

Costa Fuego

Timing is Everything

June 13-16th 2022
Toronto, Canada



www.hotchili.net.au



ASX: HCH | TSXV: HCH | OTCQB: HHLKF

Disclaimer

This document is for information purposes only and should not be considered a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a security. This document does not constitute an offering memorandum or an offer or solicitation in any province or other jurisdiction in which an offer or solicitation is not authorized. A preliminary prospectus dated November 3, 2021 containing important information relating to the securities described in this document has been filed with the securities regulatory authorities in each of the provinces of Canada, other than Quebec. A copy of the preliminary prospectus, and any amendment, is required to be delivered with this document. The preliminary prospectus is still subject to completion. There will not be any sale or any acceptance of an offer to buy the securities until a receipt for the final prospectus has been issued. The securities may not be sold until a receipt for the prospectus is obtained from the securities regulatory authorities. No securities regulatory authority has expressed an opinion about these securities and it is an offence to claim otherwise. The preliminary prospectus constitutes a public offering of the securities only in those jurisdictions where they may be lawfully offered for sale and, in such jurisdictions, only by persons permitted to sell such securities. This document does not provide full disclosure of all material facts relating to the securities offered and is not subject to liability for misrepresentations under applicable Canadian securities laws. Investors should read the preliminary prospectus, the final prospectus and any amendment for disclosure of those facts, especially risk factors relating to the securities offered, before making an investment decision. This presentation has been prepared in connection with an offering of securities of Hot Chili Limited (the "Company").

The Securities have not been and will not be registered under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "U.S. Securities Act"), or any state securities laws and may not be offered or sold in the United States, or to or for the account or benefit of a U.S. Person (as defined in Regulation S under the U.S. Securities Act), except in compliance with the registration requirements of the U.S. Securities Act and applicable state securities laws or pursuant to an exemption therefrom. This document does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any of the Securities in the United States.

Forward-Looking Statements

This presentation contains certain statements which contain "forward-looking information" within the meaning of Canadian securities legislation (each a "forward-looking statement"). No assurance can be given that these expectations will prove to be correct and such forward-looking statements included in this presentation should not be unduly relied upon. Forward-looking information is by its nature prospective and requires the Company to make certain assumptions and is subject to inherent risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. The use of any of the words "anticipate", "plan", "contemplate", "continue", "estimate", "expect", "intend", "propose", "might", "may", "will", "shall", "project", "should", "could", "would", "believe", "predict", "forecast", "pursue", "potential", "capable", "budget", "pro forma" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements within this document are based on information currently available and what management believes are reasonable assumptions. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this presentation. In addition, this presentation may contain forward-looking statements attributed to third-party industry sources, the accuracy of which has not been verified by the Company.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. A number of factors could cause actual results to differ materially from a conclusion, forecast or projection contained in the forward-looking statements in this Prospectus, including, but not limited to, the following material factors: operational risks associated with the COVID-19 pandemic; risks related to the cost estimates of exploration; sovereign risks associated with the Company's operations in Chile; changes in estimates of mineral resources of properties where the Company holds interests; recruiting qualified personnel and retaining key personnel; future financial needs and availability of adequate financing; fluctuations in mineral prices; market volatility; exchange rate fluctuations; ability to exploit successful discoveries; the production at or performance of properties where the Company holds interests; ability to retain title to mining concessions; environmental risks; financial failure or default of joint venture partners, contractors or service providers; competition risks; economic and market conditions; and other risks and uncertainties described elsewhere in this presentation and in the prospectus.

Such factors are discussed in more detail under the heading "Risk Factors" in the prospectus. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for management to predict all of those factors or to assess in advance the impact of each such factor on the Company's business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

The forward-looking statements contained in this presentation are expressly qualified by the foregoing cautionary statements and are made as of the date of this presentation. Except as may be required by applicable securities laws, the Company does not undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this presentation or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, whether as a result of new information, future events or results, or otherwise. Prospective investors should read this entire presentation and consult their own professional advisors to ascertain and assess the income tax and legal risks and other aspects of their investment in the Ordinary Shares.

Although the forward-looking statements contained in this presentation are based upon assumptions which the Company believes to be reasonable, the Company cannot assure holders or prospective purchasers of Ordinary Shares that actual results will be consistent with these forward-looking statements. With respect to forward-looking statements contained in this Prospectus, the Company has made assumptions regarding: future commodity prices; availability of skilled labour; timing and amount of capital expenditures; future currency exchange and interest rates; the impact of increasing competition; general conditions in economic and financial markets; availability of drilling and related equipment; effects of regulation by governmental agencies; future tax rates; future operating costs; availability of future sources of funding; ability to obtain financing and assumptions underlying estimates related to adjusted funds from operations. The Company has included the above summary of assumptions and risks related to forward-looking information provided in this presentation in order to provide holders and prospective purchasers of Ordinary Shares with a more complete perspective on the Company's future operations and such information may not be appropriate for other purposes. The Company's actual results, performance or achievement could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements and, accordingly, no assurance can be given that any of the events anticipated by the forward-looking statements will transpire or occur, or if any of them do so, what benefits the Company will derive therefrom. These forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this presentation and the Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or results or otherwise, other than as required by applicable securities laws.

Right Project, Right Team, Right Time

Rising demand and paucity of new copper supply will drive Cu prices



✓ **Only low-altitude sizeable copper developer positioned for production in next 5 years**

✓ **Backed by Glencore**

✓ **Strong ESG credentials**

✓ **Lack of new Cu supply + rising demand = higher Cu price**



2021 Achievements

Delivered into guidance



- ✓ **Completed 47,000m** of resource upgrade drilling at Cortadera
- ✓ **Started Costa Fuego PFS** – due Q3/22
- ✓ **Attracted a major diversified miner** (Glencore) as a core 9.96% shareholder
- ✓ Made final payment for **100% ownership of Cortadera**
- ✓ **Consolidated capital** structure
- ✓ Completed **successful TSXV listing** in Canada

Leadership – Fit For Purpose

Chilean and exploration, permitting, project financing, construction and operating expertise



Board

Dr Nicole Adshead-Bell, Chairman
Appointed March 2022

Geologist with >25 years combined technical, corporate (Executive and Director), institutional investor, investment banking and project financing experience

Christian Easterday, Managing Director & CEO

Geologist & Mineral Economist with >20 years global experience, fluent Spanish, founding Director

Roberto de Andraca Adriasola, Director

Chilean National with over 25 years experience in the finance and mining sectors

Mark Jamieson, Director (Glencore Nominee)*

General Manager Resource Engineering for Glencore's global copper group; engineer with >20 years global mining experience, including sub level and block cave mines

Dr Allan Trench, Director

Geologist/geophysicist with >28 years global technical management consulting, academic and advisory experience

Randall Nickson, Director

Geological engineer with >36 years global experience including 14 years in Chile focused on copper exploration, fluent Spanish

Management

Penelope Beattie, Company Secretary & CFO

Chartered CA with >20 years global experience

Grant King, COO

Mining Engineer with >20 years global experience, including open pit, sub level and block cave projects and mines

José Ignacio Silva, Country Manager & Chief Legal Counsel

Chilean National and lawyer with >15 years global legal and mining sector experience

Andrea Aravena, Geology Manger – Chile

Chilean National and geologist >14 years Chilean mining/exploration experience

John Hearne, Executive Studies Manager

Mining engineer with >35 years global mining experience across all stages of the mining life cycle

Kirsty Sheerin, Resource Development Manager

Resource geologist with >14 years global mining experience

Dr Steve Garwin, Chief Technical Advisor

Geologist with >28 years experience and a leading authority on porphyry, epithermal and Carlin-style mineralization in the circum-Pacific region

Dr John Beeson, Lead Structural Geologist

Geologist with >25 years experience in global exploration

**Glencore retains the right to appoint a Director to the Board, subject to holding at least 7.5% of the share capital of Hot Chili, except where Glencore does not have the opportunity to participate in a dilution event. Refer to 2 August 2021 ASX Announcement for details.*

Corporate Overview

Top 5 shareholders total >37% ownership, fully funded to mid-2023


ASX: HCH | TSXV: HCH | OTCQB: HHLKF



Capital Structure

Issued Shares	112,857,080
Share Price	A\$1.07 (10 June 22)
Mkt Capitalisation	A\$121 M (10 June 22)
Mkt Capitalisation (fully diluted)	A\$143 M (incl Con Notes, Options, Performance Rights)
Cash	A\$24 M (approx.)
Expected Cash Inflows in 2022	VAT Recovery & CMP + A\$4.5 M (estimated)

3 Year Share Price Performance



Top 5 Shareholders

10.33%	CDS & Co
9.96%	Glencore
6.37%	KAS & Blue Spec Group
5.31%	Roytor & Co
5.16%	GS Group Australia

Analyst Coverage

Australia

Veritas Securities
Argonaut Securities

Canada

IA Capital Markets
Cormark Securities
Fundamental Research

UK


Hannam & Partners

Chile – Home to Copper Giants

Top 20 copper mines by annual production



Copper is a critical metal in the global electrification movement that is forecast to drive copper demand through the next decade



Chile is home to 7 of the 20 largest copper mines in the world.

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, 2022



CHILE

COSTA FUEGO PROJECT

Costa Fuego is a Copper Super-Hub

Low elevation, proximal infrastructure decreases economic hurdle



Top 10* Undeveloped Cu Resource (S&P) on coast of #1 Global Producer - Chile

**Q1 22 - Resource Upgrade
On-time, In-Guidance**

**2.8 Mt (Ind)
0.6 Mt (Inf)**

Copper

**2.6 Moz (Ind)
0.4 Moz (Inf)**

Gold

Costa Fuego
(Copper Super-Hub)

(Copper Super-Hub)



Los Lasas Port

La Serena

Santiago

Chile

Copiapo

Pan-American Highway

Vallenar

20km Radius

~600km

Argentina



Chile Coastal Range Projects

Australian Head Office

* Top 10 Cu Resource/Reserve (Active), at PFS level or above, with low operational risk (S&P, 2022)

Valentina

Productora

Costa Fuego

Copper Hub

San Antonio

Cortadera

Keys to Success in Big Copper Timing!

Grade

Top 5 in 20 largest undeveloped Cu projects (non-major)

Geometry

Two large-scale deposits, from surface, low strip-ratio, open pit

Metallurgy


Good recovery, clean concentrate (no arsenic), sea water processing

Infrastructure

Low-altitude, 50km from port, 17km from grid power, PanAmerican Hwy

Next Level of Growth & Location, Location, Location

Low altitude, infrastructure and access with 55km to port⁽¹⁾



↑ +67%
Ind Resource

↑ +53%
HG Ind Resource
(One Third of Resource Base)

156Mt @ 0.79% CuEq for 1Mt Cu & 0.85Moz Au


(1) See slides 34-36 for complete Resource disclosure of the Projects

Paucity of New Copper Discoveries

Cortadera is just one of two major global copper discoveries since 2016



Cortadera - New Large Cu Discovery



Cortadera Porphyry Discovery

1.7Mt Cu & 1.8Moz Au (Ind) in 30 Months

Speed of advancement demonstrates quality




Cortadera Timeline

- ✓ Deal to acquire 100% of Cortadera in Feb/19
- ✓ Delivered **compelling drill results** by Jul/19
- ✓ **Resource Estimates** in Oct/20 & March/22
- ✓ **Largest coastal discovery in Chile since Candelaria**

DD Pre-collar drilling, Cortadera – 2021

Cortadera – Over 92,000m drilling

Centerpiece of Costa Fuego Copper Super Hub



Standout Drill Results

Discovery footprint defined but not closed-off

2 diamond drill rigs active

Next phase of drilling underway

Results pending


Note: Only Hot Chili's best drilling intersections annotated on the plan. Drilling to date comprises 23,230m of historical DD drilling & 69,610m of HCH RC & DD drilling. Down-hole 2m composite assays coloured by Cu % grade, magenta denotes grade above 0.5% copper

Cortadera - Open Pit & UG Resource

Over 1km Vertical Copper-Gold Porphyry Extent



Cortadera Resource					
	+0.21CuEq* OP/+0.3%CuEq UG		Contained Metal		
	Mt	CuEq%	Cu	Au	Mo
M+I	471	0.46	1.7Mt	1.8Moz	32kt
Inf	108	0.35	0.3Mt	0.3Moz	7t




(1) See slide 35 for complete Resource disclosure of Cortadera

Cortadera's Cuerpo 3 Porphyry

Large High Grade Core Expanded & Upgraded to Indicated



Cuerpo 3 Plan view – MRE sliced at 500RL (+/-60m clipping on drilling)



Post-mineral dykes

6813600mN

6813300mN

900m

336000mE

336300mE

600m

300m



Dr Steve Garwin
(SOLG & HCH)
Leading HCH
technical team


**Robust
Definition of
High Grade
Core**

**Ready for Mine
Optimisation
(Open Pit & UG)**

(1) See slide 35 for complete Resource disclosure of Cortadera

Productora Copper-Gold Deposit

Upgraded Resource Estimate for Front-End Mine Schedule





(1) See slide 36 for complete Resource disclosure of Productora

Productora – Updated and Shovel Ready

Shallow High Grade Resources for First Decade of Production



- New resource following 18 month review of data and new mine development
- Material increase in high grade (+0.6% CuEq) Indicated material, as well as improved spatial continuity of mineralisation



(1) See slide 36 for complete Resource disclosure of Productora


San Antonio Maiden Resource

First of the High Grade Satellite deposits for Costa Fuego



San Antonio Resource


+0.21%CuEq*		Contained Metal			
Mt	CuEq%	Cu	Au	Mo	
M+I					
Inf	4.2	1.2	47kt	2koz	6t



(1) See slide 35 for complete Resource disclosure of San Antonio

New Drill Results – Next Level of Growth

High Grade Growth at Cortadera Continues



New Results Post March 2022

876m grading 0.5% CuEq from 246m depth, including **206m grading 0.9% CuEq**

552m grading 0.6% CuEq from 276m depth, including **248m at 0.8% CuEq**

658m grading 0.6% CuEq from 232m depth, including **134m grading 0.8% CuEq**
130m grading 0.9% CuEq

High Grade Satellite Drilling Delivers

Drilling Underway at Valentina and San Antonio



➤ **Stunning 17m visual drill result at Valentina (VALMET-002 – Results Pending)**

- **17m visual estimate of 2-3% copper mineralisation from 22m depth**
(malachite, copper clays, chalcopryrite, chalcocite and covellite)
- **Incl 8m visual estimate of 4-5% copper mineralisation from 28m depth**
(chalcocite, cuprite, chalcopryrite, covellite, malachite, copper clays)

➤ **Extends high grade copper zone by 120m strike length**


➤ **Results pending for 10 drill holes**

➤ **Mineralisation open, further 8 drill holes planned**

Drilling at Valentina, June 2022

Valentina – Pretty in Pink


Shallow High Grade Resource Potential Open for Growth



Refer to ASX Announcement "Stunning 17m Visual Drill Intersection at Valentina" (13th June 2022).

Regional Organic Growth Opportunities

Large porphyry cluster potential Ready to Drill



Santiago Z

Larger footprint than Cortadera

Drilling planned to commence in July

Cortadera

Along-strike of discovery window not tested & open

Drilling planned to commence in August

Positioned for Development – 82% Ind


Costa Fuego is one of the few global copper development projects with no infrastructure or permitting impediments to timely production



➤ One of the few **low-altitude, no arsenic, infrastructure heavy, major copper development projects**

World's Largest Undeveloped Copper Mineral Resources Not Controlled by a Major Mining Company

Ranked by Measured and Indicated Copper Equivalent Tonnes, Colour indicates S&P assessment of Operational Risk




1 - Graph constructed from public information (used without the consent of the source) and normalised using this price deck: Copper 3.30 USD/lb, Gold 1,700 USD/oz, Molybdenum 14 USD/lb, Silver 20 USD/oz, Platinum 1,050 USD/oz, Palladium 1,400 USD/oz, Cobalt 14 USD/lb, Nickel 7 USD/lb. Copper Equivalent grade and tonnes calculated using these prices and recoveries declared in each project's public company documents.

2 - Hot Chili assembled the data from S&P and company public reports and announcements in March 2022.

Re-Rating Opportunity

Hot Chili has one of the most advanced copper development projects in the Americas, with one of the lowest economic hurdles



Source: Pricing data is as at February 10, 2022; Company Filings; Resources normalized using following price deck: Copper US\$3.00/lb, Gold US\$1,700/oz, Silver US\$20/oz, and Molybdenum US\$14/lb | PFS for the Productora Copper Project, Atacama, Chile; Report date October 28, 2021 | Mineral Resource Estimate for the Cortadera Copper Deposit, Atacama, Chile; Report date October 28, 2021 | Kwanika Project Resource Estimate Update 2019; Report date April 17, 2019 | Stardust Project Updated Mineral Resource Estimate; Report date May 17, 2021 | AntaKori Project Technical Report; Report date February 22, 2019 | PEA for the Vizcachitas Project; Report date May 10, 2019 | PEA for the Casino Project; Report date June 22, 2021 | PEA Marimaca Project; Report date August 4, 2020 | FS for the Josemaria Copper-Gold Project, San Juan Province, Argentina; Report date September 28, 2020 | Alpala Porphyry Copper-Gold-Silver Deposit Mineral Resource Estimate; Report date March 18, 2020 | PFS for the Filo del Sol Project; Report date January 13, 2019 | Resource Estimate for the Warintza Central Cu-Mo Porphyry Deposit; Report date December 13, 2019 | La Verde Copper Project Technical Report; Report date June 20, 2018. *Lundin Mining announced its intention to acquire Josemaria 20 December 2021

Responsible, Respectful & Sustainable

Building trust with all stakeholders



Pro-active Approach

- ✓ Engaged **Digbee ESG**
- ✓ Implementing **ESG Board Committee**

Environmental

- ✓ Leveraging **existing infrastructure** (port, power, roads)
- ✓ Foundation of **low-emission Chilean grid power**
- ✓ Aim to use high percentage of **solar power**
- ✓ **Sea water** for future processing (water license granted)

Social

- ✓ Chilean focused goods and services
- ✓ Direct taxes and royalties, employee taxes, multiplier effect
- ✓ Existing and planned community programmes
- ✓ Workplace health and safety, employee engagement

Governance

- ✓ Transparency, accountability and integrity
- ✓ **Broad view of diversity – through all levels of Company**
- ✓ **ESG reporting**



Major Growth Catalysts

A\$24M in cash, fully funded to mid-2023 for development & growth objectives



- ✓ Q1 2022: Sizeable exploration drill programme now underway, with **3 drill rigs operating**
- ✓ Q1 2022: Concentrate off-take agreement executed
 - 60% off-take for first 8 years of production at benchmark terms**
- ✓ Q1 2022: Costa Fuego material resource upgrade
- Q3 2022: **Port access** definitive agreement
- **Q4 2022: Complete Resource upgrade** *(Revised)*
- **Q1 2023: Complete Pre-Feasibility Study** *(Revised)*
- Q1 2023: **Start Feasibility Study**
- Q1 2023: **Start project financing discussions**, options include royalty/streaming (gold), lending funds, traditional bank debt and equity financing

Overlooked & Undervalued

Ready to rerate in 2022



- **Most undervalued** junior company with a material and advanced senior copper development project (PFS level)
- **Low economic and time hurdle** to development
- Backed by diversified major – **Glencore**
- Near-term material **resource growth catalyst Q4/22**
- **Favourable supply/demand** fundamentals will drive copper price, copper stockpiles at record lows
- **Top 10*** low-risk, undeveloped copper resource
- **Organic growth** potential – drilling underway

CRP0061D Core, Cortadera – Feb 2021


* Top 10 Cu Resource/Reserve (Active), at PFS level or above, with low operational risk (S&P, 2022)

APPENDIX



The Top 20 Copper Mines by Capacity

Thousand metric tonnes copper



Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, 2022

Copper Overtakes Gold

Annual Markets in 2022




A key ingredient in the “Electrify Everything” movement, Copper’s rising price has more than doubled its market worth since 2016.

In 2022, Copper prices have held, entrenching Copper as the second largest metal market, worth more than USD 200B each year. The February 2022 Spot price is 4.47 USD/lb.



The largest metal market by tonnage and dollar value is iron ore with production of more than 2.3B tonnes in 2020. The July 2021 Spot price is 150 USD/t.



Oil \$2,607B

The global market value for oil eclipses the metal markets. Production was 88.4 million barrels per day in 2020. The July 2021 Spot price was 93 USD/bl.



In 2015, Gold was the world’s largest metal market by dollar. It has been overtaken by Iron, Copper and Aluminium and is now the fourth largest metal market. The February 2022 Spot price is 1,819 USD/oz.

In the mid-1800s Aluminium was a precious metal worth more than gold. Considered a base metal for the past century, in 2022 a strong price run has lifted the Aluminium market value above Gold. The February 2022 Spot price is 3,120 USD/t.



Costa Fuego Benchmark Graph Detail



Project	Class	Mt	Cu%	Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz	Mo ppm	Mo kt	CuEq%	CuEq Mt	Average Processing Recovery	Reported Level of Study	Report Date	Report Source																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
Pebble	MI	6,456	0.40	25.8	0.34	71	1.7	345	240	1,551	0.71	46.1	Cu=84%, Au=73%, Mo=80%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2017	SEDAR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
	Inf	4,454	0.25	11.1	0.25	36	1.2	170	226	1,007	0.50	22.3					Los Azules	Ind	962	0.48	4.6	0.05	2	1.8	56			0.50	4.8	Cu=90%, Au=27%, Ag=25%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2017	SEDAR	Inf	2,666	0.33	8.8	0.04	4	1.6	135			0.34	9.2	Cascabel	MI	2,663	0.37	9.9	0.25	22	1.1	92			0.49	13.1	Cu=89%, Au=54%, Ag=54%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	544	0.24	1.3	0.11	2	0.61	11			0.29	1.6	Los Helados	Ind	2,099	0.38	8.0	0.15	10	1.4	93			0.49	10.2	Cu=88%, Au=78%, Ag=48%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	827	0.32	2.6	0.10	3	1.3	35			0.39	3.3	Altar	Class	Mt	Sulfide Cu%	Sulfide Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz			CuEq%	CuEq Mt	Cu=92%, Au=50%, Ag=51%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2018	SEDAR	MI	2,057	0.32	6.6	0.08	5	0.9	63			0.36	7.3	Inf	557	0.28	1.6	0.06	1	0.88	16			0.31	1.7	Vizcachitas	MI	1,284	0.40	5.1			1.1	43	141	400	0.45	5.7	Cu=91%, Mo=80%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	789	0.34	2.7			0.88	22	127	221	0.38	3.0	Casino	Mill MI	2,173	0.16	3.4	0.18	13	1.4	100	169	368	0.35	7.6	#REF!	Feasibility Study	2020	SEDAR	Mill Inf	1,430	0.10	1.5	0.14	6	1.2	54	102	146	0.24	3.4	Leach MI	217	0.03	0.1	0.25	2	1.9	13			0.76	1.6	Leach Inf	31	0.03	0.01	0.17	0	1.7	2			0.52	0.2	Josemaria	Ind	1,066	0.31	3.3	0.22	7	1.0	35			0.45	4.8	Cu=86%, Au=71%, Ag=59%	Pre-feasibility Study	2018	SEDAR	Inf	404	0.24	0.9	0.15	2	0.83	11			0.34	1.4	Canariaco Norte	MI	1,003	0.40	4.1	0.06	2	1.7	55			0.44	4.4	Cu=90%, Au=55%, Ag=50%	Pre-feasibility Study	2011	SEDAR	Inf	293	0.33	1.0	0.05	0	1.4
Los Azules	Ind	962	0.48	4.6	0.05	2	1.8	56			0.50	4.8	Cu=90%, Au=27%, Ag=25%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2017	SEDAR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
	Inf	2,666	0.33	8.8	0.04	4	1.6	135			0.34	9.2					Cascabel	MI	2,663	0.37	9.9	0.25	22	1.1	92			0.49	13.1	Cu=89%, Au=54%, Ag=54%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	544	0.24	1.3	0.11	2	0.61	11			0.29	1.6	Los Helados	Ind	2,099	0.38	8.0	0.15	10	1.4	93			0.49	10.2	Cu=88%, Au=78%, Ag=48%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	827	0.32	2.6	0.10	3	1.3	35			0.39	3.3	Altar	Class	Mt	Sulfide Cu%	Sulfide Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz			CuEq%	CuEq Mt	Cu=92%, Au=50%, Ag=51%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2018	SEDAR	MI	2,057	0.32	6.6	0.08	5	0.9	63			0.36	7.3		Inf	557	0.28	1.6	0.06	1	0.88	16			0.31	1.7					Vizcachitas	MI	1,284	0.40	5.1			1.1	43	141	400	0.45	5.7	Cu=91%, Mo=80%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	789	0.34	2.7			0.88	22	127	221	0.38	3.0	Casino	Mill MI	2,173	0.16	3.4	0.18	13	1.4	100	169	368	0.35	7.6	#REF!	Feasibility Study	2020	SEDAR	Mill Inf	1,430	0.10	1.5	0.14	6	1.2		54	102	146	0.24	3.4	Leach MI	217	0.03	0.1	0.25	2	1.9					13			0.76	1.6	Leach Inf	31	0.03	0.01	0.17	0	1.7	2			0.52	0.2	Josemaria	Ind	1,066	0.31	3.3	0.22	7	1.0	35			0.45	4.8	Cu=86%, Au=71%, Ag=59%	Pre-feasibility Study	2018	SEDAR	Inf	404	0.24	0.9	0.15	2	0.83	11			0.34	1.4	Canariaco Norte	MI	1,003	0.40	4.1	0.06	2	1.7	55			0.44	4.4	Cu=90%, Au=55%, Ag=50%	Pre-feasibility Study	2011	SEDAR	Inf	293	0.33	1.0	0.05	0	1.4	14			0.36	1.1														
Cascabel	MI	2,663	0.37	9.9	0.25	22	1.1	92			0.49	13.1	Cu=89%, Au=54%, Ag=54%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
	Inf	544	0.24	1.3	0.11	2	0.61	11			0.29	1.6					Los Helados	Ind	2,099	0.38	8.0	0.15	10	1.4	93			0.49	10.2	Cu=88%, Au=78%, Ag=48%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	827	0.32	2.6	0.10	3	1.3	35			0.39	3.3	Altar	Class	Mt	Sulfide Cu%	Sulfide Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz			CuEq%	CuEq Mt	Cu=92%, Au=50%, Ag=51%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2018	SEDAR	MI	2,057	0.32	6.6	0.08	5	0.9	63			0.36	7.3		Inf	557	0.28	1.6	0.06	1	0.88	16			0.31	1.7					Vizcachitas	MI	1,284	0.40	5.1			1.1	43	141	400	0.45	5.7	Cu=91%, Mo=80%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	789	0.34	2.7			0.88	22	127	221	0.38	3.0	Casino	Mill MI	2,173	0.16	3.4	0.18	13	1.4	100	169	368	0.35	7.6	#REF!	Feasibility Study	2020	SEDAR	Mill Inf	1,430	0.10	1.5	0.14	6	1.2	54	102	146	0.24	3.4		Leach MI	217	0.03	0.1	0.25	2	1.9	13			0.76	1.6					Leach Inf	31	0.03	0.01	0.17	0	1.7	2			0.52	0.2	Josemaria	Ind	1,066	0.31	3.3	0.22	7	1.0	35			0.45	4.8	Cu=86%, Au=71%, Ag=59%	Pre-feasibility Study	2018	SEDAR	Inf	404	0.24	0.9	0.15	2	0.83	11			0.34	1.4	Canariaco Norte	MI	1,003	0.40	4.1	0.06	2	1.7	55			0.44	4.4	Cu=90%, Au=55%, Ag=50%	Pre-feasibility Study	2011	SEDAR	Inf	293	0.33	1.0	0.05	0	1.4	14			0.36	1.1																																											
Los Helados	Ind	2,099	0.38	8.0	0.15	10	1.4	93			0.49	10.2	Cu=88%, Au=78%, Ag=48%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
	Inf	827	0.32	2.6	0.10	3	1.3	35			0.39	3.3					Altar	Class	Mt	Sulfide Cu%	Sulfide Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz			CuEq%	CuEq Mt	Cu=92%, Au=50%, Ag=51%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2018	SEDAR	MI	2,057	0.32	6.6	0.08	5	0.9	63			0.36	7.3		Inf	557	0.28	1.6	0.06	1	0.88	16			0.31	1.7					Vizcachitas	MI	1,284	0.40	5.1			1.1	43	141	400	0.45	5.7	Cu=91%, Mo=80%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	789	0.34	2.7			0.88	22	127	221	0.38	3.0	Casino	Mill MI	2,173	0.16	3.4	0.18	13	1.4	100	169	368	0.35	7.6	#REF!	Feasibility Study	2020	SEDAR	Mill Inf	1,430	0.10	1.5	0.14	6	1.2	54	102	146	0.24	3.4		Leach MI	217	0.03	0.1	0.25	2	1.9	13			0.76	1.6					Leach Inf	31	0.03	0.01	0.17	0	1.7	2			0.52	0.2	Josemaria	Ind	1,066	0.31	3.3	0.22	7	1.0	35			0.45	4.8	Cu=86%, Au=71%, Ag=59%	Pre-feasibility Study	2018	SEDAR	Inf	404	0.24	0.9	0.15	2	0.83	11			0.34	1.4	Canariaco Norte	MI	1,003	0.40	4.1	0.06	2	1.7	55			0.44	4.4	Cu=90%, Au=55%, Ag=50%	Pre-feasibility Study	2011	SEDAR	Inf	293	0.33	1.0	0.05	0	1.4	14			0.36	1.1																																																																								
Altar	Class	Mt	Sulfide Cu%	Sulfide Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz			CuEq%	CuEq Mt	Cu=92%, Au=50%, Ag=51%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2018	SEDAR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
	MI	2,057	0.32	6.6	0.08	5	0.9	63			0.36	7.3																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
	Inf	557	0.28	1.6	0.06	1	0.88	16			0.31	1.7					Vizcachitas	MI	1,284	0.40	5.1			1.1	43	141	400	0.45	5.7	Cu=91%, Mo=80%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR	Inf	789	0.34	2.7			0.88	22	127	221	0.38	3.0	Casino	Mill MI	2,173	0.16	3.4	0.18	13	1.4	100	169	368	0.35	7.6	#REF!	Feasibility Study	2020	SEDAR	Mill Inf	1,430	0.10	1.5	0.14	6	1.2	54	102	146	0.24	3.4	Leach MI	217	0.03	0.1	0.25	2	1.9	13			0.76	1.6	Leach Inf	31	0.03	0.01	0.17		0	1.7	2			0.52	0.2	Josemaria	Ind	1,066	0.31	3.3					0.22	7	1.0	35			0.45	4.8	Cu=86%, Au=71%, Ag=59%	Pre-feasibility Study	2018	SEDAR	Inf	404	0.24	0.9	0.15	2	0.83	11			0.34	1.4	Canariaco Norte	MI	1,003	0.40	4.1	0.06	2	1.7	55			0.44	4.4	Cu=90%, Au=55%, Ag=50%	Pre-feasibility Study	2011	SEDAR	Inf	293	0.33	1.0	0.05	0	1.4	14			0.36	1.1																																																																																																																						
Vizcachitas	MI	1,284	0.40	5.1			1.1	43	141	400	0.45	5.7	Cu=91%, Mo=80%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2019	SEDAR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
	Inf	789	0.34	2.7			0.88	22	127	221	0.38	3.0					Casino	Mill MI	2,173	0.16	3.4	0.18	13	1.4	100	169	368	0.35	7.6	#REF!	Feasibility Study	2020	SEDAR	Mill Inf	1,430	0.10	1.5	0.14	6	1.2	54	102	146	0.24	3.4		Leach MI	217	0.03	0.1	0.25	2	1.9	13			0.76	1.6					Leach Inf	31	0.03	0.01	0.17	0	1.7	2			0.52	0.2	Josemaria	Ind	1,066	0.31	3.3	0.22	7	1.0	35			0.45	4.8	Cu=86%, Au=71%, Ag=59%	Pre-feasibility Study	2018	SEDAR	Inf	404	0.24	0.9	0.15	2	0.83	11			0.34	1.4	Canariaco Norte	MI	1,003	0.40	4.1	0.06	2	1.7	55			0.44	4.4	Cu=90%, Au=55%, Ag=50%	Pre-feasibility Study	2011	SEDAR	Inf	293	0.33	1.0	0.05	0	1.4	14			0.36	1.1																																																																																																																																																			
Casino	Mill MI	2,173	0.16	3.4	0.18	13	1.4	100	169	368	0.35	7.6	#REF!	Feasibility Study	2020	SEDAR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
	Mill Inf	1,430	0.10	1.5	0.14	6	1.2	54	102	146	0.24	3.4																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
	Leach MI	217	0.03	0.1	0.25	2	1.9	13			0.76	1.6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
	Leach Inf	31	0.03	0.01	0.17	0	1.7	2			0.52	0.2					Josemaria	Ind	1,066	0.31	3.3	0.22	7	1.0	35			0.45	4.8	Cu=86%, Au=71%, Ag=59%	Pre-feasibility Study	2018	SEDAR	Inf	404	0.24	0.9	0.15	2	0.83	11			0.34	1.4	Canariaco Norte	MI	1,003	0.40	4.1	0.06	2	1.7	55			0.44	4.4	Cu=90%, Au=55%, Ag=50%	Pre-feasibility Study	2011	SEDAR	Inf	293	0.33	1.0	0.05	0	1.4	14			0.36	1.1																																																																																																																																																																																																													
Josemaria	Ind	1,066	0.31	3.3	0.22	7	1.0	35			0.45	4.8	Cu=86%, Au=71%, Ag=59%	Pre-feasibility Study	2018	SEDAR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
	Inf	404	0.24	0.9	0.15	2	0.83	11			0.34	1.4					Canariaco Norte	MI	1,003	0.40	4.1	0.06	2	1.7	55			0.44	4.4	Cu=90%, Au=55%, Ag=50%	Pre-feasibility Study	2011	SEDAR	Inf	293	0.33	1.0	0.05	0	1.4	14			0.36	1.1																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Canariaco Norte	MI	1,003	0.40	4.1	0.06	2	1.7	55			0.44	4.4	Cu=90%, Au=55%, Ag=50%	Pre-feasibility Study	2011	SEDAR																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
	Inf	293	0.33	1.0	0.05	0	1.4	14			0.36	1.1																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											

Costa Fuego Benchmark Graph Detail Cont.



Project	Class	Mt	Cu%	Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz	Mo ppm	Mo kt	CuEq%	CuEq Mt	Average Processing Recovery	Reported Level of Study	Report Date	Report Source
Northmet	Class	Mt	Cu%	Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz			CuEq%	CuEq Mt		Feasibility Study	2019	SEDAR
	MI	795	0.23	1.9	0.03	0.8	0.9	22			0.52	4.1	Cu=91%, Ni=61%, Pt=79%, Pd=74%, Au=60%, Co=30%, Ag=57%			
	Inf	458	0.24	1.1	0.03	0.5	0.9	13			0.52	2.4				
	Class	Mt	Ni%	Ni Mt	Pt g/t	Pt Moz	Pd g/t	Pd Moz	Co ppm	Co Mt						
	MI	795	0.07	0.3	0.06	0.9	0.2	3.0	68	0.03						
	Inf	458	0.07	0.3	0.06	0.9	0.2	3.3	56	0.03						
King-king	MI	962	0.23	2.2	0.32	10					0.55	5.3	Cu=71%, Au=75%	Pre-feasibility Study	2013	SEDAR
	Inf	189	0.22	0.4	0.26	1.6					0.45	0.9				
Yandera	Mill MI	665	0.33	2.2	0.07	1			104	69	0.40	2.7	Cu=87%, Au=63% Mo=78%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2016	SEDAR
	Mill Inf	212	0.29	0.6	0.04	0.2			52	11	0.33	0.7				
	Leach MI	64	0.34	0.2	0.08	0.2			63	4	0.39	0.2				
	Leach Inf	19	0.26	0.05	0.03	0.0			54	1	0.28	0.1				
Costa Fuego	Ind	391	0.43	1.7	0.12	2	0.3	4	95	37	0.52	2.1	Cu=83%, Au=51%, Mo=67%, Ag=23%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2020	ASX Announcement
	Inf	334	0.36	1.2	0.11	1.2	0.52	6	80	27	0.44	1.4				
La Verde	MI	408	0.41	1.7	0.03	0	2.4	32			0.45	1.8	Cu=89%, Au=75% Ag=76%	Preliminary Economic Assessment	2018	SEDAR
	Inf	338	0.37	1.3	0.02	0.2	1.9	21			0.40	1.3				
Los Calatos	MI	137	0.73	1.0					435	59	0.87	1.2	Cu=87%, Mo=68%	Scoping Study	2015	ASX Announcement
	Inf	216	0.78	1.7					245	53	0.85	1.8				
Antakori	Ind	250	0.48	1.2	0.29	2	7.5	61			0.66	1.6	Cu=85%, Au=55% Ag=50%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2019	SEDAR
	Inf	267	0.41	1.1	0.26	2.2	7.8	67			0.57	1.5				
Kharm-agtai	Ind	129	0.36	0.5	0.36	1					0.58	0.8	Cu=85%, Au=70%	Scoping Study	2019	ASX Announcement
	Inf	469	0.31	1.5	0.19	2.8					0.43	2.0				
Winu	Inf	503	0.35	1.8	0.27	3.0	2.2	3			0.50	2.5	Cu=93%, Au=63% Ag=52%	Mineral Resource Estimate	2020	ASX Announcement
Hillside	Mill MI	203	0.58	1.2	0.14	1					0.67	1.4	Cu=92%, Au=78%	Feasibility	2020	ASX Announcement
	Mill Inf	114	0.60	0.7	0.10	0.4					0.66	0.8				
	Leach MI	20	0.53	0.1	0.21	0.1					0.53	0.1				
	Leach Inf	0.2	0.70	0.001	0.20	0.001					0.70	0.001				

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS



Qualifying Statements

Scientific & Technical Information (NI 43-101)



QUALIFIED PERSON AND REPORTING STANDARD

The Cortadera, Productora and San Antonio MRE's are reported to the standard of the Canadian National Instrument 43-101 "Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects", and as such have been completed by a Qualified Person (QP). A QP under NI43-101 guidelines is interchangeable with a Competent Person (CP) under the JORC Code and has been referred to as such below.

FURTHER INFORMATION

For further information on the Productura Project, please see the report titled "Productora Copper Project Preliminary Feasibility Study, Chile", effective dated 28 October 2021, prepared by Boris Caro of Caro & Navarro Limitada, Leendert (Leon) Lorenzen of Mintrex Pty Ltd, Tom Kendall of Mintrex Pty Ltd, and Elizabeth Haren of Haren Consulting, available on the website of the Company and under the profile of the Company on www.sedar.com.

For further information on the Cortadera Project, please see the report titled "Cortadera Copper Deposit, Mineral Resource Estimate, Chile", effective dated 28 October 2021 prepared by Elizabeth Haren of Haren Consulting, available on the website of the Company and under the profile of the Company on www.sedar.com.

CAUTIONARY NOTE TO U.S. INVESTORS CONCERNING ESTIMATES OF MEASURED, INDICATED AND INFERRED RESOURCES

This presentation uses the terms "Measured", "Indicated" and "Inferred" Resources as defined in accordance with NI 43-101. United State readers are advised that while such terms are recognized and required by Canadian securities laws, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission does not recognize them. Under United States standards, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve calculation is made. United States readers are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of the mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into reserves. In addition, "Inferred Resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an Inferred Resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. United States readers are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an Inferred Resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.

QUALIFIED PERSON

Competent Person's Statement- Exploration Results

Exploration information in this Announcement is based upon work compiled by Mr Christian Easterday, the Managing Director and a full-time employee of Hot Chili Limited whom is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG). Mr Easterday has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a 'Competent Person' as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' (JORC Code). Mr Easterday consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

Competent Person's Statement- Costa Fuego Mineral Resources

The information in this report that relates to Mineral Resources for Cortadera, Productora and San Antonio which constitute the combined Costa Fuego Project is based on information compiled by Ms Elizabeth Haren, a Competent Person who is a Member and Chartered Professional of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Ms Haren is a full-time employee of Haren Consulting Pty Ltd and an independent consultant to Hot Chili. Ms Haren has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and types of deposits under consideration and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code of Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Ms Haren consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on her information in the form and context in which it appears. For further information on the Costa Fuego Project, refer to the technical report titled "Resource Report for the Costa Fuego Technical Report", dated December 13, 2021, which is available for review under Hot Chili's profile at www.sedar.com.

Notes to Mineral Resource Disclosure



Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Costa Fuego Copper-Gold Project Mineral Resource Estimate, March 2022 (using +0.25% CuEq cut-off grade) and by open pit (top), underground (middle) and total (bottom),

Costa Fuego OP Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
(+0.21% CuEq*)	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	576	0.46	0.37	0.10	0.37	91	2,658,000	2,145,000	1,929,000	6,808,000	52,200
M+I Total	576	0.46	0.37	0.10	0.37	91	2,658,000	2,145,000	1,929,000	6,808,000	52,200
Inferred	147	0.35	0.30	0.05	0.23	68	520,000	436,000	220,000	1,062,000	10,000

Costa Fuego UG Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
(+0.30% CuEq*)	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	148	0.51	0.39	0.12	0.78	102	750,000	578,000	559,000	3,702,000	15,000
M+I Total	148	0.51	0.39	0.12	0.78	102	750,000	578,000	559,000	3,702,000	15,000
Inferred	56	0.38	0.30	0.08	0.54	61	211,000	170,000	139,000	971,000	3,400

Costa Fuego Total Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	725	0.47	0.38	0.11	0.45	93	3,408,000	2,755,000	2,564,000	10,489,000	67,400
M+I Total	725	0.47	0.38	0.11	0.45	93	3,408,000	2,755,000	2,564,000	10,489,000	67,400
Inferred	202	0.36	0.30	0.06	0.31	66	731,000	605,000	359,000	2,032,000	13,400

¹ Reported on a 100% Basis - combining Mineral Resource estimates for the Cortadera, Productora and San Antonio deposits. Figures are rounded, reported to appropriate significant figures, and reported in accordance with CIM and NI 43-101. Metal rounded to nearest thousand, or if less, to the nearest hundred. Total Resource reported at +0.21% CuEq for open pit and +0.30% CuEq for underground

² Copper Equivalent (CuEq) reported for the resource were calculated using the following formula: $CuEq = ((Cu\% \times Cu \text{ price } 1\% \text{ per tonne} \times Cu_recovery) + (Mo \text{ ppm} \times Mo \text{ price per g/t} \times Mo_recovery) + (Au \text{ ppm} \times Au \text{ price per g/t} \times Au_recovery) + (Ag \text{ ppm} \times Ag \text{ price per g/t} \times Ag_recovery)) / (Cu \text{ price } 1\% \text{ per tonne})$. The Metal Prices applied in the calculation were: Cu=3.00 USD/lb, Au=1,700 USD/oz, Mo=14 USD/lb, and Ag=20 USD/oz. For Cortadera and San Antonio (Inferred + Indicated), the average Metallurgical Recoveries were: Cu=83%, Au=56%, Mo=82%, and Ag=37%. For Productora (Inferred + Indicated), the average Metallurgical Recoveries were: Cu=83%, Au=43% and Mo=42%. For Costa Fuego (Inferred + Indicated), the average Metallurgical Recoveries were: Cu=83%, Au=51%, Mo=67% and Ag=23%

Notes to Mineral Resource Disclosure



Cortadera Deposit Mineral Resource Estimate, March 2022 (open pit, using +0.21% CuEq cut-off grade & UG using 0.30% CuEq)

Cortadera OP Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
(+0.21% CuEq*)	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	323	0.44	0.34	0.12	0.66	53	1,411,000	1,102,000	1,284,000	6,808,000	17,100
M+I Total	323	0.44	0.34	0.12	0.66	53	1,411,000	1,102,000	1,284,000	6,808,000	17,100
Inferred	53	0.32	0.25	0.08	0.46	62	168,000	132,000	135,000	778,000	3,300

Cortadera UG Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
(+0.30% CuEq*)	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	148	0.51	0.39	0.12	0.78	102	750,000	578,000	559,000	3,702,000	15,000
M+I Total	148	0.51	0.39	0.12	0.78	102	750,000	578,000	559,000	3,702,000	15,000
Inferred	56	0.38	0.30	0.08	0.54	61	211,000	170,000	139,000	971,000	3,400

Cortadera Total Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	471	0.46	0.36	0.12	0.69	68	2,161,000	1,680,000	1,843,000	10,509,000	32,200
M+I Total	471	0.46	0.36	0.12	0.69	68	2,161,000	1,680,000	1,843,000	10,509,000	32,200
Inferred	108	0.35	0.28	0.08	0.50	62	379,000	301,000	274,000	1,749,000	6,700

¹ Reported on a 100% Basis - combining Mineral Resource estimates for the Cortadera, Productora and San Antonio deposits. Figures are rounded, reported to appropriate significant figures, and reported in accordance with CIM and NI 43-101. Metal rounded to nearest thousand, or if less, to the nearest hundred. Total Resource reported at +0.21% CuEq for open pit and +0.30% CuEq for underground

² Copper Equivalent (CuEq) reported for the resource were calculated using the following formula: $CuEq\% = ((Cu\% \times Cu \text{ price } 1\% \text{ per tonne} \times Cu_recovery) + (Mo \text{ ppm} \times Mo \text{ price per g/t} \times Mo_recovery) + (Au \text{ ppm} \times Au \text{ price per g/t} \times Au_recovery) + (Ag \text{ ppm} \times Ag \text{ price per g/t} \times Ag_recovery)) / (Cu \text{ price } 1\% \text{ per tonne})$. The Metal Prices applied in the calculation were: Cu=3.00 USD/lb, Au=1,700 USD/oz, Mo=14 USD/lb, and Ag=20 USD/oz. For Cortadera and San Antonio (Inferred + Indicated), the average Metallurgical Recoveries were: Cu=83%, Au=56%, Mo=82%, and Ag=37%. For Productora (Inferred + Indicated), the average Metallurgical Recoveries were: Cu=83%, Au=43% and Mo=42%. For Costa Fuego (Inferred + Indicated), the average Metallurgical Recoveries were: Cu=83%, Au=51%, Mo=67% and Ag=23%

Notes to Mineral Resource Disclosure



Productora Deposit Mineral Resource Estimate, March 2022- reported by classification (open pit, using +0.21% CuEq cut-off grade)

Productora Total Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
(+0.21% CuEq*)	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	253	0.49	0.41	0.08		139	1,247,000	1,043,000	646,000		35,100
M+I Total	253	0.49	0.41	0.08		139	1,247,000	1,043,000	646,000		35,100
Inferred	90	0.34	0.29	0.03		75	305,000	259,000	91,000		6,800

San Antonio Deposit Mineral Resource Estimate, March 2022 reported by classification (open pit, using +0.21% CuEq cut-off grade)

San Antonio Total Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
(+0.21% CuEq*)	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Inferred	4.2	1.2	1.1	0.01	2.1	1.5	48,100	47,400	2,000	287,400	6

¹ Reported on a 100% Basis - combining Mineral Resource estimates for the Cortadera, Productora and San Antonio deposits. Figures are rounded, reported to appropriate significant figures, and reported in accordance with CIM and NI 43-101. Metal rounded to nearest thousand, or if less, to the nearest hundred. Total Resource reported at +0.21% CuEq for open pit and +0.30% CuEq for underground

² Copper Equivalent (CuEq) reported for the resource were calculated using the following formula: $CuEq\% = ((Cu\% \times Cu\ price\ 1\% \ per\ tonne \times Cu_recovery) + (Mo\ ppm \times Mo\ price\ per\ g/t \times Mo_recovery) + (Au\ ppm \times Au\ price\ per\ g/t \times Au_recovery) + (Ag\ ppm \times Ag\ price\ per\ g/t \times Ag_recovery)) / (Cu\ price\ 1\% \ per\ tonne)$. The Metal Prices applied in the calculation were: Cu=3.00 USD/lb, Au=1,700 USD/oz, Mo=14 USD/lb, and Ag=20 USD/oz. For Cortadera and San Antonio (Inferred + Indicated), the average Metallurgical Recoveries were: Cu=83%, Au=56%, Mo=82%, and Ag=37%. For Productora (Inferred + Indicated), the average Metallurgical Recoveries were: Cu=83%, Au=43% and Mo=42%. For Costa Fuego (Inferred + Indicated), the average Metallurgical Recoveries were: Cu=83%, Au=51%, Mo=67% and Ag=23%

Details for Significant Drilling Results In Presentation



Hole_ID	Coordinates			Azim	Dip	Hole Depth	Intersection		Interval (m)	Copper (% Cu)	Gold (g/t Au)	Silver (ppm Ag)	Molybdenum (ppm Mo)
	North	East	RL				From	To					
CRP0011D	6813925	336192.8	1027.481	45	-65	959.9	112	960	848	0.4	0.2	0.8	50
						<i>including</i>	720	904	184	0.7	0.3	1.4	74
CRP0013D	6814070	336347.881	1019.822	360	-90	1185.9	204	954	750	0.6	0.2	1.1	79
						<i>including</i>	516	704	188	0.9	0.4	1.7	94
						<i>or including</i>	530	630	100	1.0	0.5	2.4	96
CRP0017D	6813739	336307	1066	75	-75	1,133.5	328	924	596	0.5	0.2	0.8	80
						<i>including</i>	430	614	184	0.7	0.3	1.3	6
CRP0020D	6813855	336256	989	45	-65	1036.6	0	972	972	0.5	0.2	0.9	49
						<i>including</i>	436	848	412	0.7	0.3	1.5	59
CRP0029D	6814031	336225.0305	1016.7226	47	-73	979.2	330	979.2	649	0.4	0.1	0.8	101
	<i>to end of hole</i>					<i>including</i>	472	912	440	0.5	0.2	0.9	115
CRP0032D	6813851	336312	1057.083	224	-70	1,021	648	1,021	373	0.4	0.1	0.7	116
	<i>to end of hole</i>					<i>including</i>	676	806	130	0.5	0.2	0.9	165
CRP0040D	6813278	336235	1082	25	-60	1027.3	422	964	542	0.5	0.2	0.9	103
						<i>including</i>	616	834	218	0.7	0.2	1.2	119
CRP0042D	6813273	335968.033	1106.15	40	-62	943	616	930.0	314	0.4	0.1	0.3	213
CRP0046D	6813763	336183	1026.06	147	-60	1,101	248	362	114	0.5	0.2	0.7	17
							568	753	185	0.5	0.2	0.9	41
CRP0047D	6813692.46	336497	1049.96	227	-60	1148.6	720	938	218	0.5	0.1	0.8	147
						<i>including</i>	720	744	24	0.7	0.2	1.2	74
						<i>including</i>	756	890	134	0.6	0.2	1.0	177
CRP0052D	6813690	336496	1050.77	195	-70	1036.2	524	906	382	0.4	0.1	1.1	229
						<i>including</i>	646	790	144	0.5	0.2	2.3	229
						<i>including</i>	654	734	80	0.6	0.2	0.9	246
CRP0061D	6813542.06	336010	1027.41	109	-77	867	54	867	813.1	0.4	0.1	0.7	72
	<i>(to end of hole, hole abandoned early)</i>					<i>including</i>	440	758	318	0.6	0.2	1.0	89
CRD0080	6813391.2	335926	1092.8	35	-70	1,474	536	1372	836	0.4	0.1	0.8	109
						<i>including</i>	536	972	436	0.5	0.2	0.9	154
CRP0088D	6813365	336621	1060	286	-63	1434	426	912	486	0.5	0.2	0.8	77
						<i>including</i>	682	850	168	0.8	0.3	1.4	109
						<i>or including</i>	714	830	116	0.9	0.3	1.5	130
						<i>or including</i>	718	780	62	1	0.4	1.6	96
CRP0124D	6813694	336500	1049	239	-75.0	1020	480	842	362	0.5	0.2	0.9	123
						<i>including</i>	628	776	148	0.6	0.3	1.3	150
						<i>or including</i>	628	730	102	0.7	0.3	1.3	195
						<i>or including</i>	634	716	82	0.7	0.3	1.3	225
CRP0134D	6813615	336269	1027	96.42	-75.8	1025	216	826	610	0.4	0.1	0.7	206
						<i>including</i>	502	568	66	0.6	0.2	0.9	159
						<i>including</i>	634	772	138	0.6	0.1	1.4	486

Significant intercepts are calculated above a nominal cut-off grade of 0.2% Cu.

Where appropriate, significant intersections may contain up to 30m down-hole distance of internal dilution (less than 0.2% Cu). Significant intersections are separated where internal dilution is greater than 30m down-hole distance.

The selection of 0.2% Cu for significant intersection cut-off grade is aligned with marginal economic cut-off grade for bulk tonnage polymetallic copper deposits of similar grade in Chile and elsewhere in the world.

Sampling, Analysis & Data Verification



A fixed cone splitter was used to create two nominal 12.5% samples (Sample "A" and "B"), along with the large bulk reject sample. The "A" sample is always taken from the same sampling chute, and comprises the primary sample submitted to the laboratory. The "B" samples were retained for use as the field duplicate sample. The coarse residues were collected into large plastic bags and were retained on the ground near the drillhole collar, generally in rows of 50 bags.

All RC drillhole sampling was executed at two metre intervals. Within logged mineralisation zones, the 2 m sample ("A" sample) was submitted. Outside the main mineralised zones (as determined by the logging geologist), 4 m composites were created from scoops of 2 m sample residues over this interval. The composited 4m samples were analysed first and, if required, the individual and original 2 m "A" samples comprising this 4m interval were sent for analysis. This ensured that no mineralisation was missed while minimising analytical costs.

At Cortadera, the majority of diamond core has had systematic half-core sampled at two-metre intervals. Half-core was chosen as the preferred sampling method to ensure a representative sample was submitted for analysis, while also retaining half-core for review of lithology and mineralisation, and for further test work as required.

Prior to the cutting and sample process, two additional samples are also taken for Cortadera being Density and Geotechnical samples.

- Density samples are selected every 30 m if the geological conditions allow it and are provided to the laboratory for testwork.
- Geotechnical samples are taken for tests including triaxial (one sample per 250m) and uniaxial tests (one sample per 50 m).

Once assigned a sample number, individual samples to be sent to ALS laboratories were sealed using a staple gun and accompanied by three identical sample tickets (one stapled to plastic bag to identify any tampering/breakage of seal prior to opening at the laboratory in preparation and another placed in the bag). Any broken staple seals on samples were to be notified by ALS to Hot Chili. No sealed bags were reported as being opened or broken by ALS.

For both RC and diamond samples, sample bags were placed inside larger plastic bags and delivered by a dedicated truck to the ALS analytical laboratory in Coquimbo (Chile) for sample preparation and routine analysis.

Following analysis at ALS, the RC and diamond drilling coarse rejects were returned to site and stored in sequence in plastic bags under shade cloth at Hot Chili's nearby Productora core farm. The laboratory pulps were returned and stored at the Productora core farm where they are stored in organised, dry and safe storage containers.

Sampling, Analysis & Data Verification Cont.



Hot Chili has strict chain of custody security procedures for all samples sent to and from the analytical laboratories.

The ALS analytical laboratory in Coquimbo (Chile) completed all sample preparation and specific gravity test work, while ALS Santiago (Chile) completed all gold analysis, and ALS Lima (Peru) completed all other multielement analysis for the Cortadera assays used in the resource estimate. Hot Chili has implemented rigorous sample preparation and analytical procedures for both RC and diamond core samples, following consultation with ALS in Chile, to ensure that mineralised assays were reported with a high degree of confidence and a wide range of appropriate commodities were assessed.

Samples have been analysed by certified laboratories in Chile and Lima, Peru by standard analytical techniques including:

- Copper, silver and molybdenum were analysed by 4-acid digestion (Hydrochloric-Nitric- Perchloric-Hydrofluoric) followed by evaluation using Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectrometry ("**ICP-OES**") or Atomic Absorption Spectrometry ("**AAS**");
- Copper results > 10,000 ppm were analysed by "ore grade" method Cu-AA62 (upper limit 40% Cu);
- Samples within the oxide and transitional weathering domains (as determined by geologists' logging) were analysed for "soluble copper" (upper limit 10% Cu) to detect the leachability of copper oxide minerals within these domains; and
- Gold was analysed by 30 or 50 g lead-collection Fire Assay, followed by ICP-OES or AAS.

The verification of input data included the use of company QA/QC blanks and reference material, field and laboratory duplicates, umpire laboratory checks and independent sample and assay verification.

The Qualified Person has assessed the drillhole database validation work and QAQC undertaken by Hot Chili and was satisfied the input data could be relied upon for the estimation of Indicated and Inferred Classified Mineral Resources.



Hot Chili Limited ACN 130 955 725

First Floor, 768 Canning Highway, Applecross,
Western Australia 6153

PO Box 1725, Applecross, Western Australia 6953

P: +61 8 9315 9009

F: +61 8 9315 5004

www.hotchili.net.au

Mr Christian Easterday
Managing Director

E: admin@hotchili.net.au

ASX Investor

**Investor & Public
Relations (Australia)**

Email: eliza@asxinvestor.com.au

Harbor Access

**Investor & Public
Relations (Canada)**

Email: Graham.Farrell@harboraccessllc.com

Email: Jonathan.Paterson@harboraccessllc.com