

16 MARCH 2021

AZURE HITS HIGH GRADE NICKEL & COPPER AT RIDGELINE

Massive Ni-Cu sulphides intersected in new western extension

- Massive Ni-Cu sulphides intersected at Ridgeline returned high grade assays:
ANDD0134: 6.3m @ 3.59% Ni, 0.21% Cu & 0.17% Co from 459.2m downhole;
Within 12.6m @ 2.17% Ni, 0.46% Cu & 0.10% Co from 459.2m
ANDD0128: 4.9m @ 3.50% Ni, 1.34% Cu & 0.17% Co from 542.8m downhole;
Within 14.5m @ 1.84% Ni, 0.88% Cu & 0.09% Co from 537.0m
ANDD0127: 0.9m @ 4.45% Ni, 0.19% Cu & 0.17% Co from 356.6m downhole;
Within 4.1m @ 1.40% Ni, 0.60% Cu & 0.06% Co from 356.6m
- High grade intersections previously reported from Ridgeline (ASX: 2 August 2021):
ANDD0045: 4.5m @ 3.95% Ni, 0.80% Cu & 0.16% Co from 486.6m downhole; and
3.4m @ 2.01% Ni, 0.43% Cu & 0.09% Co from 605.2m downhole
- Encouragingly, more massive Ni-Cu sulphides were intersected in ANDD0147, 140m along strike to the west of Ridgeline - drilling is continuing to test this mineralisation

Azure Minerals Limited (ASX: AZS) ("Azure" or "the Company") is pleased to announce that drilling at the Ridgeline nickel-copper (Ni-Cu) sulphide prospect (formerly VC-07 West) has returned multiple high grade nickel and copper intersections. Ridgeline forms part of the Andover Project (60% Azure / 40% Creasy Group), located in the West Pilbara region of Western Australia.

Additionally, a geologically-targeted step-out drill hole collared approximately 140m along strike to the west of Ridgeline intersected a three metre-wide zone of Ni-Cu sulphide mineralisation, including two intervals of massive Ni-Cu sulphides (see **Images 1 and 2**).


Image 1: Close-up of massive Ni-Cu sulphide mineralisation in Ridgeline drill hole ANDD0147



Image 2: Ni-Cu sulphide mineralised intersection in drill hole ANDD0147



Figure 1: Ridgeline drilling, A-AA cross section location and Andover Ni-Cu deposit
 (Note: Ni-Cu sulphide intersections in holes ANDD0147 & 0148 provide significant western strike extension to Ridgeline mineralised zone)




RIDGELINE

Ridgeline Ni-Cu sulphide mineralisation starts approximately 200m along strike to the west of the Andover Ni-Cu deposit and extends to the west for at least 600m (see **Figure 1**). Azure has drilled 32 holes at Ridgeline, intersecting several distinct horizons of sulphide mineralisation (see **Figure 2**).

Figure 2: Cross section through Ridgeline showing nickel tenor of mineralised intersections*

(*Nickel tenor is concentration of Ni contained in 100% sulphides)

(Note: drilling is projected from 250m either side of the section)



Significant mineralised intersections from recent drilling at Ridgeline include:

ANDD0126:

- 2.9m @ 0.91% Ni, 0.19% Cu and 0.03% Co from 389.3m downhole, including:
 - 0.8m @ 2.81% Ni, 0.33% Cu and 0.10% Co from 389.3m downhole.

ANDD0127:

- 4.1m @ 1.40% Ni, 0.60% Cu and 0.06% Co from 356.6m downhole, including:
 - 0.9m @ 4.45% Ni, 0.19% Cu and 0.17% Co from 356.6m downhole.

ANDD0128:

- 14.5m @ 1.84% Ni, 0.88% Cu and 0.09% Co from 537.0m downhole, including:
 - 4.9m @ 3.50% Ni, 1.34% Cu and 0.17% Co from 542.8m downhole.

ANDD0134

- 2.4m @ 1.17% Ni, 0.19% Cu and 0.05% Co from 98.7m downhole
- 12.6m @ 2.17% Ni, 0.46% Cu & 0.10% Co from 459.2m; including
 - 6.3m @ 3.59% Ni, 0.21% Cu & 0.17% Co from 459.2m.

As previously reported (ASX: 2 August 2021), an earlier hole drilled by Azure at Ridgeline (ANDD0045) also intersected high nickel tenor massive sulphide mineralisation returning high nickel grades:

ANDD0045:

- 4.5m @ 3.95% Ni, 0.80% Cu and 0.16% Co from 486.6m downhole, and:
- 7.5m @ 1.39% Ni, 0.45% Cu and 0.06% Co from 601.6m downhole, including:
 - 3.4m @ 2.01% Ni, 0.43% Cu and 0.09% Co from 605.2m downhole.

Drilling will continue at Ridgeline to extend these high nickel tenor mineralised zones along strike to the east and west and up-dip closer to surface.

EXPLORATION

Favourable geology has been intersected in the first two step-out holes drilled to the west of the Ridgeline mineralisation (see **Figure 1**), with both ANDD0147 (140m west of Ridgeline) and ANDD0148 (120m west of ANDD0147 and 260m west of Ridgeline) containing visible Ni-Cu sulphide mineralisation in a geological setting similar to the Andover deposit (see **Table 2**).

ANDD0147 intersected a 3m-wide zone containing massive, semi-massive, matrix, blebby and disseminated Ni-Cu sulphide mineralisation (see **Images 1 and 2**). This intersection includes two zones of massive sulphides which returned high nickel readings when tested with a handheld portable XRF, indicating the sulphides are of high nickel tenor.

ANDD0148 intersected a 10m-wide zone containing disseminated Ni-Cu sulphides (see **Table 2**), confirming the ongoing fertility of the western Ridgeline extensions.

Surface fixed loop electromagnetic (EM) surveying is being undertaken in this area, with drilling and downhole EM surveys continuing to test for additional accumulations of Ni-Cu sulphide mineralisation.

The Company continues to build its understanding of important geological units, structural trends and mineralisation controls at Andover. Other exploration activities in planning include:

- Drilling at Skyline and Seaview (see **Figure 3**) to follow-up Ni-Cu sulphide mineralisation intersected in the Company's earlier drill programs; and
- Surface fixed loop EM surveying and initial drilling at the Atrium and Woodbrook Ni-Cu sulphide prospects.

Figure 3: Andover project area showing Andover and Ridgeline Ni-Cu deposits and other targets




Table 1: Significant mineralised intersections returned from holes drilled at Ridgeline

HOLE No	DEPTH (m)		INTERCEPT LENGTH (m)	ESTIMATED TRUE WIDTH (m)	GRADE		
	FROM	TO			Ni (%)	Cu (%)	Co (%)
ANDD0125	78.4	78.9	0.5	0.3	1.22	0.22	0.04
ANDD0126	389.3	392.2	2.9	1.7	0.91	0.19	0.03
Incl	389.3	390.1	0.8	0.5	2.81	0.33	0.10
ANDD0127	356.6	360.7	4.1	2.4	1.40	0.60	0.06
Incl	356.6	357.5	0.9	0.5	4.45	0.19	0.17
ANDD0128	245.8	247.8	2.0	1.0	1.41	1.66	0.06
	537.0	551.5	14.5	7.3	1.84	0.88	0.09
Incl	542.8	547.7	4.9	2.5	3.50	1.34	0.17
ANDD0130	90.8	91.2	0.4	0.2	1.38	0.16	0.06
ANDD0134	98.7	101.1	2.4	1.3	1.17	0.19	0.05
	459.2	471.8	12.6	7.4	2.17	0.46	0.10
Incl	459.2	465.5	6.3	3.6	3.59	0.21	0.17
Mineralised intersections calculated using a 0.4% Ni grade cut-off for overall zones and 1.0% Ni for included high grade zones.							

Table 2: Significant mineralised intervals in recently completed drill holes at Andover

HOLE	INTERVAL (m)			MINERALISATION DESCRIPTION SULPHIDE % (Visual Estimate)
	FROM	TO	LENGTH	
Ridgeline				
ANDD0147	526.2	528.9	2.7	Disseminated sulphides in gabbro. (Po-Pn-Cpy) 1%
	528.9	529.4	0.5	Semi-massive sulphides (Po-Pn-Cpy) 60%
	529.4	529.8	0.4	Matrix sulphides in gabbro. (Po-Pn-Cpy) 40%
	529.8	530.8	1.0	Gabbro
	530.8	531.0	0.2	Massive sulphides (Po-Pn-Cpy) 80%
	531.0	531.7	0.7	Disseminated sulphides in gabbro. (Po-Pn-Cpy) 3%
ANDD0148	460.1	461.8	1.7	Disseminated sulphides in gabbro. (Po-Pn-Cpy) 1%
	461.8	463.9	2.1	Disseminated sulphides in gabbro. (Po-Pn-Cpy) 5%
	463.9	470.1	6.2	Disseminated sulphides in gabbro. (Po-Pn-Cpy) 1%
Po = Pyrrhotite Pn = Pentlandite Cpy = Chalcopyrite Py = Pyrite				

In relation to the disclosure of visual mineralisation, the Company cautions that visual estimates of sulphide and oxide material abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analysis. Laboratory assay results are required to determine the widths and grade of the visible mineralisation reported in preliminary geological logging. The Company will update the market when laboratory analytical results become available.

Table 3: Location data for holes drilled at Ridgeline

HOLE LOCATION	HOLE No.	EAST (mE)	NORTH (mN)	ELEVATION (mASL)	AZIMUTH	DIP	TOTAL DEPTH (m)
Ridgeline	ANDD0125	511679	7694061	109	186	-75	501.5
Ridgeline	ANDD0126	511624	7694080	106	216	-69	561.6
Ridgeline	ANDD0127	511681	7694061	109	215	-71	519.6
Ridgeline	ANDD0128	511621	7694082	108	186	-81	598.1
Ridgeline	ANDD0130	511681	7694064	109	156	-75	501.8
Ridgeline	ANDD0134	511680	7694058	111	173	-77	552.6
Ridgeline	ANDD0135	511519	7694179	74	163	-85	600.7
Ridgeline	ANDD0137	511680	7694057	110	225	-75	550.1
Ridgeline	ANDD0138	511621	7694082	107	188	-74	501.8
Ridgeline	ANDD0139	511680	7694057	111	208	-79	570.4
Ridgeline	ANDD0140	511621	7694082	107	156	-77	651.7
Ridgeline	ANDD0141	511680	7694057	111	201	-83	647.7
Ridgeline	ANDD0142	511621	7694082	107	167	-76	522.6
Ridgeline	ANDD0143	511741	7694205	88	189	-65	800.6
Ridgeline	ANDD0146	511520	7694175	76	166	-61	549.6
Ridgeline	ANDD0147	511410	7694179	74	185	-67	762.6
Ridgeline	ANDD0148	511173	7694125	57	146	-70	753.2

-ENDS-

Authorised for release by the Board of Directors of Azure Minerals Limited.

For enquiries, please contact:

Tony Rovira

Managing Director
Azure Minerals Limited
Ph: +61 8 9481 2555

Media & Investor Relations

Michael Weir / Cameron Gilenko
Citadel-MAGNUS
Ph: +61 8 6160 4903

or visit www.azureminerals.com.au

COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT

Information in this report that relates to Exploration Results for the Andover Project is based on information compiled by Graham Leaver, who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Geoscientists and fairly represents this information. Mr Leaver has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Leaver is a full-time employee of Azure Minerals Limited and consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Information in this report that relates to previously reported Exploration Results has been cross-referenced in this report to the date that it was reported to ASX. Azure Minerals Limited confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects information included in the relevant market announcements.

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data		
Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p> <p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></p> <p><i>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	<p>Samples are taken from diamond drill core (HQ or NQ2) that is saw cut (half or quarter). Sample intervals are determined according to the geology logged in the drill holes.</p> <p>Sample preparation was undertaken at Bureau Veritas Minerals, Canning Vale laboratory, where the samples received were sorted and dried. Primary preparation crushed each whole sample to 10mm and then to 3mm. The samples were then split with a riffle splitter to obtain a sub-fraction which was pulverised via robotic pulveriser. The resultant pulverised material was placed in a barcoded sample packet for analysis. The barcoded packet is scanned when weighing samples for their respective analysis. Internal screen QAQC is done at 90% passing 75um.</p> <p>Samples were analysed by methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • XRF202 – XRF fusion with pre-oxidation using 66:34 flux containing 10% LiNO₃ added, and • LA101 – fused bead laser ablation ICPMS <p>These techniques are considered a total digest for all relevant minerals.</p>
Drilling Techniques	<p><i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open- hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></p>	<p>Drilling technique for all holes was diamond drilling with HQ-size (63.5mm diameter) from surface and NQ2-size (50.6mm diameter) core to the final depth.</p> <p>Drill holes are angled and core is being oriented for structural interpretation.</p>
Drill Sample Recovery	<p><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></p> <p><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></p>	<p>Diamond core was reconstructed into continuous runs. Depths were measured from the core barrel and checked against marked depths on the core blocks. Core recoveries were logged and recorded in the database.</p> <p>Core recoveries are very high with >90% of the drill core having recoveries of >98%.</p> <p>There is no discernible relationship between recovery and grade, and therefore no sample bias.</p>

Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data		
Logging	<p><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p> <p><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></p> <p><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></p>	<p>Detailed core logging was carried out with recording of weathering, lithology, alteration, veining, mineralisation, structure, mineralogy, RQD and core recovery.</p> <p>Drill core logging is qualitative.</p> <p>Drill core was photographed, wet and dry without flash, in core trays prior to sampling.</p> <p>Core from the entire drill hole was logged.</p>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<p><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></p> <p><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></p> <p><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></p> <p><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled</i></p>	<p>Drill core was sawn in half or quarter using a core saw. All samples were half or quarter core and were collected from the same side of the core.</p> <p>The sample preparation followed industry best practice. Sample preparation was undertaken at Bureau Veritas Minerals, Canning Vale laboratory, where the samples received were sorted and dried.</p> <p>Primary preparation crushed each whole sample to 10mm and then to 3mm. The samples were then split with a riffle splitter to obtain a sub-fraction which was pulverised via robotic pulveriser. The resultant pulverised material was placed in a barcoded sample packet for analysis.</p> <p>The barcoded packet is scanned when weighing samples for their respective analysis. Internal screen QAQC is done at 90% passing 75um.</p> <p>The sample sizes are considered appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</p>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<p><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p> <p><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <p><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<p>Samples were analysed by methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • XRF202 – XRF fusion with pre-oxidation using 66:34 flux containing 10% LiNO₃ added, and • LA101 – fused bead laser ablation ICPMS <p>These techniques are considered a total digest for all relevant minerals.</p> <p>Duplicate, standard and blank check samples were submitted with drill core samples.</p>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<p><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></p> <p><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></p>	<p>Senior technical personnel from the Company (Project Geologists +/- Exploration Manager) logged and verified significant intersections.</p> <p>Primary data was collected by employees of the Company at the project site. All measurements and observations were recorded digitally and entered into the Company's</p>

Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data		
	<p><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p> <p><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data</i></p>	<p>database. Data verification and validation is checked upon entry into the database.</p> <p>Digital data storage is managed by an independent data management company.</p> <p>No adjustments or calibrations have been made to any assay data.</p>
Location of data points	<p><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and downhole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <p><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></p> <p><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></p>	<p>Drill holes were pegged by Company personnel using a handheld GPS, accurate to ± 3m.</p> <p>The grid system used is MGA2020 Zone 50 for easting, northing and RL.</p> <p>Detailed ortho-rectified aerial imagery has been flown, also capturing detailed DTM information which provides adequate topographic accuracy.</p>
Data spacing and distribution	<p><i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample compositing has been applied</i></p>	<p>Holes were individually drilled into geological and/or electromagnetic targets and were not setup on a regular spacing. Drill hole spacing at target locations has been designed at nominal 50m x 50m spacing which is considered sufficient for to establish geological and grade continuity appropriate to support Mineral Resource Estimation.</p> <p>Downhole sample interval spacings are selected based on geological identification of intersected mineralisation.</p> <p>No sample compositing has been applied.</p>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<p><i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></p> <p><i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></p>	<p>Drilling is targeted at modelled locations of EM conductor plates and favourable geological units.</p> <p>Drill hole orientation has been based on drill site availability and target locations. Selected drill holes have been drilled from alternate locations and orientations to adequately define any structural geological and structural orientation. Drill hole orientation is considered appropriate to achieve unbiased sampling.</p> <p>No sampling bias has been identified due to the early stage of the project.</p>
Sample security	<p><i>The measures taken to ensure sample security</i></p>	<p>Assay samples were placed in calico sample bags, each is pre-printed with a unique sample number.</p> <p>Calico bags were placed in a poly weave bag and cabled tied closed at the top. Poly weave bags were placed inside a large bulka bag prior to transport. All sample dispatches are tracked from point of dispatch to receipt at the assay laboratory through routine consignment note tracking and chain of custody protocols.</p> <p>Samples were picked up and delivered to the laboratory by a recognised transport contractor.</p>
Audits or reviews	<p><i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></p>	<p>No audits have been completed. Review of QAQC data has been carried out by company geologists</p>

Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results		
Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<p>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</p> <p>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</p>	<p>Exploration Licence E47/2481 is a Joint Venture between Azure Minerals Ltd (60%) and Croydon Gold Pty Ltd (40%), a private subsidiary of the Creasy Group.</p> <p>The tenement is centred 35km southeast of the major mining/service town of Karratha in northern WA. The tenement is approximately 12km x 6km in size with its the northern boundary located 2km south of the town of Roebourne.</p> <p>Approximately 30% of the tenement area is subject to either pre-existing infrastructure, Class "C" Reserves and registered Heritage sites. Written permission is required to access these areas which are outside the current areas of exploration focus.</p> <p>The tenement has been kept in good standing with all regulatory and heritage approvals having been met. There are no known impediments to operate in the area.</p>
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	<p>Limited historical drilling has been completed within the Andover Complex. The following phases of drilling works with results have been undertaken:</p> <p>1986-1987: Greater Pacific Investment; 6 core holes. Intersected elevated values of nickel (up to 1.0% Ni) and copper (up to 0.41% Cu). No PGEs were detected.</p> <p>1996-1997: Dragon Mining; Stream sediment sampling, 5 RC holes in the NE at Mt Hall Ni-Cu target. Zones of noted sulphides (in sediments & gabbro) were selectively sampled with no anomalous results. Rare intervals of ultramafics were sampled.</p> <p>1997-1998: BHP Minerals; 2 RC/DD holes were drilled within the Andover project area. Both holes intersected strongly magnetic serpentinite containing elevated values of nickel (up to 0.29% Ni), copper (up to 0.26% Cu) and cobalt (up to 332ppm Co) but no anomalous PGE's.</p> <p>2012-2018: Croydon Gold; VTEM Survey, soil, and rock chip sampling, 7 RC holes tested 4 geophysical / geological targets. Significant Ni-Cu-Co sulphide mineralisation was intersected in two locations.</p>
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	<p>The Andover Complex is an Archean-age layered mafic-ultramafic intrusion covering an area of about 200km² that intruded the West Pilbara Craton.</p> <p>The Andover Complex comprises a lower layered ultramafic zone 1.3km thick and an overlying 0.8km gabbroic layer intruded by dolerites.</p> <p>Ni-Cu-Co sulphide mineralisation occurs at lithological boundaries, either between different types of gabbro's, or between mafics and ultramafics.</p> <p>The current interpretation of the mineralized sulphides suggests a magmatic origin heavily overprinted by one or several hydrothermal events.</p>

Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results		
Drill hole information	<p>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • easting and northing of the drill hole collar • elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar • dip and azimuth of the hole • down hole length and interception depth • hole length. <p>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</p>	Refer to tables in the report and notes attached thereto which provide all relevant details.
Data aggregation methods	<p>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</p> <p>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</p> <p>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</p>	<p>Length weighted average grade calculations have been applied to reported assay intervals.</p> <p>No maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) or cut-off grades were applied.</p> <p>High grade intervals internal to broader mineralised zones are reported as included zones - refer to drill intercept and detail tables.</p> <p>No metal equivalents were reported.</p> <p>Reported nickel and copper mineralised intersections for the drilling are based on intercepts using a lower grade cut-off of 0.4% Ni for the overall mineralised zones and 1.0% Ni for the included high grade mineralised zones.</p> <p>Ni tenor calculations are made on assay samples with $S > 2.5\%$ using the methodology of Kerr, A. 2003 (Spreadsheets for the calculation and correction of sulphide metal contents. Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, Open File NFLD/2805.). Azure Senior Exploration Geologist addressed the influence of silicate nickel and excluded points where silicate nickel is significant.</p>
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<p>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</p> <p>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</p> <p>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg</p>	<p>Geological controls and orientations of the mineralised zone are well understood, and all mineralised intersections are reported as both “intercept length” and “estimated true width”.</p> <p>Drilling is targeted at modelled locations of EM conductor plates and favourable geological units.</p> <p>Drill hole orientation has been based on drill site availability and target locations. Selected drill holes have been drilled from alternate locations and orientations to</p>

Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results		
	<i>'down hole length, true width not known').</i>	adequately define any structural geological and structural orientation. Drill hole orientation is considered appropriate to achieve unbiased sampling.
Diagrams	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	Refer to figures in the report.
Balanced reporting	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	The Company believes that the ASX announcement is a balanced report with all material results reported.
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	Everything meaningful and material is disclosed in the body of the report. Geological observations have been factored into the report.
Further work	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or large-scale step out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	Additional diamond drilling to follow-up the sulphide intersections. Downhole EM and surface fixed-loop EM surveying.