mineralisation occurs principally as carnotite.

The current uranium Mineral Resources totals 58.9Mlbs U_3O_8 ⁶ and is based on 21,990m of drilling in 5,619 holes. The total cost of delivering the Mineral Resources is only US \$0.20/lb U_3O_8 . The current drill results provide management with further confidence it can continue to grow the Project's resources, whilst maintaining a very low exploration cost.

The recently released Front End Engineering Design study ("FEED")⁷ defined a near-term low-cost 2Mlbs U_3O_8 pa uranium project with a 17-year mine life and very strong economics; NPV₈ US\$ 366M, IRR 43% and 2.5 year pay-back at a US\$ 80/lb U_3O_8 price. The Project has significant optionality in the design, allowing expansion to accommodate growth in Mineral Resources.

Tiris has shallow free dig open pit mining and exceptional beneficiation delivering low-cost, high-grade leach feed averaging 1,743ppm U_3O_8 from an average ore feed grade of just 255ppm U_3O_8 .

⁶ ASX Release: 17 Oct 2023 – New Uranium Exploration Target identified at Tiris Project

⁷ ASX and AIM Release: 28 Feb 2024 – Aura's Tiris FEED Study Returns Excellent Economics

Discussion of exploration results

A very large number of significant intercepts were returned from the drilling and are presented in Table 1.

Historically, Aura has targeted only very high strength radiometric anomalies during exploration programs. This program sought to identify potential resources that may exist adjacent to the currently identified resources. Several conceptual targets were assessed, on low and extremely low strength anomalies. The large number of significant intercepts identified on such anomalies confirms that there is significant potential to identify further resources associated with lower strength radiometric targets. This is a major change from previous exploration in the area.

Hippolyte South

Hippolyte South drilling has returned excellent results defining mineralisation over an extensive area of approximately 3km x 2km in size, both surrounding and trending south of the current resource area, Figures 2. Mineralisation contains very high grades of up to **1,170ppm U₃O₈** and these results have excellent potential to add to the Mineral Resources in that area.

Shallow high grade significant intercepts include:

- **4.8m grading 1,170ppm U₃O₈** from 0.5m (23FEAC000389)
- **2.2m grading 802ppm U₃O₈** from 0.6m (23FEAC001079)
- **3.2m grading 555ppm U₃O₈** from 0.2m (23FEAC000997)
- **3.5m grading 425ppm U₃O₈** from 0.2m (23FEAC000980)
- **2.8m grading 470ppm U₃O₈** from 1.4m (23FEAC001095)
- **4.0m grading 314ppm U₃O₈** from 0.0m (23FEAC001064)

A full list of the significant intercepts from Hippolyte South can be found in Table 1.

The average width of all significant intercepts received from Hippolyte South was 1.6m and the top of the mineralisation was on average 1.4m from the surface.

A large number of mineralised intercepts were returned from adjacent to the current resource boundary to the east and northwest, suggesting the presence of major extensions to the mineralised zones, and potentially providing links between mineral resources that are currently separate.

To the south, several significant intercepts were returned coincident with radiometric anomalies and could also suggest a continuation of mineralisation to the south.

Sadi

Sadi drilling results defined a continuation of mineralisation south of the current resource area of over 1.2km in strike. Drilling to the south of the western margin of mineralisation identified an extensive mineralised area that remains open to the west and south, Figure 3. These results also have the potential to significantly add to the Mineral Resources in that area.

Shallow high grade significant intercepts include:

- **3.2m grading 363ppm U₃O₈** from 0.6m (23ASAC001806)
- **3.4m grading 308ppm U₃O₈** from 0.3m (23ASAC001820)
- **5.0m grading 204ppm U₃O₈** from 0.5m (23ASAC001818)
- **2.8m grading 356ppm U₃O₈** from 0.2m (23ASAC001762)
- **5.4m grading 187ppm U₃O₈** from 1.1m (23ASAC001822)
- **3.3m grading 297ppm U₃O₈** from 0.6m (23ASAC001617)

A full list of the significant intercepts from Sadi can be found in Table 1.

The average width of all significant intercepts received from Sadi was 1.5m and the top of the mineralisation was on average 1.6m from the surface. Mineralisation was also intersected down to a depth of 14.6m (23ASAC001721) potentially indicating the possibility of mineralisation developing at depth and most holes have only been drilled to a depth of 5.5m.

Importantly, only a small proportion of the significant intercepts were within high strength radiometric anomalies with many occurring on low or very low strength radiometric anomalies. Drilling over the area of low strength radiometric anomalism to the east of the current resource returned several significant intercepts which gives weight to the conceptual targeting of lower strength radiometric anomalies having the potential to indicate the presence of economic mineralisation and significantly increases the prospectivity of the whole area.

A general discussion of each of the targeted resource areas and prospects is presents below:

Target	Discussion on Results
Sadi Figures: 2 and 4	Discussed above – very well developed mineralisation extending south from the existing resource over a distance of 1.2km and mineralisation remains open in that direction. Most significant intercepts occur on low strength radiometric anomalies. Phase 2 drilling will follow up these results.
Hippolyte South Figures: 1 and 5	Discussed above – significant new area of high-grade mineralisation defined over a 3km x 2km area south of the eastern resource area as well as extensions to previously defined resources and significant intercepts coincident with high-strength radiometric anomalies. Phase 2 drilling will follow up these results.
Hippolyte North Figures: 6	Significant intercepts were returned over small anomalies at Hippolyte North. There were also several significant intercepts returned adjacent to the resource boundaries. There is the potential that mineralisation may potentially connect through to different resource areas as indicated by the trend of the radiometric anomalies. Phase 2 drilling will follow up these results.
Hippolyte East Figure: 7	Several significant intercepts were returned within the mineralised zone. This area is not currently included in the Tiris Mineral Resources. Phase 2 drilling will follow up these results.
Hippolyte West C Figure: 8	Drilling results suggest that the mineralisation continues between the three previously defined separate resource areas, along with possible width extensions. Phase 2 drilling will follow up these results.
Hippolyte West D Figure: 9	No significant intercepts were returned and an assessment of the results will need to be undertaken.
Lazare North Figure: 10	Numerous significant intercepts were returned from south of resource area coincident with a high-strength radiometric anomaly. Infill drilling has been completed over that area and results are pending but it is likely that mineralisation will be extended approximately 750m to the south. Phase 2 drilling will follow up these results.
Lazare South Figure: 10	Some significant intercepts were returned on resource boundaries and out into the low strength radiometric anomaly. Phase 2 drilling will follow up these results.

Target	Discussion on Results
Marie E-H Figure: 11	Numerous intercepts adjacent to resource outline extending mineralisation around the margins of the resources area. In addition, positive results were returned from two step out lines spaced 1.2km to north and 900m to the south. Results from the wide spaced drill lines over low strength radiometric anomaly has the potential to extend the strike of the mineralisation. Phase 2 drilling will follow up these results.
Marie F-G Figure: 12	Returned only limited significant results around the current resource and an assessment of the results will need to be undertaken with possibly some minor additional drilling.

Further work drilling

Phase two drilling is continuing with infill and step out drilling from the significant intercepts presented in this release with the aim of achieving a drill density of at least 200m x 100m.

Wider spaced drilling (200m x 200m) will be utilised over lower grade areas to define the potential of identifying higher grade mineralisation within those zones.

Field mapping will continue, providing a classification of outcrop hardness and level of weathering.

ENDS

The Board of Aura Energy Ltd has approved this announcement.

This Announcement contains inside information for the purposes of the UK version of the market abuse regulation (EU No. 596/2014) as it forms part of United Kingdom domestic law by virtue of the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 ("UK MAR").

For further information, please contact:

Andrew Grove
 Managing Director and CEO
 Aura Energy Limited
agrove@aurae.com
 +61 414 011 383

Paul Ryan
 Citadel-MAGNUS
 Investor & Media Relations
pryan@citadelmagnus.com
 +61 409 296 511

SP Angel Corporate Finance LLP
 Nominated Advisor and Broker
 David Hignell
 Kasia Brzozowska
 Grant Baker
 +44 (0) 203 470 0470

About Aura Energy (ASX: AEE, AIM: AURA)

Aura Energy is an Australian-based mineral company with major uranium and polymetallic projects in Africa and Europe.

The Company is focused on developing a uranium mine at the Tiris Uranium Project, a major greenfield uranium discovery in Mauritania. The February 2024 FEED study demonstrated Tiris to be a near-term low-cost 2Mlbs U3O8 pa near term uranium mine with a 17-year mine life with excellent economics and optionality to expand to accommodate resource growth.

Aura plans to transition from a uranium explorer to a uranium producer to capitalise on the rapidly growing demand for nuclear power as the world shifts towards a decarbonised energy sector.

Beyond the Tiris Project, Aura owns 100% of the Häggån Project in Sweden. Häggån contains a global-scale 2.5Bt vanadium, sulphate of potash (“SOP”) and uranium resource. Utilising only 3% of the resource, a 2023 Scoping Study outlined a 27-year mine life based on mining 3.5Mtpa.

Disclaimer Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This ASX announcement (Announcement) contains various forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to uncertainties in that they may be affected by a variety of known and unknown risks, variables and factors which could cause actual values or results, performance or achievements to differ materially from the expectations described in such forward-looking statements. The Company does not give any assurance or guarantee that the anticipated results, performance or achievements expressed or implied in those forward-looking statements will be achieved.

Competent Persons Statement

The Competent Person for the calculation of significant intercepts is Mr Arnold van der Heyden of H&S Consulting Pty Ltd. The information in the report to which this statement is attached that relates to the 2023 Mineral Resource Estimate is based on information compiled by Mr van der Heyden. Mr van der Heyden has sufficient experience that is relevant to the resource estimation to qualify Mr van der Heyden as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the ‘Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’. Mr van der Heyden is an employee of H&S Consultants Pty Ltd, a Sydney based geological consulting firm. Mr van der Heyden is a Member and Chartered Professional of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM) and consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information.

The Competent Person for drill hole data is Dr Michael Fletcher. The information in the report to which this statement is attached that relates to compiling resource estimates and to drill hole data is based on information compiled by Dr Michael Fletcher. Dr Fletcher has sufficient relevant experience in the preparation and compilation of exploration data across a broad range of deposits to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the ‘Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’. Dr Fletcher is a consultant to Aura Energy and a full-time employee of GeoEndeavours Pty Ltd. Dr Fletcher is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists and consents to the inclusion in the report of this information.

The Competent Person for interpreting downhole gamma information, disequilibrium analysis and assay results is Mr David Wilson. Mr Wilson has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the ‘Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’. Mr Wilson is a consultant to Aura Energy and is a full-time employee of 3D Exploration. Mr Wilson is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists and consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information.

The Tiris Uranium Resource Estimate was reported in 2023 under the 2012 Edition of the “Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves”. The Mineral Resource Estimate was detailed in ASX announcement: “Major Resource Upgrade at Aura Energy’s Tiris Project” 14th February 2023. Aura confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in this announcement regarding the mineral resources and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates continue to apply and have not materially changed.

Appendix 1 – Table 1: Significant intercepts reported in this release

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM m	TO m	Thickness m	Grade ppm U ₃ O ₈	Grade x Thickness
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000477	0.7	4.5	3.8	176	669
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000483	1.0	3.9	2.9	168	492
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000486	1.0	1.5	0.5	121	62
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000493	1.3	1.8	0.5	120	60
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000507	0.9	1.4	0.5	108	55
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000516	1.4	2.2	0.8	148	111
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000516	2.9	3.6	0.7	206	148
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000524	0.8	2.9	2.1	121	247
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000528	1.0	2.9	1.9	172	325
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000529	1.3	2.9	1.6	207	340
Hippolyte East	23FEAC000535	1.2	2.6	1.4	148	213
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000543	0.8	2.4	1.6	299	490
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000553	0.8	3.0	2.2	165	370
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000575	1.9	4.2	2.3	160	374
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000578	0.2	1.8	1.6	146	238
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000590	1.3	2.2	0.9	115	103
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000594	0.0	3.8	3.8	220	828
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000619	0.4	1.2	0.7	124	91
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000632	0.1	0.6	0.5	137	69
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000633	1.3	1.9	0.6	142	84
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000635	0.3	1.9	1.5	156	239
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000639	1.2	2.4	1.2	122	151
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000640	0.5	1.0	0.5	121	62
Hippolyte North	23FEAC000640	4.8	5.3	0.5	104	52
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000229	0.1	5.4	5.3	196	1029
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000235	1.1	1.6	0.5	139	70
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000238	0.9	4.3	3.4	230	775
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000260	0.6	1.1	0.5	104	52
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000267	1.1	1.6	0.5	100	54
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000268	1.3	5.7	4.4	126	548
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000268	6.7	7.3	0.6	107	66
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000269	1.9	2.5	0.6	109	60
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000271	3.3	3.8	0.5	140	70
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000278	5.2	6.2	0.9	159	149
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000278	6.7	7.9	1.2	115	135
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000280	0.1	3.0	2.9	291	834
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000281	1.9	2.6	0.8	158	119
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000285	1.6	2.2	0.6	113	69
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000288	1.5	2.3	0.7	128	95
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000288	2.9	3.4	0.5	115	59
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000289	1.1	5.1	4.0	271	1076

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM	TO	Thickness	Grade	Grade x
		m	m	m	ppm U ₃ O ₈	Thickness
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000294	0.7	2.2	1.5	131	197
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000295	0.1	3.3	3.2	284	919
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000296	0.6	3.1	2.5	169	430
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000297	1.6	2.2	0.6	135	77
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000299	1.1	3.3	2.2	206	461
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000309	1.3	3.8	2.5	164	402
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000322	0.5	2.1	1.6	176	284
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000340	0.9	1.4	0.5	141	71
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000342	1.0	1.5	0.5	107	53
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000346	1.3	3.6	2.3	149	350
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000347	0.0	3.1	3.1	326	999
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000352	0.8	1.4	0.6	232	141
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000355	0.9	4.6	3.7	244	896
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000366	0.1	0.8	0.7	201	137
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000376	2.2	3.1	0.9	182	162
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000376	3.8	4.3	0.5	165	83
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000380	0.7	2.5	1.8	173	308
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000381	0.6	1.1	0.5	110	55
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000385	0.1	1.2	1.1	147	158
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000388	0.8	4.1	3.3	262	866
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000389	0.5	5.3	4.8	1,170	5,663
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000393	1.6	2.1	0.5	141	71
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000400	0.5	2.0	1.4	164	235
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000401	0.5	1.0	0.5	109	55
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000406	0.2	2.5	2.2	348	775
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000407	1.6	2.1	0.5	162	81
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000407	2.8	3.3	0.5	127	63
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000409	1.1	3.5	2.4	122	290
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000415	1.1	1.7	0.6	120	74
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000416	2.6	3.8	1.2	136	166
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000417	1.4	1.9	0.5	113	57
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000417	3.1	4.5	1.4	170	242
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000418	0.7	4.0	3.3	167	548
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000419	1.6	3.7	2.1	116	249
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000420	0.5	2.2	1.7	194	333
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000425	0.7	2.6	1.9	241	447
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000425	3.9	4.8	1.0	126	123
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000426	3.4	5.1	1.7	255	428
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000427	1.5	4.1	2.6	197	515
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000428	1.1	3.9	2.7	251	686
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000429	0.7	3.7	3.0	302	904
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000430	0.6	1.8	1.2	101	116
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000430	2.5	4.0	1.5	112	165

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM	TO	Thickness	Grade	Grade x
		m	m	m	ppm U ₃ O ₈	Thickness
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000431	0.4	0.9	0.5	110	55
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000431	1.6	2.3	0.7	191	139
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000432	2.4	2.9	0.5	113	56
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000433	1.6	3.7	2.1	143	294
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000434	1.8	3.1	1.4	182	248
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000434	3.8	4.6	0.8	269	201
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000436	2.4	4.6	2.2	255	553
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000437	3.0	4.7	1.7	141	246
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000438	1.2	3.5	2.3	195	452
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000439	1.8	3.9	2.2	153	335
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000443	1.3	2.1	0.8	142	119
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000444	1.8	2.6	0.8	123	101
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000445	1.7	4.0	2.3	181	410
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000445	5.1	5.6	0.5	112	56
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000446	2.1	4.6	2.5	159	403
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000447	1.0	4.1	3.1	222	689
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000448	1.3	3.4	2.1	252	527
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000448	4.3	5.0	0.8	115	91
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000449	1.4	2.4	1.0	105	103
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000449	3.3	4.0	0.6	104	67
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000450	0.7	2.1	1.4	209	290
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000450	2.7	3.2	0.5	132	66
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000453	0.6	3.3	2.7	220	600
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000454	1.5	3.2	1.7	126	217
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000455	3.8	4.6	0.8	153	118
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000457	2.3	3.3	1.0	164	166
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000461	2.3	4.0	1.7	109	183
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000462	0.3	3.6	3.3	251	833
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000463	1.7	2.3	0.6	134	83
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000464	4.0	6.4	2.4	164	392
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000465	0.5	1.3	0.9	140	120
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000466	0.5	2.1	1.7	171	283
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000468	2.5	3.8	1.3	212	264
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000933	2.7	3.5	0.8	143	118
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000934	2.2	4.4	2.2	156	349
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000935	3.2	4.5	1.4	141	192
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000937	2.6	3.1	0.5	137	69
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000938	1.1	3.2	2.1	144	298
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000939	2.1	4.9	2.8	113	320
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000940	0.7	3.9	3.2	145	465
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000941	1.1	5.0	3.9	216	853
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000942	1.8	3.5	1.7	156	266
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000943	3.1	4.9	1.8	178	325

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM	TO	Thickness	Grade	Grade x
		m	m	m	ppm U ₃ O ₈	Thickness
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000944	0.9	2.9	2.0	125	250
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000945	1.6	4.5	2.9	166	478
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000950	0.9	2.0	1.2	156	179
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000951	0.9	1.7	0.8	130	108
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000952	1.2	1.7	0.5	102	51
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000953	0.3	0.8	0.5	110	55
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000955	1.7	2.2	0.5	180	92
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000956	1.4	3.3	1.9	138	261
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000957	2.5	3.3	0.8	139	110
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000957	4.5	5.0	0.5	129	64
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000958	1.4	4.0	2.6	157	406
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000959	1.4	1.9	0.5	110	55
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000965	2.2	3.9	1.7	180	301
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000969	3.2	3.7	0.5	161	80
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000974	1.8	3.8	2.0	203	405
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000974	4.4	6.0	1.7	116	192
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000975	1.4	4.6	3.2	212	671
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000977	1.7	3.3	1.6	202	320
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000980	0.2	3.7	3.5	425	1,491
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000981	1.4	1.9	0.5	133	67
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000983	0.5	1.2	0.7	132	96
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000984	1.2	3.3	2.1	161	343
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000987	3.5	4.4	0.8	219	184
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000989	1.0	3.2	2.2	227	493
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000989	4.2	5.1	0.9	159	146
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000991	2.1	5.1	3.0	238	720
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000993	0.0	2.6	2.6	242	638
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000995	1.6	4.1	2.5	161	407
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000996	2.1	3.0	0.9	124	114
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000997	0.2	3.4	3.2	555	1,780
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000998	0.5	3.8	3.3	186	616
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000999	1.5	2.0	0.5	142	71
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001001	1.3	1.9	0.6	125	79
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001003	0.2	1.7	1.5	183	278
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001009	1.5	5.3	3.9	130	502
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001013	1.1	3.8	2.7	216	584
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001014	0.8	1.3	0.5	110	55
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001014	2.6	4.6	2.0	113	225
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001015	0.6	1.6	1.0	120	118
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001018	1.2	2.4	1.3	125	156
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001019	0.4	4.3	3.8	207	790
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001020	1.0	4.6	3.6	134	484
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001021	2.4	5.0	2.6	155	405

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM	TO	Thickness	Grade	Grade x
		m	m	m	ppm U ₃ O ₈	Thickness
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001022	1.2	3.3	2.2	302	650
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001023	3.0	4.5	1.5	152	233
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001024	1.3	1.9	0.6	108	66
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001027	2.7	3.7	0.9	221	205
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001034	0.6	1.7	1.1	127	141
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001037	1.4	2.0	0.6	142	87
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001038	1.1	3.8	2.7	152	412
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001040	1.0	1.9	0.8	107	89
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001040	2.7	3.3	0.6	152	97
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001041	1.4	3.6	2.2	183	404
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001044	1.4	2.8	1.4	111	157
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001046	1.1	4.5	3.4	200	684
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001048	1.1	1.8	0.7	128	90
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001054	0.6	2.4	1.8	237	434
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001056	1.3	1.8	0.5	128	64
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001056	3.6	4.1	0.5	119	59
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001057	0.9	3.1	2.3	188	424
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001061	1.1	4.7	3.6	318	1,158
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001062	2.4	3.2	0.8	354	294
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001064	0.0	4.0	4.0	314	1242
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001065	3.7	4.3	0.6	160	101
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001067	0.3	3.2	2.8	164	462
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001068	0.6	1.1	0.5	113	56
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001074	6.3	7.2	0.9	162	149
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001075	0.9	1.6	0.7	160	112
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001078	0.3	2.2	1.9	254	480
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001079	0.6	2.8	2.2	802	1,796
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001080	0.6	2.6	2.0	179	356
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001081	1.7	3.9	2.2	180	397
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001082	2.4	3.2	0.9	227	195
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001089	3.3	4.0	0.7	132	92
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001091	2.6	4.2	1.6	304	478
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001093	2.3	2.8	0.5	103	51
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001093	3.4	5.0	1.6	164	266
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001094	2.1	3.7	1.6	236	388
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001094	4.3	5.0	0.7	159	118
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001095	1.4	4.2	2.8	470	1,307
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001104	0.8	1.3	0.6	113	67
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001105	1.2	1.7	0.5	107	54
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001108	0.7	4.2	3.5	302	1,063
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001109	2.2	2.7	0.5	198	99
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001110	2.2	2.8	0.6	201	127
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001110	4.2	4.9	0.7	158	104

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM	TO	Thickness	Grade	Grade x
		m	m	m	ppm U ₃ O ₈	Thickness
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001119	0.6	3.5	2.8	313	886
Hippolyte South	23FEAC001123	0.2	0.7	0.5	156	78
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000649	2.0	2.5	0.5	135	67
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000660	0.3	3.2	2.9	184	539
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000661	0.9	2.4	1.4	117	166
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000662	0.8	2.3	1.5	119	176
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000663	3.0	4.4	1.4	131	186
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000664	2.0	2.5	0.5	110	55
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000666	3.1	4.0	0.9	112	101
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000669	2.6	3.3	0.8	101	79
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000670	0.4	4.5	4.1	116	475
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000671	0.8	2.4	1.6	116	190
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000673	1.1	1.6	0.5	114	58
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000673	2.1	4.1	2.0	146	292
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000676	1.4	2.7	1.3	151	195
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000684	1.3	1.8	0.5	105	53
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000698	3.7	6.0	2.3	107	247
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000703	0.8	2.4	1.6	128	202
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000705	0.7	1.5	0.8	130	100
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000705	2.9	3.4	0.5	115	57
Hippolyte West C	23FEAC000715	0.8	1.4	0.6	132	82
Lazare North	23ASAC001537	3.4	3.9	0.5	121	60
Lazare North	23ASAC001543	0.4	2.2	1.8	260	467
Lazare North	23ASAC001544	0.5	1.9	1.4	155	216
Lazare North	23ASAC001545	1.1	1.7	0.6	121	71
Lazare North	23ASAC001548	1.5	2.6	1.1	113	128
Lazare North	23ASAC001549	0.8	2.4	1.6	146	231
Lazare North	23ASAC001552	1.8	2.3	0.5	102	51
Lazare North	23ASAC001558	1.6	3.0	1.4	110	150
Lazare North	23ASAC001561	3.2	3.7	0.5	151	76
Lazare North	23ASAC001570	2.7	3.3	0.6	252	144
Lazare North	23ASAC001590	1.3	1.8	0.5	110	55
Lazare South	23ASAC001436	0.6	3.2	2.6	170	450
Lazare South	23ASAC001437	0.9	4.2	3.3	206	683
Lazare South	23ASAC001467	2.1	5.7	3.6	117	425
Lazare South	23ASAC001470	2.5	3.1	0.6	134	78
Lazare South	23ASAC001477	2.1	2.6	0.5	131	66
Lazare South	23ASAC001481	1.7	2.2	0.5	110	55
Lazare South	23ASAC001489	2.0	2.5	0.5	202	101
Lazare South	23ASAC001499	1.5	2.1	0.6	161	95
Lazare South	23ASAC001505	0.5	3.0	2.5	407	1,009
Lazare South	23ASAC001505	4.0	4.7	0.7	234	168
Lazare South	23ASAC001505	8.1	8.6	0.5	102	51

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM	TO	Thickness	Grade	Grade x Thickness
		m	m	m	ppm U ₃ O ₈	
Lazare South	23ASAC001505	12.2	12.9	0.7	157	106
Lazare South	23ASAC001506	1.8	4.0	2.3	228	517
Lazare South	23ASAC001507	2.7	4.5	1.8	110	196
Marie E_H	23FEAC000739	0.7	3.8	3.1	210	654
Marie E_H	23FEAC000739	4.9	5.4	0.5	122	61
Marie E_H	23FEAC000753	0.4	3.3	2.9	221	642
Marie E_H	23FEAC000754	2.3	3.0	0.8	123	92
Marie E_H	23FEAC000760	1.3	2.0	0.7	104	68
Marie E_H	23FEAC000767	0.8	2.7	1.9	123	227
Marie E_H	23FEAC000769	5.7	6.3	0.6	119	73
Marie E_H	23FEAC000775	0.5	5.2	4.7	163	765
Marie E_H	23FEAC000785	0.4	1.8	1.4	194	278
Marie E_H	23FEAC000788	0.8	2.5	1.8	133	233
Marie E_H	23FEAC000800	0.9	2.6	1.7	113	187
Marie E_H	23FEAC000801	4.6	6.4	1.9	148	279
Marie E_H	23FEAC000810	0.2	1.2	1.0	131	132
Marie E_H	23FEAC000815	4.5	5.0	0.5	122	61
Marie F_G	23FEAC000822	0.4	2.0	1.6	143	224
Marie F_G	23FEAC000826	0.3	2.8	2.5	145	364
Marie F_G	23FEAC000839	2.4	3.8	1.4	180	253
Marie F_G	23FEAC000900	0.5	1.0	0.5	120	60
Marie F_G	23FEAC000905	0.4	2.0	1.7	165	272
Sadi	23ASAC001610	2.0	5.1	3.1	158	481
Sadi	23ASAC001613	1.4	5.3	3.9	151	596
Sadi	23ASAC001615	1.9	3.1	1.2	177	216
Sadi	23ASAC001615	4.0	4.8	0.8	203	164
Sadi	23ASAC001616	4.4	5.9	1.5	127	194
Sadi	23ASAC001616	6.9	7.4	0.5	112	56
Sadi	23ASAC001617	0.6	3.9	3.3	297	983
Sadi	23ASAC001618	2.7	3.4	0.7	123	88
Sadi	23ASAC001618	6.1	6.6	0.5	109	55
Sadi	23ASAC001619	0.7	2.6	1.9	133	257
Sadi	23ASAC001623	9.2	9.7	0.5	157	78
Sadi	23ASAC001624	0.6	2.9	2.3	181	416
Sadi	23ASAC001624	3.8	5.1	1.3	108	144
Sadi	23ASAC001625	1.5	2.0	0.5	127	65
Sadi	23ASAC001625	3.9	4.4	0.5	108	54
Sadi	23ASAC001626	0.9	1.4	0.5	102	51
Sadi	23ASAC001628	1.8	2.3	0.5	106	53
Sadi	23ASAC001640	1.4	2.0	0.7	201	133
Sadi	23ASAC001644	1.6	3.2	1.6	429	687
Sadi	23ASAC001653	0.6	1.3	0.7	114	79
Sadi	23ASAC001663	1.8	2.3	0.5	141	70

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM	TO	Thickness	Grade	Grade x
		m	m	m	ppm U ₃ O ₈	Thickness
Sadi	23ASAC001665	2.0	3.0	1.0	119	117
Sadi	23ASAC001670	0.5	1.2	0.7	107	72
Sadi	23ASAC001674	0.3	3.6	3.2	153	493
Sadi	23ASAC001674	4.5	5.5	0.9	134	126
Sadi	23ASAC001683	2.3	2.9	0.6	138	81
Sadi	23ASAC001690	1.8	2.4	0.5	116	62
Sadi	23ASAC001690	3.0	3.5	0.5	141	70
Sadi	23ASAC001692	0.2	1.1	0.9	209	191
Sadi	23ASAC001696	0.9	1.4	0.5	105	53
Sadi	23ASAC001703	2.8	3.3	0.5	131	66
Sadi	23ASAC001715	2.5	3.4	0.9	126	116
Sadi	23ASAC001716	1.2	1.7	0.5	115	57
Sadi	23ASAC001720	2.3	2.9	0.6	127	80
Sadi	23ASAC001721	1.9	3.1	1.1	154	176
Sadi	23ASAC001721	3.6	4.5	0.9	103	91
Sadi	23ASAC001721	14.1	14.6	0.5	152	76
Sadi	23ASAC001722	1.8	2.6	0.7	124	90
Sadi	23ASAC001722	9.3	9.9	0.6	158	98
Sadi	23ASAC001727	0.3	1.2	0.9	173	151
Sadi	23ASAC001735	0.6	1.2	0.6	126	70
Sadi	23ASAC001736	0.3	2.1	1.8	208	375
Sadi	23ASAC001737	1.3	1.8	0.5	283	142
Sadi	23ASAC001743	1.9	4.7	2.9	280	799
Sadi	23ASAC001744	1.9	3.0	1.2	111	128
Sadi	23ASAC001744	6.6	7.2	0.6	134	79
Sadi	23ASAC001747	3.0	3.9	1.0	165	158
Sadi	23ASAC001762	0.2	3.0	2.8	356	1,011
Sadi	23ASAC001767	0.8	1.8	1.0	148	148
Sadi	23ASAC001769	1.7	2.2	0.5	134	67
Sadi	23ASAC001778	0.5	2.5	2.0	233	470
Sadi	23ASAC001790	0.4	1.6	1.2	215	247
Sadi	23ASAC001792	2.1	4.6	2.5	210	515
Sadi	23ASAC001792	5.3	6.0	0.7	131	93
Sadi	23ASAC001793	1.9	2.4	0.5	121	61
Sadi	23ASAC001793	7.4	7.9	0.5	100	50
Sadi	23ASAC001794	6.9	7.6	0.7	112	81
Sadi	23ASAC001795	1.5	5.8	4.2	200	847
Sadi	23ASAC001796	5.8	7.0	1.2	111	129
Sadi	23ASAC001797	1.4	5.6	4.2	202	854
Sadi	23ASAC001798	1.9	2.5	0.7	131	91
Sadi	23ASAC001799	1.1	3.3	2.2	163	365
Sadi	23ASAC001800	1.7	3.9	2.2	230	508
Sadi	23ASAC001801	1.2	5.8	4.6	191	880

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM	TO	Thickness	Grade	Grade x
		m	m	m	ppm U ₃ O ₈	Thickness
Sadi	23ASAC001803	1.0	5.6	4.6	173	787
Sadi	23ASAC001805	1.6	5.7	4.1	201	829
Sadi	23ASAC001806	0.6	3.8	3.2	363	1,154
Sadi	23ASAC001806	7.1	7.7	0.6	110	69
Sadi	23ASAC001807	3.2	4.3	1.1	143	163
Sadi	23ASAC001807	4.9	5.4	0.5	127	64
Sadi	23ASAC001808	1.3	3.4	2.1	153	319
Sadi	23ASAC001809	0.7	3.2	2.5	159	396
Sadi	23ASAC001809	4.0	5.3	1.3	118	152
Sadi	23ASAC001809	5.9	6.4	0.5	104	52
Sadi	23ASAC001810	0.8	3.2	2.4	239	573
Sadi	23ASAC001810	3.8	5.4	1.6	188	308
Sadi	23ASAC001811	1.2	3.7	2.5	248	627
Sadi	23ASAC001811	4.2	5.2	1.0	116	116
Sadi	23ASAC001812	0.7	4.3	3.6	220	795
Sadi	23ASAC001813	1.0	3.4	2.4	208	503
Sadi	23ASAC001814	1.4	4.0	2.6	189	498
Sadi	23ASAC001814	7.4	7.9	0.5	107	53
Sadi	23ASAC001815	2.0	2.7	0.7	195	132
Sadi	23ASAC001815	3.4	4.8	1.4	121	174
Sadi	23ASAC001817	0.7	4.7	4.0	168	673
Sadi	23ASAC001818	0.5	5.5	5.0	204	1,021
Sadi	23ASAC001819	0.4	1.7	1.3	202	269
Sadi	23ASAC001820	0.3	3.7	3.4	308	1,055
Sadi	23ASAC001820	4.3	4.8	0.5	115	57
Sadi	23ASAC001821	0.5	2.8	2.3	257	591
Sadi	23ASAC001822	1.1	6.5	5.4	187	1,003
Sadi	23ASAC001823	0.7	4.1	3.4	132	442
Sadi	23ASAC001824	0.5	2.8	2.4	253	595
Sadi	23ASAC001825	0.6	2.7	2.0	290	584
Sadi	23ASAC001827	0.3	5.8	5.5	167	914
Sadi	23ASAC001829	1.0	1.5	0.5	113	57
Sadi	23ASAC001831	0.7	3.3	2.6	199	518
Sadi	23ASAC001832	1.0	2.0	1.0	116	119
Sadi	23ASAC001835	1.6	3.0	1.4	360	494
Sadi	23ASAC001837	0.6	2.4	1.8	163	286
Sadi	23ASAC001837	2.9	4.5	1.7	110	182
Sadi	23ASAC001838	1.7	5.4	3.8	126	474
Sadi	23ASAC001840	7.4	7.9	0.5	198	107
Sadi	23ASAC001841	1.8	2.5	0.7	108	72
Sadi	23ASAC001845	2.4	2.9	0.5	202	101
Sadi	23ASAC001850	0.2	2.0	1.9	151	281
Sadi	23ASAC001852	0.4	2.8	2.4	162	384

Prospect	Hole ID	FROM	TO	Thickness	Grade	Grade x
		m	m	m	ppm U ₃ O ₈	Thickness
Sadi	23ASAC001853	0.3	2.6	2.3	156	364
Sadi	23ASAC001855	1.0	1.9	0.9	189	164
Sadi	23ASAC001860	1.0	1.9	0.9	141	131
Sadi	23ASAC001861	5.4	7.0	1.6	325	510
Sadi	23ASAC001862	1.4	2.4	1.0	149	141
Sadi	23ASAC001862	3.7	4.6	0.9	151	128
Sadi	23ASAC001865	0.7	1.9	1.2	137	163
Sadi	23ASAC001869	0.2	1.7	1.5	199	294
Sadi	23ASAC001871	2.0	2.6	0.6	253	147
Sadi	23ASAC001872	1.2	1.8	0.6	120	73
Sadi	23ASAC001873	1.4	2.2	0.7	115	85
Sadi	23ASAC001874	1.1	2.3	1.2	338	419
Sadi	23ASAC001877	0.9	1.4	0.5	119	60
Sadi	23ASAC001890	2.0	2.5	0.5	134	67
Sadi	23ASAC001895	1.2	2.1	1.0	133	129
Sadi	23ASAC001897	0.7	2.6	1.9	133	255
Sadi	23ASAC001898	1.0	1.8	0.9	208	185
Sadi	23ASAC001905	1.6	2.1	0.5	111	55
Sadi	23ASAC001906	0.8	1.5	0.6	143	92
Sadi	23ASAC001907	3.3	3.8	0.5	102	51
Sadi	23ASAC001908	1.4	2.0	0.6	181	116

Note: Holes without significant intercepts not reported in Table 1

Note: Highlighted holes called out in the body of the release

Note: All holes drilled vertical, intervals are down hole depths and thicknesses represent true thicknesses due to the flat nature of the mineralisation

Prospect	Hole ID	Total Depth m	Easting	Northing	RL	Prospect	Hole ID	Total Depth m	Easting	Northing	RL
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000263	6	682,832	2,804,810	351	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001084	5	688,026	2,805,605	366
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000264	7	682,933	2,804,810	355	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001085	1	688,230	2,805,602	364
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000265	5	683,048	2,804,810	354	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001086	4	687,129	2,805,999	364
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000266	9	682,931	2,804,208	354	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001087	2	687,228	2,805,999	363
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000267	6	683,132	2,804,210	353	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001088	2	687,427	2,805,997	363
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000268	9	683,333	2,804,208	355	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001089	8	687,131	2,806,201	363
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000269	7	683,547	2,804,207	358	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001090	5	687,231	2,806,197	362
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000270	9	683,232	2,803,807	359	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001091	7	687,326	2,806,198	362
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000271	13	683,432	2,803,800	349	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001092	5	687,425	2,806,201	361
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000272	4	683,632	2,803,800	351	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001093	8	684,837	2,798,403	344
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000273	5.5	683,831	2,803,801	354	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001094	8	684,936	2,798,404	347
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000274	15	681,031	2,803,399	339	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001095	7	685,037	2,798,405	347
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000275	8	681,431	2,803,402	340	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001096	1	685,138	2,798,405	347
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000276	13	683,433	2,803,403	350	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001097	8	685,234	2,798,405	347
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000277	4	683,633	2,803,402	349	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001098	8	685,337	2,798,405	351
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000278	11	683,833	2,803,403	348	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001099	4	685,434	2,798,406	353
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000279	4	681,031	2,803,003	337	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001100	3	685,534	2,798,404	346
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000280	5	681,233	2,802,999	334	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001101	8	685,630	2,798,403	352
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000281	14	681,431	2,802,999	335	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001102	8	685,733	2,798,398	357
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000282	9	681,629	2,802,998	337	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001103	8	685,844	2,798,398	354
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000283	9	681,032	2,802,802	342	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001104	6	684,832	2,798,598	348
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000284	15	681,232	2,802,803	345	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001105	5	684,936	2,798,599	345
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000285	6	681,429	2,802,806	348	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001106	8	685,136	2,798,600	345
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000286	7	681,633	2,802,800	349	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001107	3	685,331	2,798,599	346
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000287	15	683,030	2,802,600	355	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001108	5	685,543	2,798,598	348
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000288	4	683,230	2,802,603	356	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001109	8	685,730	2,798,600	346
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000289	8	683,434	2,802,604	358	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001110	6	685,823	2,798,609	357
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000290	9	681,231	2,802,401	350	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001111	1	684,933	2,798,812	354
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000291	9	681,629	2,802,404	350	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001113	2	685,136	2,798,809	352
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000292	7	682,828	2,802,205	354	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001114	2	685,333	2,798,809	353
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000293	15	683,031	2,802,203	354	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001115	2	685,536	2,798,811	357
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000294	7	683,234	2,802,203	344	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001116	1	685,736	2,798,816	355
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000295	5	683,433	2,802,201	349	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001117	4	684,938	2,799,013	354
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000296	5	683,632	2,802,202	341	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001118	1	685,026	2,799,006	353
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000297	9	683,830	2,802,202	341	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001119	5	685,224	2,799,011	353
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000298	4	684,035	2,802,000	345	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001120	2	685,422	2,799,006	353
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000299	20	682,733	2,801,809	347	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001121	1	685,024	2,799,209	355
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000300	10	682,934	2,801,810	344	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001123	2	685,223	2,799,203	353
Hippolyte South	23FEAC000301	4	684,234	2,801,609	348	Hippolyte South	23FEAC001124	3	685,328	2,799,200	355

Note: All holes drilled vertical

Appendix 3 – Figures for each Prospect




Figure 4. Sadi: showing grade*thickness and U₃O₈ grades from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines




Figure 5. Hippolyte South: showing grade*thickness and U₃O₈ grades from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines




Figure 6. Hippolyte North: showing grade*thickness and U₃O₈ grades from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines




Figure 7. Hippolyte East: showing grade*thickness and U₃O₈ grades from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines




Figure 8. Hippolyte West C: showing grade*thickness and U₃O₈ grades from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines




Figure 9. Hippolyte West D: showing grade*thickness and U₃O₈ grades from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines




Figure 10. Lazare North and Lazare South: showing grade*thickness and U_3O_8 grades from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines




Figure 11. Marie E-H: showing grade*thickness and U_3O_8 grades from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines




Figure 12. Marie F-G: showing grade*thickness and U₃O₈ grades from this program along with those from previous drilling in relation to airborne U-radiometric anomalies and current resource outlines

Appendix 4: JORC report

JORC Code 2012 Table 1 Appendix 5A ASX Listing Rules 2024 Tiris Uranium Exploration Results

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> While several drilling programs have been completed from 2010 to the present, the significant intercepts data presented here is from the current ongoing drilling program. In total, 6649 holes have been drilled on the leases, compiled of 6221 AC holes, 303 RC holes, and 124 PQ core holes. From the current program, 437 holes with significant intercepts (100 ppm cutoff) are presented here, out of 1612 holes drilled. Results from previous drilling programs are not presented in the report but are shown in the figures, with the difference between the two datasets clearly marked. Historical holes are also shown in the drill collar table. The drilling programs listed in sequential order were as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An air-core (AC) drilling program in 2010/11 with grade determined by chemical analysis of drill samples. An AC drilling program at Lazare in 2012 with grade estimation by chemical analysis of drill samples An AC drilling program at Sadi in 2015 with grade estimation by chemical analysis of drill samples An AC drilling program in 2017 with grade estimation by downhole gamma logging An AC drilling program in 2022 with grade estimation by downhole gamma logging Diamond drilling (DD) programs in 2017 and 2022 with grade estimation by both chemical analysis of core and by downhole gamma logging, for validation purposes. The current AC drilling program began in December 2023 and is ongoing, with grade estimation by downhole gamma logging. Down hole gamma logging in the current program is by 2 Auslog down-hole gamma sondes operated by Poseidon Geophysics (Pty) Ltd based in Gaborone Botswana using geophysicists employed by Poseidon geophysics The 2 sondes (serial numbers T093 and T272) were sent to the Department of Environment, Water & Natural Resources, Adelaide South Australia for calibration prior to the surveys in both 2017, 2022 and the current program.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 2024 AC drilling program is being conducted by Sahara Natural Resources using their purpose-built SNR SAC15 multi-wheel drive rig.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Drill sample recovery</i>	<p>etc).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> • <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> • <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample recovery is irrelevant in the current program because downhole gamma logging is being used to determine grade.
<i>Logging</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> • <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Last sample from each hole retained in chip trays
<i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample techniques and sample preparation are irrelevant in the current program because downhole gamma logging is being used to determine grade.
<i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Downhole gamma logging was performed by 2 down-hole Auslog gamma sondes comprising: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ DLS5 Winch Controller ▪ W600-1 12V Portable Winch ▪ A075 Natural Gamma Tool • Logging procedures involved: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Drill holes were gamma logged as soon as possible after drilling to avoid radon build-up. ▪ Each borehole logged in both directions to verify consistency. ▪ Logging speed: 2 metres per minute ▪ Sampling interval: 1 cm ▪ At least one hole was re-logged after each 20 holes as a repeatability check. ▪ A reference hole was established and relogged every 2 days as a check on consistency.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Gamma logging procedures & interpretation were supervised by consultant David Wilson who qualifies as a Competent Person in these matters.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. • The use of twinned holes. • Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. • Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Including prior drilling programs, 6396 holes were drilled in total. Of these, 5968 (93%) grade was determined by downhole gamma logging. 303 (5%) holes were RC and 125 (2%) holes were PQ diamond core, with U grade determined by chemical assay. Diamond drillholes were both gamma logged and chemically assayed for validation purposes. The holes drilled in 2015 were excluded from all resource estimates and this report. • Database management was undertaken by Reflex Hub in Perth prior to July 2019, and by Earth SQL in Melbourne after that date. • Downhole gamma data is automatically recorded during the survey process undertaken by Poseidon Geophysics. David Wilson from 3D Exploration then undertook analysis and quality control of downhole gamma information. • In 2022, David Wilson from 3D Exploration completed a comparison of gamma logs against assay information in PQ coreholes. To test for radioactive disequilibrium, 343 samples were sent to Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO). In Australia, with results compiled and interpreted by D Wilson of 3D Exploration. Disequilibrium factors were produced in two different ways. The first was based on laboratory measurements made at ANSTO, which suggested a disequilibrium factor of 1.29. The second was comparison of drill core assay results against downhole gamma logging which suggested a conversion factor of 1.16. When the apparent under estimation of grade by ICP analysis (in comparison to the more accurate DNA analysis) by 7% is taken into consideration the drill hole assay data imply a conversion factor of 1.24. Aura personnel decided a disequilibrium factor of 1.25 was appropriate and applied this to convert eU3O8 grades to U3O8 grades. • The disequilibrium factor of 1.25 has been applied to the results presented in this report. • Significant intercepts were determined by Arnold van der Heyden from H&S Consultants, the Competent Person for the most recent Mineral Resource reporting. • All drillhole data recorded was uploaded to Aura's online database managed by Reflex Hub during the programs prior to July 2019 and managed by Earth SQL after that date. Analyses were forwarded directly from the laboratories to the database manager for incorporation in the database.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Location of data points</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. • Specification of the grid system used. • Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drillhole collars from the current program were surveyed by handheld GPS with reported accuracy of +/- 3 metres. At the end of the program, all holes will be surveyed by differential surveying conducted by IRC-Magma (ISO 9001-2015) to an accuracy of +/- 20 cm in all dimensions. • The grid projection used is UTM WGS84 Zone 29N.
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. • Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. • Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the current program, most of the drilling is at 200 by 100 m spacing, but some areas were covered by initial wide-spaced lines, then any positive results were followed up progressively to a detail of 200 by 100m if results warranted the detail. • Resource modelling has not yet been undertaken on the 2024 results. • Significant intercepts were composited to a minimum length of 0.5m.
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. • If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The surficial mineralisation is flat lying so vertical holes were drilled, intersecting the mineralisation at a high angle. • The collars are spaced in a grid pattern so provide adequate coverage of the mineralisation, demonstrating a broad NW-SE linearity to the mineralisation, with some internal areas running NE-SW.
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All holes in the 2024 program were geophysically surveyed by downhole gamma logging. Approximately 93% of all drillholes in Tiris East were surveyed by downhole gamma logging and for these, sample security is not relevant.
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A site inspection was conducted by Oliver Mapeto of Coffey Mining in 2012. A resource report from 2012 was independently reviewed and confirmed by Wardell Armstrong International in 2016. A Resource Estimate at Sadi was done in 2021 by Oliver Mapeto acting then as an independent consultant. The 2018 and 2023 Mineral Resource Estimates have been carried out by independent consulting group H&S Consultants Pty Ltd. All of these consulting groups have reviewed and endorsed the sampling, grade estimation and QAQC procedures. The table of significant intercepts for the 2024 program was prepared by Arnold van der Heyden from H&S Consultants, who also undertook a field inspection in January 2024.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The drilling was conducted on 1 mineral exploration permit held 100% by Aura Energy: 2365B4 Oued EL Foule Sud, and on 2 Exploitation permits: 2492C4 Oued El Foule, 2491C4 Ain Sder held by Tiris Ressources SA. Tiris Ressources SA is owned 85% by Aura Energy subsidiary, Aura Energy Mauritania and 15% by ANARPAM, a Mauritanian Government entity. Aura has completed an Environmental and Social Impact Assessment which concluded there are no known issues arising from native title, historical sites, environmental or third-party matters which are likely to materially affect exploitation.
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aura is unaware of any prior exploration on these areas, other than governmental data gathering projects such as the PRISM-II Mauritania Minerals Project (USGS)
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The mineralisation is of the surficial uranium style. It occurs within Proterozoic rocks of the Reguibat Craton. The mineralisation is developed within near surface altered and weathered granites, and within shallow colluvium lying on granite or adjacent metasediments.
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reported in the body of this release. All drill holes were drilled vertically.
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parameters to calculate significant intercepts were: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimum length 0.5m, Maximum internal waste 0.5m, Attempt to dilute narrow ore samples with adjacent waste, No grade cutting was applied, Composites were length weighted, Cut-off grades of 100, 80 and 40 ppm U₃O₈ were applied. Short lengths of high-grade results were diluted

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>shown in detail.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i> 	<p>with low grade results to achieve minimum length, providing the average grade of the total interval exceeded the cut-off grade.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No metal equivalents are reported.
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All drillholes were drilled vertically and approximately perpendicular to the thickness of the sub horizontal mineralisation.
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to the ASX announcement which this table accompanies.
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All recent results received and compiled to date are reported in this release. In addition to the significant results (>100 ppm), lower grade mineralisation (40-99 ppm) is presented, along with holes showing no significant results. Drilling is on-going with further results expected. Two datasets using different cutoff grades are combined for the figures and results table. The lower grade mineralisation (40 to 99 ppm) was determined using a 40ppm cutoff and the results higher than 100 ppm were determined using a 100 ppm cutoff. For the figures showing significant intercepts; if more than one intercept occurs in a hole, the upper intercept is shown.
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Metallurgical testwork is ongoing. Information on processing has been reported in ASX announcement: 29 July 2019 “Tiris Uranium Definitive Feasibility Study Completed” and ASX announcement: 29 March 2023 “Tiris Enhanced Definitive Feasibility Study”. ASX Release 23rd June 2022 confirms average 550% upgrading of uranium with simple screening in test-work.
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The drilling program is continuing, and significant intercepts are currently being followed-up. The broad drilling plan was presented in Target Announcement: 17 October 2023 “New Uranium Exploration Target identified at Tiris Project”.