

ASX RELEASE 15 March 2021

MHP Assays Deliver Excellent Results

Highlights

- Nickel Cobalt Mixed Hydroxide Precipitate ("MHP") assay results deliver excellent results
- Specifications of MHP from piloting work is in line with leading MHP products that are currently sold around the world

Queensland Pacific Metals Ltd (ASX:QPM) ("**QPM**" or "the **Company**") is pleased to announce that it has received positive assay results for the MHP produced from its recent piloting testwork for the TECH Project.

MHP Assay Results

The assay results for QPM's MHP, produced from its recent piloting testwork, are provided below:

Element	%
Ni	47.6
Со	8.6
Fe	0.06
Al	0.17
Mg	0.81
Mn	0.33
Са	0.07
Cr	0.003
Cu	0.002
Zn	0.43
К	<0.001
Na	<0.01
S	0.08
Si	0.25

Table: Assay results for MHP specifications

As part of QPM's technical team, it engaged leading consultants who are experts in both MHP production and its refining of MHP into nickel and cobalt sulfate. These experts (including specialist David White who has worked with many nickel laterite and MHP producers) have confirmed that the assay results of QPM's MHP are in line with leading MHP products that are currently sold around the world, largely for the purpose of refining into nickel and cobalt sulfate.

MHP is currently the preferred nickel feed source for refining to nickel sulfate as the process to do so is commercial, relatively straight forward and has low capital and operating costs. The issue is that there is limited MHP available in the global market, and sulfate producers have had to



supplement their feed with nickel metal.

Historically, MHP used to sell for around 65-75% of the LME nickel price (for the nickel contained in the MHP). However, with the growth in demand for nickel sulfate, MHP payability has increased significantly. In the most recent quarter, leading nickel analysts Benchmark Minerals reported MHP payability had increased to 84.5% in the recent December 2020 quarter. This percent payability is for good quality MHP products. Inferior MHP sells at a lower percent payability.

QPM's intention is for the TECH Project is to sell nickel and cobalt sulfate as a value-add product. However, given that it is a high purity battery chemical, there can sometimes be a period of product acceptance testwork on commercial scale production that must be undertaken with potential offtakers. The ability to sell high quality MHP in parallel with this acceptance period will be a benefit for the TECH Project.

This announcement has been authorised for release by the Board.

For more information, please contact:

John Downie Managing Director info@qpmetals.com.au +61 (0) 408 329 262

Luke Forrestal Media Enquiries Luke.forrestal@mcpartners.com.au +61 (0) 411 479144 Stephen Grocott Chief Executive Officer info@qpmetals.com.au +61 (0) 409 417 326



Competent Persons Statement

Information in this announcement relating to the processing and metallurgy is based on technical data compiled by Mr Boyd Willis, an Independent Consultant trading as Boyd Willis Hydromet Consulting (BWHC). Mr Willis is a Fellow and Chartered Professional of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM). Mr Willis has sufficient experience which is relevant to metal recovery from the style of mineralisation and type of deposits in New Caledonia where the ore will be sourced (from third parties pursuant to an ore supply agreement) and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person under the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. This includes over 25 years of experience in metal recovery from Laterite ores. Mr Willis consents to the inclusion of the technical data in the form and context in which it appears.

ANNEXURE – JORC TABLES

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	 Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	 The leach ore bulk sample used to produce the MHP was sourced directly from the mine face by laterite supplier SMT in New Caledonia. The bulk sample direct from the mine face was loaded using a small backhoe into individually sampled 1 tonne bulk bags, containerised (with security seal) and shipped directly from New Caledonia to SGS Minerals Metallurgy in Malaga, Western Australia The 80 off 1 tonne bulk bags making up the bulk sample, monitored by a QPM representative was indicative of the specification required under the terms outlined an ore supply MoU between QPM, SMT and SMGM.
Drilling techniques	 Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face- sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	 No exploration drilling was undertaken

1.1 Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drill sample recovery	 Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	 No exploration drilling was undertaken
Logging	 Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	 No exploration drilling or logging was undertaken
Sub- sampling techniques and sample preparation	 If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	 No exploration drilling or logging was appropriate, required or undertaken. The bulk sample was supplied to SGS on the 29/05/20 and was classified as being type SMT by QPM. It was received from the mine site as a moist, lumpy material ranging from extremely weathered rock to hard clay and silt consistency. Prior to delivery to SGS, the bulk sample was inspected in accordance with Australian Quarantine requirements. The bulk sample bulk bags were individual auger sampled. The sample was dried and assayed to confirm the grade. The bulk bags were individually decanted into large stainless steel trays and dried, screened to -100mm to remove large rocks and milled to 100% passing 1.4mm The dried and milled bulk sample was blended and loaded into 200L sealed drums. The bulk sample quantity was selected to be appropriate for the pilot plant campaign requirements.
Quality of assay data	• The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory	• ALS carried out the assay of the MHP in



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
and laboratory tests	 procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	 accordance with ISO standards Independently, Simulus also carried out assay of the MHP in accordance with ISO standards to verify the results
Verification of sampling and assaying	 The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	 No exploration drilling or sampling was undertaken
Location of data points	 Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	 No exploration drilling was undertaken
Data spacing and distribution	 Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	 No exploration drilling was undertaken.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	 Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	 No exploration drilling was undertaken.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	 The bulk sample was collected, secured and sent in sealed containers via a registered transport company (QUBE), and delivered directly to the SGS laboratory.
Audits or reviews	 The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	 ALS carried out the assay of the MHP in accordance with ISO standards Independently, Simulus also carried out assay of the MHP in accordance with ISO standards to verify the results

1.2 Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	 Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	 Not Applicable The bulk Sample was sourced from third party supplier SMT in New Caledonia.
Exploration done by other parties	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Not Applicable
Geology	 Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	Not Applicable.
Drill hole Information	 A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the 	 No exploration drilling or sampling was undertaken.

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Competent Person should clearly	
	explain why this is the case.	
Data aggregation methods	 In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	 No exploration drilling or sampling was undertaken. Metal equivalents were not used or reported.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	 These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	 No exploration drilling was completed.
Diagrams	 Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	 No exploration drilling was completed.
Balanced reporting	 Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	 No exploration results have been reported sampling was carried out on in situ laterite.
Other substantive exploration data	 Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminatina 	• Exploration drilling was not carried out.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	substances.	
Further work	 The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	• No drilling or exploration work is planned.

