www.kglresources.com.au

24 October 2014

Jervois Drilling Returns Further High-Grade Intersections

More high-grade intersections have been generated by the in-fill resource drilling at Jervois. Significant results include:

14m @ 4.17% Cu, 77.1g/t Ag, 1.42g/t Au from 18m (JOC206)

16m @ 2.55% Cu, 93.8g/t Ag, 0.49g/t Au from 56m (JOC207)

11m @ 3.24% Cu, 24.7g/t Ag, 0.26g/t Au from 44m (JOC179)

8m @ 3.59% Cu, 37.2g/t Ag, 0.28g/t Au from 29m (JOC212)

A second program of resource definition drilling at Marshall-Reward and Bellbird commenced in early October with drilling designed to convert Inferred resources within the optimised open pits to the Indicated category and ultimately into Reserve. Initial assay results have been received and continue to confirm the shallow, high-grade mineralisation intersected in the first program. Enrichment of copper at shallow depth and elevated silver and gold will have a positive impact on the economics of the open pits and the project as a whole.

Two holes were drilled to test copper occurrences with minor workings along the newly defined Bellbird East trend. The best results include:

2m @ 2.09% Cu, 2.39% Pb, 0.44% Zn, 9.3g/t Ag from 18m (JOC238)

This result is significant because the prospect is on the margin of the newly optimised Bellbird East pit. Follow up drilling along this new mineralised trend is planned.

Drilling is continuing on site with programs planned for the new Morley open pit east of Reward, the Killeen Zn-Cu prospect, Rockface and several new exploration targets. Results from this drilling will be available in coming weeks.

Background

In May-June 2014 KGL completed 177 hole reverse circulation program at Jervois for 9,653m. The drilling program was designed to improve delineation of shallow mineralisation and to improve interpretation of the oxidation boundaries within the regolith profile prior to a resource update. The new resource was subsequently used for a pit optimisation that forms part of the preliminary feasibility study. The drilling returned high-grade shallow copper intersections that were better than anticipated because of supergene enrichment at the base of oxidation. Following the pit optimisation the current phase of shallow drilling was designed with the aim of converting all Inferred resources within the Marshall-Reward, Bellbird and East Reward pits to the Indicated category and test for extensions beyond the pit designs.



Figure 1 Bellbird Cross-Section



Figure 2 Reward Cross-Section



Figure 3 Plan of drilling at Bellbird



Figure 4 Plan of drilling at Marshall, Reward and Morley



Figure 5 Plan of Jervois tenement and drill hole collar locations

Table 1 Table of significant results

Hole ID	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	RL (m)	Dip	Azimuth	BOX[1] (m)	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	ETW[2] (m)	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Au g/t
JOC178	627290	7490920	361	-54	270	36	60	27	29	2	1.1	0.52	0.01	0.02	1.1	0.01
								35	36	1	0.6	0.57	0.02	0.02	7.2	1.21
								37	40	3	1.7	0.6	0.01	0.02	5.3	0.17
								43	44	1	0.6	0.62	0.02	0.03	4.3	0.08
								45	48	3	1.7	1.44	0.02	0.28	4.9	0.15
JOC179	627280	7490760	368	-54	268	39	60	7	18	11	6.4	0.78	0.01	0.02	3.3	0.06
								18	21	3	1.7	0.31	0.01	0.02	2.6	0.43
								32	34	2	1.2	1.2	0.02	0.03	4.7	0.08
								36	40	4	2.4	1.61	0.01	0.02	5	0.15
								44	55	11	6.4	3.24	0.03	0.03	24.7	0.26
JOC180	627320	7490760	363	-55	271	36	108	30	31	1	0.6	0.89	0.02	0.02	2.3	0.03
								52	54	2	1.1	0.7	0.01	0.02	11.8	0.06
								56	58	2	1.1	0.82	0.01	0.03	10.8	0.05
								76	77	1	0.6	1.09	0.01	0.02	6.2	0.12
								79	81	2	1.1	1.4	0.01	0.03	5.6	0.1
								86	94	8	4.6	3.69	0.01	0.04	16.6	0.1
JOC181	627285	7490680	369	-54	268	50	60	0	4	4	2.4	0.49	0.01	0.02	2.6	0.04
								4	12	8	4.7	2.02	0.02	0.03	4.6	0.14
								37	44	7	4.1	1.95	0.02	0.04	7.1	0.07
							Including	38	40	2	1.2	4.73	0.05	0.02	17.1	0.15
JOC186	627315	7491745	357	-61	270	2	165	145	146	1	0.5	0.71	0.07	1.97	4.3	0.04
JOC187	627210	7491825	357	-61	269	11	18	2	3	1	0.5	3.26	0.01	0.05	54.9	0.03
JOC188	627220	7491825	357	-62	271	4	29	18	20	2	0.9	1.06	0.01	0.19	1.9	0.01
JOC192	630520	7495720	361	-61	85	8	66	29	30	1	0.5	1.16	0.03	0.2	2.6	0.02
JOC193	630500	7495720	360	-61	269	15	90	69	70	1	0.5	0.91	0.03	0.11	5.9	0.01
JOC194	630560	7495720	366	-45	272	30	51	10	11	1	0.7	1.38	0	0.12	4.1	0.02
JOC204	630410	7494960	357	-56	282	29	162	82	92	10	5.6	0.79	0.09	0.19	13.7	0.46
								103	105	2	1.1	0.91	0.02	0.11	9.1	0.45
								117	119	2	1.1	1.79	0.01	0.08	14.2	0.85
								136	142	6	3.3	0.91	0.02	0.08	7.6	0.44
								150	152	2	1.1	0.63	0.03	0.21	6.4	0.14

Hole ID	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	RL (m)	Dip	Azimuth	BOX[1] (m)	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	ETW[2] (m)	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t	Au g/t
JOC205	630406	7495000	362	-56	267	38	114	46	55	9	5.0	1.68	0.25	0.21	44.5	0.56
								60	79	19	10.6	1.91	0.07	0.25	18.8	0.43
							Including	60	70	10	5.6	2.79	0.09	0.35	25.5	0.63
								88	91	3	1.7	1.02	0.02	0.16	10.5	0.79
JOC206	630378	7494800	345	-50	277	20	60	18	32	14	9.0	4.17	0.51	0.9	77.1	1.42
							Including	22	24	2	1.3	7.14	0.34	2.05	59.5	0.99
							and	27	30	3	1.9	7.84	0.72	1.57	138.8	3.5
								33	35	2	1.3	0.52	0.06	0.17	9.4	0.09
								46	47	1	0.6	0.68	0.07	0.07	8.6	0.05
JOC207	630400	7494760	346	-56	269	37	162	56	72	16	8.9	2.55	0.65	0.29	93.8	0.49
							Including	56	59	3	1.7	8.08	3.04	1.17	384.7	0.46
								81	83	2	1.1	0.7	0.1	0.26	11.7	0.13
JOC208	630390	7494720	346	-55	270	11	186	89	90	1	0.6	1.08	0.02	0.12	11.4	0.13
JOC210	630249	7494640	353	-56	74	27	126	66	74	8	4.5	1.02	0.13	0.12	25.2	0.31
JOC211	630250	7494560	354	-69	91	34	180	64	65	1	0.4	1.65	0.18	0.05	22.5	0.19
								67	78	11	3.9	2.36	0.52	0.27	68.9	0.31
								78	83	5	1.8	0.24	1.44	0.45	122.4	0.07
								139	147	8	2.9	0.59	0.4	0.65	23.1	0.08
								147	149	2	0.7	0.62	0.09	0.16	8.1	0.08
								149	152	3	1.1	3.93	0.2	0.32	55.9	0.23
								153	158	5	1.8	0.72	0.04	0.14	6.7	0.04
								158	160	2	0.7	0.22	0.14	3.82	24.7	0.05
								162	163	1	0.4	0.72	0.02	0.39	4	0.04
JOC212	630275	7494560	358	-65	90	31	120	29	37	8	3.4	3.59	0.14	0.15	37.2	0.28
							Including	32	36	4	1.7	6.01	0.22	0.13	59.6	0.45
JOC213	630230	7494484	353	-68	93	27	222	74	76	2	0.8	3.74	0.22	0.32	37.3	0.14
								81	85	4	1.7	3.16	0.15	0.42	31.4	0.09
JOC237	627657	7490390	379	-90	181	100	108	90	92	2	0.8	0.67	0.01	0.03	3.4	0.02
JOC238	627437	7490641	379	-90	181	>30	30	18	20	2	0.8	2.09	2.39	0.44	9.3	0.02
JOC239	627432	7490761	379	-90	181	>36	36	26	27	1	0.4	0.57	0.01	0.03	5.2	0
								29	30	1	0.4	0.76	0.01	0.05	1.6	0

[1]*Base of Oxidisation down hole depth* [2]*Estimated true width*

For further information contact:

Mr Simon Milroy
Managing Director
Phone: (07) 3071 9003

About KGL Resources

KGL Resources Limited is an Australian mineral exploration company focussed on increasing the high grade Resource at the Jervois Copper-Silver-Gold Project in the Northern Territory and developing it into a multi-metal mine.

Competent Person Statement

The Jervois Exploration data in this report is based on information compiled by Martin Bennett, who is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists and a full time employee of KGL Resources Limited.

Mr. Bennett has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of the mineralisation and the type of deposit under consideration and to the activity to which he is undertaking, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr. Bennett has consented to the inclusion of this information in the form and context in which it appears in this report.

The following drill holes were originally reported on the date indicated and using the JORC code specified in the table. Results reported under JORC 2004 have not been updated to comply with JORC 2012 on the basis that the information has not materially changed since it was last reported.

Hole		Date originally Reported	JORC Reported Under
KJC	6	8/11/2013	2004
KJC	37	8/11/2013	2004
KJC	46	29/05/2014	2012
JOC	143	1/08/2014	2012
RJ	146	1/08/2014	2013
RJ	219	13/08/2011	2002
RJ	220	14/08/2011	2003

1 JORC CODE, 2012 EDITION – TABLE 1

1.1 Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• *Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.* • *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.* • *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.* • *In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.*	• Diamond drilling and reverse circulation (RC) drilling were used to obtain samples for geological logging and assaying. • RC drill holes are sampled at 1m intervals and split using a cone splitter attached to the cyclone to generate a split of ~3kg. • Diamond core was quartered with a diamond saw and generally sampled at 1m intervals with shorter samples at geological contacts. • RC samples are routinely scanned with a Niton XRF. Samples assaying greater than 0.1% Cu, Pb or Zn are submitted for analysis at a commercial laboratory.
Drilling techniques	• *Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	• RC Drilling was conducted using a reverse circulation rig with a 5.25" face-sampling bit. Diamond drilling was either in NQ2 or HQ3 drill diameters.
Drill sample recovery	• *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.* • *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.* • *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.*	• RC samples were not weighed on a regular basis but no sample recovery issues were encountered during the drilling program. • Overweight samples (>3kg) were re-split with portable riffle splitter
Logging	• *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.* • *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.* • *The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.*	• All RC and diamond core samples are geologically logged. Core samples are also orientated and logged for geotechnical information.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.* • *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.* • *For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.* • *Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.* • *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.* • *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.*	• RC drill holes are sampled at 1m intervals and split using a cone splitter attached to the cyclone to generate a split of ~3kg. • Diamond core was quartered with a diamond saw and generally sampled at 1m intervals with shorter samples at geological contacts. • RC sample splits (~3kg) are pulverized to 85% passing 75 microns. • Diamond core samples are crushed to 70% passing 2mm and then pulverized to 85% passing 75 microns.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.* • *For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times,*	• The QAQC data includes standards, duplicates and laboratory checks. In ore zones Standards are added at a ratio of 1:10 and duplicates and blanks 1:20. • Basemetal samples are assayed using a four acid digest with an ICP AES finish.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. • *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.*	Gold samples are assayed by Aqua Regia with an ICP MS finish. Samples over 1ppm Au are re-assayed by Fire Assay with an AAS finish. • An umpire laboratory is used to check ~1% of samples analysed.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• *The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.* • *The use of twinned holes.* • *Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.* • *Discuss any adjustment to assay data.*	• Data is validated on entry into the Datashed database. • Further validation is conducted when data is imported into Vulcan
Location of data points	• *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.* • *Specification of the grid system used.* • *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*	• Surface collar surveys were picked up using a Trimble DGPS. • Downhole surveys were taken during drilling with a Ranger or Reflex survey tool every 30m with checks conducted with a Gyrosmart gyro and Azimuth Aligner. • All drilling is conducted on the MGA 94 Zone 53 grid. All downhole magnetic surveys were converted to MGA 94 grid.
Data spacing and distribution	• *Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.* • *Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.* • *Whether sample compositing has been applied.*	• Drilling for Inferred resources has been conducted at a spacing of 50m along strike and 80m within the plane of the mineralized zone. Closer spaced drilling was used for Indicated resources. • Shallow oxide RC drilling was conducted on 80m spaced traverses with holes 10m apart
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• *Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.* • *If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.*	• Holes were drilled perpendicular to the strike of the mineralization a default angle of -60 degrees but holes vary from -45 to -80.
Sample security	• *The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	• Samples were stored in sealed polyweave bags on site and transported to the laboratory at regular intervals by KGL staff.
Audits or reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	• The sampling techniques are regularly reviewed.

1.2 Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• *Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.* • *The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.*	• The Jervois project is within E25429 100% owned by Jinka Minerals and operated by Kentor Minerals (NT), both wholly owned subsidiaries of KGL Resources. • The Jervois project is covered by Mineral Claims and an Exploration licence owned by KGL Resources subsidiary Jinka Minerals.
Exploration done by other parties	• *Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.*	• Previous exploration has primarily been conducted by Reward Minerals, MIM and Plenty River.
Geology	• *Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.*	• EL25429 lies on the Huckitta 1: 250 000 map sheet (SF 53-11). The tenement is located mainly within the Palaeo-Proterozoic Bonya Schist on the northeastern boundary of the Arunta

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Orogenic Domain. The Arunta Orogenic Domain in the north western part of the tenement is overlain unconformably by Neo-Proterozoic sediments of the Georgina Basin. • The copper-lead-zinc mineralisation is interpreted to be stratabound in nature, probably relating to the discharge of base metal-rich fluids in association with volcanism or metamorphism or dewatering of the underlying rocks at a particular time in the geological history of the area.
Drill hole Information	• *A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:* o *easting and northing of the drill hole collar* o *elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar* o *dip and azimuth of the hole* o *down hole length and interception depth* o *hole length.* • *If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.*	• Refer Table 1
Data aggregation methods	• *In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.* • *Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.* • *The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.*	• Refer Table 1
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• *These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.* • *If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.* • *If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').*	• Refer Table 1
Diagrams	• *Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	• Refer Figures 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5
Balanced reporting	• *Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	• Refer Table 1
Other substantive exploration data	• *Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.*	• Outcrop mapping of exploration targets using Real time DGPS.
Further work	• *The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).* • *Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.*	• Refer Figure 5