



ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

GLANDORE RESULTS UPGRADE LARGE GOLD FOOTPRINT

- **New Glandore aircore results include 2m @ 4g/t Au (GDAC015)**
- **Multiple holes end in >0.25g/t Au including 6m @ 0.78g/t Au (GDAC037)**
- **1.8km long NE structure indicates potential “Majestic-style” mineralisation**
- **Diamond drilling at “Glandore East” scheduled for June Quarter**

Miramar Resources Limited (ASX:M2R, “Miramar” or “the Company”) is pleased to announce further significant aircore results from its 100%-owned Glandore Project, in the Eastern Goldfields region of WA.

The new results, from resplits of holes from the Phase 1 aircore drilling campaigns, have upgraded the very large gold footprint outlined by Miramar, with multiple holes ending in >0.25g/t Au including:

- **GDAC007 – 9m @ 0.56g/t Au from 46m, including 2m @ 1.40g/t Au**
- **GDAC037 – 6m @ 0.78g/t Au from 12m, including 3m @ 1.35g/t Au**
- **GDAC157 – 9m @ 0.78g/t Au from 48m, including 2m @ 2.94g/t Au**

A line of holes stretching from **GDAC007** to **GDAC174** define a continuous 1.8km long NE trending zone of anomalous gold and pathfinder elements through the middle of the interpreted granodiorite pluton.

In the north east corner of the project, **GDAC157** ended in **9m @ 0.78g/t Au** (including **2m @ 2.94g/t Au**) at the eastern end of the drill line. There is no historic drilling to the east or north of this hole.

All recent and historical drilling is shown in Figure 1 with significant new results listed in Table 1.

Miramar’s Executive Chairman, Mr Allan Kelly, said there appeared to be a very substantial gold system under the lake at Glandore which had not previously been recognised.

“Historical drilling focussed on the eastern margin of the granodiorite intrusion, where the high-grade diamond drill hole results are seen, but did not test the intrusion itself,” Mr Kelly said.

“Gold mineralisation at the nearby Majestic and Trojan deposits is hosted in NE-striking structures within small granitic intrusions similar to the geological setting at Glandore,” he added.

“We believe the results to date indicate the presence of at least one of these NE-trending mineralised structures within the granodiorite pluton extending over a significant strike length,” Mr Kelly said.

The Company is making preparations to complete a diamond drilling programme at the “Glandore East” prospect, where historical diamond drilling intersected numerous high-grade veins on the eastern edge of the granodiorite pluton with results up to **6m @ 29.8g/t Au** (05GDDDD003 87-93m).

The diamond drilling programme is scheduled for the June Quarter, following completion of RC and aircore drilling at the Gidji JV Project.

For more information on Miramar Resources Limited, please visit the company’s website at www.miramarresources.com.au or contact:

Allan Kelly
Executive Chairman
info@miramarresources.com.au

Margie Livingston
Ignite Communications
margie@ignitecommunications.com.au

This announcement has been authorised for release by Mr Allan Kelly, Executive Chairman, on behalf of the Board of Miramar Resources Limited.





Figure 1. Glandore Project showing all drilling to date and significant recent results.





Table 1. Significant resplit results >0.1g/t Au from Glandore aircore drilling

Hole	Easting	Northing	EOH Depth	From	To	Interval	Au (g/t)	COMMENTS
Land Holes								
GDAC001	394106	6588598	49	24	28	4	0.19	
			Incl.	24	25	1	0.49	2.22g/t Ag
GDAC003	394302	6588599	46	29	30	1	0.62	2.12g/t Ag
GDAC007	393606	6589004	55	46	55 EOH	9	0.56	
			Incl.	48	50	2	1.40	
GDAC015	393704	6589200	63	56	58	2	4.00	
			Incl.	56	57	1	7.77	
GDAC018	393400	6589208	44	36	38	2	0.47	
GDAC022	393906	6589400	51	50	51 EOH	1	0.30	
GDAC023	393798	6589400	61	54	55	1	0.19	
Lake holes								
GDAC037	394703	6588799	18	12	18 EOH	6	0.78	Open to NE
				15	18 EOH	3	1.35	
GDAC038	394596	6588799	28	15	16	1	0.84	
GDAC040	394400	6588796	36	23	24	1	0.58	1.48g/t Ag
GDAC045	393801	6589005	50	33	34	1	0.35	
GDAC047	393990	6589008	30	28	30 EOH	2	0.38	
GDAC055	394489	6589200	65	22	23	1	0.15	
GDAC056	394400	6589204	60	21	23	2	0.26	
GDAC061	394401	6589399	64	24	28	4	0.48	Open to E
				37	40	3	0.66	
GDAC062	394300	6589399	45	23	24	1	0.38	
				40	42	2	0.11	
GDAC063	394200	6589400	47	43	44	1	1.35	
GDAC064	394100	6589401	48	24	26	2	0.24	
GDAC065	394100	6589698	51	48	51 EOH	3	0.26	
GDAC067	394130	6589700	52	48	50	2	0.16	
GDAC068	393998	6589698	50	47	50 EOH	3	0.24	
GDAC069	393896	6589694	51	49	51 EOH	2	0.12	
<i>GDAC070</i>	<i>393797</i>	<i>6589695</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0.18</i>	<i>4.39g/t Ag (to be resplit)</i>
GDAC071	393697	6589694	62	35	36	1	2.35	
GDAC092	393301	6590658	36	31	32	1	1.06	
GDAC132	393083	6592360	37	28	31	3	0.26	
GDAC140	392837	6592780	28	26	28 EOH	2	0.28	
GDAC147	393697	6593560	38	37	38 EOH	1	0.18	
GDAC148	393460	6593562	21	20	21 EOH	1	0.13	
GDAC152	393537	6593755	27	25	27 EOH	2	0.29	
GDAC154	394302	6592142	52	43	49	6	0.14	Up to 1.5g/t Ag
GDAC156	394410	6592144	57	48	52	4	0.56	
GDAC157	394499	6592144	57	48	57 EOH	9	0.78	Open to E



Hole	Easting	Northing	EOH Depth	From	To	Interval	Au (g/t)	COMMENTS
			Incl.	51	53	2	2.94	
GDAC159	394549	6591819	55	50	55 EOH	5	0.25	
GDAC161	394352	6591823	58	50	52	2	0.30	
GDAC168	394646	6591614	54	37	38	1	0.41	
GDAC170	394597	6591412	59	58	59 EOH	1	0.41	
GDAC174	394199	6590356	53	24	25	1	0.43	
GDAC185	394079	6589954	46	36	37	1	1.25	2.36g/t Ag
				39	40	1	0.11	

Notes:

- All holes drilled vertically to “blade refusal”
- Coordinates in MGA Zone 51
- Intervals for resplits reported above 0.1g/t Au lower cut-off with maximum 1 sample internal dilution
- Holes not listed had no resplit results >0.1g/t Au

COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Targets or Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Allan Kelly, a “Competent Person” who is a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Kelly is the Executive Chairman of Miramar Resources Ltd. He is a full-time employee of Miramar Resources Ltd and holds shares and options in the company.

Mr Kelly has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to Qualify as a “Competent Person” as defined in the 2012 Edition of the ‘Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’.

Mr Kelly consents to the inclusion in this Announcement of the matters based on his information and in the form and context in which it appears.

Historical exploration results for the Glandore Project, including JORC Table 1 and 2 information, is included in the Miramar Prospectus dated 4 September 2020.

JORC Table 1 and 2 information for recent exploration results is contained in the following ASX Announcements:

- 8 Sep 2021 – *“High-Grade Gold Result from Glandore Drilling”*
- 1 Dec 2021 – *“Large Gold Footprint Outlined at Glandore”*



About the Glandore Project


The Glandore Project is located within the Eastern Goldfields, approximately 40km east of Kalgoorlie, Western Australia. Miramar purchased 100% of the Project as part of the 2020 IPO.


The highest priority western part of the Project is underlain by a layered mafic sill intruding into basalt and sedimentary rocks. The dolerite sill comprises various varieties of dolerite and gabbro analogous to the Golden Mile Dolerite and has been intruded by a later granodiorite.

The prospective geology is overlain by lake sediments which thin towards the west.

Exploration has been mostly limited to the southwestern part of the project, within the Prospecting licences, and has been sporadic since the late 1980's.

Drilling by previous explorers identified gold mineralisation at the eastern contact of the granodiorite associated with quartz-pyrite veins and ankerite-sericite-pyrite alteration.






About Miramar Resources Limited

Miramar Resources Limited is a WA-focused mineral exploration company with exploration projects in the Eastern Goldfields, Murchison and Gascoyne regions and listed on the ASX in October 2020, following a heavily oversubscribed \$8 million IPO.

The Company is currently focussed on exploring its two underexplored projects in the Eastern Goldfields of WA: the Gidji JV and Glandore Projects.

Miramar’s Board has a track record of successful discovery, development and production within Australia, Africa, and North America, and aims to create shareholder value through discovery of high-quality mineral deposits.





JORC 2012 Table 1 – Glandore Aircore Drilling


Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4m composite samples compiled from individual 1m sample piles Samples average 3kg in weight Samples with significant results are resplit by taking individual 1m samples for re-assay
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aircore drilling to “blade refusal”
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> samples with low recovery recored
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples were logged for colour, weathering, grain size, geology, alteration and mineralisation where possible



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>relevant intersections logged.</i>	
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4m composite samples combined from individual 1m sample piles to achieve approximately 3kg of sample • Samples with significant results are resplit by taking individual 1m samples for re-assay
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were assayed using an aqua-regia digest followed by analysis of gold and multi-elements by ICPMS with lower detection limit of 1ppb Au • Aqua-regia analysis is considered a “partial” analysis, but suitable for reconnaissance aircore drilling • Samples returning over the upper detection limit for aqua-regia (2ppm) are re-assayed by fire assay, which is considered a “total” analysis • QAQC samples inserted at frequency of 4 QAQC samples per 100 samples
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples with >0.25g/t Au will be re-assayed as 1m re-splits
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hole collar locations were recorded with a handheld GPS in MGA Zone 51S • RL was also recorded with handheld GPS but accuracy is variable
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill holes were planned at an average spacing of 100m on lines 200-400m apart • The spacing is appropriate for the stage of exploration • 1m sample piles were composited over 4m • Samples with significant results are resplit by taking individual 1m samples for re-assay




Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>applied.</i>	
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill lines were completed perpendicular to the trend of the main geological units and parallel to previous drill lines It is likely that the mineralized structures trend at a different orientation to the regional geology
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples were transported from site directly to the laboratory by Miramar staff
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No audits have been undertaken

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The exploration was conducted on the following tenements which are owned 100% by Miramar Goldfields Pty Ltd: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> P25/2385 P25/2387 P25/2381 P25/2384 P25/2383 P25/2430 P25/2431 Miramar Goldfields Pty Ltd is a wholly owned subsidiary of Miramar Resources Limited
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exploration has been previously completed by other companies including Harmony and AngloGold Ashanti, and included auger RAB, aircore and limited diamond drilling
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The target is Archaean greenstone-hosted mesothermal gold mineralisation.
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Figure 1 for location of all recent and historical drilling and Table 1 for summary of all significant results >0.1g/t Au



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intervals reported over 0.1g/t Au with maximum of 1 sample of internal dilution
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No assumptions about true width or orientation of mineralisation can be made from the current programme
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See attached Tables and Figures
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All recent and historical holes are shown in Figure 1
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No other relevant data
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further aircore +/- diamond drilling planned