

ASX RELEASE

16 June 2021

Decline Milestone Exposes New Mining Zones as Drilling Continues to Deliver Success

Highlights

- **Decline advance has opened up the 19 Intermediate Level (19INT), providing the first new level and source of ore below the 1300 for mining in many years at the A1 Gold Mine.**
- **Mining has largely been confined to a limited area over the past two years, with the decline development representing a substantial and confident investment.**
- **The Queens Lode is the most advanced bulk tonnage exploration target, with well-defined resource potential in the near-term mineable material at A1.**
- **The company is seeking to delineate the Queens Lode Project as a priority for 2021, with mechanised mining to support the current operation.**
- **High grade gold intercepted in drilling including 9.1m @ 10.6 g/t gold from 41.7m**

A1 Mine Decline Development

Kaiser Reef Limited (ASX:KAU) ('Kaiser Reef', 'KAU' or the 'Company') is pleased to announce that the decline advance which commenced in May 2021 has reached, and "opened up" the 19 Intermediate Level (19INT), which is approximately midway between the 18 and 19 Levels. The 19INT will open up remnant mining opportunities targetting known ore sources from the Empire Reef, 17 Reef, Moore's Reef and other associated structures. This new level access will provide the first new source of ore for mining in many years at the A1 Gold Mine ('A1' or 'Project'). This has been possible through the continued decline development towards the Queens Lode ('Queens'), which Kaiser intends to exploit through higher-volume, mechanical stoping methods during the December 2021 Quarter.

During the nearly 2 years of Administration, mining has largely been confined to an area of limited vertical extent centred on the 17 & 18 Levels. The decline advancement commenced from the historical 18 Level (approximately 1300mRL), representing the first new level in several years, and is a key initiative implemented at A1 since Kaiser took control of the Project during the March 2021 Quarter. The decline development is a substantial and confident investment by Kaiser in the future of the A1 Mine.

Initial investigations of the 19INT are encouraging and have already identified visible gold in the interpreted lode locations where intersected (Figure 1). The decline

continues to advance beyond the 19INT towards the Queens Lode that extends vertically below the 19 Level.

Kaiser Reef Executive Director, Jonathan Downes, commented: "We are very pleased to have invested in the decline development, which importantly, will allow us to access the first new ore in almost two years. We are confident that this investment will deliver shareholder value, and with drilling ongoing at the Queens Lode we look forward to releasing results as we progress towards an updated JORC Mineral Resource Estimate."

To accelerate the decline development, a night shift development team has commenced mining. Kaiser Reef anticipates that the decline will access the Queens Lode in the Q4 this year, which is planned to provide a production step change to the operations by allowing the implementation of mechanical stope production. The mine plan is based on the 19INT level continuing to be developed laterally to provide supplementary high grade airleg stope mined ore.




Image 1: A1 mining staff examine exposed mineralisation at the Empire Reef, 19INT (19 Intermediate)

A1 Exploration Drilling

Drilling is ongoing at the A1 Mine (Image 1 and Figure 1) which has recently returned high-grade intercepts including; 3.7m @ 68.6 g/t gold ,12.1m @ 24.26 g/t gold, and 19.1m @ 11.9 g/t gold from 48.6m.

Some of the most recent results include:

- **A1UDH-426 9.1m @ 10.6 g/t gold from 41.7m**
- **A1UDH-422 6.3m @ 7.8 g/t gold from 16.8m, including**
 - 0.5m @ 45.1 g/t gold from 16.8m; and**
 - 2.3m @ 11.6 g/t gold from 20.8m**
- **A1UDH-425 16.9m @ 3.2 g/t gold from 43.2m, and**
 - 21m @ 2.6 g/t gold from 62.3m**

The further broad high-grade results from the margins of the Queens Lode further bolster the Company's ongoing confidence in relation to progressing mining operations. Drilling continues and Kaiser Reef looks forward to updating the market as results are received.

Drilling Results Discussion

Diamond hole A1UDH-412 was drilled on a fan targeting Queens Lode. This hole drilled immediately over the top of Queens, which provides a section width and cap to the Queens Lode mineralisation corridor, which remains open to the south.

The major intersections delineated in A1UDH-412 are in quartz veins hosted by altered sediment. The two upper anomalies are within the hanging-wall domain of the Queens Lode. A1UDH-412 is up dip from the previously reported hole (A1UDH-424).

The section to the right illustrates southern Queens Lode exploration holes.

Assays for diamond holes A1UDH-425 & 426 have been received, with these holes being the southern-most holes into Queens from Phase 2. The level of alteration and grade in the first A1 Deeps hole (A1UDH-422), which is approximately 25m further south of the Queens Lode mineralisation from A1UDH-425 / 426), provides increasing confidence for further southern strike extension of the Queens Lode. Drilling within the 1320-630 South Cuddy is soon to finish, with the next drilling at Cuddy 1320-695 to target both southern Queens and coincident short and medium term mining targets.




Figure 1 - XXXXX



Image 1 - Gold mineralisation within sediment hosted quartz veins. 0.5m @ 45.05 g/t Au from 16.8m – 17.3m.

Results for A1UDH-423 (A1 Mine Deeps program) have been received and are tabulated in the Phase 2 results attachment.




Figure 2 - Gold mineralisation within sediment hosted quartz veins. 0.5m @ 45.05 g/t Au from 16.8m – 17.3m.






Figure 3 - Gold mineralisation within sediment hosted quartz veins. 0.5m @ 45.05 g/t Au from 16.8m – 17.3m.



Image 2 - Gold mineralisation within sediment hosted quartz veins. 2.3m @ 11.63 g/t Au from 20.8m – 23.1m.



Images 3, 4 & 5 - A1UDH-426 – Queens Lode Mineralisation showing core from 39.1m – 54.5m.

Kaiser Reef is seeking to delineate the Queens Lode Project as a priority for 2021, with mechanised mining to supplement the high-grade narrow vein airleg mining that currently supports the operation. The Queens Lode is structural domain within the A1 Dyke with pronounced alteration and disseminated mineralisation. It differs markedly from the more typical narrow vein mining targets both in size and style of mineralisation which makes it suitable for mechanical mining.

Results are presented below in Table 1 and followed up with a discussion around the objectives. Further information on the drilling program is provided in the JORC Table 1.

The current underground diamond drilling program is comprised of several parts, which include geotechnical/infrastructure advance, resource definition and exploration. Results received to date are planned to support resource definition, initially for Queens lode.

The current Kaiser program is targeting specific zones of the Queens Lode that require infill drilling, strike extension, width/depth delineation and the identification of the plunge of high-grade mineralisation trends.

The Queens Lode represents the most advanced bulk tonnage exploration target, with well-defined resource potential in the near-term mineable material at A1. The objective of Kaiser's drilling program is to provide information to support the production coinciding with an updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Queens Lode. The work will also assist in increasing the understanding of the strike and mineralisation extension within the A1 Mine.

The Company plans that the wider mineralisation style of the Queens Lode will provide the opportunity to increase and supplement the current production provided by air leg mining techniques, that currently exploit the narrow vein high-grade lodes by allowing mechanised Long Hole Open Stope (LHOS) style mining. The wholly owned processing plant at Maldon has excess capacity available to treat a substantially increased rate of production.

Maldon

Kaiser Reef continues to progress the upcoming exploration focus at the Maldon Goldfield ('Maldon'), one of the most significant historic hard-rock historic gold fields in Victoria. Maldon has had little modern exploration despite recording historic production from hardrock sources of **1.74Moz at 28 g/t gold**, including the Nuggety Reef mine that produced **301,000 oz at 187 g/t gold***.

The Company has an exceptional advantage over most exploration companies to capitalise on exploration success with the nearby, wholly owned and currently operating gold processing plant, substantial existing infrastructure including power, established decline, water and the granted Mining Tenure at Maldon.

Additionally, Kaiser Reef also has the ability to draw on its highly skilled mining team and now wholly owned mining equipment fleet. This would facilitate a rapid and cost

effective mining implementation, following exploration success and the subsequent decision to commence mining at Maldon.

Further details, including some long sections of the historic mines and drilling results are included in the Maldon Exploration Presentation dated (ASX 19 May 2021).

Table 1: Drill hole collar locations and results.

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Grade	GDA94 East	GDA94 North	RL	Depth (m)	Dip	Azi (Mag +12.5)	Core Size
				(g/t Au)			(AHD +1000)				
A1UDH-411	7.0	8.0	1.0	4.48	429530.8	5848752	1291.5	186.0	-76.0	312.1	NQ-2
	11.0	13.0	2.0	3.14							
	21.5	40.0	18.5	2.83							
	42.4	44.0	1.6	2.34							
	51.0	53.6	2.6	2.28							
	55.8	56.8	1.0	2.10							
	71.0	76.0	5.0	3.41							
	78.3	81.3	3.0	2.29							
	82.6	83.3	0.7	2.14							
	84.0	87.0	3.0	2.25							
	92.0	93.0	1.0	2.69							
	107.8	108.5	0.7	4.29							
	114.3	114.9	0.6	2.54							
	121.1	121.4	0.3	2.53							
	123.5	124.5	1.0	5.36							
129.8	130.8	1.0	2.31								
154.2	155.2	1.0	3.32								
A1UDH-412	16.8	17.3	0.5	45.05	429562.3	5848772	1295.9	101.6	-43.5	257.7	NQ-2
	20.8	23.1	2.3	11.63							
	44.7	45.7	1.0	2.15							
	58.0	59.0	1.0	2.25							
	100.1	100.4	0.3	5.69							
A1UDH-413	5.0	6.0	1.0	2.08	429526.3	5848760.0	1291.6	29.9	-78.8	222.0	NQ-2
	8.0	10.0	2.0	2.15							
	13.5	14.1	0.6	67.93							
	23.5	23.9	0.4	12.25							
A1UDH-416	9.0	13.9	4.9	2.06	429514.7	5848780	1292.1	24.0	-60.05	217.4	NQ-2
A1UDH-417	0.4	21.1	20.3	2.49	429515.2	5848781.0	1292.1	160.0	-79.9	215.8	NQ-2
<i>Includes</i>	13.7	21.1	7.4	3.6							
	59.0	59.6	0.6	2.68							
	61.8	66.0	4.2	2.16							
	114.0	114.9	0.9	2.26							
	118.5	119.5	1.0	4.25							
	148.6	149.1	0.5	2.17							
A1UDH-418	1.7	5.9	4.2	2.24	429512.4	5848795	1292.6	145.0	-74.3	220.1	NQ-2
	35.7	36.0	0.3	3.48							
	42.3	43.7	1.4	2.27							
	49.9	55.5	5.6	2.22							
	62.5	63.5	1.0	2.31							
	76.5	77.2	0.7	2.91							
A1UDH-419	2.4	25.4	23.0	3.82	429516.4	5848780	1292.2	143.8	-83.2	167.3	NQ-2

*A1UDH-421 terminated at 9.9m due to intersecting >3m void.

This announcement has been authorised by the Board of Kaiser Reef Limited

For further information please contact:

Authorised by:

Jonathan Downes
Executive Director
admin@kaiserreef.com.au

Jane Morgan
Investor & Media Relations
jm@janemorganmanagement.com.au
+61404 555 618

Competent Persons Disclosure

The information included in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Shawn Panton (B.Sc (hons) (Geology/Earth Science), M.B.A Ex. an employee of Centennial Mining Limited. Mr Panton has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Panton consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

Mr Panton does not hold securities in the company.

Future Performance

This announcement may contain certain forward-looking statements and opinion. Forward-looking statements, including projections, forecasts and estimates, are provided as a general guide only and should not be relied on as an indication or guarantee of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions, contingencies and other important factors, many of which are outside the control of the Company and which are subject to change without notice and could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from the future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such statements. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance and no representation or warranty is made as to the likelihood of achievement or reasonableness of any forward-looking statements or other forecast. Nothing contained in

this announcement nor any information made available to you is, or and shall be relied upon as, a promise, representation, warranty or guarantee as to the past, present or the future performance of Kaiser Reef.

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All sampling results reported are from diamond drilling collared in underground mine development in the A1 Mine (MIN5294). All core was halved using an Almonte diamond saw core cutter with guides to ensure an exact split. With coarse gold common within the deposit, the top half of the core is sampled to reduce inherent sampling problems. The samples were dried, crushed and pulverised, then fire assayed for Au at the NATA accredited Gekko Laboratory at Ballarat. All samples were dried, crushed and pulverised, then fire assayed (20g) for Au at the NATA accredited Gekko Laboratory. QAQC protocols in place include the insertion of blanks and standards inserted at random and at more selective intervals such as immediately after samples of visible gold intersections, and insertion of higher grade standards within samples from high grade zones. A1UDH-423 was sampled as quarter core segments ranging in thickness from 45m – 104.7m (which included 64 samples and 5 standards).
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All of the holes being reported are diamond drill holes. Diamond drilling was completed by DRC. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DRC contractors using an LM90 drill rig. The core diameter drilled was NQ-2 (50.5mm), with the core was orientated using a Reflex ACT II orientation tool.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RQD and recovery data are recorded in the geology logs for all drilling being reported. Core loss is recorded by drillers on run sheets and core blocks placed in core trays. Where the ground is broken, shorter runs are used to maximize core recoveries. Areas of potentially poor ground are communicated to the drillers and recorded in drilling plods. Mineralisation at the A1 Gold Mine is predominately hosted in competent quartz and dyke structures, therefore sample recoveries are general high. No significant sample loss has been correlated with a corresponding increase in Au grade.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All holes reported have been logged in full, including lithology, mineralisation, veining,

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.</i> • <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<p>structure, alteration and sampling data.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All core has been photographed before sampling.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All core was half cored using an Almonte diamond core saw. • Core samples were assayed at the independent Gekko laboratory located in Ballarat. After drying, samples were crushed, and pulverised to 95% passing 75µm. • Internal QAQC insertion of blanks and standards is routinely carried out. Random and select insertion is applied, i.e. blanks are inserted directly after samples containing visible gold. The Gekko laboratory has its own QAQC program which is reported with results and a monthly QAQC review.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sample preparation and assay method of 20g Fire Assay is acceptable for this style of deposit and can be considered a total assay. • Industry standards are followed for all sample batches, including the insertion of commercially available CRM's and blanks. The insertion rate is approximately 1 every 10 to 20 samples both randomly and selects positions, such as blanks inserted after samples containing visible gold. QAQC results (Both CTL and internal laboratory QAQC) are reviewed by CTL geological staff upon receipt of the assay results. No issues were raised with the data being reported.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All field data is entered directly into an excel spreadsheet with front end validation built in to prevent spurious data entry. • Data is stored on a server at the A1 Mine with daily backups. Backed up data is also stored offsite. • Significant intersections are reviewed by geological staff upon receipt, to ensure the intersections match the logging data, with the checks including verification of QAQC results.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All holes are labelled during the drilling process, and all holes have been picked up by CTL mine surveyors. • Holes are labelled by drillers upon completion of the hole.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Down hole surveys were taken at 15m, and every 15m or end of hole after this with a reflex single shot camera. • Grid used is MGA_GDA94. • The topography control is of a high standard and consists of a DTM surface
<p>Data spacing and distribution</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill hole spacings for this program have been set up as ring arrays with 2-3 holes fanning out per ring) spaced up to 5m between collars for geotechnical / sterilization holes. Longer purely exploration holes have been set up as single ring arrays with 2 holes per ring. • There is good correlation between sections on the larger structures and lithological boundaries. • Grade continuity has been correlated with known narrow vein structures from recent airleg mining drives. • The density of drilling from Phase 1 program in an underexplored area of A1 is insufficient to be used for Mineral Resource calculations. • Sample compositing has not been applied to Phase 1 Exploration drilling.
<p>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> • <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phase 1 Drilling has mainly focused on underground short to medium term targets which will inform future decline design which includes structural and lithological delineation. • Other holes within Phase 1 were exploration focused in the under-drilled southern portion of the A1 Dyke Bulge. There is considerable variability of narrow vein orientations within the dyke bulge and the Phase 1 drilling will inform future optimal drilling orientations. • Due to the relatively perpendicular intersection angle on a high percentage of the larger mineralized structures, the majority of the drill angles are not expected to produce any sampling bias. Given there are a number of narrow reefs intersected at various angles, there is a chance of some bias, which have been identified and will be modelled accordingly. • A1UDH-403 has drilled sub parallel along a known mineralized narrow vein correlated down dip of a known structure. Whilst this hole is not true thickness it has defined grade continuity of a mineralized structure accessible to mining operations.
<p>Sample security</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were transported from the A1 Gold Mine to the laboratory or the Maldon Processing Plant either by CTL staff, or contractors. Calico bags containing the sample were placed inside larger white poly weave bags, with this white bag sealed with a plastic tie. Samples that were taken to Maldon were placed in a locked security box and collected by the sole trader courier.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Core samples numbers and dispatch references are sequential and have no reference to hole number. Core trays containing visible gold are stored inside the locked core shed until logged.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The A1 Mine lies within Mining Licence (MIN) 5294 held by Centennial Mining Limited a wholly owned subsidiary of Kaiser Reef Limited. The mine lies 40km south of Jamieson in Victoria. The licence is in good standing.
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The A1 mine began operating in 1861 and was last owned by Centennial Mining who went into administration.
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project area lies within the Woods Point–Walhalla Synclinorium structural domain of the Melbourne Zone, a northwest trending belt of tightly folded Early Devonian Walhalla Group sandy turbidites. The domain is bounded by the Enoch’s Point and Howe’s Creek Faults, both possible detachment-related splay structures that may have controlled the intrusion of the Woods Point Dyke Swarm and provided the conduits for gold bearing hydrothermal fluids. Most gold mineralisation in the Woods Point to Gaffney’s Creek corridor occurs as structurally controlled shear-zone hosted dilational breccias and stringer quartz vein systems hosted by dioritic dyke bulges. The A1 Mine is central to this corridor, with gold mineralisation contained within the steeply dipping main southern diorite dyke bulge and a smaller northern diorite dyke. The dyke is cut and offset by a series of mainly reverse faults which host most of the gold mineralisation. Gold is associated with intense quartz-ankerite-muscovite-sulphide wall rock alteration around dilational breccia veins with branching quartz-sulphidic stringer veins (Figure 2) or narrow veins within reverse fault systems hosted by the dyke or where fault offsets show the dyke contact on one vein wall and metasedimentary rocks on the other. Wide zones of quartz stinger veins and carbonate-sulphide altered wall rock are more amenable to bulk mining techniques.
<i>Drill hole</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to Table 1.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Information	<p>tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar ○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar ○ dip and azimuth of the hole ○ down hole length and interception depth ○ hole length. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. ● Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. ● The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Assays length weighted. ● No metal equivalents have been reported.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. ● If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. ● If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The geometry of the mineralisation is explained in the Notes below Table 1 within the text.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Refer to Figures in text.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● All results have been reported.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No other data to report.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). ● Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Exploration drilling is ongoing.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	