

Continued Success - Final Results from Triumph Southern Corridor

Sunshine Gold Limited (ASX:SHN, "Sunshine Gold", "the Company") is pleased to announce the final assay results from infill and extensional drilling in the Southern Corridor, part of the Triumph Gold Project ("Triumph").

HIGHLIGHTS

- Assay results from the final 14 RC holes (1,310m) of drilling in the Southern Corridor have been returned and include best results of:
 - 21BNRC017 **2m @ 16.28 g/t Au** from 52m;
 - 22SHRC014 **24m @ 1.86 g/t Au** from 45m;
Including **4m @ 4.32 g/t Au** from 46m
And incl. **4m @ 2.42 g/t Au** from 54m
And incl. **5m @ 2.23 g/t Au** from 61m
 - 21NCRC018 **2m @ 6.46 g/t Au** from 13m;
And **4m @ 5.22 g/t Au** from 26m
 - 21BNRC026 **2m @ 7.40 g/t Au** from 46m;
And **4m @ 2.28 g/t Au** from 109m.
- These encouraging results will be included in the maiden JORC Resource which remains on track to be released in late March 2022.



Figure 1. RC drilling at Triumph.

Sunshine Gold's Managing Director, Damien Keys commented: "The last remaining batch of assays has returned further high-grade intersections in areas likely to be converted to JORC Resource in late March 2022. Several RC holes contained multiple zones of significant mineralisation, again confirming the interpretation of a stacked vein system. Assay results for four diamond drill holes are expected to be received in April 2022 and will be included in a further JORC Resource upgrade to be released after completion of the planned mid-2022 drill program at Triumph."

SUNSHINE GOLD LIMITED (ASX:SHN)

Directors:

Mr Alec Pismiris
Dr Damien Keys
Mr Anthony Torresan
Mr Paul Chapman
Mr Les Davis

Postal Address:

PO Box 572
Floreat WA 6014
Queensland Office:
3/50 Tully Street
South Townsville QLD 4810

Contact:

T | +61 8 6245 9828
E | info@shngold.com.au
W | www.shngold.com.au
ABN 12 063 388 821

Capital:

Ordinary shares: 467,822,730
Unquoted shares: 93,400,000 (24m Esc)
Deferred shares: 100,000,000 (24m Esc)
Unlisted options: 65,000,000 (24m Esc)
Unlisted plan options: 2,700,000
Perf Rights: 17,000,000 (24m Esc)

TRIUMPH SOUTHERN CORRIDOR

A total of 80 RC holes (8,832m) and 4 diamond holes (523.6m) were recently drilled in the Triumph Southern Corridor. The drilling campaign was designed to bring the individual Southern Corridor targets to a sufficient drill density for use in a maiden JORC Resource (Figure 2 – red boxes). The most recent results confirm continuity of grade within the infill drilled zones. Further broader spaced, extensional drilling was completed to extend the successful maiden drilling campaign completed in March 2021.




Figure 2. Location of infill and extensional drilling and significant intercepts.

Assays have now been returned for all RC holes testing extensions along the Southern Corridor. Pleasingly, the drilling has successfully delineated a stacked series of parallel veins. Cumulatively these veins are drill delineated over 1,500m of strike length. The best results from all Sunshine Gold drilling to date include:

- 22SHRC018 **16m @ 8.44 g/t Au** from 38m;
Including **9m @ 13.06 g/t Au** from 43m
And **9m @ 2.97 g/t Au** from 0m
And **2m @ 10.82 g/t Au** from 105m
- 21BNRC001 **4m @ 27.12 g/t Au** from 43m;
- 21SHRC002 **16m @ 5.48 g/t Au** from 34m;
- 21BNRC006 **4m @ 11.53 g/t Au** from 69m;
- 22SHRC029 **10m @ 4.54 g/t Au** from 20m;
- 21SHRC003 **3m @ 12.95 g/t Au** from 30m;
- 21BNRC022 **6m @ 5.36 g/t Au** from 89m;
Including **3m @ 10.28 g/t Au** from 91m,
- 22SHRC020 **7m @ 4.67 g/t Au** from 41m;
- 22BNRC039 **4m @ 5.34 g/t Au** from 106m;
- 22BNRC032 **4m @ 4.86 g/t Au** from 106m;
- 21SCRC004 **4m @ 11.71 g/t Au** from 16m;
- 21BNRC017 **2m @ 16.28 g/t Au** from 52m;
- 22NCRC022 **2m @ 16.18 g/t Au** from 98m;
- 21NCRC013 **3m @ 10.41 g/t Au** from 161m;
- 21SCRC007 **6m @ 4.48 g/t Au** from 46m; and
- 21NCRC012 **3m @ 7.97 g/t Au** from 26m;
And **6m @ 3.33 g/t Au** from 66m,

Including **2m @ 8.53 g/t Au** from 67m.

The best results from historical drilling include:

- TDH058 **10m @ 26.86 g/t Au** from 51m;
- TDH118 **17m @ 4.30 g/t Au** from 1m;
- TDH253 **9m @ 4.33 g/t Au** from 36m; and
- TDH181 **2m @ 7.57 g/t Au** from 1m.

Four diamond holes (523.6m) were also drilled in order to confirm vein orientations and geology. Assay results from these drill holes are expected in April 2022 and will be included in a further JORC Resource upgrade to be released after completion of the planned mid-2022 drill program at Triumph.



Figure 3. Sulphide-rich mineralised position in diamond hole 22NCDD001 (28m - 29m).

The Southern Corridor remains open in all directions and will be a focus for continued JORC Resource growth through drilling planned for mid-2022.

PLANNED ACTIVITIES

- 23-24 March 2022: Presentation at the Brisbane Mining Conference.
- March 2022: Triumph maiden JORC Resource.
- March 2022: Titov diamond drill hole results.
- March 2022: Elphinstone Creek REE soil sampling recommencement, Ravenswood West.
- April 2022: Titov IP results, Ravenswood West.
- April 2022: Metallurgical test work results Titov, Ravenswood West.
- April 2022: IP/MT Survey Wilburs Hill – Smiths, Ravenswood West.
- April 2022: Shallow RC drilling, Titov East, Ravenswood West.
- May 2022: Gagarin IP results, Ravenswood West.
- June 2022: RC drilling Triumph Southern Corridor.

ENDS

For further information:

Dr Damien Keys
Managing Director
Telephone: +61 428 717 466
E-mail: dkeys@shngold.com.au

Mr Alec Pismiris
Director & Company Secretary
Telephone: +61 402 212 532
E-mail: alec@lexconservices.com.au

This ASX announcement is authorised for market release by the Board of Sunshine Gold.

Competent Person's Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Dr Damien Keys, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG). Dr Keys has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code. Dr Keys consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

TABLE 1. INTERSECTIONS > 0.5 g/t Au

<i>Cut off</i>	<i>Hole ID</i>	<i>From</i>	<i>To</i>	<i>Width</i>	<i>Au_ppm</i>	<i>Type</i>
0.5 Au	21BNRC017	36	38	2	0.73	Infill
0.5 Au	21BNRC017	42	43	1	1.10	Infill
0.5 Au	21BNRC017	47	48	1	1.05	Infill
0.5 Au	21BNRC017	52	54	2	16.28	Infill
0.5 Au	21BNRC026	46	48	2	7.40	Infill
0.5 Au	21BNRC026	109	113	4	2.28	Infill
1.0 Au	inc	109	112	3	2.82	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC015	55	56	1	1.84	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC015	61	62	1	1.23	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC015	65	66	1	6.02	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC016	37	38	1	0.73	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC016	44	45	1	4.69	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC016	58	59	1	2.30	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC017	44	45	1	1.46	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC018	13	15	2	6.46	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC018	19	20	1	1.99	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC018	26	30	4	5.22	Infill
1.0 Au	inc	26	29	3	6.72	Infill
0.5 Au	21NCRC018	61	62	1	0.74	Infill
0.5 Au	22SHRC014	38	39	1	0.68	Infill
0.5 Au	22SHRC014	45	69	24	1.86	Infill
1.0 Au	inc	46	50	4	4.32	Infill
1.0 Au	and	54	58	4	2.42	Infill
1.0 Au	and	61	66	5	2.23	Infill
0.5	22SHRC021	109	110	1	1.15	Infill
0.5	22SHRC022	13	17	4	2.01	Infill
1.0 Au	inc	13	15	2	3.62	Infill
0.5	22SHRC022	24	25	1	1.34	Infill
0.5	22SHRC022	28	36	8	1.43	Infill
1.0 Au	inc	32	36	4	2.20	Infill
0.5	22SHRC022	39	40	1	1.31	Infill
0.5	22SHRC022	45	46	1	1.15	Infill
0.5 Au	22NCRC029	78	79	1	1.90	Infill
0.5 Au	22NCRC029	86	87	1	2.74	Infill
0.5 Au	22NCRC030	15	18	3	2.85	Infill
1.0 Au	inc	15	17	2	3.98	Infill
0.5 Au	22NCRC030	49	50	1	0.54	Infill
0.5 Au	22NCRC031	34	36	2	0.69	Infill
0.5 Au	22NCRC032	91	93	2	4.08	Infill
0.5 Au	22NCRC033	36	37	1	0.63	Infill
0.5 Au	22NCRC033	48	49	1	0.72	Infill

ABOUT SUNSHINE GOLD

Sunshine Gold is focused on its high-quality gold and copper projects in Queensland comprising a 100% interest in the Triumph, Hodgkinson, Investigator and Ravenswood West projects.

Ravenswood West Gold-Copper-Rare Earth Project

(EPM 26041, EPM 26152, EPM 26303, EPM 26304, EPM 27824, EPM 27825: 100%)

Ravenswood West is comprised of a significant holding (447 km²) of highly prospective gold-copper ground within 5 kms of the Ravenswood Mining Centre (4 Moz Au produced, a further 4.3 Moz Au in Resource and 1.8 Moz in Ore Reserves). The Ravenswood Mining Centre was purchased by EMR Capital and Golden Energy & Resources Ltd. (SGX:AUE) in 2020 for up to \$300m and is presently subject to a ~\$450m upgrade. In addition, there are three other gold mills within 100 km, two of which are toll treating.

The Project is highly prospective for intrusion-related and orogenic gold, porphyry gold-copper-molybdenum and rare earth elements. Ravenswood West covers 20-25 km of strike along a major fault that links Pajingo (4 Moz) and Ravenswood (9.8 Moz) and contains numerous historic gold workings.

Triumph Gold Project (EPM18486, EPM19343: 100%)


Triumph is centred around the historical Norton gold field from which ~20,000 oz of gold was extracted between 1879-1941. The project is located 50km south of the mining hub of Gladstone and comprises tenements covering 138km². Triumph is located within the Wandilla Province of the New England Orogen. Nearby large gold deposits include Mt Rawdon (2.8 Moz Au), Mt Morgan (8 Moz Au and 0.4 Mt Cu) and Cracow (2 Moz Au). Triumph is a 15km² intrusion related gold system which has the potential to host both discrete high-grade vein deposits and large-scale, shear hosted gold deposits.

Hodgkinson Gold Copper Project (EPM18171, EPM19809, EPM25139, EPM27539, EPM27574, EPM27575: 100%)

Hodgkinson is located 100km north west of Cairns in North Queensland. The project comprises tenements covering 365km². The project is situated between the Palmer River alluvial gold field (1.35 Moz Au) and the historic Hodgkinson gold field (0.3 Moz Au) and incorporates the Elephant Creek Gold, Peninsula Gold-Copper and Campbell Creek Gold prospects. Hodgkinson has been extensively explored for tungsten, owing to its proximity to the Watershed and Mt Carbine tungsten deposits, but underexplored for gold. BHP-Utah International completed stream sediment sampling across the project in the late 1980's and confirmed that the area was anomalous in gold as well as tungsten.

Investigator Copper Project (EPM27344, EPM27345: 100%)

Investigator comprises tenements covering 115km². It is located 110km north of Mt Isa and 12km south of the Mt Gordon Copper Mine. Investigator has seen no modern exploration and importantly, no holes have been drilled in the most prospective stratigraphic and structural positions.



JORC Code, 2012 Edition TABLE 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<p>Reverse circulation (RC) drilling was used to obtain samples for geological logging and assaying.</p> <p>Drill holes were sited to test geological interpretation utilising previous drilling results and geophysical & geochemical targets.</p> <p>Individual 1m samples were assayed in altered or mineralised rock, and composites between 2 to 4m in unaltered rock.</p> <p>Composite RC samples were collected by spearing equal amounts of the bulk sample for each metre interval. Care is taken to ensure the spear transects the bulk sample fully to provide a representative cross-section sample of each metre within the composite.</p> <p>Individual samples were collected from the cyclone using an 87.5/12.5 rig-mounted splitter.</p> <p>Once received by the laboratory, sample preparation consisted of the drying of the sample, the entire sample being crushed to 70% passing 6mm and pulverised to 85% passing 75 microns in a ring and puck pulveriser.</p> <p>RC samples were assayed for gold by 50g fire assay with AAS finish and multielement analysis for Ag, As, Bi, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, S, Sb and Zn, completed using an ICP-MS and OES analysis.</p>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.). 	<p>All holes were drilled using Reverse Circulation utilising a 5.5" face sampling RC hammer.</p>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<p>For RC sample recoveries of less than approximately 80% are noted in the geological/sampling log. No such samples were recorded during this drill program.</p> <p>Wet samples are also recorded in the geological/sampling log. Any significant wet zones (>6m) were to be flagged; however no such zones were identified in the drilling.</p> <p>No relationship has been observed between sample recovery and grade.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<p>All drill holes are geologically logged in full.</p> <p>Geology logs include lithology, alteration, mineralisation, veining and weathering types, styles and intensities.</p> <p>All RC chip trays are photographed.</p>
Sub-sampling techniques, sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<p>The 1m primary RC samples were obtained using a cyclone mounted 87.5:12.5 riffle splitter. Compressed air was used to clean the splitter after each drill rod.</p> <p>The 2 to 4m composite samples were obtained manually by spearing bulk samples to approximately 1kg weight per interval.</p> <p>Duplicate samples were taken routinely by spearing the bulk sample for the selected interval.</p> <p>Samples are recorded if dry or wet when collected from the cyclone.</p> <p>QAQC samples (Standards, Duplicates, Blanks) were submitted at a frequency of at least 1 in 10.</p> <p>Sample sizes and preparation techniques are considered appropriate.</p> <p>The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate for the nature of mineralisation within the project area.</p>
Quality of data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	<p>RC samples were assayed using 50g fire assay for gold which is considered appropriate for this style of mineralisation. Fire assay is considered total assay for gold.</p> <p>No geophysical tools, spectrometers or handheld XRF instruments have been used to determine assay results for any elements.</p> <p>Monitoring of results of blanks and standards is conducted regularly. QAQC data is reviewed for bias prior to inclusion in any subsequent Mineral Resource estimate.</p> <p>Au assays were completed as fire assay analysis and screen fire analysis will be contemplated on a suite of high-grade samples at the end of the drill programme.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	<p>Significant intersections are routinely monitored through review of drill chip and by site visits by the Exploration Manager.</p> <p>Data is verified and checked in Leapfrog software.</p> <p>No drill holes were twinned.</p> <p>Primary data is collected via hard copy documentation and subsequently entered into spreadsheet format. This is then validated and uploaded to a secure external database, which in turn has further validation checks.</p> <p>No adjustments have been applied to assay data and is loaded directly from the laboratory deliverable.</p>
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	<p>All completed holes are capped and marked and have been accurately surveyed via DGPS.</p> <p>The drill rig was aligned at the collar location by the site Geologist using a sighting compass.</p> <p>Down hole surveys were completed using a Reflex digital survey system routinely at intervals of 15m hole depth, 30m hole depth, and every 30m thereafter to end of hole. Measurements were taken as a pull back from the RC hammer at the midpoint of a non-magnetic stainless-steel rod.</p> <p>All drilling is conducted on MGA94 Zone 56 grid system.</p> <p>A topographic survey of the project area has partially been conducted using an in-house drone survey. Collar elevations have not been adjusted to this surface and use the elevation as stated on the GPS device.</p>
Data Spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<p>The drill holes were sited to test surface geological, geophysical, geochemical and structural targets within a nominal 20m to 40m spaced grid. South Constitution holes are more widely spaced.</p> <p>Designed drill hole spacing may vary due to logistical reasons, such as available pad locations, and drill hole deviation.</p> <p>The current drill hole spacing in some locations is of sufficient density to establish geological and grade continuity appropriate for a Mineral Resource. A mineral resource estimate will be considered once further drilling is completed.</p> <p>No subsequent sample compositing has been applied on the raw assay results for the reported intervals.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	<p>The drill holes were orientated in order to intersect the interpreted mineralisation trends as orthogonal (perpendicular) as possible. These trends were determined using surface geology and historical drill hole results.</p> <p>Future drilling is likely to include diamond core to further assess structural relationships.</p>
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<p>Samples were collected daily in pre-numbered Calico sample bags by the on-site Field Technician and subsequently stored in sealed plastic bags. These were then transported to laboratory upon the completion of 2 – 5 drill holes via a freight company. The samples were stored within a secure freight cage and delivered directly from point of shipping to the laboratory.</p>
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<p>The sampling techniques are regularly reviewed during the program and further review will take place prior to future drilling.</p>

Section 2 – Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<p>The Triumph project consists of EPM 18486 and EPM 19343, both 100% owned by XXXX Gold Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of Sunshine Gold Limited. The tenements are in good standing and no known impediments exist.</p> <p>ML80035 (covering an area of 0.2km) is located within the project area and is excluded from the tenure.</p> <p>Exploration is prohibited within a small area of Category B environmentally protected area as well as a National Park shown in Figure 3. The current approved Environmental Authority (EA) allows for advanced exploration activities to occur up to the National Park (NP) boundary.</p>
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<p>AMOCO conducted limited exploration focussing on the Bald Hill vein in 1987. Seven RC holes were drilled at Bald Hill. The bulk of exploration across the tenure has been conducted by Metal Bank Limited and subsidiary Roar Resources between 2012 – 2020).</p> <p>Historical Exploration data and production records were compiled via open file reports accessible via the QLD Geological Survey QDEX system (notably Ball. L.C. 1906. Report on the Norton Goldfields, Queensland Geological Survey Publication 208).</p>
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<p>EPM18486 and EPM19343 overlaps the Calliope and Miriam Vale 1:100,000 map sheets.</p> <p>The style of mineralisation intersected is interpreted to be intrusion-related gold mineralisation within the northern New England Orogen.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drill hole information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and intercept depth hole length. 	Refer Table 1.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<p>Unless specified otherwise, a nominal 0.5g/t Au lower cut-off has been applied incorporating up to 2m of internal dilution below the reporting cut-off grade to highlight zones of gold mineralisation. Refer Table 2.</p> <p>High grade gold intervals internal to broader zones of mineralisation are reported as included intervals.</p> <p>No metal equivalent values have been used for reporting exploration results.</p>
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<p>The geometry of the mineralisation is subject to ongoing interpretation and as such intervals are reported in downhole length only.</p> <p>Refer JORC Table 1, Section 1.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	Refer to figures contained within this report.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced, to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	All results are presented in figures and tables contained within this report.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	No other material data is presented in this report.