

Eagle Mountain Delivers Further Positive Drilling Results at Oracle Ridge

Highlights

- Eagle Mountain confirms ongoing drilling success at Oracle Ridge Copper Project in the U.S. with results from 22 new holes received
- New drilling has delivered strong results at the Talon and mid-mine areas showing resource extension and upgrade potential. Results include:

Resource Expansion

- 60.7m at 1.56% Cu, 14.15 g/t Ag and 0.32 g/t Au, including:
 - 14.6m at 2.83% Cu, 27.54 g/t Ag, 0.51 g/t Au (WT-22-99)
 - 4.5m at 2.79% Cu, 32.85 g/t Ag and 0.36 g/t Au (WT-22-96)
 - 4.5m at 2.92% Cu, 29.14 g/t Ag and 0.66 g/t Au (WT-22-97)

Resource Infill

- 3.8m at 1.80% Cu, 27.63 g/t Ag and 10.92 g/t Au (WT-21-76)
- 10.6m at 2.63% Cu, 17.97 g/t Ag and 0.39 g/t Au (WT-22-90)
- A gold intercept of 1.0m at 40.0g/t Au and 3.16% Cu (WT-21-76) received in the Talon showing potential for localised high-grade gold vein anomalies in the Leatherwood
- Exploration focus remains on the Talon area which is underpinned by the Company's new geologic model supporting target definition
- Two holes testing the northern mine area intercepted only narrow or low-grade mineralisation
- Of the 22 new results, only two recorded no significant intercepts

Eagle Mountain Mining Limited (ASX:EM2) (Eagle Mountain, or the Company) is pleased to provide an update on its 100% owned Oracle Ridge Copper Mine Project (Oracle Ridge, or the Project) in Arizona, USA.

Commenting on the strong copper results, Eagle Mountain Mining CEO, Tim Mason, said:

"New drilling over a 1.5km strike continues to deliver strong results that supports our thesis that Oracle Ridge has the potential to be a copper project of significance in the U.S.

The Company's new geologic model, backed by over 100 new drill holes, is being used to refine the resource estimate and is driving new and successful high-value targets particularly in the southern Talon region. This area will be a key focus for exploration activities in the short term.

We are also seeing some very high gold values, which could provide attractive sweeteners for a future operation."






Figure 1 – Plan view of the mine area showing new assay results received. Drill holes used to define the JORC Resource have been omitted for clarity. The points shown represent the approximate midpoint of each intercept.

Resource Expansion Results

Results for 17 resource expansion holes have been received (Table 1, Attachment 1) which continue to support potential growth of the recently updated MRE at a 1% copper cut-off grade. Key observations from the new drill holes include:

Talon

- WT-22-99 was drilled in the Western Talon, between previously reported thick intersections in holes WT-21-56 (63.1m at 1.84% Cu, 15.68 g/t Ag and 0.30g/t Au) and WT-21-59 (38.1m at 1.97% Cu, 20.64g/t Ag and 0.51g/t Au). The drill hole reported several high-grade intercepts within a broader interval of 60.7m at 1.56% Cu, 14.15g/t Ag and 0.32g/t Au. High-grade intervals within this broader zone include 14.6m at 2.83% Cu, 27.54g/t Ag and 0.51g/t Au and 0.9m at 10.55% Cu, 91.1g/t Ag and 1.31g/t Au (Figure 2). Results from WT-22-99 are expected to improve the tonnage and grade of the mineral resource in this area;
- WT-22-106 was drilled in the Western Talon, approximately 50 metres southeast of WT-22-99. The drill hole intersected thick mineralisation of slightly lower grade than in WT-22-99, with best intervals of 10.8m at 1.67% Cu, 12.56g/t Ag and 0.72g/t Au in the upper part of the hole and 8m at 1.62% Cu, 16.45g/t Ag and 0.40g/t Au in the lower part of the hole. The upper intersection was contained within a broader zone returning 44.2m at 0.96% Cu, 7.77g/t Ag and 0.34g/t Au, just below the reportable cut-off of 1% Cu (Figure 2);
- WT-22-112 was drilled in the Western Talon, at the southern end of the drilling in that area. Strong, discontinuous mineralisation was intersected across two zones: the upper zone returning a best intercept of 8.9m at 1.53% Cu, 14.11g/t Ag and 0.34g/t Au within 42.7m at 0.81% Cu, 5.62g/t Ag and 0.13g/t Au (using a 0.6% Cu cut-off grade); the lower zone returning a best interval of 9.4m at 1.35% Cu, 14.88g/t Ag and 0.23g/t Au within 41.9m at 0.69% Cu, 8.29g/t Ag and 0.12g/t Au (using a 0.6% Cu cut-off grade);
- WT-21-69, drilled in the Southern Talon, intersected moderately mineralized zones in a scarcely drilled area. Best results include 4.3m at 2.27% Cu, 31.42g/t Ag and 1.48g/t Au;
- WT-22-83, WT-22-96, WT-22-100 and WT-22-103 were completed in the south-western Talon region to verify the extent of prospective stratigraphy in the area. These drill holes encountered Leatherwood intrusive at shallower depth than anticipated with only minor mineralised intersections in the sediments. Best results include 4.5m at 1.61% Cu, 13.04g/t Ag and 0.24g/t Au in drill hole WT-22-83; and
- WT-22-89 was drilled in the Central Talon area and did not return significant intercepts. The hole encountered an unusually thick quartzite, a rock type not conducive to skarn mineralisation.

Southern mine area

- Results from six holes completed in this area have been received: WT-21-73, WT-21-81, WT-22-82, WT-22-92, WT-22-97 and WT-22-98. Localised mineralisation and alteration were encountered in every hole with results up to 3.7m at 2.32% Cu, 18.39g/t Ag and 0.21g/t Au in WT-21-73 and 6.4m at 1.62% Cu, 21.01g/t Ag and 0.39g/t Au in WT-22-82.

Northern mine area

Results from two holes completed in this area have been received: WT-22-104, WT-22-107. Both holes intersected thin, discontinuous mineralisation only.




Figure 2 – Isometric View (close to plan view) of southern Talon showing holes drilled since the March 22 Resource update (refer Figure 1 and ASX announcement 1 December 2021).




Figure 3 – East-west cross-section including drill holes WT-22-99 and WT-22-106, showing mineralised intersections and relationships with nearby drill holes and local geology (refer Figure 1 and ASX announcement 1 December 2021).



Table 1 – Summary of Significant Resource Expansion results above 1% Copper cut-off grade

Hole ID	From [m]	To [m]	Width [m]	Cu [%]	Ag [g/t]	Au [g/t]
WT-21-69	190.0	193.9	3.9	1.63	12.70	0.23
	287.2	291.5	4.3	2.27	31.42	1.48
WT-21-73	52.8	56.5	3.7	2.32	18.39	0.21
	59.3	61.6	2.3	2.03	16.21	0.19
	82.3	85.0	2.7	1.68	10.04	0.18
WT-21-81	144.6	150.5	5.9	1.21	12.96	0.26
	156.6	160.0	3.4	1.32	13.69	0.29
WT-22-82	143.7	144.3	0.6	3.91	66.00	0.91
	164.8	171.2	6.4	1.62	21.01	0.39
WT-22-83	109.5	112.6	3.1	1.31	13.51	0.25
	118.0	122.5	4.5	1.61	13.04	0.24
WT-22-92	159.7	161.0	1.3	1.01	14.85	0.35
	198.5	199.8	1.3	1.18	10.60	0.23
WT-22-96	121.1	125.6	4.5	2.76	32.85	0.36
WT-22-97	181.6	186.1	4.5	2.92	29.14	0.66
WT-22-98	183.9	184.7	0.8	7.72	83.10	2.45
within	181.8	185.3	3.5	3.08	32.09	0.92
WT-22-99	202.2	210.4	8.2	2.42	18.07	0.42
	226.1	229.3	3.2	2.30	21.13	0.64
	234.5	242.6	8.1	2.08	19.70	0.46
	248.3	262.9	14.6	2.83	27.54	0.51
Including	248.3	254.1	5.8	4.85	49.26	0.89
Including	260.1	262.9	2.8	4.27	37.28	0.71
within	202.2	262.9	60.7	1.56	14.15	0.32
WT-22-100	235.1	239.3	4.2	1.86	15.91	0.56
	242.5	244.0	1.5	1.40	12.65	1.15
WT-22-106	270.5	280.2	9.7	1.27	10.17	0.33
	287.3	298.1	10.8	1.67	12.56	0.72
within*	270.5	314.7	44.2	0.96	7.77	0.34
	361.5	369.5	8.0	1.62	16.45	0.40
WT-22-112	184.0	192.9	8.9	1.53	14.11	0.34
	329.0	338.4	9.4	1.35	14.88	0.23

Note – intercepts shown are downhole widths and not true widths

* Reported due to its relevance although slightly below the 1% Cu cut-off grade



Resource Infill Results

The results for five resource infill holes have been received (Table 2, Attachment 1). These holes were drilled at a nominal Inferred spacing of 50 metres within the footprint of the recently updated MRE at a 1% copper cut-off grade. These holes are designed to improve resource confidence as part of a program to upgrade resource categories. Key observations from the results of resource infill holes include:

- WT-22-90 was drilled in the Southern Talon and intersected multiple mineralised zones across a downhole length of 130 metres. Best results include 10.6m at 2.63% Cu, 17.97g/t Ag and 0.39g/t Au including 0.8m at 7.88% Cu, 51.10g/t Ag and 1.08g/t Au;
- WT-21-76 was drilled between the area linking the Southern and Western Talon. Surprisingly, a sample within the Leatherwood sill returned a gold grade of 40.30g/t over one metre. This is the highest gold assay ever recorded at Oracle Ridge. The sample was collected near a structure characterised by some veining and oxidation. While the intercept is structurally controlled along a vein, such high-grade zones, while likely to be limited in size, could provide incremental value for future mining operations;
- WT-22-101 and WT-22-102 were drilled in the northern part of Project, testing the north-western mineralisation in the main mine area. Both holes intersected only limited alteration and mineralisation; and
- WT-22-108 was drilled in the Southern Talon and intersected multiple thin mineralised zones in the lower part of the hole, with best results of 3.5m at 2.04% Cu, 5.11g/t Ag and 0.07g/t Au.

Table 2 – Summary of significant Resource Infill results above 1% Copper cut-off grade

Hole ID	From [m]	To [m]	Width [m]	Cu [%]	Ag [g/t]	Au [g/t]
WT-21-76	113.2	114.3	1.1	1.34	11.45	0.13
	150.5	151.5	1.0	3.16	57.10	40.30
within	150.5	154.3	3.8	1.80	27.63	10.92
WT-22-90	145.0	147.0	2.0	2.47	20.28	0.36
	159.5	170.1	10.6	2.63	17.97	0.39
including	165.1	165.9	0.8	7.88	51.10	1.08
	178.3	180.1	1.8	2.08	23.80	0.48
	205.2	206.5	1.3	7.46	51.70	1.71
	271.5	278.1	6.6	2.09	18.33	0.69
WT-22-102	96.2	97.6	1.4	1.75	7.45	0.01

Note – intercepts shown are downhole widths and not true widths

Underground Refurbishment

The Company is planning the refurbishment of the existing underground mine. This will enable drilling from underground along with access to obtain samples for metallurgical test work. Various contractors and consultants have undertaken site visits and a contract is expected to be awarded in the coming weeks with underground operations to commence shortly thereafter.

Drilling from underground is scheduled to commence in the September quarter of 2022. The average hole length of the underground holes is expected to be approximately half that from surface, which provides significant improvements in drilling efficiency.



Wave Zone Extensional Drilling

Two drill pads have recently been completed at the southern end of the Talon. Drilling is now in progress from one of these pads, targeting the prospective Wave zone towards the historic Leatherwood mine. The Leatherwood mine includes two tunnels extending for approximately 50 metres connected by an internal shaft. A shipment of ore in 1969 consisted of about 45 tonnes of hand-sorted ore composed of approximately 12% copper, 200g/t silver and 1g/t gold (Figure 3). No other production records are known¹.




Figure 3 – Production from the Leatherwood Mine (circa 1969)¹
(Refer ASX announcement 11 November 2021)

- Ends -

This ASX Announcement was authorised for release by the Board of Eagle Mountain Mining Limited.

For further information please contact:

Tim Mason
Chief Executive Officer
tim@eaglemountain.com.au

Mark Pitts
Company Secretary
mark@eaglemountain.com.au

Jane Morgan
Investor and Media Relations
jm@janemorganmanagement.com.au

¹ 2 BRAUN, E, 1969, Geology and Ore Deposits of Marble Peak Area, Santa Catalina Mountains, Pima County, Arizona. University of Arizona
The thesis states that, at the time of the investigation, one tunnel was being mined. Information originally reported as Imperial units, converted to Metric units and rounded down.



COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT

The information in this document that relates to new Exploration Activities is based on information compiled by Mr Fabio Vergara and Mr Brian Paull who are both Members of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (MAusIMM) and have sufficient experience relevant to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Persons as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC Code 2012). Mr Vergara is the Chief Geologist and Mr Paull is the Principal Geologist of Eagle Mountain Mining Limited and both consent to the inclusion in this document of the information in the form and context in which it appears. Mr Vergara and Mr Paull hold shares and options in Eagle Mountain Mining Limited.

Where the Company references historic exploration results including technical information from previous ASX announcements including 25 May 2020, JORC Table 1 disclosures are included within them. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in those announcements, and all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the results within those announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed. In addition, the form and context in which the Competent Persons findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original reports.

The information in this report that relates to historic production results was prepared and first disclosed under a pre-2012 edition of the JORC Code. The data has been compiled but NOT validated by Eagle Mountain geologists. At this stage, Eagle Mountain is unable to conclude that the production data is reliable. However, nothing has come to the attention of Eagle Mountain that causes it to question the accuracy or reliability of the historic production results and the various source reports.

ABOUT EAGLE MOUNTAIN MINING

Eagle Mountain is a copper-gold explorer focused on the strategic exploration and development of the Oracle Ridge Copper Mine and the highly prospective greenfields Silver Mountain Project, both located in Arizona, USA.

Arizona is at the heart of America's mining industry and home to some of the world's largest copper discoveries such as Bagdad, Miami and Resolution, one of the largest undeveloped copper deposits in the world.

Follow the Company's developments through our website and social media channels:



LinkedIn



Twitter



EM2 Website



Attachment 1

Summary table of recent drill holes at Oracle Ridge

Hole ID	Easting	Northing	Elevation	Dip	Azimuth	Depth
	[m]	[m]	[m]	[°]	[°]	[m]
WT-21-68	524372	3592479	2193	67	320	373.1
WT-21-69	524560	3592300	2108	80	162	336.5
WT-21-70	524029	3593092	2128	63	128	205.1
WT-21-71	524029	3593092	2129	50	149	184.4
WT-21-72	524560	3592300	2108	79	227	307.2
WT-21-73	524560	3592300	2108	50	172	136.2
WT-21-74	524372	3592479	2193	60	331	388.3
WT-21-75	524172	3593121	2152	49	224	203.3
WT-21-76	524560	3592300	2108	69	249	317.6
WT-21-77	524079	3593012	2177	80	328	206.0
WT-21-78	524079	3593012	2177	77	128	203.9
WT-21-79	524372	3592479	2193	56	337	438.0
WT-21-80	524560	3592300	2108	69	206	331.6
WT-21-81	524071	3592956	2177	68	277	200.6
WT-22-82	524071	3592956	2177	50	254	221.6
WT-22-83	524560	3592300	2108	65	226	343.8
WT-22-84	524071	3592956	2177	61	206	257.6
WT-22-85	524372	3592479	2193	53	279	353.0
WT-22-86	524071	3592956	2180	55	192	282.9
WT-22-87	524560	3592300	2108	57	238	326.7
WT-22-88	524071	3592956	2180	75	185	229.8
WT-22-89	524372	3595479	2193	85	137	356.6
WT-22-90	524556	3592292	2105	67	175	352.4
WT-22-91	524071	3592856	2180	65	177	268.2
WT-22-92	524071	3592961	2180	69	149	245.1
WT-22-93	524071	3592961	2178	35	135	356.6
WT-22-94	524555	3592291	2106	63	197	351.9
WT-22-95	524072	3592963	2183	77	128	348.7
WT-22-96	524555	3592291	2106	58	213	353.0
WT-22-97	524071	3592964	2180	66	120	260.3
WT-22-98	524069	3592959	2183	69	092	283.5
WT-22-99	524437	3592417	2152	71	205	365.2
WT-22-100	524554	3592292	2105	52	227	338.6
WT-22-101	523940	3593328	2050	69	238	227.7
WT-22-102	523940	3593327	2048	81	175	215.2
WT-22-103	524555	3592290	2104	51	205	365.2
WT-22-104	523941	3593325	2049	73	120	288.8
WT-22-105	524555	3592290	2104	47	217	301.1
WT-22-106	524437	3592417	2151	68	180	392.6
WT-22-107	523940	3593326	2047	57	098	322.2
WT-22-108	524560	3592300	2108	61	151	331.3
WT-22-109	523942	3593326	2048	66	088	269.7
WT-22-110	523942	3593326	2048	54	193	396.8
WT-22-111	523951	3593328	2045	56	078	310.3
WT-22-112	524560	3592300	2108	46	190	413.6
WT-22-113	523951	3593328	2045	61	063	278.6
WT-22-114	524551	3592296	2103	68	011	339.2



WT-22-115	523941	3593327	2050	68	047	298.1
WT-22-116	524554	3592292	2105	69	152	317.6
WT-22-117	523937	3593321	2052	84	350	214.5
WT-22-118	524519	3592579	2094	58	237	344.4
WT-22-119	524641	3592290	2077	58	089	299.3
WT-22-120	524519	3592579	2094	50	243	386.8
WT-22-121	524641	3592290	2077	49	090	332.2
WT-22-122	524560	3592300	2108	76	117	Abandoned
WT-22-123	524641	3592290	2077	55	099	289.0
WT-22-124	524560	3592300	2108	77	075	312.4
WT-22-125	524641	3592290	2077	56	079	302.7
WT-22-126	524560	3592300	2108	72	036	322.2
WT-22-127	523851	3592717	2280	54	203	326.75
WT-22-128	524641	3592290	2077	50	075	324.92
WT-22-129	523850	3592714	2279	52	155	340.77
WT-22-130	524640	3592289	2077	79	239	265.48
WT-22-131	523852	3592716	2280	51	170	340.77
WT-22-132	524636	3592286	2077	65	184	297.49
WT-22-133	523851	3592716	2280	48	105	325.53
WT-22-134	524633	3592286	2077	57	191	269.75
WT-22-135	524642	3592289	2077	49	155	In progress
WT-22-136	523849	3592716	2279	47	239	In progress
GE-21-01	527468	3593409	1497	65	035	261.5
GE-21-02	527468	3593409	1497	60	002	249.9
GE-21-03	527468	3593409	1497	76	002	295.7
GE-21-04	527468	3593409	1497	64	065	253.3
GE-21-05	527468	3593408	1497	50	260	309.4
GE-21-06	528007	3593650	1485	80	180	487.7
GE-21-07	526940	3593290	1559	60	45	639.2
GE-21-08	526940	3593290	1559	83	45	526.1
GE-21-09	526939	3593291	1559	50	340	624.8
GE-21-10	526822	3593288	1562	45	190	449.6
GE-21-11	526566	3593264	1592	47	0	478.8
GE-21-12	526577	3593249	1592	60	0	548.6
GE-21-13	526564	3593262	1594	85	355	276.5

Summary table of recent diamond drill hole intersections at Oracle Ridge

Note - All reported intervals are downhole widths.

Hole ID	From	To	Width	Cu	Ag	Au
WT-21-68	247.8	253.4	5.6	1.75	17.94	0.30
	306.0	309.0	3.0	2.13	15.63	0.12
	315.2	316.8	1.6	1.16	15.50	0.14
WT-21-69	176.0	176.6	0.6	1.42	14.65	0.43
	190.0	193.9	3.9	1.63	12.70	0.23
	203.5	203.8	0.3	2.19	17.25	0.15
	241.2	242.3	1.1	1.66	20.70	0.32
	244.9	246.0	1.1	1.14	13.85	0.23
WT-21-70	287.2	291.5	4.3	2.27	31.42	1.48
	61.3	62.3	1.0	1.42	11.10	0.13
	88.0	89.5	1.5	1.73	16.40	0.11
	96.0	102.3	6.3	2.71	23.87	0.51
WT-21-71	54.0	72.1	18.1	1.39	11.17	0.14



Hole ID	From	To	Width	Cu	Ag	Au
	94.8	107.0	12.2	2.35	24.46	0.57
	112.0	113.9	1.9	1.47	2.98	0.02
WT-21-72	126.5	132.2	5.7	2.47	21.48	0.23
	137.8	138.5	0.7	1.63	18.25	1.69
	163.5	164.5	1.0	1.70	13.75	0.34
	176.0	177.1	1.1	5.02	33.10	0.25
	218.8	223.5	4.7	2.61	19.54	0.93
	227.8	228.4	0.6	4.92	36.10	2.31
	235.1	235.9	0.8	1.66	11.60	1.20
	236.3	260.3	24.0	2.22	19.91	0.24
WT-21-73	52.8	56.5	3.7	2.32	18.39	0.21
	59.3	61.6	2.3	2.03	16.21	0.19
	82.3	85.0	2.7	1.68	10.04	0.18
WT-21-74	258.0	259.4	1.4	1.09	14.10	0.39
	269.4	270.5	1.1	1.04	4.64	0.08
	274.6	275.5	0.9	6.85	49.20	0.57
<i>within</i>	274.6	276.5	1.9	3.77	28.44	0.39
	312.3	313.0	0.7	2.84	9.48	0.14
	318.0	322.5	4.5	2.37	27.64	0.26
	325.4	326.1	0.7	2.24	35.80	0.42
	336.6	342.6	6.0	1.57	6.67	0.05
	348.2	349.2	1.0	2.13	3.55	0.01
WT-21-75	159.8	165.0	5.2	1.04	11.06	0.15
	169.4	173.3	3.9	2.04	14.32	0.42
WT-21-76	113.2	114.3	1.1	1.34	11.45	0.13
	116.0	116.8	0.8	1.08	9.40	0.40
	150.5	151.5	1.0	3.16	57.10	40.30
<i>within</i>	150.5	154.3	3.8	1.80	27.63	10.92
WT-21-77	133.4	134.3	0.9	1.72	15.85	0.33
WT-21-78	115.9	118.6	2.7	1.27	9.24	0.07
	153.5	156.0	2.5	2.08	32.18	0.46
	168.6	170.0	1.4	1.13	4.17	0.02
	172.6	173.6	1.0	1.25	38.80	0.26
WT-21-79	266.9	267.4	0.5	5.96	45.40	6.66
<i>within</i>	266.9	268.6	1.7	3.12	22.35	2.09
	277.6	279.3	1.7	1.52	10.25	0.20
	281.9	282.6	0.7	1.81	13.25	0.40
WT-21-80	173.5	174.7	1.2	1.20	16.40	0.20
	187.2	188.7	1.5	7.60	62.20	0.62
<i>within</i>	179.9	200.6	20.7	2.06	16.43	0.39
<i>within*</i>	176.8	221.5	44.7	1.60	12.75	0.32
WT-21-81	144.6	150.5	5.9	1.21	12.96	0.26
	156.6	160.0	3.4	1.32	13.69	0.29
WT-22-82	143.7	144.3	0.6	3.91	66.00	0.91
	164.8	171.2	6.4	1.62	21.01	0.39
WT-22-83	109.5	112.6	3.1	1.31	13.51	0.25
	118.0	122.5	4.5	1.61	13.04	0.24
	167.0	168.0	1.0	1.04	15.15	0.19
	171.2	172.0	0.8	3.21	43.00	1.07
	186.2	186.8	0.6	1.49	2.67	0.08
	279.1	280.3	1.2	1.60	25.40	0.25
WT-22-84	95.0	95.9	0.9	1.42	11.00	0.15
	97.3	99.9	2.6	2.96	28.43	0.27
	102.5	103.5	1.0	1.77	16.90	0.21
	134.7	135.4	0.7	1.69	23.20	0.37
	192.2	193.5	1.3	1.04	8.97	0.21
	194.5	195.3	0.8	1.27	6.23	0.03



Hole ID	From	To	Width	Cu	Ag	Au
	199.4	200.9	1.5	2.18	12.55	0.07
	206.9	207.9	1.0	1.29	6.91	0.01
	229.3	231.1	1.8	1.19	11.15	0.08
WT-22-85	198.8	199.3	0.5	1.93	16.20	0.25
	213.3	214.2	0.9	1.32	10.25	0.36
	226.8	227.2	0.4	1.09	8.65	0.14
	248.8	249.3	0.5	1.01	14.75	0.19
WT-22-86	102.0	102.6	0.6	1.60	19.30	0.19
	212.8	216.3	3.5	1.24	19.39	0.23
	223.5	224.9	1.4	2.81	28.90	0.63
WT-22-87	Assays pending					
WT-22-88	Assays pending					
WT-22-89	No significant intersections (NSI)					
WT-22-90	145.0	147.0	2.0	2.47	20.28	0.36
	159.5	170.1	10.6	2.63	17.97	0.39
<i>including</i>	165.1	165.9	0.8	7.88	51.10	1.08
	178.3	180.1	1.8	2.08	23.80	0.48
	205.2	206.5	1.3	7.46	51.70	1.71
	267.9	268.9	1.0	1.87	15.60	0.62
	271.5	278.1	6.6	2.09	18.33	0.69
WT-22-91	102.4	104.5	2.1	1.01	7.97	0.13
	147.8	148.9	1.1	1.48	15.55	0.39
	179.7	181.8	2.1	1.52	8.32	0.28
	197.2	198.8	1.6	1.10	8.72	0.21
	203.0	207.0	4.0	1.77	10.60	0.16
WT-22-92	159.7	161.0	1.3	1.01	14.85	0.35
	198.5	199.8	1.3	1.18	10.60	0.23
	206.4	207.1	0.7	1.37	8.91	0.17
WT-22-93	255.2	255.7	0.5	4.34	44.00	0.24
	264.3	274.1	9.8	2.78	31.57	0.48
<i>including</i>	273.4	274.1	0.7	10.05	140.00	1.90
	281.1	284.3	3.2	1.22	18.66	0.23
	305.3	306.0	0.7	1.04	1.97	0.81
	316.7	319.4	2.7	1.29	13.59	0.16
	330.3	331.1	0.8	4.91	11.30	0.13
	337.6	341.5	3.9	2.06	21.44	0.28
WT-22-94	126.1	127.7	1.6	1.16	9.56	0.22
	191.0	208.0	17.0	2.34	18.35	0.61
	213.0	214.5	1.5	1.51	10.95	0.62
	217.6	238.9	21.3	3.28	26.16	0.76
	245.0	246.3	1.3	1.73	14.85	0.53
<i>Within</i>	191.0	246.3	55.3	2.16	17.15	0.54
WT-22-95	Assays pending					
WT-22-96	121.1	125.6	4.5	2.76	32.85	0.36
	165.5	166.7	1.2	1.06	7.97	0.36
WT-22-97	181.6	186.1	4.5	2.92	29.14	0.66
WT-22-98	183.9	184.7	0.8	7.72	83.10	2.45
<i>within</i>	181.8	185.3	3.5	3.08	32.09	0.92
WT-22-99	149.7	150.6	0.9	2.23	34.40	0.52
	202.2	210.4	8.2	2.42	18.07	0.42
	226.1	229.3	3.2	2.30	21.13	0.64
	234.5	242.6	8.1	2.08	19.70	0.46
	248.3	262.9	14.6	2.83	27.54	0.51
<i>Including</i>	248.3	254.1	5.8	4.85	49.26	0.89
<i>Including</i>	260.1	262.9	2.8	4.27	37.28	0.71
<i>within</i>	202.2	262.9	60.7	1.56	14.15	0.32
	270.8	271.5	0.7	1.94	18.55	0.43



Hole ID	From	To	Width	Cu	Ag	Au
	277.2	278.1	0.9	1.14	7.79	0.31
	286.3	287.5	1.2	1.06	10.95	0.16
WT-22-100	107.4	108.1	0.7	1.04	7.92	0.21
	161.2	162.5	1.3	1.47	12.70	0.23
	235.1	239.3	4.2	1.86	15.91	0.56
	242.5	244.0	1.5	1.40	12.65	1.15
WT-22-101	No significant intersections					
WT-22-102	96.2	97.6	1.4	1.75	7.45	0.01
WT-22-103	120.1	120.6	0.5	1.30	9.81	0.14
	125.5	125.9	0.4	1.51	14.35	0.19
	128.9	130.1	1.2	1.26	10.90	0.14
	152.5	153.1	0.6	1.37	12.65	0.16
WT-22-104	118.0	119.1	1.1	1.37	13.35	0.03
WT-22-105	Assays pending					
WT-22-106	149.3	150.1	0.8	1.18	7.13	0.09
	171.0	172.2	1.2	1.24	12.00	0.18
	173.3	175.4	2.1	1.87	19.40	0.24
	270.5	280.2	9.7	1.27	10.17	0.33
	287.3	298.1	10.8	1.67	12.56	0.72
including	295.3	298.1	2.8	3.52	26.24	1.40
within	270.5	298.1	27.6	1.18	9.11	0.43
	303.5	307.2	3.7	1.45	12.39	0.36
	313.6	314.7	1.1	2.24	27.62	0.81
within*	270.5	314.7	44.2	0.96	7.77	0.34
	324.2	324.8	0.6	1.68	16.65	0.05
	348.5	349.7	1.2	2.96	30.30	0.76
	356.4	356.9	0.5	1.13	9.22	0.31
	361.5	369.5	8.0	1.62	16.45	0.40
WT-22-107	175.2	175.8	0.6	1.26	10.60	0.10
	192.9	194.1	1.2	1.33	9.18	0.01
	306.7	307.4	0.7	1.60	25.10	0.03
WT-22-108	290.5	292.0	1.5	1.39	22.90	0.33
	294.4	295.8	1.4	1.13	15.99	0.20
	303.5	305.7	2.2	1.59	16.26	0.48
	312.2	313.3	1.1	1.15	10.00	0.14
	313.9	317.4	3.5	2.04	5.11	0.07
WT-22-109	Assays pending					
WT-22-110	Assays pending					
WT-22-111	Assays pending					
WT-22-112	179.0	179.9	0.9	1.03	12.35	0.36
	184.0	192.9	8.9	1.53	14.11	0.34
	206.1	207.8	1.7	1.98	6.71	0.08
	214.0	216.0	2.0	2.10	18.74	0.53
	223.0	226.7	3.7	1.38	7.02	0.15
	261.0	262.6	1.6	1.89	16.50	0.20
	283.5	285.9	2.4	1.17	10.39	0.22
	298.6	299.8	1.2	1.99	43.63	0.35
	319.7	320.5	0.8	1.77	13.95	0.22
	329.0	338.4	9.4	1.35	14.88	0.23
	349.3	349.9	0.6	5.66	35.80	0.02
WT-22-113	Assays pending					
WT-22-114	Assays pending					
WT-22-115	Assays pending					
WT-22-116	Assays pending					
WT-22-117	Assays pending					
WT-22-118	Assays pending					
WT-22-119	Assays pending					



Hole ID	From	To	Width	Cu	Ag	Au
WT-22-120	Assays pending					
WT-22-121	Assays pending					
WT-22-122	Assays pending					
WT-22-123	Assays pending					
WT-22-124	Assays pending					
WT-22-125	Assays pending					
WT-22-126	Assays pending					
WT-22-127	Assays pending					
WT-22-128	Assays pending					
WT-22-129	Assays pending					
WT-22-130	Assays pending					
WT-22-131	Assays pending					
WT-22-132	Assays pending					
WT-22-133	Assays pending					
WT-22-134	Assays pending					
WT-22-135	Hole in progress					
WT-22-136	Hole in progress					
GE-21-01	200.4	202.0	1.6	0.02	0.50	0.91
GE-21-02	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-03	236.8	258.0	21.2	0.11	1.86	1.88
<i>including</i>	250.0	258.0	8.0	0.20	3.79	3.80
<i>and</i>	236.8	244.0	7.2	0.09	0.83	1.26
GE-21-04	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-05	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-06	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-07	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-08	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-09	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-10	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-11	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-12	Assays received – interpretation in progress					
GE-21-13	Assays received – interpretation in progress					

*Reported at 0.6% Cu cut-off grade

^a Result just below reporting cut-off included for completeness and relevance

^b Below detection

Attachment 2

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as downhole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diamond drilling. Nominal sampling interval of 3m adjusted as required for local geological conditions. Core was sawn and half-core was crushed, pulverised and split to produce a representative sample for assaying. For WT-series drilling, samples returning weighted average Cu \geq 1% are reported in the announcement. Wider intercepts are reported using a 0.6% Cu cut-off grade. For GE-series drilling, samples returning weighted average Au \geq 0.5g/t are reported in the announcement. Visual results presented are based on geological observations, and for WT-series drilling consider the copper content of different sulphide species at a 0.6% Cu nominal cut-off.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diamond drilling completed by Boart Longyear using an LF-90 drill rig. Core is HQ3 and PQ3 Downhole deviation surveys are performed approximately every 30.5m (100 feet) The core is oriented with a Boart Longyear Truecore™ system to allow measurement of structural information.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Core recoveries are recorded by the drillers at the rig and verified by Company’s personnel during core logging To maximise sample recovery and core quality drilling is performed with a “triple tube” set up where two splits are inserted in the barrel to minimize core displacement and core loss. No relationship has been determined between sample recoveries and grade.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> • <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A quick log is completed on site and detailed logging is performed at the Company's facility in Tucson. • Logging is both qualitative and quantitative in nature. Portable XRF and magnetic susceptibility measurements are taken at regular intervals on the core. • Core is photographed after mark-up, before sampling, wet and dry • 100% of the relevant intersections is logged.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For holes up to WT-21-73 the core is sawn in half by ALS Minerals or Skyline Assayers and Laboratories at their Tucson facilities. Half of the core is bagged and sent for assaying while the other half is left in the core box for future reference. • Commencing with drill hole WT-21-74, holes are cut using a Company-owned automatic core saw. Half of the core is bagged and sent for assaying while the other half is left in the core box for future reference. • A cut line is drawn by a geologist to guide sawing and sampling of intervals where sample bias might occur (e.g. mineralised vein at small angle to core axis). • ALS Minerals or Skyline Assayers and Laboratories conducted all preparation work: samples were weighed, dried, crushed and crushed to better than 70% passing 2mm; sample was split with a riffle splitter and a split of up to 250g pulverised to better than 85% passing 75µm. • Duplicates are used to assess the sampling representativeness. When duplicates are collected the core is quartered: one quarter is sent to the laboratory as the primary sample, the other quarter is sent to the laboratory as the duplicate and the remaining half of the core is left in the box for future reference. • Sample sizes are considered appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ALS Minerals assay methods: ME-MS61 (48 element four acid ICP-MS) and Au-AA23 (Au 30g charge Fire Assay with Atomic Absorption finish). The technique is considered a near total digest of relevant minerals. Above detection samples are re-assayed with Au-GRA21, Ag-OG62, Cu-OG62, Pb-OG62, Zn-OG62 • Skyline Assayers and Laboratories methods: TE-5 (47 element multi acid digestion with ICP-MS) and FA-01 (Au Fire Assay with



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<p>Atomic Absorption finish). The technique is considered a near total digest of relevant minerals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certified Reference Material (CRM), blanks and duplicates were inserted/collected at a ratio of 1:10 with a minimum of 1 CRM per assays batch. CRMs are inserted at intervals never exceeding 20 samples. Acceptable levels of accuracy and precision have been established. Before releasing results from geological observations (e.g. visual mineralisation), the Company adopts the following QA/QC procedures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Core is dispatched to the laboratory and cut. Samples are bagged, crushed and pulverised (sample preparation) After sample preparation is finalised, a sub-sample is returned to the Company while assays are being completed at the laboratory Returned sub-samples are analysed with the Company's portable XRF instrument Portable XRF readings are compared with the visual logs Visual results are approved for release to the market
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant intersections have been verified by Company's Principal Geologist No twinned holes reported Logging and sampling data are collected using tablet computers and Logchief software to ensure data integrity. The data is transferred weekly to the Datashed database after further data validation by the database manager No assay adjustment performed
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NAD83 Arizona State Plane Central (International feet). Data is presented in NAD83 UTM Zone 12N (meters) National Elevation Dataset. Horizontal resolution of approximately 10m and vertical resolution of 1m Drill holes are located with a hand-held GPS with an estimated horizontal accuracy of $\pm 5m$. Collar location is subsequently recaptured using a DGPS system with an estimated accuracy of $\pm 0.5m$
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The data spacing of the new drilling results reported is insufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for Mineral Resource estimation



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The relationship between drilling orientation and orientation of key mineralised structures is yet to be determined
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Core boxes are collected at the drill rig by Company personnel and transported to the Tucson logging facility. After logging the core is delivered by Company personnel to ALS Minerals' Tucson facilities for cutting, sampling, sample preparation and assaying.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No audits or reviews of sampling techniques have been completed.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Oracle Ridge Mine Project (Project) is located in the Marble Peak area, approximately 30 kilometres by air northeast of Tucson, Arizona, U.S.A. It is located in Sections 17, 18, 19 and 20 of Township 11 South, Range 16 East, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian of the U.S. cadastral system. The geographical coordinates are approximately Latitude 32°28' North, Longitude 110°41' West. The Project is 100% owned by Eagle Mountain Mining Limited through its Arizona subsidiaries Wedgetail Operations LLC (100%) and Wedgetail Holdings LLC (100%). The Project consists of four main areas: Oracle Ridge, OREX, Golden Eagle and Red Hawk. Oracle Ridge (including historical Tailings Storage Facility) Oracle Ridge comprises 57 Patented Mining Claims and 45 Unpatented Mining Claims within the Coronado National Forest (United States Forest Service). 100% of the mineral rights starting from 15.2m (50 feet) below



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>surface are owned by Wedgetail Operations LLC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• In 2009, the surface rights for the area necessary for potential mining access (e.g. portals), processing facilities and offices have been secured by an industrial property lease. Under the agreement, Wedgetail Operations LLC leases the surface rights to the project for the purpose of carrying out its exploration, potential development and mining. The lease has an initial term of three years and is renewable for nine additional extensions of three years each.• A separate surface access agreement is in place to allow access to drill sites and drill pads construction.• The mineral rights of Patented Claims at Oracle Ridge are likely to have a reversionary interest to Marble Mountain Ventures, which occurs on 18 February 2025, unless the Company exercises its Extension Option upon which the Company's interests in the mineral rights are extended to 18 February 2040.• There is a 3% net smelter returns royalty on the future sale of any metals and minerals derived from the Oracle Ridge mine. <p>OREX</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The OREX area is covered by 93 Unpatented Mining Claims within the Coronado National Forest (United States Forest Service).• 100% of the mineral rights are owned by Wedgetail Operations LLC• The OREX area is also partly covered by Patented Mining Claims controlled by Pima County. The Company has an agreement in place for non-ground disturbing exploration work to occur on Pima County's Patented Mining Claims. The Company does not currently control the Mineral Rights over Pima County's claims <p>Golden Eagle</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Golden Eagle area is covered by 3 Patented Mining Claims and 32 Unpatented Mining Claims within the Coronado National Forest (United States Forest Service).• 100% of the mineral rights are owned by Wedgetail Operations LLC• The Golden Eagle area is also partly covered by Patented Mining Claims controlled by Pima County. The Company has an agreement in place for non-ground disturbing exploration work to



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>occur on Pima County's Patented Mining Claims. The Company does not currently control the Mineral Rights over Pima County's claims</p> <p>Red Hawk</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Red Hawk area is covered by 24 Unpatented Mining Claims within the Coronado National Forest (United States Forest Service).• 100% of the mineral rights are owned by Wedgetail Operations LLC• The land tenure is secure at the time of reporting and there are no known impediments to obtaining permits to operate in the area.
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	<p>Oracle Ridge</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Oracle Ridge Mining District was discovered in 1873. In 1881, an 18 tonne per day copper smelter was erected at nearby Apache Camp. The ore for this smelter was supplied from the Hartman, Homestake, Leatherwood, Stratton, Geesman and other small mines in the area.• Phelps Dodge Copper Company (Phelps Dodge) entered the District in 1910 and undertook considerable development and exploration work.• Continental Copper, Inc began exploring in the District in the 1950s. Continental leased the property in 1968 with an option to purchase and undertook a large exploration and development program. This was the first time there was a large scale assessment of the mineralisation.• Union Miniere began a new exploration program in April 1980. In 1984, a feasibility study for an 1,814 short ton per day operation was completed.• In October 1988, South Atlantic Ventures acquired Union Miniere's interest and entered into a 70-30 partnership with Continental to develop the mine. Minproc Engineers Inc. was contracted to supervise the confirmatory metallurgical test work. A detailed design was started in November 1989 on a column flotation plant. Construction of the facility commenced in April 1990 and the first ore was processed through the plant on March 3, 1991. The capacity of the mill was initially set at 771 short ton per day. The mill capacity was later expanded to approximately 1,000 short ton per day.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The mine closed in 1996. Production records show that approximately 1,200,000 short tons were milled since commencement of the operation. Between 2009 and 2015 the project was owned by Oracle Ridge Mining, a TSX-V listed company, which drilled approximately 130 surface and underground holes. <p>Golden Eagle</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small scale mining occurred in the Golden Eagle area in the first half of the 1900s focussed on gold. The largest operation was the Sanderson Mine. The mine is part of the Golden Eagle mineralised system but is located outside the Company's landholding. It reported smelter returns between 1936 and 1941 averaging 0.4 Oz/short ton Au (13.7 g/t Au), 0.65 Oz/ton Ag (22.3 g/t Ag) and 0.46% Cu (small tonnage). Oracle Ridge mining conducted exploration at Golden Eagle in the mid-1990s. A geophysical magnetic survey was flown over the area. Few magnetic anomalies, postulated to be magnetite-rich skarn were tested by reconnaissance drilling. Results were not deemed sufficiently encouraging and no further drilling was conducted in the area. <p>OREX</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Details of historical (pre-1980s) exploration and mining activities in the OREX area are not known. Few small-scale workings were found during mapping. In 1980 a Joint Venture between Gulf Minerals Corporation and W.R. Grace Company completed mapping of the area and drilled 7 holes. Results of the program were reviewed by Oracle Ridge Mining Partners and summarised in an internal communication in 1992. <p>Red Hawk</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No historical exploration nor mining activities are known for the Red Hawk area
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The deposit is classified as copper dominated skarn. Minerals representative of both prograde and retrograde skarn development are present, the former being represented by diopside and garnets, the latter by epidote, magnetite and chlorite. Copper dominated mineralisation generally contain chalcopyrite



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>and bornite. The deposits are most commonly associated with Andean-type plutons intruded in older continental-margin carbonate sequences. The associated intrusive rocks are commonly porphyritic stocks, dikes and breccia pipes of quartz diorite, granodiorite, monzo-granite and tonalite composition, intruding carbonate rocks, calcareous-volcanic or tuffaceous rocks. The deposits shapes vary from stratiform and tabular to vertical pipes, narrow lenses, and irregular zones that are controlled by intrusive contacts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The copper rich skarn deposits at Oracle Ridge are found in conformable lens along the contact with the Leatherwood Granodiorite or associated with faults and shear zones which intersect the Leatherwood. These have acted as feeders into the reactive carbonate horizons. The latter can form a “Christmas Tree” type shape.
<p>Drill hole Information</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See body of announcement including Attachment 1.
<p>Data aggregation methods</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For WT-series drilling, exploration results are reported as weighted averages of assays equal or above a 1% copper cut-off. Lower grade intersections are reported as weighted averages of assays equal or above a 0.6% copper cut-off. Intersections start and end at a sample at or exceeding the specified cut-off. For GE-series drilling, exploration results are reported as weighted averages of assays equal or above a 0.5g/t gold cut-off. Intersections start and end at a sample at or exceeding the specified cut-off. No metal equivalents reported



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> • <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All intervals reported are down hole length. True widths are not known at this stage.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See body of announcement
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All exploration results obtained so far have been reported.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No other meaningful and material exploration data beyond this and previous ASX announcements by the Company
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> • <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further work will include interpretation of logging and assay results when they become available. Additional drill holes will be completed at Oracle Ridge in the coming weeks.