## **Mesa Minerals Limited**

ABN 38 009 113 160

Annual Report - 30 June 2014

## Mesa Minerals Limited Contents 30 June 2014

## Contents

Corporate directory	2
Directors' report	3
Auditor's independence declaration	10
Corporate governance statement	11
Statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income	14
Statement of financial position	15
Statement of changes in equity	16
Statement of cash flows	17
Notes to the financial statements	18
Directors' declaration	39
Independent auditor's report to the members of Mesa Minerals Limited	40
Shareholder information	42

1

## Mesa Minerals Limited Corporate directory 30 June 2014

Directors Non-executive Chairman:

N Coldham-Fussell Non-executive directors:

CJ Ellison BA Goulds

Company Secretary BA Goulds

Registered office 1 Sleat Road

Applecross WA 6153 P: + 61 8 9329 3750 F: + 61 8 9329 3751

Postal address: Locked Bag 3, Canning Bridge, Applecross WA 6153

Principal place of business 1 Sleat Road

Applecross WA 6153

Share register Computershare Investor Services Pty Limited

Level 2, Reserve Bank Building

45 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6000 P: + 61 8 9323 2000

F: + 61 8 9322 2033 www.computershare.com/au

Auditor RSM Bird Cameron Partners

8 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6000 P: + 61 8 9261 9100

F: + 61 8 9261 9111 www.rsmi.com.au

Bankers National Australia Bank

100 St Georges Terrace

Perth WA 6000 www.nab.com.au

Stock exchange listing Mesa Minerals Limited shares are listed on the Australian Securities Exchange

(ASX:MAS)

Website www.mesaminerals.com.au

The directors present their report, together with the financial statements, on the consolidated entity (referred to hereafter as the 'Consolidated Entity') consisting of Mesa Minerals Limited (referred to hereafter as the 'Company' or 'Parent Entity') and the entities it controlled at the end of, or during, the year ended 30 June 2014.

#### **Directors**

The following persons were directors of Mesa Minerals Limited during the whole of the financial year and up to the date of this report, unless otherwise stated:

N Coldham-Fussell A Scott (Resigned on 31 January 2014) CJ Ellison BA Goulds

#### **Principal activities**

The principal activities of the Consolidated Entity during the financial year were to develop its jointly held Ant Hill and Sunday Hill manganese ore mining tenements in the Pilbara district of Western Australia, and to move towards commercialisation of the Consolidated Entity's mineral processing technologies in order to enable the development of secondary processing facilities utilising low grade manganese ores and wastes.

#### Dividends

There were no dividends paid, recommended or declared during the current or previous financial year.

#### **Review of operations**

The loss for the Consolidated Entity after providing for income tax amounted to \$1,520,499 (30 June 2013: \$2,454,265).

The financial performance for the year is reflective of the delay in opening the Ant Hills mine, pending favourable market conditions, further studies undertaken in relation to the commencement of operations at Ant Hill, and the acceleration of activities related to the commercialisation of the Company's intellectual property.

Activities which will inform a decision to commence operations at Ant Hill continued through the financial year, with communication and supply of additional information as requested by the Statutory Bodies, including:

- Department of Mines and Petroleum ("DMP") Assessment of the Ant Hill Project Mining Proposal Variation to DMP,
- Approval of the Ant Hill Project Vegetation Clearing Permit Application,
- DMP Assessment of the Ant Hill Project Mine Closure Plan,
- DMP Assessment on going of the Tenement G45/265 Mine Closure Plan for Mesa.

In addition, the Consolidated Entity commissioned regional mapping by geologists of the gold bearing tenement E46/816.

## Significant changes in the state of affairs

There were no significant changes in the state of affairs of the Consolidated Entity during the financial year.

#### Matters subsequent to the end of the financial year

No matter or circumstance has arisen since 30 June 2014 that has significantly affected, or may significantly affect the Consolidated Entity's operations, the results of those operations, or the Consolidated Entity's state of affairs in future financial years.

#### Likely developments and expected results of operations

Information on likely developments in the operations of the Consolidated Entity and the expected results of operations have not been included in this report because the directors believe it would be likely to result in unreasonable prejudice to the Consolidated Entity.

#### **Environmental regulation**

The environmental obligations of the Consolidated Entity arise primarily from exploration and evaluation activities at its jointly held manganese tenements in the Pilbara district of Western Australia. The policies of the Consolidated Entity require management and employees to, at a minimum, meet requisite environmental performance standards in Western Australia.

The directors have considered compliance with the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007, which requires entities to report annual greenhouse gas emissions and energy use. For the measurement period 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014 the directors have assessed that there are no current reporting requirements. Disclosures may be required in future years if the Act remains in place in its present.

Information on directors

Name: Norman Coldham-Fussell Title: Non-Executive Chairman

Qualifications: AO, FCPA, FAusIMM, FCIS, FAIM, FAICD

Experience and expertise: Norman was appointed a director and Chairman of the Company on 16 August 1999.

He has vast experience in the resources industry encompassing general management, finance, marketing and project development. Norman was Chief Executive Officer & Managing Director of MIM Holdings Limited from 1990 to 1995, Chairman of Flight Centre Limited from 1995 to 2005 and was a non-executive

director of Namoi Cotton Co-operative Ltd until 1 May 2013.

Other current directorships: None

Former directorships (last 3 years): Non-Executive Director of Namoi Cotton Co-operative Ltd

Special responsibilities: None Interests in shares: None

Name: Alan Scott

Title: Managing Director (Resigned on 31 January 2014)

Experience and expertise: Alan was appointed Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director of the Company

on 20 May 2002. Alan is the Non-Executive Chairman of Black Range Minerals Limited, and a non-executive director of Alloy Steel International Inc. Alan was previously Managing Director of Aurora Gold Limited and before that spent 22 years with Rio Tinto Limited, in a variety of senior level roles. Alan's initial work experience, and exposure to the resources industry, was as an accountant with Coopers &

Lybrand for 13 years in Australia, Canada and the UK.

Other current directorships: Non-Executive Chairman of Black Range Minerals Limited, Non-Executive Director of

Alloy Steel International Inc.

Former directorships (last 3 years): None Special responsibilities: None Interests in shares: None

Name: Chris Ellison

Title: Non-Executive Director

Experience and expertise: Chris is the founding shareholder of each of the three original subsidiary companies

of Mineral Resources Limited (Crushing Services International Pty Ltd, PIHA Pty Ltd and Process Minerals International Pty Ltd) and has over 35 years of experience in the mining contracting, engineering and resource processing industries. In 1979 Chris founded Karratha Rigging and was Managing Director until its acquisition by Walter Wright Industries in 1982. Chris was subsequently appointed as the General Manager, Walter Wright Industries for the Western Australia and Northern Territory regions. In 1986 Chris founded Genco Ltd and following two years of considerable growth, Genco Ltd merged with the Monadelphous Group in 1988. In September 1988 Receivers and Managers were appointed to the Monadelphous Group. At this time, Chris was appointed the Managing Director and under his careful management, the group successfully traded out of its financial difficulties and eventually relisted on the ASX in late 1989. In 1992 Chris founded PIHA Pty Ltd in which the company

focused on the provision of specialised pipe lining and general infrastructure.

Other current directorships: Managing Director of Mineral Resources Limited (ASX:MIN)

Former directorships (last 3 years): None Special responsibilities: None Interests in shares: None

Name: Bruce Goulds

Title: Non-Executive Director

Qualifications: BBus (Accounting), LLB (Hons), Grad Dip Business, FCPA, FCIS, MAICD

Experience and expertise: Bruce Goulds (BBus, Grad Dip Management, LLB (Hons)) has over 30 years of

finance and commercial experience in various listed and unlisted corporations including as Commercial Manager within Brambles Industries, Financial Controller and Company Secretary of Cockburn Corporation Limited and Commercial Manager for the Australasian operations of international mining equipment manufacturers Svedala Industrii, Metso Minerals and Sandvik. In 2005, Bruce joined PIHA Pty Ltd, Crushing Services International Pty Ltd and Process Minerals International Pty Ltd as Group Finance Manager. In 2006, he was appointed the inaugural CFO and Company Secretary of Minerals Resources Limited on its listing on ASX. Bruce is a Fellow Certified Practicing Accountant (CPA), a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered

Secretaries and a Member of the Australian Institute of Company Directors.

Other current directorships: None Former directorships (last 3 years): None Special responsibilities: None Interests in shares: None

'Other current directorships' quoted above are current directorships for listed entities only and excludes directorships of all other types of entities, unless otherwise stated.

'Former directorships (in the last 3 years)' quoted above are directorships held in the last 3 years for listed entities only and excludes directorships of all other types of entities, unless otherwise stated.

#### Company secretary

Mr Bruce Goulds was appointed Company Secretary on 15 April 2011. Details of his work experience are included under Directors' Information.

#### **Meetings of directors**

The number of meetings of the Company's Board of Directors ('the Board') held during the year ended 30 June 2014, and the number of meetings attended by each director were:

	Full Board	
	Attended	Held
N Coldham-Fussell	2	3
A Scott (Resigned on 31 January 2014)	2	2
CJ Ellison	3	3
BA Goulds	3	3

Held: represents the number of meetings held during the time the director held office.

#### **Remuneration Report (audited)**

The Remuneration Report sets out the remuneration arrangements for directors and executives of the Consolidated Entity in accordance with the requirements of the Corporations Act 2001 and its Regulations.

The disclosures in the Remuneration Report have been audited.

For the purposes of this report, Key Management Personnel (hereafter referred to as "KMP") of the Consolidated Entity are defined as those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the principal activities of the Consolidated Entity, directly or indirectly, including any director (whether executive director or non-executive) of the Consolidated Entity, and includes the executives receiving the highest remuneration.

The remuneration report is set out under the following main headings:

- Principles used to determine the nature and amount of remuneration
- Details of remuneration
- Additional disclosures relating to KMP
- Share-based compensation.

## Principles used to determine the nature and amount of remuneration

The Consolidated Entity's remuneration policy was developed and approved by the Board to align directors' and executives' objectives with shareholder and business objectives. The directors believe that the remuneration policy is appropriate and effective in its ability to attract and retain those persons required to manage the Consolidated Entity, as well as to align the goals of directors, executives and shareholders.

Other than where waived, all directors and executives receive a fee or salary that is inclusive of requisite and optional superannuation amounts. The fee component of remuneration paid to the non-executive directors of the Consolidated Entity is reviewed on an annual basis as is the salary component of remuneration paid to executives. In each case, the performance of the Board and the executives is reviewed by reference to the Consolidated Entity's performance and comparable information from industry sectors and other listed entities in similar industries.

As part of this remuneration, the non-executive directors and executives receive the superannuation guarantee contribution as required by law, but do not receive any other form of retirement benefit. From time to time, individual non-executive directors and executives have chosen to sacrifice some of their fees or salaries with the amount sacrificed paid to their nominated superannuation funds.

All fees and salaries paid to non-executive directors and executives are expensed as incurred.

#### Remuneration linked to the performance of the Company

The Managing Director received no remuneration linked to the performance of the Company during his course of service in this financial year.

#### Executive emoluments and employment contracts

There were no options over ordinary shares issued during the prior financial year, the current financial year or subsequent to the end of the current financial year to any executive of the Consolidated Entity. No executive of the Consolidated Entity, whether employee or contractor, currently has a performance-based element of his or her remuneration and no current executive employment contract exceeds twelve months.

Whilst the remuneration policy for non-executive directors contemplates the grant of options over ordinary shares through rewarding non-executive directors with options over ordinary shares under the Option Incentive Scheme, no non-executive director presently holds any options over ordinary shares. Options granted under the Option Incentive Scheme vest immediately, have a five year term and have an exercise price equal to 125% of the average of the closing price for the Consolidated Entity's ordinary shares for the five days immediately prior to the date of grant.

Mr A Scott was appointed Chief Executive Officer & Managing Director of the Consolidated Entity pursuant to a Letter of Employment, dated 20 May 2002. Mr A Scott resigned on 31 January 2014. Under the management agreement, the Consolidated Entity could terminate the employment of Mr A Scott, other than for reasons of serious and wilful misconduct, by giving at least six months notice, in writing, or by the payment in lieu of notice of an amount equal to six months remuneration, with this payment in lieu increasing by one month's remuneration for each year of completed service.

Voting and comments made at the company's 2013 Annual General Meeting ('AGM')

At the 2013 AGM, 77.26% of the votes received supported the adoption of the Remuneration Report for the year ended 30 June 2013. The Company did not receive any specific feedback at the AGM regarding its remuneration practices.

#### Details of remuneration

#### Amounts of remuneration

Details of the remuneration of the KMP of the Consolidated Entity are set out in the following tables.

The KMP of the Consolidated Entity consisted of the following directors of Mesa Minerals Limited:

- N Coldham-Fussell (Non-Executive Chairman)
- A Scott (Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director) (Resigned on 31 January 2014)
- C Ellison (Non-Executive Director)
- B Goulds (Non-Executive Director)

	Short-term benefits	Post- employment benefits	Other entitlements	
30 June 2014	Cash salary and fees \$	Super- annuation \$	Termination benefits	Total \$
Non-Executive Directors:  N Coldham-Fussell C Ellison B Goulds	50,114 - -	- - -	- - -	50,114 - -
Executive Directors: A Scott	229,428 279,542	32,260 32,260	192,548 192,548	454,236 504,350
	Short-term benefits	Post- employment benefits	Long-term benefits	
30 June 2013	Cash salary and fees \$	Super- annuation \$	Long service leave \$	Total \$
Non-Executive Directors:  N Coldham-Fussell C Ellison B Goulds	41,666 - -	8,334 - -	- - -	50,000
Executive Directors: A Scott	259,862 301,528	23,387 31,721	7,173 7,173	290,422 340,422

## Share-based compensation

## Issue of shares

There were no shares issued to directors and other KMP as part of compensation during the year ended 30 June 2014.

Options
There were no options over ordinary shares issued to directors and other KMP as part of compensation that were outstanding as at 30 June 2014.

## Additional disclosures relating to KMP

Shareholding

The number of shares in the Company held during the financial year by each director and other members of KMP of the Consolidated Entity, including their personally related parties, is set out below:

	Balance at the start of the year	Received as part of remuneration	Additions	Disposals/ other	Balance at the end of the year
Ordinary shares					
N Coldham-Fussell	-	-	-	-	-
C Ellison	-	-	-	-	-
B Goulds	-	-	-	-	-
A Scott	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-		-

Transactions with related parties

The following transactions occurred with related parties:

**Consolidated Entity** 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$

Payment for services from Mineral Resources Limited ("MRL") and its controlled entities

1,123,592

1.443.499

Receivable from and payable to related parties

There were no related party transactions involving directors in the financial years ended 30 June 2014 (2013: Nil).

Loans to/from related parties

The following balances are outstanding at the reporting date in relation to loans with related parties:

**Consolidated Entity** 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$

Current borrowings:

Loan from MRL and its controlled entities

5.779.856

5.138.173

Terms and conditions

All transactions were made on normal commercial terms and conditions and at market rates.

## This concludes the remuneration report, which has been audited.

#### Shares under option

There were no unissued ordinary shares of Mesa Minerals Limited under option outstanding at the date of this report.

## Shares issued on the exercise of options

There were no ordinary shares of Mesa Minerals Limited issued on the exercise of options during the year ended 30 June 2014 and up to the date of this report.

## Indemnity and insurance of officers

The Company has indemnified the directors and executives of the Company for costs incurred, in their capacity as a director or executive, for which they may be held personally liable, except where there is a lack of good faith or is otherwise prohibited by law.

The Company has entered into agreements to indemnify the directors, executives and Company Secretary named in this report against all liabilities to persons (other than the Company), which arise out of the performance of their normal duties as directors, executives and Company Secretary unless the liability relates to conduct involving a lack of good faith or is otherwise prohibited by law.

## Indemnity and insurance of auditor

The Company has not, during or since the financial year, indemnified or agreed to indemnify the auditor of the Company or any related entity against a liability incurred by the auditor.

During the financial year, the Company has not paid a premium in respect of a contract to insure the auditor of the Company or any related entity.

## Proceedings on behalf of the Company

No person has applied to the Court under section 237 of the Corporations Act 2001 for leave to bring proceedings on behalf of the Company, or to intervene in any proceedings to which the Company is a party for the purpose of taking responsibility on behalf of the Company for all or part of those proceedings.

#### Non-audit services

Details of the amounts paid or payable to the auditor for non-audit services provided during the financial year by the auditor are outlined in note 23 to the financial statements.

The directors are satisfied that the provision of non-audit services during the financial year, by the auditor (or by another person or firm on the auditor's behalf), is compatible with the general standard of independence for auditors imposed by the Corporations Act 2001.

The directors are of the opinion that the services as disclosed in note 23 to the financial statements do not compromise the external auditor's independence requirements of the Corporations Act 2001 for the following reasons:

- all non-audit services have been reviewed and approved to ensure that they do not impact the integrity and objectivity
  of the auditor; and
- none of the services undermine the general principles relating to auditor independence as set out in APES 110 Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board, including reviewing or auditing the auditor's own work, acting in a management or decision-making capacity for the Company, acting as advocate for the Company or jointly sharing economic risks and rewards.

## Officers of the Company who are former audit partners of RSM Bird Cameron Partners

There are no officers of the Company who are former audit partners of RSM Bird Cameron Partners.

## Auditor's independence declaration

A copy of the auditor's independence declaration as required under section 307C of the Corporations Act 2001 is set out on the following page.

#### Auditor

RSM Bird Cameron Partners continues in office in accordance with section 327 of the Corporations Act 2001.

This report is made in accordance with a resolution of directors, pursuant to section 298(2)(a) of the Corporations Act 2001.

On behalf of the directors

Bruce Goulds Director

24 September 2014 Perth

9



RSM Bird Cameron Partners 8 St George's Terrace Perth WA 6000 GPO Box R1253 Perth WA 6844 T+61 8 9261 9100 F+61 8 9261 9101 www.rsmi.com.au

## **AUDITOR'S INDEPENDENCE DECLARATION**

As lead auditor for the audit of the financial report of Mesa Minerals Limited for the year ended 30 June 2014, I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, there have been no contraventions of:

- (i) the auditor independence requirements of the Corporations Act 2001 in relation to the audit; and
- (ii) any applicable code of professional conduct in relation to the audit.

RSM Bird Cameron Partners

**RSM BIRD CAMERON PARTNERS** 

TUTU PHONG

Partner

Perth, WA

Dated: 24 September 2014

## Mesa Minerals Limited Corporate governance statement 30 June 2014

The Company complies, unless stated otherwise, with the recommendations of the Australian Securities Exchange Corporate Governance Council (hereafter referred to as the "Council") as contained in the revised *ASX Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations*, dated August 2007 and amended in 2010, to the extent the Board believes they are practical and applicable to the Company. Entities are required to disclose corporate governance principles that they have not adopted and to state the reasons why specific principles have not been adopted. The details of any departures are set out below. The corporate governance principles and policies of the Company have been structured with reference to the Council's eight corporate governance principles.

#### (1) Laying solid foundations for management and oversight

The Board of Directors is responsible for protecting the rights and interests of all shareholders and for the overall corporate governance of the Company. The responsibilities of the Board of Directors include:

- (a) Providing input into and final approval of management's goals, and strategy designed to promote the achievement of those objectives;
- (b) Delegating the necessary authorities and ensuring appropriate resources are available to the executives to deal with the day-to-day operational aspects of the Company;
- (c) Monitoring the performance of the executives against these goals and objectives;
- (d) Ensuring that the business risks facing the Company are, whenever possible, identified and that the appropriate monitoring and reporting controls are in place to manage these risks; and
- (e) Appointing members of the executive, approving the performance evaluation and determining the remuneration of executives and ensuring that appropriate policies and procedures are in place for recruitment, remuneration and succession planning.

Given the modest size of the Company and its focus on developing mining activities at its jointly held manganese tenements as well as commercialisation of its secondary processing technologies, the establishment of a formal performance evaluation strategy is considered inappropriate. In addition, performance evaluation of the executives is a matter for consideration by the entire Board.

## (2) Structure of the Board of Directors to add value

The Board of Directors of the Company and details of the skills, qualifications and expertise and attendance at meetings and the period of office held are included in the Directors' Report.

The Company has three directors (four through 31 January 2014), all of which are non-executive directors. This Board structure does not satisfy the Council's Recommendation 2.1 that a majority of the Board are independent. Mr A Scott was not considered to be independent as he was employed as an executive director of the Company. Mr CJ Ellison is the Managing Director and Mr BA Goulds is the Chief Financial Officer and Company Secretary of Mineral Resources Limited ("MRL"), which is the ultimate holding entity of the Company as defined by the Corporations Act (Commonwealth) 2001.

The Managing Director resigned on 31 January 2014. The Board has elected not to replace the position.

Notwithstanding this non-compliance, the directors believe that independent and non-independent directors share the same goals and objectives to develop the Company's manganese interests as well as advance the commercialisation of its secondary manganese processing technologies. All directors possess the necessary mining, processing and commercial skills and experience in construction, commissioning and operating mining and processing projects to create shareholder value. These skills and experience form the foundation for the Company to realise its goals and objectives to develop its manganese tenements and advance the commercialisation of its technologies.

Each director has the right of access to all relevant Company information and to the Company's executives and, subject to prior consultation with and approval from the Chairman, may seek independent professional advice from a suitable expert to provide specialist advice at the expense of the Company. Advice received by a director must be made available to all other members of the Board.

The Company has not established a separate Nomination Committee. The duties and responsibilities of such a committee are the responsibility of the full Board. The Board does not believe that any significant efficiencies or enhancements would be achieved by the establishment of a separate nomination committee.

## Mesa Minerals Limited Corporate governance statement 30 June 2014

## (3) Promoting ethical and responsible decision-making

The Company recognises the need to ensure that the highest ethical standards are adopted at all times.

In relation to dealing in shares of the Company, the Board has approved a Securities Trading Policy which imposes general trading restrictions on all directors, employees, contractors and consultants of the Company who possess inside information. Additional trading restrictions are imposed on KMP, being those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Company, including all directors of the Company, whether executive or otherwise. The full policy was lodged with the ASX on 30 December 2010.

In addition, the Company prohibits any director, employee, contractor or consultant of the Company hedging unvested financial instruments or trading in products that limit the economic risk of security entitlements in the Company over unvested entitlements.

The Board has in place policies for the recruitment of the most suitable person for roles within the Company providing diversity of employment opportunities for, but not limited to, gender, age, ethnicity and cultural background for all Company roles. In respect of gender diversity, the Company has not determined a target proportion of appointments but relies on the requirement of "most suitable person for roles" as the overarching selection criteria for personnel.

As at the balance date, 50% (2013: 25%) of employees of the Company were females. There are no women currently serving on the Company's Board.

## (4) Safeguard integrity in financial reporting

Given the modest size of the Company, the full Board reviews the financial reports of the Company, and therefore this does not satisfy the Council's Corporate Governance Recommendations.

The CFO certifies to the Board that the financial statements of the Company are founded on a sound system of risk management and internal compliance which implements the policies and procedures approved by the Board and that these systems work effectively and efficiently.

The external auditor is invited to attend meetings of the Board where financial reports of the Company are submitted for review and approval.

#### (5) Making timely and balanced disclosure

The Board is committed to the promotion of investor confidence by ensuring that trading in its securities takes place in an efficient, competitive and informed market. In accordance with the continuous disclosure requirements of the Australian Securities Exchange Listing Rules, the Company has procedures in place to ensure that price sensitive information is identified, reviewed by management and disclosed to the Australian Securities Exchange in a timely manner and that all non-procedural information provided to the Australian Securities Exchange is posted to the Company's website as soon as possible after its disclosure to the above-mentioned exchange.

From time to time, the Company conducts briefings with analysts, media representatives and major shareholders in order to promote a better understanding of the Company. In conducting such briefings, the Company takes care to ensure that any price sensitive information included in the content of the briefings has been made available to shareholders through posting the transcript of the briefing to its website and making an appropriate Australian Securities Exchange release advising shareholders of the posting made to its website.

## Mesa Minerals Limited Corporate governance statement 30 June 2014

## (6) Respecting the rights of shareholders

The Board aims to ensure that shareholders are informed of all information necessary to assess the performance of the directors, executives and the Company through:

- (a) the half-year and annual reports;
- (b) quarterly reports:
- (c) the Annual General Meeting of shareholders and any other meeting called by the Board or shareholders;
- (d) the Mesa Minerals website, which contains all non-procedural announcements made by the Company to the Australian Securities Exchange, broker reports and other relevant information on the Company.

The Company welcomes questions and it endeavours to answer such questions within the confines of information that is not market-sensitive or that is already in the public domain.

The external auditor attends the Annual General Meeting of shareholders and accordingly, is available at these meetings to answer any questions in relation to the conduct of the audit and the audit report.

## (7) Recognise and managing risk

The Board retains overall responsibility for risk oversight and management. However, given the modest size of the Company, the Board does not consider it appropriate to have separate risk management and control systems designed by management to report to the Board.

The Board defines risk to be any event that, if it occurs, will have a material impact (whether financial or non-financial) on the ability of the Company to implement its strategy and accordingly, achieve its business goals and objectives. The Board has established various policies and practices designed to identify and manage significant business risks, including:

- (a) the Company's business plan;
- (b) the approval by the Board of the budget of the company;
- (c) the reporting by management on a monthly basis of a detailed financial and operational performance to the Board:
- (d) the approval by the Board of policies and procedures governing internal controls, authority levels of expenditure, commitments and general decision making; and
- (e) the approval by the Board of policies and procedures relating to health, safety and environment designed to ensure a high standard of performance and regulatory compliance.

In addition, the Board requires the Managing Director and/or CFO to certify half-yearly and annually that the financial reports of the Company are founded on a sound system of risk management and internal control which implements the policies approved by the Board and that these systems operate effectively in all material respects in relation to the financial reporting risks of the Company.

## (8) Remunerate fairly and responsibly

Given the modest size of the Company, the Company does not have a separate Remuneration Committee. The full Board of the Company is responsible for determining and reviewing compensation arrangements for non-executive directors, the Managing Director and executives.

As set out in the Remuneration Report, the Company distinguishes between the structure of remuneration for non-executive directors, the Managing Director and executives. The Board assesses the appropriateness of the nature and amount of remuneration on an annual basis for non-executive directors, the Managing Director and executives of the Company. The Managing Director and executives are reviewed by reference to the Company's performance and comparable information from industry sectors and other listed entities in similar industries.

## Mesa Minerals Limited Statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income For the year ended 30 June 2014

	Note	Consolidate 30 June 2014 3 \$	
Revenue	5	1,357,262	1,129,321
Expenses  Exploration and tenement costs  Research and development  Administration  Secretarial and listing costs  Employee costs  Corporate  Depreciation and amortisation  Marketing  Trade marks and patents  Finance costs	6	(165,395) (919,544) (49,136) (690,017) (18,666) (495,878) (226,139) (38,667) (274,319)	(338,595) (143,374) (1,362,810) (34,938) (617,908) (37,326) (645,564) (172,260) (54,031) (176,780)
Loss before income tax expense	J	(1,520,499)	(2,454,265)
Income tax expense	7		-
Loss after income tax expense for the year attributable to the owners of Mesa Minerals Limited	18	(1,520,499)	(2,454,265)
Other comprehensive income for the year, net of tax			<u>-</u>
Total comprehensive income for the year attributable to the owners of Mesa Minerals Limited		(1,520,499)	(2,454,265)
		Cents	Cents
Basic earnings per share Diluted earnings per share	31 31	(0.22) (0.22)	(0.40) (0.40)

## **Mesa Minerals Limited** Statement of financial position As at 30 June 2014

	Note	Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$	
Assets			
Current assets Cash and cash equivalents Trade and other receivables Total current assets	8 9	7,812 241,102 248,914	10,609 2,710 13,319
Non-current assets Receivables Property, plant and equipment Intangibles Exploration and evaluation Total non-current assets	10 11 12 13	54,500 1,153,434 1,193,381 825,893 3,227,208	54,500 1,444,848 1,338,377 - 2,837,725
Total assets		3,476,122	2,851,044
Liabilities			
Current liabilities Trade and other payables Employee benefits Total current liabilities	14 15	5,911,067 8,911 5,919,978	4,957,732 112,253 5,069,985
Total liabilities		5,919,978	5,069,985
Net liabilities		(2,443,856)	(2,218,941)
Equity Issued capital Reserves Accumulated losses	16 17 18	36,453,162 280,750 (39,177,768)	35,157,578 280,750 (37,657,269)
Total deficiency in equity		(2,443,856)	(2,218,941)

## Mesa Minerals Limited Statement of changes in equity For the year ended 30 June 2014

Consolidated Entity	Issued capital \$	Reserves \$	Retained profits	Total deficiency \$
Balance at 1 July 2012	35,286,765	280,750	(35,203,004)	364,511
Loss after income tax expense for the year Other comprehensive income for the year, net of tax	-	<u>-</u>	(2,454,265)	(2,454,265)
Total comprehensive income for the year	-	-	(2,454,265)	(2,454,265)
Transactions with owners in their capacity as owners: Share buy-back	(129,187)		<u> </u>	(129,187)
Balance at 30 June 2013	35,157,578	280,750	(37,657,269)	(2,218,941)
Consolidated Entity	Issued capital \$	Reserves \$	Retained profits	Total deficiency \$
Consolidated Entity  Balance at 1 July 2013	capital	<b>Reserves</b> \$ 280,750		
·	capital \$	\$	profits \$	deficiency \$
Balance at 1 July 2013  Loss after income tax expense for the year	capital \$	\$	profits \$ (37,657,269)	deficiency \$ (2,218,941)
Balance at 1 July 2013  Loss after income tax expense for the year Other comprehensive income for the year, net of tax	capital \$	\$	profits \$ (37,657,269) (1,520,499)	deficiency \$ (2,218,941) (1,520,499)

## **Mesa Minerals Limited** Statement of cash flows For the year ended 30 June 2014

	Note	ted Entity 30 June 2013	
	NOLE	\$	\$
Cash flows from operating activities Receipts from customers (inclusive of GST) Payments to suppliers (inclusive of GST)		1,118,870 (1,592,190)	1,179,922 (1,163,755)
Net cash (used in)/from operating activities	30	(473,320)	16,167
Cash flows from investing activities Payments for property, plant and equipment Payments for exploration and evaluation	11 13	832 (825,893)	(832)
Net cash used in investing activities		(825,061)	(832)
Cash flows from financing activities Payments for share buy-backs Proceeds from issue of shares (net of share issue costs)		- 1,295,584	(129,187)
Net cash from/(used in) financing activities		1,295,584	(129,187)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the financial year		(2,797) 10,609	(113,852) 124,461
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the financial year	8	7,812	10,609

#### Note 1. General information

The financial statements cover Mesa Minerals Limited as a Consolidated Entity consisting of Mesa Minerals Limited and its subsidiaries. The financial statements are presented in Australian dollars, which is Mesa Minerals Limited's functional and presentation currency.

The financial report consists of the financial statements, notes to the financial statements and the directors' declaration.

Mesa Minerals Limited is a listed public company limited by shares, incorporated and domiciled in Australia. Its registered office and principal place of business is:

1 Sleat Road Applecross WA 6153

A description of the nature of the Consolidated Entity's operations and its principal activities are included in the Directors' Report, which is not part of the financial statements.

The financial statements were authorised for issue, in accordance with a resolution of directors, on 24 September 2014. The directors do not have the power to amend and reissue the financial statements.

## Note 2. Significant accounting policies

The principal accounting policies adopted in the preparation of the financial statements are set out below. These policies have been consistently applied to all the years presented, unless otherwise stated.

#### New, revised or amending Accounting Standards and Interpretations adopted

The Consolidated Entity has adopted all of the new, revised or amending Accounting Standards and Interpretations issued by the Australian Accounting Standards Board ('AASB') that are mandatory for the current reporting period. The adoption of these Accounting Standards and Interpretations did not have any significant impact on the financial performance or position of the Consolidated Entity.

Any new, revised or amending Accounting Standards or Interpretations that are not yet mandatory have not been early adopted.

## **Basis of preparation**

These general purpose financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards and Interpretations issued by the Australian Accounting Standards Board ('AASB') and the Corporations Act 2001, as appropriate for for-profit oriented entities. These financial statements also comply with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ('IASB').

#### Historical cost convention

The financial statements have been prepared on accruals basis and is based on historical costs, modified, where applicable, by the measurement at fair value of selected non-current assets, financial assets and financial liabilities.

#### Critical accounting estimates

The preparation of the financial statements requires the use of certain critical accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise its judgement in the process of applying the Consolidated Entity's accounting policies. The areas involving a higher degree of judgement or complexity, or areas where assumptions and estimates are significant to the financial statements, are disclosed in note 3.

## Going concern

The financial statements have been prepared on the going concern basis, which contemplates continuity of normal business activities and the realisation of assets and discharge of liabilities in the normal course of business.

As disclosed in the financial statements, the Company and Consolidated Entity each incurred losses of \$1,520,499 and the Consolidated Entity had net cash flows used in operating activities of \$473,320 for the year ended 30 June 2014. As at that date the Company and Consolidated Entity each had net current liabilities of \$5,671,064 and net liabilities of \$2,443,856.

## Note 2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

In considering whether the going concern basis is appropriate for preparing this financial report, the directors recognise that current levels of working capital may be insufficient to meet its planned and proposed levels of expenditure for the forthcoming year. However, the directors believe that it is reasonably foreseeable that the Company and Consolidated Entity will continue as going concerns and that it is appropriate to adopt the going concern basis in the preparation of the financial report after consideration of the following factors:

- The directors believe they will be able to access capital markets for further funds if necessary;
- The directors expect that major shareholders of the Company will support fund raising activities; and
- As at 30 June 2014, Mineral Resources Limited and its controlled entities has provided \$5,779,856 in loan funding, which is disclosed as a current liability in the statement of financial position, to the Company. Accordingly, the directors of the Company reasonably believe it will continue to receive financial support from Mineral Resources Limited, for a period of twelve months from the date of this financial report.

#### **Parent Entity information**

In accordance with the Corporations Act 2001, these financial statements present the results of the Consolidated Entity only. Supplementary information about the Parent Entity is disclosed in note 27.

#### **Principles of consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements incorporate the assets and liabilities of all subsidiaries of Mesa Minerals Limited ('Company' or 'Parent Entity') as at 30 June 2014 and the results of all subsidiaries for the year then ended. Mesa Minerals Limited and its subsidiaries together are referred to in these financial statements as the 'Consolidated Entity'.

Subsidiaries are all those entities over which the Consolidated Entity has control. The Consolidated Entity controls an entity when the Consolidated Entity is exposed to, or has rights to, variable returns from its involvement with the entity and has the ability to affect those returns through its power to direct the activities of the entity. Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date on which control is transferred to the Consolidated Entity. They are de-consolidated from the date that control ceases.

Intercompany transactions, balances and unrealised gains on transactions between entities in the Consolidated Entity are eliminated. Unrealised losses are also eliminated unless the transaction provides evidence of the impairment of the asset transferred. Accounting policies of subsidiaries have been changed where necessary to ensure consistency with the policies adopted by the Consolidated Entity.

The acquisition of subsidiaries is accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting. A change in ownership interest, without the loss of control, is accounted for as an equity transaction, where the difference between the consideration transferred and the book value of the share of the non-controlling interest acquired is recognised directly in equity attributable to the parent.

Where the Consolidated Entity loses control over a subsidiary, it derecognises the assets including goodwill, liabilities and non-controlling interest in the subsidiary together with any cumulative translation differences recognised in equity. The Consolidated Entity recognises the fair value of the consideration received and the fair value of any investment retained together with any gain or loss in profit or loss.

#### **Operating segments**

Operating segments are presented using the 'management approach', where the information presented is on the same basis as the internal reports provided to the Chief Operating Decision Makers ('CODM'). The CODM is responsible for the allocation of resources to operating segments and assessing their performance.

## Accounting for jointly controlled assets

The Consolidated Entity accounts for its interest in jointly controlled assets on a proportionate basis by incorporating in the financial statements its ownership interest in the assets, liabilities and expenses of joint venture activity under appropriate headings.

#### Revenue recognition

Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable. Amounts disclosed as revenue are net returns, trade allowances, rebates and amounts collected on behalf of third parties. The Consolidated Entity recognises revenue when the amount of revenue can be reliably measured and at the point where it is probable that future economic benefits will flow to the entity. Revenue is recognised as follows:

## Note 2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

#### Manganese shipments

Revenue from sale of goods is recognised in the profit or loss when the substantive risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred to the buyer.

Revenue from bulk products exported from Australia: ownership in the goods transfers to the buyer after a clean bill of lading has been issued for the shipment, the preliminary payment is received and in accordance with any other specific terms of the contract of sale.

#### Rental income

Rental income is recognised in the accounting period over the lease term.

#### Interest income

Interest income is recognised using the effective rate method. When a receivable is impaired, the Consolidated Entity reduces the carrying amount to its recoverable amount, being the estimated future cash flow discounted at the original effective interest rate of the instrument, and continues unwinding the discount as interest income.

#### Income tax

The income tax expense or benefit for the period is the tax payable on that period's taxable income based on the applicable income tax rate for each jurisdiction, adjusted by changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities attributable to temporary differences, unused tax losses and the adjustment recognised for prior periods, where applicable.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognised for temporary differences at the tax rates expected to apply when the assets are recovered or liabilities are settled, based on those tax rates that are enacted or substantively enacted, except for:

- When the deferred income tax asset or liability arises from the initial recognition of goodwill or an asset or liability in a transaction that is not a business combination and that, at the time of the transaction, affects neither the accounting nor taxable profits; or
- When the taxable temporary difference is associated with interests in subsidiaries, associates or joint ventures, and the timing of the reversal can be controlled and it is probable that the temporary difference will not reverse in the foreseeable future.

Deferred tax assets are recognised for deductible temporary differences and unused tax losses only if it is probable that future taxable amounts will be available to utilise those temporary differences and losses.

The carrying amount of recognised and unrecognised deferred tax assets are reviewed each reporting date. Deferred tax assets recognised are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that future taxable profits will be available for the carrying amount to be recovered. Previously unrecognised deferred tax assets are recognised to the extent that it is probable that there are future taxable profits available to recover the asset.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset only where there is a legally enforceable right to offset current tax assets against current tax liabilities and deferred tax assets against deferred tax liabilities; and they relate to the same taxable authority on either the same taxable entity or different taxable entities which intend to settle simultaneously.

#### **Current and non-current classification**

Assets and liabilities are presented in the statement of financial position based on current and non-current classification.

An asset is current when: it is expected to be realised or intended to be sold or consumed in normal operating cycle; it is held primarily for the purpose of trading; it is expected to be realised within 12 months after the reporting period; or the asset is cash or cash equivalent unless restricted from being exchanged or used to settle a liability for at least 12 months after the reporting period. All other assets are classified as non-current.

A liability is current when: it is expected to be settled in normal operating cycle; it is held primarily for the purpose of trading; it is due to be settled within 12 months after the reporting period; or there is no unconditional right to defer the settlement of the liability for at least 12 months after the reporting period. All other liabilities are classified as non-current.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are always classified as non-current.

## Note 2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

#### Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents includes cash on hand, deposits held at call with financial institutions, other short-term, highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and which are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value.

#### Trade and other receivables

Trade receivables are initially recognised at fair value and subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method, less any provision for impairment. Trade receivables are generally due for settlement within 30 days.

Collectability of trade receivables is reviewed on an ongoing basis. Debts which are known to be uncollectable are written off by reducing the carrying amount directly. A provision for impairment of trade receivables is raised when there is objective evidence that the Consolidated Entity will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the original terms of the receivables. Significant financial difficulties of the debtor, probability that the debtor will enter bankruptcy or financial reorganisation and default or delinquency in payments (more than 60 days overdue) are considered indicators that the trade receivable may be impaired. The amount of the impairment allowance is the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at the original effective interest rate. Cash flows relating to short-term receivables are not discounted if the effect of discounting is immaterial.

Other receivables are recognised at amortised cost, less any provision for impairment.

#### Joint ventures

A joint venture is a joint arrangement whereby the parties that have joint control of the arrangement have rights to the net assets of the arrangement. Investments in joint ventures are accounted for using the equity method. Under the equity method, the share of the profits or losses of the joint venture is recognised in profit or loss and the share of the movements in equity is recognised in other comprehensive income. Investments in joint ventures are carried in the statement of financial position at cost plus post-acquisition changes in the Consolidated Entity's share of net assets of the joint venture. Goodwill relating to the joint venture is included in the carrying amount of the investment and is neither amortised nor individually tested for impairment. Income earned from joint venture entities reduce the carrying amount of the investment.

#### Investments and other financial assets

Investments and other financial assets are initially measured at fair value. Transaction costs are included as part of the initial measurement, except for financial assets at fair value through profit or loss. They are subsequently measured at either amortised cost or fair value depending on their classification. Classification is determined based on the purpose of the acquisition and subsequent reclassification to other categories is restricted.

Financial assets are derecognised when the rights to receive cash flows from the financial assets have expired or have been transferred and the Consolidated Entity has transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership.

#### Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. They are carried at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method. Gains and losses are recognised in profit or loss when the asset is derecognised or impaired.

#### Impairment of financial assets

The Consolidated Entity assesses at the end of each reporting period whether there is any objective evidence that a financial asset or group of financial assets is impaired. Objective evidence includes significant financial difficulty of the issuer or obligor; a breach of contract such as default or delinquency in payments; the lender granting to a borrower concessions due to economic or legal reasons that the lender would not otherwise do; it becomes probable that the borrower will enter bankruptcy or other financial reorganisation; the disappearance of an active market for the financial asset; or observable data indicating that there is a measurable decrease in estimated future cash flows.

The amount of the impairment allowance for loans and receivables carried at amortised cost is the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at the original effective interest rate. If there is a reversal of impairment, the reversal cannot exceed the amortised cost that would have been recognised had the impairment not been made and is reversed to profit or loss.

## Note 2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

#### Property, plant and equipment

All property, plant and equipment is stated at historical cost less depreciation. Historical cost includes expenditure that is directly attributable to the acquisition of the items.

Subsequent costs are included in the asset's carrying amount or recognised as a separate asset, as appropriate, only when it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the item will flow to the Consolidated Entity and the cost of the item can be measured reliably. The carrying amount of any component accounted for as a separate asset is derecognised when replaced. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed during the reporting period in which they are incurred.

Depreciation is calculated using the reducing balance method to allocate their cost or re-valued amounts, net of their residual values, over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Electronic equipment 40% Furniture, fittings, plant and equipment 20%

The assets' residual values and useful lives are reviewed, and adjusted if appropriate, at the end of each financial period.

An asset's carrying amount is written down immediately to its recoverable amount if the asset's carrying amount is greater than its estimated recoverable amount.

Gains and losses on disposals are determined by comparing proceeds with carrying amount. These are included in profit or loss.

#### Intangible assets

Intangible assets acquired as part of a business combination, other than goodwill, are initially measured at their fair value at the date of the acquisition. Intangible assets acquired separately are initially recognised at cost. Indefinite life intangible assets are not amortised and are subsequently measured at cost less any impairment. Finite life intangible assets are subsequently measured at cost less amortisation and any impairment. The gains or losses recognised in profit or loss arising from the derecognition of intangible assets are measured as the difference between net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount of the intangible asset. The method and useful lives of finite life intangible assets are reviewed annually. Changes in the expected pattern of consumption or useful life are accounted for prospectively by changing the amortisation method or period.

#### Port access rights

Port access rights are stated at historical cost plus accrued interest less shipping charges. Historical cost includes expenditure that is directly attributable to the acquisition of the items.

Subsequent costs are included in the asset's carrying amount or recognised as a separate asset, as appropriate, only when it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the item will flow to the Consolidated Entity and the cost of the item can be measured reliably.

Amortisation is calculated based on tonnages shipped under the access agreement. The assets' residual values and useful lives are reviewed, and adjusted if appropriate, at the end of each financial period.

#### Research and development

The Consolidated Entity's policy with respect to expenditure on intangible assets is to write off all costs as incurred.

## **Exploration and evaluation expenditure**

Expenditure on exploration and evaluation is accounted in the accounts of the Consolidated Entity in accordance with the 'area of interest' method. Once the legal right to explore has been acquired, exploration and evaluation expenditure is charged to profit or loss as incurred, unless the Board concludes that the exploration and evaluation activities are expected to be recouped through successful development and exploitation of the area of interest or, alternatively, by its sale.

When the technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource have been demonstrated then any capitalised exploration and evaluation expenditure is reclassified as capitalised mine development.

## Note 2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

#### Impairment of non-financial assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets that have an indefinite useful life are not subject to amortisation and are tested annually for impairment, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that they might be impaired. Other non-financial assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognised for the amount by which the asset's carrying amount exceeds its recoverable amount.

Recoverable amount is the higher of an asset's fair value less costs of disposal and value-in-use. The value-in-use is the present value of the estimated future cash flows relating to the asset using a pre-tax discount rate specific to the asset or cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs. Assets that do not have independent cash flows are grouped together to form a cash-generating unit.

## Trade and other payables

These amounts represent liabilities for goods and services provided to the Consolidated Entity prior to the end of the financial year and which are unpaid. Due to their short-term nature they are measured at amortised cost and are not discounted. The amounts are unsecured and are usually paid within 30 days of recognition.

#### **Provisions**

Provisions are recognised when the Consolidated Entity has a present (legal or constructive) obligation as a result of a past event, it is probable the Consolidated Entity will be required to settle the obligation, and a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation. The amount recognised as a provision is the best estimate of the consideration required to settle the present obligation at the reporting date, taking into account the risks and uncertainties surrounding the obligation. If the time value of money is material, provisions are discounted using a current pre-tax rate specific to the liability. The increase in the provision resulting from the passage of time is recognised as a finance cost.

#### **Employee benefits**

#### Short-term employee benefits

Liabilities for wages and salaries, including non-monetary benefits, annual leave and long service leave expected to be settled within 12 months of the reporting date are recognised in current liabilities in respect of employees' services up to the reporting date and are measured at the amounts expected to be paid when the liabilities are settled.

## Other long-term employee benefits

The liability for annual leave and long service leave not expected to be settled within 12 months of the reporting date are recognised in non-current liabilities, provided there is an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability. The liability is measured as the present value of expected future payments to be made in respect of services provided by employees up to the reporting date using the projected unit credit method. Consideration is given to expected future wage and salary levels, experience of employee departures and periods of service. Expected future payments are discounted using market yields at the reporting date on national government bonds with terms to maturity and currency that match, as closely as possible, the estimated future cash outflows.

#### Shared-based payment

Share-based compensation benefits are provided to employees via the Employee Option Incentive Scheme.

The fair value of options granted under the Employee Option Incentive Scheme is recognised as an employee benefits expense with a corresponding increase in equity. The total amount to be expensed is determined by reference to the fair value of the options granted, which includes any market performance conditions but excludes the impact of any service and non-market performance vesting conditions and the impact of any non-vesting conditions.

The total expense is recognised over the vesting period, which is the period over which all of the specified vesting conditions are to be satisfied. At the end of each period, the entity revises its estimates of the number of options that are expected to vest based on the non-market vesting conditions. It recognises the impact of the revision to original estimates, if any, in profit or loss, with a corresponding adjustment to equity.

## Note 2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

#### Termination benefits

Termination benefits are payable when employment is terminated before the normal retirement date, or when an employee accepts voluntary redundancy in exchange for these benefits. The Consolidated Entity recognises termination benefits when it is demonstrably committed to either terminating the employment of current employees according to a detailed formal plan without possibility of withdrawal or to providing termination benefits as a result of an offer made to encourage voluntary redundancy. Benefits falling due more than 12 months after the end of the reporting period are discounted to present value.

- during the vesting period, the liability at each reporting date is the fair value of the award at that date multiplied by the expired portion of the vesting period.
- from the end of the vesting period until settlement of the award, the liability is the full fair value of the liability at the reporting date.

#### Fair value measurement

When an asset or liability, financial or non-financial, is measured at fair value for recognition or disclosure purposes, the fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date; and assumes that the transaction will take place either: in the principal market; or in the absence of a principal market, in the most advantageous market.

Fair value is measured using the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, assuming they act in their economic best interest. For non-financial assets, the fair value measurement is based on its highest and best use. Valuation techniques that are appropriate in the circumstances and for which sufficient data are available to measure fair value, are used, maximising the use of relevant observable inputs and minimising the use of unobservable inputs.

#### **Foreign Currency Transactions**

Foreign currency transactions are translated into functional currency using the exchange rates prevailing at the date of the transaction. Foreign currency monetary items are translated at the year-end exchange rate. Non-monetary items measured at historical cost continue to be carried at the exchange rate at the date of the transaction. Non-monetary items measured at fair value are reported at the exchange rate at the date when fair values were determined. Exchange differences arising on the translation of monetary items are recognised in the statement of comprehensive income, except where deferred in equity as a qualifying cash flow or net investment hedge. Exchange differences arising on the translation of non-monetary items are recognised directly in equity to the extent that the gain or loss is directly recognised in equity; otherwise the exchange difference is recognised in the statement of comprehensive income

## **Issued capital**

Ordinary shares are classified as equity.

Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of new shares or options are shown in equity as a deduction, net of tax, from the proceeds.

#### Earnings per share

#### Basic earnings per share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing the profit attributable to the owners of Mesa Minerals Limited, excluding any costs of servicing equity other than ordinary shares, by the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during the financial year, adjusted for bonus elements in ordinary shares issued during the financial year.

## Diluted earnings per share

Diluted earnings per share adjusts the figures used in the determination of basic earnings per share to take into account the after income tax effect of interest and other financing costs associated with dilutive potential ordinary shares and the weighted average number of shares assumed to have been issued for no consideration in relation to dilutive potential ordinary shares.

## Goods and Services Tax ('GST') and other similar taxes

Revenues, expenses and assets are recognised net of the amount of associated GST, unless the GST incurred is not recoverable from the tax authority. In this case it is recognised as part of the cost of the acquisition of the asset or as part of the expense.

## Note 2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

Receivables and payables are stated inclusive of the amount of GST receivable or payable. The net amount of GST receivable from, or payable to, the tax authority is included in other receivables or other payables in the statement of financial position.

Cash flows are presented on a gross basis. The GST components of cash flows arising from investing or financing activities which are recoverable from, or payable to the tax authority, are presented as operating cash flows.

Commitments and contingencies are disclosed net of the amount of GST recoverable from, or payable to, the tax authority.

## New Accounting Standards and Interpretations not yet mandatory or early adopted

Australian Accounting Standards and Interpretations that have recently been issued or amended but are not yet mandatory, have not been early adopted by the Consolidated Entity for the annual reporting period ended 30 June 2014. The Consolidated Entity's assessment of the impact of these new or amended Accounting Standards and Interpretations, most relevant to the Consolidated Entity, are set out below.

## AASB 9 Financial Instruments and its consequential amendments

This standard and its consequential amendments are applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2017 and completes phases I and III of the IASB's project to replace IAS 39 (AASB 139) 'Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement'. This standard introduces new classification and measurement models for financial assets, using a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortised cost or fair value. The accounting for financial liabilities continues to be classified and measured in accordance with AASB 139, with one exception, being that the portion of a change of fair value relating to the entity's own credit risk is to be presented in other comprehensive income unless it would create an accounting mismatch. Chapter 6 'Hedge Accounting' supersedes the general hedge accounting requirements in AASB 139 and provides a new simpler approach to hedge accounting that is intended to more closely align with risk management activities undertaken by entities when hedging financial and non-financial risks. The Consolidated Entity will adopt this standard and the amendments from 1 July 2017 but the impact of its adoption is yet to be assessed by the Consolidated Entity.

AASB 2012-3 Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards - Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities
The amendments are applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2014. The amendments add application guidance to address inconsistencies in the application of the offsetting criteria in AASB 132 'Financial Instruments: Presentation', by clarifying the meaning of 'currently has a legally enforceable right of set-off'; and clarifies that some gross settlement systems may be considered to be equivalent to net settlement. The adoption of the amendments from 1 July 2014 will not have a material impact on the Consolidated Entity.

## AASB 2013-3 Amendments to AASB 136 - Recoverable Amount Disclosures for Non-Financial Assets

These amendments are applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2014. The disclosure requirements of AASB 136 'Impairment of Assets' have been enhanced to require additional information about the fair value measurement when the recoverable amount of impaired assets is based on fair value less costs of disposals. Additionally, if measured using a present value technique, the discount rate is required to be disclosed. The adoption of these amendments from 1 July 2014 may increase the disclosures by the Consolidated Entity.

## AASB 2013-5 Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards - Investment Entities

These amendments are applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2014 and allow entities that meet the definition of an 'investment entity' to account for their investments at fair value through profit or loss. An investment entity is not required to consolidate investments in entities it controls, or apply AASB 3 'Business Combinations' when it obtains control of another entity, nor is it required to equity account or proportionately consolidate associates and joint ventures if it meets the criteria for exemption in the standard. The adoption of these amendments from 1 July 2014 will have no impact on the Consolidated Entity.

## Note 2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

## Annual Improvements to IFRSs 2010-2012 Cycle

These amendments are applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 July 2014 and affects several Accounting Standards as follows: Amends the definition of 'vesting conditions' and 'market condition' and adds definitions for 'performance condition' and 'service condition' in AASB 2 'Share-based Payment'; Amends AASB 3 'Business Combinations' to clarify that contingent consideration that is classified as an asset or liability shall be measured at fair value at each reporting date; Amends AASB 8 'Operating Segments' to require entities to disclose the judgements made by management in applying the aggregation criteria; Clarifies that AASB 8 only requires a reconciliation of the total reportable segments assets to the entity's assets, if the segment assets are reported regularly; Clarifies that the issuance of AASB 13 'Fair Value Measurement' and the amending of AASB 139 'Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement' and AASB 9 'Financial Instruments' did not remove the ability to measure short-term receivables and payables with no stated interest rate at their invoice amount, if the effect of discounting is immaterial; Clarifies that in AASB 116 'Property, Plant and Equipment' and AASB 138 'Intangible Assets', when an asset is revalued the gross carrying amount is adjusted in a manner that is consistent with the revaluation of the carrying amount (i.e. proportional restatement of accumulated amortisation); and Amends AASB 124 'Related Party Disclosures' to clarify that an entity providing key management personnel services to the reporting entity or to the parent of the reporting entity is a 'related party' of the reporting entity. The adoption of these amendments from 1 July 2015 will not have a material impact on the Consolidated Entity.

#### Annual Improvements to IFRSs 2011-2013 Cycle

These amendments are applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 July 2014 and affects four Accounting Standards as follows: Clarifies the 'meaning of effective IFRSs' in AASB 1 'First-time Adoption of Australian Accounting Standards'; Clarifies that AASB 3 'Business Combination' excludes from its scope the accounting for the formation of a joint arrangement in the financial statements of the joint arrangement itself; Clarifies that the scope of the portfolio exemption in AASB 13 'Fair Value Measurement' includes all contracts accounted for within the scope of AASB 139 'Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement' or AASB 9 'Financial Instruments', regardless of whether they meet the definitions of financial assets or financial liabilities as defined in AASB 132 'Financial Instruments: Presentation'; and Clarifies that determining whether a specific transaction meets the definition of both a business combination as defined in AASB 3 'Business Combinations' and investment property as defined in AASB 140 'Investment Property' requires the separate application of both standards independently of each other. The adoption of these amendments from 1 July 2015 will not have a material impact on the Consolidated Entity.

## Note 3. Critical accounting judgements, estimates and assumptions

The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the financial statements. Management continually evaluates its judgements and estimates in relation to assets, liabilities, contingent liabilities, revenue and expenses. Management bases its judgements, estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on other various factors, including expectations of future events, management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. The resulting accounting judgements and estimates will seldom equal the related actual results. The judgements, estimates and assumptions that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities (refer to the respective notes) within the next financial year are discussed below.

#### Estimation of useful lives of assets

The Consolidated Entity determines the estimated useful lives and related depreciation and amortisation charges for its property, plant and equipment and finite life intangible assets. The useful lives could change significantly as a result of technical innovations or some other event. The depreciation and amortisation charge will increase where the useful lives are less than previously estimated lives, or technically obsolete or non-strategic assets that have been abandoned or sold will be written off or written down.

## Note 4. Operating segments

#### Business segment

The Consolidated Entity operates in one geographical area being Australia and one industry, being the exploration, mining, processing and export of manganese products. The Chief Operating Decision Makers are the Board of Directors and management of the Consolidated Entity. There is only one operating segment identified being the exploration, mining, processing and export of manganese products in Australia based on internal reports reviewed by the Chief Operating Decision Makers in assessing performance and allocation of resources.

The accounting policies applied for internal reporting purposes are consistent with those applied in the preparation of the financial statements. All the assets are located in Australia. Segment assets are allocated to countries based on where the assets are located. Revenues from construction charges and lease premiums have been recognised from transactions with a single external customer.

#### Note 5. Revenue

	Consolidate 30 June 2014 3 \$	
Rental income Recovery of port rights usage Interest on port access rights	1,091,245 205,296 60,721	770,679 282,283 76,359
Revenue	1,357,262	1,129,321
Note 6. Expenses		
	Consolidate 30 June 2014 3 \$	
Loss before income tax includes the following specific expenses:		
Depreciation and amortisation Plant and equipment Port rights	290,582 205,296 495,878	363,281 282,283 645,564
Finance costs Finance costs	274,319	176,780
Note 7. Income tax expense		
	Consolidate 30 June 2014 3 \$	
Numerical reconciliation of income tax expense and tax at the statutory rate Loss before income tax expense	(1,520,499)	(2,454,265)
Tax at the statutory tax rate of 30%	(456,150)	(736,280)
Tax losses for the current year for which no deferred tax asset is recognised	456,150	736,280
Income tax expense	<u> </u>	-

## Note 7. Income tax expense (continued)

	Consolidat 30 June 2014 3 \$	•
Tax losses not recognised Unused tax losses for which no deferred tax asset has been recognised	23,965,900	21,756,000
Potential tax benefit @ 30%	7,189,770	6,526,800

The above potential tax benefit for tax losses has not been recognised in the statement of financial position. These tax losses can only be utilised in the future if the continuity of ownership test is passed, or failing that, the same business test is passed.

The future income tax benefit in respect of these unrecognised deferred tax assets will only be obtained if:

- a) future assessable income is derived of a nature and amount sufficient to enable the benefit to be realised;
- b) the conditions for deductibility imposed by tax legislation continue to be complied with; and
- c) no changes in tax legislation adversely affect the Consolidated Entity in realising the benefit.

#### Note 8. Current assets - cash and cash equivalents

	Consolidate 30 June 2014 3 \$	
Cash at bank and on hand	2,576	5,502
Deposits at call	5,236	5,107
	7,812	10,609

Cast at bank and on hand is interest bearing at 0.01% (2013:0.01%).

Deposits at call are interest bearing at 2.50% (2013: 2.90%).

#### ١

Note 9. Current assets - trade and other receivables		
	Consolidated 30 June 2014 30	•
	\$	\$
Trade receivables	241,102	2,710

The carrying amounts set out above are assumed to approximate their fair value. There are no balances that are impaired or past due. The maximum exposure of the Consolidated Entity to credit risk is the fair value of the receivables.

#### Note 10. Non-current assets - receivables

30	Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$	
Security deposits	54,500	54,500

The trade receivables are not past due nor impaired. The carrying amount is equivalent to fair value.

## Note 11. Non-current assets - property, plant and equipment

	Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$\$
Plant and equipment Less: Accumulated depreciation	1,888,254 1,889,086 (734,820) (444,238)
	1,153,4341,444,848

#### Reconciliations

Reconciliations of the written down values at the beginning and end of the current and previous financial year are set out below:

Consolidated Entity	Plant and Equipment \$
Balance at 1 July 2012 Additions Depreciation expense	1,807,297 832 (363,281)
Balance at 30 June 2013 Disposals Depreciation expense	1,444,848 (832) (290,582)
Balance at 30 June 2014	1,153,434

## Note 12. Non-current assets - intangibles

Consolidated Entity
30 June 2014 30 June 2013
\$

Port access cost 1,193,381 1,338,377

## Reconciliations

Reconciliations of the written down values at the beginning and end of the current and previous financial year are set out below:

	Port
Consolidated Entity	access \$
Balance at 1 July 2012 Additions Amortisation	1,545,745 74,915 (282,283)
Balance at 30 June 2013 Additions Amortisation	1,338,377 60,300 (205,296)
Balance at 30 June 2014	1,193,381

## Note 12. Non-current assets - intangibles (continued)

The Consolidated Entity and the Port Hedland Port Authority have executed the Utah Point Facility Agreement ("Agreement"). Under the terms and conditions of the Agreement the Consolidated Entity acquired rights to stockpile ore at the Utah Point ore stockyard and to export the stockpiled ore through the ore loader.

The access rights accrue interest (currently 6.98%) on the unused portion.

## Note 13. Non-current assets - exploration and evaluation

Consolidated Entity
30 June 2014 30 June 2013
\$

Exploration and evaluation expenditure

825,893

The Board anticipates that exploration and evaluation activities are expected to be recouped through successful development and exploitation of the area of interest or, alternatively, by its sale.

#### Reconciliations

Reconciliations of the written down values at the beginning and end of the current and previous financial year are set out below:

Consolidated Entity	Exploration and Evaluation \$
Balance at 1 July 2012	<del>_</del>
Balance at 30 June 2013 Additions	
Balance at 30 June 2014	825,893

## Note 14. Current liabilities - trade and other payables

Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$

Trade payables and accruals

5,911,067

4,957,732

Included in the trade payables and accruals is loan from MRL and its controlled entities of \$5,779,856 (2013: \$5,138,173).

Refer to note 21 for further information on financial instruments.

Trade payables are non-interest bearing and are normally settled on 30 day terms. Other payables are also non-interest bearing and have an average term of 30 days. Due to the short-term nature of these payables, the carrying amounts recorded in the financial statements for trade payables and other payables are the fair values.

#### Note 15. Current liabilities - employee benefits

Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$

Employee benefits

8,911 112,253

Note 16. Equity - issued capital

Consolidated Entity					
	30 June 2014 Shares	30 June 2013 Shares	30 June 2014 \$	30 June 2013 \$	
	704,416,960	612,536,487	36,453,162	35,157,578	

Movements in ordinary share capital

Details	Date	Shares	Issue price	\$
Balance Buy back of unmarketable parcels	1 July 2012 30 June 2013	618,995,831 (6,459,344)	-	35,286,765 (129,187)
Balance Placement of shares Capital raising costs	30 June 2013 9 September 2013	612,536,487 91,880,473	\$0.015	35,157,578 1,378,207 (82,623)
Balance	30 June 2014	704,416,960	<u>-</u>	36,453,162

#### Ordinary shares

Ordinary shares

Ordinary shares entitle the holder to participate in dividends and the proceeds on the winding up of the Company in proportion to the number of and amounts paid on the shares held. The fully paid ordinary shares have no par value and the Company does not have a limited amount of authorised capital.

On a show of hands every member present at a meeting in person or by proxy shall have one vote and upon a poll each share shall have one vote.

#### Capital risk management

The Consolidated Entity's objectives when managing capital are to safeguard its ability to continue as a going concern, so that it can provide returns for shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders and to maintain an optimum capital structure to reduce the cost of capital.

In the current stage of its development, the Consolidated Entity has invested its available capital heavily in assets such as acquiring and exploring mining tenements and researching and patenting process know-how as a means of achieving this end. As is appropriate at this stage, the Consolidated Entity is funded primarily by equity.

As it moves forward to commercialise these assets, the Consolidated Entity will adjust its capital structure to support its operational and strategic objectives, by raising additional capital or taking on debt, as is seen to be appropriate from time to time given the overriding objective of creating shareholder value. In this regard, the Board will consider each step forward in the development of the Consolidated Entity on its merits and in the context of the then capital markets, in deciding how to structure capital raisings.

## Note 17. Equity - reserves

Consolida 30 June 2014 \$	
280,750	280,750

## Revaluation reserve

Revaluation reserve

The reserves represents the expensed cost of options issued in connection with an equity raising.

## Note 18. Equity - accumulated losses

Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$

Accumulated losses at the beginning of the financial year (37,657,269) (35,203,004) Loss after income tax expense for the year (1,520,499) (2,454,265)

Accumulated losses at the end of the financial year \_\_\_(39,177,768) \_\_(37,657,269)

#### Note 19. Equity - dividends

There were no dividends paid, recommended or declared during the current or previous financial year.

#### Note 20. Jointly controlled assets

On 28 April 2008, the Consolidated Entity entered into a Farm-in & Joint Venture Agreement with Auvex Resources Limited to mine and ship manganese product. Under the arrangements Auvex was required to expend \$7,250,000 on exploration and evaluation to enable the Company and Auvex to make a joint decision to mine manganese at Mesa's Ant Hill and Sunday Hill Mining Leases.

On the basis that the Consolidated Entity and Auvex agreed that Auvex had earned its interest in the tenements, the Consolidated Entity assigned an undivided 50% interest in the Ant Hill Mining Lease 46/238 and the Sunday Hill Mining Lease 46/237.

The Consolidated Entity accounted for its interest in the Joint Venture under a contractual arrangement that did not give rise to a jointly controlled entity. Under the contractual arrangements, the Consolidated Entity derives benefits of operation of the jointly owned assets rather than from an interest in a jointly controlled entity.

#### Note 21. Financial instruments

## Financial risk management objectives

The Consolidated Entity's activities expose it to a variety of financial risks: market risk (including foreign currency risk, price risk and interest rate risk), credit risk and liquidity risk. The Consolidated Entity's overall risk management program focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to minimise potential adverse effects on the financial performance of the Consolidated Entity. The Consolidated Entity uses derivative financial instruments such as forward foreign exchange contracts to hedge certain risk exposures. Derivatives are exclusively used for hedging purposes, i.e. not as trading or other speculative instruments. The Consolidated Entity uses different methods to measure different types of risk to which it is exposed. These methods include sensitivity analysis in the case of interest rate, foreign exchange and other price risks, ageing analysis for credit risk and beta analysis in respect of investment portfolios to determine market risk.

Risk management is carried out by senior finance executives ('finance') under policies approved by the Board of Directors ('the Board'). These policies include identification and analysis of the risk exposure of the Consolidated Entity and appropriate procedures, controls and risk limits. Finance identifies, evaluates and hedges financial risks within the Consolidated Entity's operating units. Finance reports to the Board on a monthly basis.

#### Interest rate risk

The Consolidated Entity is exposed to market interest rates on moneys it has deposited with Australian banking institutions in the form of short-term deposits and operating accounts.

## Note 21. Financial instruments (continued)

As at the reporting date, the Consolidated Entity is exposed to interest rate risk as follows:

	30 June 2014 Weighted		30 June 2013 Weighted	
Consolidated Entity	average interest rate %	Balance \$	average interest rate %	Balance \$
Cash and cash equivalents	1.83% _	7,812	2.14%	10,609
Net exposure to cash flow interest rate risk	<u>=</u>	7,812		10,609

An analysis by remaining contractual maturities in shown in 'liquidity and interest rate risk management' below.

The Consolidated Entity has considered the sensitivity relating to its exposure to interest rate risk at reporting date. This analysis considers the effect on current year results and equity which could result in a change in this risk. The management processes employed to control these factors and the potential impact on the profit and equity would not be a material amount.

#### Credit risk

Credit risk arises from the financial assets of the Consolidated Entity, which comprise cash and cash equivalents and other receivables. The Consolidated Entity invests only in short-term deposits with institutions that have AA/Stable/A-1+ ratings for short-term credit.

Current receivables are monitored on an ongoing basis with the result that the Consolidated Entity's exposure to bad debts is not significant.

## Liquidity risk

## Financing arrangements

Liquidity risk arises from the financial liabilities of the Consolidated Entity and the ability of the Consolidated Entity to meet these obligations as and when they fall due.

The maturity analysis of financial assets and financial liabilities is as follows:

#### Remaining contractual maturities

The following tables detail the Consolidated Entity's remaining contractual maturity for its financial instrument liabilities. The tables have been drawn up based on the undiscounted cash flows of financial liabilities based on the earliest date on which the financial liabilities are required to be paid. The tables include both interest and principal cash flows disclosed as remaining contractual maturities and therefore these totals may differ from their carrying amount in the statement of financial position.

Consolidated Entity - 30 June 2014	Weighted average interest rate %	1 year or less	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years	Remaining contractual maturities
Non-derivatives Non-interest bearing Trade payables Total non-derivatives	-%	5,919,978 5,919,978	- 	- -	· <u>-</u>	5,919,978 5,919,978

## Note 21. Financial instruments (continued)

Consolidated Entity - 30 June	Weighted average interest rate	1 year or less	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years	Remaining contractual maturities
2013	%	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Non-derivatives Non-interest bearing Trade payables Total non-derivatives	-%	4,957,732 4,957,732			<u>-</u>	4,957,732 4,957,732

The cash flows in the maturity analysis above are not expected to occur significantly earlier than contractually disclosed above.

#### Fair value of financial instruments

Unless otherwise stated, the carrying amounts of financial instruments reflect their fair value. The carrying amounts of trade receivables and trade payables are assumed to approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature. The fair value of financial liabilities is estimated by discounting the remaining contractual maturities at the current market interest rate that is available for similar financial instruments.

## Note 22. Key Management Personnel disclosures

#### **Directors**

The following persons were directors of Mesa Minerals Limited during the financial year:

A Scott Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director

(Resigned on 31 January 2014)

N Coldham-Russell Chairman

C Ellison Non-Executive Director
B Goulds Non-Executive Director

#### Compensation

The aggregate compensation made to directors and other members of KMP of the Consolidated Entity is set out below:

		Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013		
	\$	\$		
Short-term employee benefits	279,542	301,528		
Post-employment benefits	32,260	31,721		
Long-term benefits	-	7,173		
Termination benefits	192,548			
	504,350	340,422		

There were no shares or options that were held by directors and other KMP during the year ended 30 June 2014.

#### Note 23. Remuneration of auditors

During the financial year the following fees were paid or payable for services provided by RSM Bird Cameron Partners, the auditor of the Company:

		Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$	
Audit services - RSM Bird Cameron Partners Audit or review of the financial statements	38,550	36,000	
Other services - RSM Bird Cameron Partners Taxation services	2,500	1,900	
	41,050	37,900	

## Note 24. Contingent liabilities

The Consolidated Entity has a contingent liability in the amount of \$83,000 (2013: \$83,000) in respect of a performance bond issued in favour of the Department of Mines & Petroleum.

#### Note 25. Commitments

Operating lease commitments

The Consolidated Entity has no operating lease commitments.

## Exploration and evaluation expenditure commitments

The Consolidated Entity has expenditure commitments in respect of its tenement interests of approximately \$287,100 (2013: \$287,100). There is no annual work programme and budget for advancing the jointly held manganese tenements through exploration and evaluation. As at 30 June 2014, there were no significant expenditure commitment in addition to those pertaining to the issue of the tenements.

## Note 26. Related party transactions

Parent Entity

Mesa Minerals Limited is the Parent Entity.

Joint ventures

Interests in joint ventures are set out in note 28.

Key management personnel

Disclosures relating to KMP are set out in note 22 and the Remuneration Report in the Directors' Report.

Transactions with related parties

The following transactions occurred with related parties:

Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$ \$

Payment for services from MRL and its controlled entities

1,123,592 1,443,499

Receivable from and payable to related parties

There were no related party transactions involving directors in the financial years ended 30 June 2014 (2013: Nil).

## Note 26. Related party transactions (continued)

#### Ultimate Parent Entity

MRL is the ultimate Parent Entity, holding at 30 June 2014 and the date of these financial statements respectively approximately 56.44% of the ordinary shares of the Consolidated Entity (2013: 64.91%).

#### Loans to/from related parties

The following balances are outstanding at the reporting date in relation to loans with related parties:

Consolidated Entity
30 June 2014 30 June 2013
\$

Current borrowings:

Loan from MRL and its controlled entities

5,779,856 5,138,173

## Terms and conditions

All transactions were made on normal commercial terms and conditions and at market rates.

## Note 27. Parent Entity information

Set out below is the supplementary information about the Parent Entity.

Statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income

	Company 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$ \$	
Loss after income tax	(1,520,499)	(2,454,265)
Total comprehensive income	(1,520,499)	(2,454,265)
Statement of financial position		
	Company 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$ \$	
Total current assets	248,914	13,319
Total assets	3,476,122	2,851,044
Total current liabilities	5,919,978	5,069,985
Total liabilities	5,919,978	5,069,985
Net liabilities	(2,443,856)	(2,218,941)
Equity Issued capital Reserves Accumulated losses	36,453,162 280,750 (39,177,768)	35,157,578 280,750 (37,657,269)
Total deficiency in equity	(2,443,856)	(2,218,941)

Guarantees entered into by the Parent Entity in relation to the debts of its subsidiaries

The Parent Entity had no guarantees in relation to the debts of its subsidiaries as at 30 June 2014 and 30 June 2013.

## Note 27. Parent entity information (continued)

#### Contingent liabilities

The Parent Entity has a contingent liability in the amount of \$83,000 (2013: \$83,000) in respect of a performance bond issued in favour of the Department of Mines & Petroleum.

#### Capital commitments - Property, plant and equipment

The Parent Entity had no capital commitments for property, plant and equipment at as 30 June 2014 and 30 June 2013.

#### Significant accounting policies

The accounting policies of the Parent Entity are consistent with those of the Consolidated Entity, as disclosed in note 2, except for the following:

- Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for at cost, less any impairment, in the Parent Entity.
- Investments in associates are accounted for at cost, less any impairment, in the Parent Entity.
- Dividends received from subsidiaries are recognised as other income by the Parent Entity and its receipt may be an indicator of an impairment of the investment.

## Note 28. Interests in joint ventures

Interests in joint ventures are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Information relating to joint ventures that are material to the Consolidated Entity are set out below:

	Principal place of business /	Ownership interest 30 June 2014 30 June 2013	
Name	Country of incorporation	%	%
HiTec Energy Pty Ltd	Small Proprietary	100.00%	100.00%

## Note 29. Events after the reporting period

No matter or circumstance has arisen since 30 June 2014 that has significantly affected, or may significantly affect the Consolidated Entity's operations, the results of those operations, or the Consolidated Entity's state of affairs in future financial years.

## Note 30. Reconciliation of loss after income tax to net cash (used in)/from operating activities

		Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$ \$	
Loss after income tax expense for the year	(1,520,499)	(2,454,265)	
Adjustments for: Depreciation and amortisation Capitalised interest	495,878 (60,300)	645,564 (74,915)	
Change in operating assets and liabilities:  Decrease/(increase) in trade and other receivables Increase in trade and other payables Increase/(decrease) in other provisions	(238,392) 953,335 (103,342)	333,484 1,547,727 18,572	
Net cash (used in)/from operating activities	(473,320)	16,167	

## Note 31. Earnings per share

	Consolidated Entity 30 June 2014 30 June 2013 \$	
Loss after income tax attributable to the owners of Mesa Minerals Limited	(1,520,499)	(2,454,265)
	Number	Number
Weighted average number of ordinary shares used in calculating basic earnings per share	686,796,047	612,536,487
Weighted average number of ordinary shares used in calculating diluted earnings per share	686,796,047	612,536,487
	Cents	Cents
Basic earnings per share Diluted earnings per share	(0.22) (0.22)	(0.40) (0.40)

There are no transactions involving ordinary shares or potential ordinary shares that would significantly change the number of ordinary shares or potential ordinary shares outstanding between the date of the financial year and the date of completion of this annual report.

## Note 32. Share-based payments

No share based payment arrangements were in place during or at the end of the year ended 30 June 2014 (2013: nil).

# Mesa Minerals Limited Directors' declaration 30 June 2014

In the directors' opinion:

- the attached financial statements and notes thereto comply with the Corporations Act 2001, the Accounting Standards, the Corporations Regulations 2001 and other mandatory professional reporting requirements:
- the attached financial statements and notes thereto comply with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board as described in note 2 to the financial statements;
- the attached financial statements and notes thereto give a true and fair view of the Consolidated Entity's financial position as at 30 June 2014 and of its performance for the financial year ended on that date; and
- there are reasonable grounds to believe that the Company will be able to pay its debts as and when they become due and payable.

The directors have been given the declarations required by section 295A of the Corporations Act 2001.

Signed in accordance with a resolution of directors made pursuant to section 295(5)(a) of the Corporations Act 2001.

On behalf of the directors

Bruce Goulds Director

24 September 2014 Perth



RSM Bird Cameron Partners
8 St George's Terrace Perth WA 6000
GPO Box R1253 Perth WA 6844
T+61 8 9261 9100 F+61 8 9261 9101
www.rsmi.com.au

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF MESA MINERALS LIMITED

#### **Report on the Financial Report**

We have audited the accompanying financial report of Mesa Minerals Limited, which comprises the statement of financial position as at 30 June 2014, the statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income, statement of changes in equity and statement of cash flows for the year then ended, notes comprising a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information, and the directors' declaration of the consolidated entity comprising the company and the entities it controlled at the year's end or from time to time during the financial year.

## Directors' Responsibility for the Financial Report

The directors of the company are responsible for the preparation of the financial report that gives a true and fair view in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards and the *Corporations Act 2001* and for such internal control as the directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of the financial report that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In Note 2, the directors also state, in accordance with Accounting Standard AASB 101 *Presentation of Financial Statements*, that the financial statements comply with *International Financial Reporting Standards*.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial report based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards. These Auditing Standards require that we comply with relevant ethical requirements relating to audit engagements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial report is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial report. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial report, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial report in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by the directors, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial report.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation

Major Offices in: Perth, Sydney, Melbourne, Adelaide and Canberra ABN 36 965 185 036 RSM Bird Cameron Partners is a member of the RSM network. Each member of the RSM network is an independent accounting and advisory firm which practises in its own right. The RSM network is not itself a separate legal entity in any jurisdiction.





## Independence

In conducting our audit, we have complied with the independence requirements of the *Corporations Act 2001*. We confirm that the independence declaration required by the *Corporations Act 2001*, which has been given to the directors of Mesa Minerals Limited, would be in the same terms if given to the directors as at the time of this auditor's report.

## Opinion

## In our opinion:

- (a) the financial report of Mesa Minerals Limited is in accordance with the Corporations Act 2001, including:
  - (i) giving a true and fair view of the consolidated entity's financial position as at 30 June 2014 and of its performance for the year ended on that date; and
  - (ii) complying with Australian Accounting Standards and the Corporations Regulations 2001; and
- (b) the financial report also complies with International Financial Reporting Standards as disclosed in Note 2.

#### Report on the Remuneration Report

We have audited the Remuneration Report included within the directors' report for the year ended 30 June 2014. The directors of the company are responsible for the preparation and presentation of the Remuneration Report in accordance with section 300A of the *Corporations Act 2001*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Remuneration Report, based on our audit conducted in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the Remuneration Report of Mesa Minerals Limited for the year ended 30 June 2014 complies with section 300A of the *Corporations Act 2001*.

RSM Bird Cameron Partners

**RSM BIRD CAMERON PARTNERS** 

Perth, WA

Dated: 24 September 2014

TUTU PHONG Partner

## Mesa Minerals Limited Shareholder information 30 June 2014

The shareholder information set out below was applicable as at 16 September 2014.

## Distribution of equitable securities

Analysis of number of equitable security holders by size of holding:

	Number
	of holders
	of ordinary
	shares
1 to 1,000	10
1,001 to 5,000	12
5,001 to 10,000	16
10,001 to 100,000	328
100,001 and over	103
	469
Holding less than a marketable parcel	127

## **Equity security holders**

Twenty largest quoted equity security holders

The names of the twenty largest security holders of quoted equity securities are listed below:

	Ordinary shares % of total shares	
	Number held	issued
Mineral Resources Limited	418,431,417	59.40
Mighty River International Limited	92,291,508	13.10
Argonaut Securities Pty Ltd <aspl 4="" a="" c="" client="" no=""></aspl>	30,000,000	4.26
HSBC Custody Nominees (Australia) Limited	29,549,916	4.19
Carjay Investments Pty Ltd	16,200,000	2.30
Katana Asset Management Ltd	12,000,000	1.70
James Howard Nigel Smalley	10,000,000	1.42
BS Capital Pty Ltd	9,505,810	1.35
Coolah Holdings Pty Ltd <lambert a="" c="" family=""></lambert>	7,000,000	0.99
Classic Capital Pty Ltd <brl a="" c="" unit=""></brl>	5,000,000	0.71
Blue Crystal Pty Ltd	4,400,000	0.62
Pabu Pty Limited <the a="" c="" jade="" unit=""></the>	3,995,000	0.57
Mr Weidong Song	3,739,580	0.53
UBS Wealth Management Australia Nominees Pty Ltd	3,333,334	0.47
Beaumy Pty Ltd <robert a="" c="" family="" frost=""></robert>	3,158,334	0.45
Katana Equity Pty Ltd <sala a="" c="" family="" tenna=""></sala>	3,000,000	0.43
Halib Holdings Pty Ltd <halib a="" c="" f="" s=""></halib>	2,966,667	0.42
Basildene Pty Ltd <warren a="" brown="" c="" fund="" super=""></warren>	2,189,611	0.31
Mr Robert Frost	2,000,000	0.28
Print Logic WA Pty Ltd	2,000,000	0.28
	660,761,177	93.80

## Mesa Minerals Limited Shareholder information 30 June 2014

## Schedule of interests in approved tenements

Tenement	Approval	Owner	Project	Area	Agreement	Equity
	Date		Title			
ML 46/238	6 Nov 2000	Mesa	Ant Hill	800.1 Ha	Joint Venture	50%
ML 46/237	6 Nov 2000	Mesa	Sunday Hill	729.1 Ha	Joint Venture	50%
EL 46/772	22 July 2011	Mesa	Gunstock	10 BL	Joint Venture	50%
ML 46/67	27 April 2012	Auvex		220.0 Ha	Joint Venture	50%
G 45/265	6 Jun 2000	Mesa	Boodarie	49.9 Ha	Lease	100%
EL 46/831-1	6 Sept 2010	Mesa	Cooke Creek	12 BL	Lease	100%
EL 46/816	13 July 2011	Mesa	Eastern Creek	69 BL	Lease	100%
EL 46/847	30 July 2012	Mesa	Lucy Bore	1BL	Lease	100%
EL 46/771	18 April 2013	Mesa	Wickham	2BL	Joint Venture	50%