



# ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

## HIGH-GRADE GOLD RESULT FROM GLANDORE DRILLING

- Glandore aircore drilling outlines 600m long gold anomaly - open along strike
- Extensive 5,500m lake aircore drilling campaign underway

Miramar Resources Limited (ASX:M2R, “Miramar” or “the Company”) is pleased to announce that it has received significant results from the first drilling campaign at its 100%-owned Glandore Project in the Eastern Goldfields region of WA and has also commenced a substantial lake drilling campaign.

The Glandore Project is located approximately 40km east of Kalgoorlie in proximity to a number of existing and/or proposed gold mining and/or processing operations.

### Aircore drilling outlines 600m long gold anomaly

The Company’s first aircore drilling campaign completed at Glandore has outlined a 600m long zone of regolith gold anomalism south of Lake Yindarlgooda, with results up to **2m @ 4.78 g/t Au** (GDAC015).

The northeast trending zone, defined by results >0.25g/t Au, remains open onto Lake Yindarlgooda towards the “East Target” where historic drilling intersected high-grade supergene and primary gold mineralisation with results up to **6m @ 29.8g/t Au**, including **1m @ 161g/t Au** (05GDDDD003 87-93m).

The Company plans to infill the recent results with further aircore drilling and test the interpreted north-eastern strike extension with the lake aircore drilling campaign (see below).




Figure 1. Glandore Project showing results of recent aircore drilling south of Lake Yindarlgooda.



### Glandore lake drilling underway

Miramar is pleased to advise that it has commenced aircore drilling on the lake at Glandore (Figure 2).

The primary aim of the first programme is to bring the western side of the granite that intrudes into the layered mafic sill up to a similar level of drilling detail as the “East Target” before progressing to deeper diamond drilling (Figure 3).

The initial drilling campaign will total about 5,500m and is expected to take approximately 3 weeks.

Miramar’s Executive Chairman, Mr Allan Kelly, said the Company was excited to have commenced drilling at Glandore.

*“The Glandore Project provides another fantastic opportunity for our Company to make a significant gold discovery close to Kalgoorlie,” Mr Kelly said.*

*“Like Gidji, Glandore is very underexplored despite some significant high-grade gold results,” he added.*

*“We are therefore looking forward to systematically testing the project given our first aircore campaign has already turned up results worthy of follow up,” he said.*



**Figure 2.** Lake drilling rig on site at Glandore.

**For more information on Miramar Resources Limited, please visit the company’s website at [www.miramarresources.com.au](http://www.miramarresources.com.au) or contact:**

Allan Kelly  
Executive Chairman  
info@miramarresources.com.au

Margie Livingston  
Ignite Communications  
margie@ignitecommunications.com.au

This announcement has been authorised for release by Mr Allan Kelly, Executive Chairman, on behalf of the Board of Miramar Resources Limited.






Figure 3. Glandore Project showing planned lake aircore drilling (yellow dots).



**Table 1.** Significant results >0.1g/t Au from Glandore aircore drilling

Hole	Easting	Northing	EOH Depth	From	To	Interval	Au (g/t)
GDAC001	394106	6588568	49	24	28	4	0.31
GDAC003	394302	6588599	46	28	32	4	0.34
<b>GDAC007</b>	<b>393606</b>	<b>6589004</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>55 EOH</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.53</b>
					<b>including</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.16</b>
GDAC014	393803	6589202	54	49	50	1	0.15
<b>GDAC015</b>	<b>393704</b>	<b>6589200</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.78</b>
					<b>including</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9.34</b>
GDAC017	393504	6589186	48	46	47	1	0.14
GDAC018	393400	6589208	44	36	40	4	0.28
<b>GDAC022</b>	<b>393906</b>	<b>6589400</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51 EOH</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.31</b>
GDAC023	393798	6589400	61	54	55	1	0.31

**Notes:**

- All holes drilled vertically to blade refusal
- Coordinates in MGA Zone 51S
- Holes not listed had no results >0.1g/t Au

**COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT**

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Targets or Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Allan Kelly, a “Competent Person” who is a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Kelly is the Executive Chairman of Miramar Resources Ltd. He is a full-time employee of Miramar Resources Ltd and holds shares and options in the company.

Mr Kelly has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to Qualify as a “Competent Person” as defined in the 2012 Edition of the ‘Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’.

Mr Kelly consents to the inclusion in this Announcement of the matters based on his information and in the form and context in which it appears.

Historical exploration results for the Glandore Project, including JORC Table 1 and 2 information, is included in the Miramar Prospectus dated 4 September 2020.




**ABOUT MIRAMAR RESOURCES LTD**

Miramar Resources Limited is a WA-focused mineral exploration company with exploration projects in the Eastern Goldfields, Murchison and Gascoyne regions and listed on the ASX in October 2020, following a heavily oversubscribed \$8 million IPO.

The Company is currently focussed on exploring its two highly prospective but underexplored flagship projects in the Eastern Goldfields of WA: the Gidji JV and Glandore Projects.

Miramar’s Board has a track record of successful discovery, development and production within Australia, Africa, and North America, and aims to create shareholder value through discovery of high-quality mineral deposits.





## JORC 2012 Table 1 – Glandore Aircore Drilling


### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4m composite samples compiled from individual 1m sample piles</li> <li>Samples average 3kg in weight</li> <li>Samples with significant results are resplit by taking individual 1m samples for re-assay</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aircore drilling to “blade refusal”</li> </ul>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comments recorded for samples with low recovery</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples were logged for colour, weathering, grain size, geology, alteration and mineralisation where possible</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>relevant intersections logged.</i>	
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></li> <li>• <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></li> <li>• <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></li> <li>• <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 4m composite samples combined from individual 1m sample piles to achieve approximately 3kg of sample</li> <li>• Samples with significant results are resplit by taking individual 1m samples for re-assay</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></li> <li>• <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></li> <li>• <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples were assayed using an aqua-regia digest followed by analysis of gold and multi-elements by ICPMS with lower detection limit of 1ppb Au</li> <li>• QAQC samples inserted at frequency of 4 QAQC samples (i.e. standard, blank duplicate) per 100 samples</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li>• <i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li>• <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li>• <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples with &gt;0.25g/t Au will be re-assayed as 1m re-splits</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hole collar locations were recorded with a handheld GPS in MGA Zone 51S</li> <li>• RL was also recorded with handheld GPS but accuracy is variable</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample compositing has been</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling was planned at an average spacing of 200m x 100m</li> <li>• The spacing is appropriate for the stage of exploration</li> <li>• 1m sample piles were composited over 4m</li> <li>• Samples with significant results are resplit by taking individual 1m samples for re-assay</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>applied.</i>	
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill lines were completed perpendicular to the trend of the main geological units and parallel to previous drill lines</li> <li>It is likely that the mineralized structures trend at a different orientation to the regional geology</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples were transported from site directly to the laboratory by Miramar staff</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No audits have been undertaken</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The exploration was conducted on P25/2387 and P25/2430 which are owned 1000% by Miramar Goldfields Pty Ltd</li> <li>Miramar Goldfields Pty Ltd is a wholly owned subsidiary of Miramar Resources Limited</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploration has been previously completed by other companies including Harmony and AngloGold Ashanti, and included auger RAB, aircore and limited diamond drilling</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The target is Archaean greenstone-hosted mesothermal gold mineralisation.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Table 1 and Figure 1</li> </ul>





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></li> <li><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></li> <li><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Intervals reported over 0.1g/t Au with maximum of 1 sample of internal dilution</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No assumptions about true width or orientation of mineralisation can be made from the current programme</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See attached Tables and Figures</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All holes shown in Figure 1</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No other relevant data</li> </ul>
<b>Further work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Further aircore and/or diamond drilling planned</li> </ul>