



# Drill results extend gold zone and surprises with high grade copper hit

Advanced gold and copper explorer, Alice Queen Limited (**ASX:AQX**) ("**Alice Queen**" or the "**Company**") is pleased to provide all gold assays and preliminary copper results from its recently completed diamond core drilling program testing the Jedha-1 target within a larger scale DDIP anomaly (18gha), located at the Southern Silicified Ridge on Horn Island Gold in the Torres Strait, Queensland.

## Highlights

- ◆ Diamond Core program of 3 holes for ~1,100m testing the Jedha-1 target within the large DDIP anomaly at the Southern Silicified Ridge (SSR) extends gold zone to depth and remains open (see Figure 1)
- ◆ High grade intercept result occurs within a broad gold zone
  - 19m @ 0.3 g/t Au from 171m *incl. 1m @ 3.5 g/t Au from 181m, & incl. 1m @ 3.0% Cu from 173m*  
Remaining multi element assays from core samples are pending and expected in four weeks
- ◆ All holes returned gold intercepts with the best gold results being:
  - 1m @ 2.4 g/t Au from 73m (22NGD106)
  - 1m @ 1.6 g/t Au from 184m (22NGD106)
  - 3m @ 1.2 g/t Au from 59m *incl. 1m @ 3.0 g/t Au from 60m* (22NGD107)
  - 4m @ 4.1 g/ Au from surface (22NGD108)
  - 3m @ 1.1 g/t Au from 41m *incl. 1m @ 2.9 g/t Au from 41m* (22NGD108)
  - 1m @ 4.6g/t Au from 165m (22NGD108)
  - 1m @ 4.2 g/t Au from 244m (22NGD108)

## Alice Queen's Managing Director, Andrew Buxton said,



We remain firm in our view that Horn Island will host multiple deposits and will ultimately represent two to three million ounces of gold. Excitingly also, high grade copper has appeared at depth and is adjacent to an elevated copper zone at surface, east of where this current drill program has occurred. With gold being intersected in each hole, the gold zone at the SSR on Horn Island is only getting larger, extending to depth and remains open.






Figure 1 Plan view of 3D chargeability gradient (6 to 15ms) outlining the Jedha 1 anomaly (189ha) & drill collars. with significant Au (g/t) intercepts.

## Drill Hole Assay Results – Jedha 1 DDIP Anomaly (SSR)

Gold results have been returned from the diamond drill program of 3 holes for ~1,100m testing the Jedha-1 target area within a larger scale DDIP anomaly (189ha) across the SSR prospect. Importantly this large-scale geophysical anomaly has a coincidental surface gold & IRG pathfinder anomalism and represents an advancing & separate focus area located approximately 2.5km south-west from the existing Horn Island gold resource of ~0.52 Moz.

The Mineral Resource Estimate (JORC 2012, Indicated and Inferred) is reported at a > 0.4g/t Au cut off for 16.7Mt at 0.98g/t Au for a total of 524,000 ounces Au (see ASX release 11 November 2021, HORN ISLAND SCOPING STUDY AND MRE).

As a result of this drill program, the gold system at the SSR is persistent to a depth of 200m over a strike of 1km. The system remains open at depth and along strike with further target areas remaining untested from the previously identified geophysical anomaly and coincident surface gold expression over a 5km strike extent.



A number of encouraging observations with elevated gold assays have been returned summarised as follows:

- ◆ Host rock lithologies intersected are comparable with those observed at the ~0.52Moz Au Horn Island gold resource
- ◆ Numerous zones of mineralised multiphase stockwork & veining with associated sericite alteration zones have been intercepted in all drill holes completed.
- ◆ Significant gold assay intercept includes (refer to Tables 1 & 2):
  - **1m @ 0.8 g/t Au from 13m (22NGD106)** (see Figure 3)
  - **5m @ 0.9 g/t Au from 70m incl. 1m @ 2.4 g/t Au from 73m (22NGD106)**
  - **3m @ 0.7 g/t Au from 182m incl. 1m @ 1.6g/t Au from 184m (22NGD106)** (see Figure 3)
  - **3m @ 1.2 g/t Au from 59m incl. 1m @ 3.0 g/t Au from 60m (22NGD107)** (see Figure 4)
  - **12m @ 0.5 g/t Au from surface incl. 4m @ 4.1 g/ Au from surface (22NGD108)**
  - **3m @ 1.1 g/t Au from 41m incl. 1m @ 2.9 g/t Au from 41m (22NGD108)**
  - **9m @ 0.5 g/t from 157m incl. 1m @ 4.6g/t Au from 165m (22NGD108)**
  - **19m @ 0.3 g/t Au from 171m incl. 1m @ 3.5 g/t Au from 181m (22NGD108)** (see Figure 5) & incl. 1m @ 3.0% Cu from 173m
  - **1m @ 4.2 g/t Au from 244m (22NGD108)**

Within a 19m mineralised intersection (22NGD108), as reported above, a high-grade copper intercept was returned including:

- **1m @ 3.0 % Cu from 173m (22NGD108)** (see Figures 2 & 5)




Figure 2 High grade copper (1m @ 3.0% Cu from 173m) recently intersected in drill hole 22NGD108, forms within a mineralised interval reporting 19m @ 0.3 g/t Au from 171m including 1m @ 3.5g/t Au from 181m (22NGD108)



Further technical review will be undertaken once all drill hole multielement results have been returned. In conjunction with geophysical modelling, this will assist with optimising targeting for other drill programs to advance what is considered a new emerging gold zone at Horn Island.




Figure 3 Drill section (22NGD106) testing Jedha 1 anomaly (189ha). Drill hole projected with DDIP chargeability section L2200N.




Figure 4 Drill section (22NGD107) testing Jedha 1 anomaly (189ha). Drill hole projected with DDIP chargeability section L2600N.






Figure 5 Drill section (22NGD108) testing Jedha 1 anomaly (18gha). Drill hole projected with DDIP chargeability section L2400N.

Table 1 Drill Collar locations for recently completed 3 x drill hole diamond drilling program testing the Jedha 1 target (SSR) (GDA94 Zone 54)

Hole_ID	UTM_Northing	UTM_Easting	UTM_RL	TN Azimuth	Dip	Length
22NGD106	8825535	641998	80.9	225	-64	408.9
22NGD107	8825840	641599	68	220	-60	300.8
22NGD108	8825551	641727	82	45	-50	366.6



Table 2 Significant Au intercepts >0.5 g/t Au

Hole ID	from	to	interval	g/t Au	Intercept summary
22NGD106	13	14	1	0.8	1m @ 0.8g/t Au from 13m
22NGD106	70	75	5	0.9	5m @ 0.9g/t Au from 70m
<b><i>incl.</i></b>	<b>73</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b><i>incl. 1m @ 2.4g/t Au fr 73m</i></b>
22NGD106	182	185	3	0.7	1m @ 0.7 g/t Au from 182m
<b><i>incl.</i></b>	<b>184</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b><i>incl. 1m @ 1.6 g/t Au fr 184m</i></b>
22NGD107	59	62	3	1.2	3m @ 1.2g/t Au from 59m
<b><i>incl.</i></b>	<b>60</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b><i>incl. 1m @ 3.0g/t Au fr 60m</i></b>
22NGD107	229	230	1	0.7	1m @ 0.7g/t Au from 228m
22NGD108	0	12	12	0.5	12m @ 0.5 g/t Au from surface
<b><i>incl.</i></b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b><i>incl. 4m @ 4.1 g/t Au fr surface</i></b>
22NGD108	41	44	3	1.1	3m @ 1.1 g/t Au from 41m
<b><i>incl.</i></b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b><i>incl. 1m @ 2.9 g/t Au fr 41m</i></b>
22NGD108	63	64	2	0.5	2m @ 0.5 g/t Au from 63m
22NGD108	157	166	9	0.6	9m @ 0.6 g/t Au from 157m
<b><i>incl.</i></b>	<b>165</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b><i>incl. 1m @ 4.6 g/t Au fr 165m</i></b>
<b>22NGD108</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>1m @ 4.2 g/t Au from 244m</b>
22NGD108	311	312	1	0.6	1m @ 0.6 g/t Au from 311 m

Table 3 Significant Au intercepts >0.3 g/t Au

Hole ID	from	to	interval	g/t Au	Intercept summary
22NGD108	171	190	19	0.3	19m @ 0.3 g/t Au from 171m
<b><i>incl.</i></b>	<b>181</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b><i>incl. 1m @ 3.5 g/t Au fr 181m</i></b>
<b><i>Includes high grade copper interval</i></b>					<b><i>Incl. 1m @ 3 wt% Cu fr 173m</i></b>



## Viani – Fiji

Viani is our highly prospective epithermal gold project located on Vanua Levu in Fiji. The Company is expecting to be making an announcement in relation to exploration results and forward-looking plans within the fortnight.

**Approved by the Board of Alice Queen Limited.**

## For more information:

### Andrew Buxton

Managing Director, Alice Queen Limited  
+61 (0) 403 461 247  
[andrew.buxton@alicequeen.com.au](mailto:andrew.buxton@alicequeen.com.au)

### Investor and Media Queries

Victoria Humphries  
[victoria@nwrcommunications.com.au](mailto:victoria@nwrcommunications.com.au)

## Competent Persons Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to exploration results is based on information compiled by Mr Adrian Hell BSc (Hons) who is a full-time employee of Alice Queen Limited. Mr Hell is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM). Mr Hell has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr Hell consents to the inclusion of this information in the form and context in which it appears in this report.

## ASX Listing Rule 5.23 Statement

The information in this ASX Release that relates to the Company's Mineral Resource estimate is extracted from and was reported in the Company's ASX announcement titled "Horn Island Scoping Study Outcomes and Mineral Resource Estimate" dated 11th November 2021, which is available at [www.asx.com.au](http://www.asx.com.au) the competent person being Mr. Dale Sims who is a chartered Professional Fellow of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientist. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in those announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed

All information mentioned in this report and relating to previous resource estimates, drilling results, have been reported (with JORC tables 1 & 2) in the below ASX releases.

- o ASX Announcement 11th November 2021 titled "Horn Island Scoping Study Outcomes and Mineral Resource Estimate"
- o ASX Announcement 17th October 2018 titled "New Intrusion Related Gold (IRG) Target Zones Identified Across Horn Island"
- o ASX Announcement 30th April 2018 titled "Further Significant Gold Intersected At SSR"
- o ASX Announcement 21st March 2018 titled "High Grade Results Confirm New Gold System At SSR"
- o ASX Announcement 24th January 2018 titled "Horn Island Drilling Update"
- o ASX Announcement 14th December 2017 titled "Drilling Has Commenced at Southern Silicified Ridge (SSR) – Horn Island"



# JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NQ (50.6mm) diameter diamond core drilling completed for exploration program. HQ3 (61.1mm) was used for drilling the soil and regolith profile usually less than 5-15m depth</li> <li>• Drill core has been cut consistently 10 mm to the right of the bottom of hole orientation line with the right-hand side of the core selected for sampling. The remaining other half core remains in the core tray for reference material.</li> </ul>
	<i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Core sample intervals are selected by a geologist to honour lithology, alteration and mineralisation boundaries.</li> <li>• Samples are predominantly selected at 1m intervals.</li> <li>• Entire length, to EOH, of drill core sampled.</li> </ul>
	<i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All drill core samples have been submitted to a contract laboratory North Australian Laboratories for crushing and pulverising to produce a 50g charge for Fire Assay. Selected intervals from hole 22NGD108 have been analysed for Cu.</li> <li>• No multi element analysis via ICP-MS or ICP-OES has been completed to date, however, will be undertaken shortly and reported in due course.</li> <li>• Samples have been collected at the geologist's discretion to represent a particular geological feature, outcrop, vein, or zone. Sampling should not be assumed to be representative of any area or volume.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All AQX drill holes have been completed using diamond HQ3 collar (regolith profile) in combination with NQ from near surface to end of hole (EOH) depths.</li> <li>• Drill core has been orientated using REFLEX EZ-GYRO instrument.</li> <li>• Atlas Copco CS14 track mounted drill rig operated by Eagle Drilling NQ Pty Ltd.</li> <li>• Core sizes includes: HQ3 - core diameter 61.1mm, hole diameter 95.6mm; NQ - core diameter 50.6mm, hole diameter 75.7mm.</li> <li>• Steel casing placed and left in all hole, usually between 3m to 15m depth.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core recovery for all holes has been measured from drillers run blocks with 96% of the sample intervals recovered &gt; 90%, discounting overburden.</li> <li>Poor recovery has been noted in overburden (0-2m depth) and strongly weathered &amp; oxidised zones near surface. This area represents a negligible section of the total drill hole material.</li> <li>In two instances poor total core recovery (TCR &lt;70%) has been noted in hole 22NGD108 between 65.30-66.60m depth (TCR of 46.15%) and between 92.30-94.8m depth (TCR of 52%).</li> </ul>
	<i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diamond core has been reconstructed into continuous runs for orientation marking with depths checked against the depths given on the driller's core blocks.</li> </ul>
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As core recovery is &gt;90% for the fresh mineralisation, there is no evidence a relationship exists between grade and sample recovery.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All AQX drill core has been measured for recovery and RQD by drill run, using the core10 method. Intervals of lost core assessed and assigned.</li> <li>Intervening metre marks have been labelled on the drill core.</li> <li>All diamond core has been logged to industry best standards for lithology, alteration, veining, mineralisation and structure, using specific set of logging codes to ensure consistency in logging between geologists.</li> <li>Structural measurements of specific features i.e. vein orientations, fault and foliation etc... have also been taken for the entire length of orientated drill core.</li> <li>All drill core logging is captured on the company's "in-house" developed Access based digital logging template with a number of validation requirements prior to final acceptance.</li> </ul>
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Logging is quantitative in nature.</li> <li>100% of core has been photographed wet, in shade with high resolution/megapixel camera.</li> </ul>
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drill core has been logged with the information (lithology, structure, alteration, mineralisation and magnetic susceptibility) digitally captured using the company in-house digital "Logger System" built upon an Access database.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All core samples sawn in half using a 'Clipper' core saw with samples selected approximately 10mm right of the orientation line.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b> <i>Continues...</i>	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No non-core sampling completed during drilling</li> <li>Drill core sample preparation has been undertaken at North Australian Laboratories, Pine Creek (NT).</li> <li>Sample preparation process includes coarse crushing, then crushing to 70% passing 2 mm sieve; crushed samples are then split to 1000g using a Jones Riffle splitter. 1000g splits are pulverised to 90% passing 100µm and pulverised splits are re-split to 50g aliquot for fusion and fire assay.</li> <li>Sample preparation at NAL for a 2.5-3kg ½ core sample includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Weighing samples, drying at 120C for 4 hours, entire sample is then crushed to better than 70 % of the sample passing 6 mm, followed by roll crushing it to a nominal -2mm, 1kg sub-sample is split through a Jones Riffle for fine pulverising.</li> <li>Sample is pulverised to 100Um in a VSP [Keegormill], mill is cleaned with compressed air and then a 0.5 Kg barren flush is pulverised between every sample and then again cleaned with compressed air.</li> <li>1 in 20 samples is wet screened to check grinds.</li> <li>Every sample is thoroughly roll mixed on a rubber mat and 500 gram cut as the assay pulp (primary pulp subsample). The balance of the pulverised sample is discarded.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quality control procedures for the AQX samples have included the selection of a consistent side of the core for sampling, sampling the entire length of each drill hole and the use of coarse Blanks (washed white quartz pebbles) and coarse crush duplicates to test for bias and contamination in the sample preparation process.</li> <li>No subsampling of drill core has been undertaken</li> </ul>
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No field duplicates collected during drill core sampling.</li> <li>Lab coarse crush duplicates have been inserted at an approximate ratio of 1:25 samples during drill core sampling.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample size is considered representative to the grain size of the material being sampled</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill core gold assay determined by 50g Fire Assay with Atomic Absorption finish (NAL method FA50, Detection limits 0.01 – 100ppm.</li> <li>No multi-element analysis has been undertaken except for Cu analysis on limited and selected intervals from hole 22NGD108. NAL method G400I - four acid digest with ICP-OES-MS finish. Over grade (&gt;1%Cu) method using G320I.</li> <li>Multielement analysis of remaining samples will be undertaken soon at ALS (Townsville) and reported accordingly.</li> <li>All sample assaying is documented with a finalised assay certificate signed off by qualified assayer.</li> <li>North Australian Laboratories Pty Ltd is a family-owned Mineral Assay Laboratory that has been operating in Pine Creek for the past 36 years. The laboratory is not NATA certified, however, the company has undertaken due diligence prior to contracting the lab and is deemed to be well equipped and sophisticated mineral assay laboratory which meets industry standards</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b> Continues...	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No other tools are used for analysis during drilling and surface sampling.</li> </ul>
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Industry Certified Low Au Grade Reference Materials (CRMs) have been submitted within the sample stream at a frequency of approximately 1 in 25. Quality control data has been plotted on charts with control limits at +/-1<math>\sigma</math>, +/- 2<math>\sigma</math> and +/-3<math>\sigma</math> standard deviations to monitor the level of contamination, accuracy, and precision.</li> <li>All QAQC results have been reviewed by the AQX Competent Person who considers the results to be within acceptable limits. Therefore, the assay results presented are considered valid, accurate and correct.</li> <li>NAL internal CRMs and lab duplicates have also reported prior to release of finalised certificates.</li> <li>All logging and sampling undertaken under the supervision of a qualified geologist.</li> </ul>
	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant intersections from drilling have been reviewed by AQX and contract geologists.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No hole twinning has been undertaken.</li> </ul>
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drill core sampling and analytical data has been stored directly into an in-house developed Access data management system.</li> <li>All data has been maintained, validated, and managed by company contracted administrative geologist.</li> <li>Analytical results received from the lab have been loaded directly into the database with no manual transcription of these results undertaken.</li> <li>Original lab certificates have been stored electronically.</li> </ul>
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adjustment to geochemical data has been undertaken. Below detection limit data presented as 1/10<sup>th</sup> of the lower detection limit of the method and over the detection limit results presented as the upper detection limit of the method.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample locations X Y coordinates have been determined using a handheld GPS (+/-5 m).</li> <li>Elevation corrected using digital elevation model derived from LIDAR data.</li> <li>During drilling, down hole surveys at 30m intervals have been completed using a reflex single shot digital magnetic camera.</li> </ul>
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All locations recorded using map datum GDA94/MGA UTM Zone 54.</li> </ul>
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The topographic control is taken from Digital Elevation Model derived from LIDAR data, Queensland State Government 2011 acquisition (+/-1m)</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill holes are continuously sampled from top of hole to end of hole.</li> <li>Diamond drilling were orientated at approximately 225° azimuth for 22NGD106 and 22NGD107 and ~45° for 22NGD108.</li> <li>Drill holes are inclined -65°(22NGD106), -60°(22NGD107) and -50°(22NGD108) dip from the horizontal.</li> <li>Drill hole spacing is sufficient to report preliminary exploration results, plan for further drilling and be used as a guide to rank prospectivity of the target area in question</li> </ul>
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill core spacing and sample results is not adequate for reporting a mineral resource</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No sample compositing has been applied</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill azimuth of 225° (22NGD106 and 22NGD107) and ~45° (22NGD108) is orthogonal or close to orthogonal to the interpreted vein zones trends of the known mineralisation.</li> <li>Drilling is considered to achieve an unbiased sampling of structures</li> </ul>
	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It's not considered to be the case and therefore not reported.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All sampling has been selected and supervised by a qualified and experienced geologist</li> <li>All drill core samples have been sealed in plastic bags with cable ties immediately after cutting. All drill core and rock chip samples have been stored in a secure, permanently staffed facility prior to shipping.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b> Continues..	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill core sample bags loaded into polyweave sacks, with each sack affixed a numbered tamper-proof security ID tag which has been cross checked upon receipt at destination. Polyweave sacks have been loaded into bulker bags for transport.</li> <li>Drill core sample dispatch travels by ship from Ngurupai (Horn Island) to Cairns, then on shipped to North Australian Laboratories, Pine Creek (NT) by registered road freight. Shipping has been undertaken by reputable transport logistics specialists (Sea Swift Pty Ltd) with freight security protocols.</li> <li>All drill core samples are cleared and monitored during freight by Department of Agriculture (Permit to move Soils approved) and signoff by AQIS.</li> <li>NAL (Pine Creek, NT) provides a sample receipt upon delivery of all samples to its laboratory.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Competent person from AQX has been closely involved in recent drilling and all surface sampling programs and as such has visited the site on numerous occasions.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kauraru Gold Ltd is the 100% undivided and unencumbered owner of EPM25520 covering the Nguruapi Project.</li> <li>• Kauraru Gold Ltd is a joint venture company between Alice Queen Ltd and the Kaurareg Aboriginal Land Trust. Cadastral title for portions of the historic Horn Island Mine site is held by the Torres Shire Council</li> <li>• Other land areas above EPM25520 are held by the Kaurareg Aboriginal Land Trust</li> </ul>
	<i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The tenure is in good standing and operations are compliant.</li> <li>• AQX/Kauraru Gold Ltd knows of no impediment to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Previous explorers include Seltrust Mining Corporation Pty Ltd, BP Minerals, Torres Strait Gold Pty Ltd, Augold NL, Carpentaria Exploration Company Pty Ltd. A modern operation was established by Augold Pty Ltd in 1987 and operated until 1989.</li> <li>• No historic data has been used in this report and therefore not considered material for the purposes of this report.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Geology of the Horn Island Gold Project comprises late-stage post mineralised felsic and mafic dykes and I-type intrusive granitic porphyry rocks (comprising a number of visual phases with corresponding lithochemistry of Late Carboniferous to Early Permian age.</li> <li>• Kauraru Gold is targeting Intrusive Related Gold System (IRGS) type deposits.</li> <li>• The Horn Island gold mineralisation is hosted in a series of clustered quartz-sulphide (dominantly pyrite, galena, and sphalerite) vein arrays, breccia and stockwork zones, this associated with the Intrusion Related Gold Systems (IRGS) similar to other Australian Nth Qld deposits including Ravenswood, Mt Wright, Kidston or Mt Leyshon.</li> <li>• The vein zones at the deposit scale are defined using a recent structural model (refer to ASX release 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2018) and forming from localised brittle shear rotational movement.</li> <li>• Geochemical and petrographic studies indicate gold is associated with base metal sulphides and appears as free gold contained only within the veining. Evidence to date indicates gold does not extend into zones of alteration or within the host rock.</li> <li>• Alteration minerals associated with gold mineralisation mostly comprises sericite, chlorite to silica and indicating Phyllic style alteration. An intense zone of alteration appears central to the resource area associated with the contacts between granite</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>porphyry (QFGP, MFGP) and equigranular granite (EQG) phases. Importantly this alteration zone is considered associated with the main fluid feeder zone for mineralisation. Steeping away from the main alteration zone is very localised alteration associated with veins.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A thin rhyolite dyke occurs across the Horn Island resource area which has little mineralisation associated with it.</li> <li>• A late stage and series of post mineralised very thin andesite dykes occur across resource area which crosscut mineralisation. No economic Au-intercepts has been observed within these dykes.</li> <li>• Alice Queen Limited has reported an updated mineral resource estimate (ASX release 11th November 2021) (indicated and inferred) for the Horn Island gold deposit at 16.7Mt at 0.98g/t gold for 524,000 ounces of gold using a 0.4g/t gold cutoff grade.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Drill hole Information</b></p>	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li>○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i></li> <li>○ <i>hole length.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All drill collar locations are shown in figures and all significant Au assay results &gt; 0.5 g/t Au are provided in this report</li> <li>• Refer to figures and tables contained in this report.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill core Au assay results returning less than 0.3g/t have been excluded from this report.</li> <li>• Resource estimate for Horn Island Gold deposit were included in the Company’s ASX announcement dated 11th November 2021.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All reported drill core assays have been length weighted.</li> <li>No top cutting of assays has been applied for drill assay results.</li> <li>Zones of significance are defined as those greater than 0.3 an 0.5 g/t Au.</li> <li>Mineralised zones have been broadly modelled based on a &gt; 0.1g/t Au cut off</li> <li>For display and statistical purposes, below detection limit assays are set to 10% of the detection limit, i.e. &gt;0.01 g/t is set to 0.001g/t.</li> </ul>
	<i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Subsequent intervals of similar assay grade may be aggregated by length weighting to report a longer composite in text statements.</li> </ul>
	<i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No metal equivalents have been reported</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detailed vein and structural logging, complete with alpha and beta angles or dip and dip direction (field samples) have been used to find common vein cluster orientations.</li> </ul>
	<i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpretation on the geometry of mineralisation is very preliminary. The broad gold zone trend (&gt;0.1 g/t Au) and associated metal enrichment enrichment patterns are yet to be established.</li> <li>The boundaries of the mineralisation in the exploration diamond drilling program, in particular the lateral extents, has not been established by drilling to date. The mineralisation currently remains open in most directions.</li> </ul>
	<i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Down hole lengths only reported for drill data.</li> <li>Intersections represent down hole apparent widths.</li> <li>True width has been estimated to be 80-95% of reported intercept.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Diagrams</b>	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Refer to report for all relevant maps, diagrams and tables</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Au results by 50gFA method have been now returned for the entire length of the holes.</li> <li>• Significant drill hole assay intercepts (&gt;0.3 &amp; &gt;0.5g/t Au) have been reported only. Significant intercepts &gt;0.1g/t Au to 0.5g/t Au have been presented on the section diagrams and form part of the mineralised zone envelope.</li> <li>• Assay results below 0.5g/t Au have not been presented in this report however may form part of the reported average &amp; composite &gt;0.5g/t Au significant assay interval.</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Previous drill hole gold assay data is reported: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ ASX Announcement 17th October 2018 titled “New Intrusion Related Gold (IRG) Target Zones Identified Across Horn Island”</li> <li>○ ASX Announcement 30th April 2018 titled “Further Significant Gold Intersected At SSR”</li> <li>○ ASX Announcement 21st March 2018 titled “High Grade Results Confirm New Gold System At SSR”</li> <li>○ ASX Announcement 24th January 2018 titled “Horn Island Drilling Update”</li> <li>○ ASX Announcement 14th December 2017 titled “Drilling Has Commenced at Southern Silicified Ridge (SSR) – Horn Island”</li> <li>○ ASX Announcement 11th November 2021 titled “Horn Island Scoping Study Outcomes and Mineral Resource Estimate” (indicated and inferred) reported a revised resource estimate at 16.7Mt at 0.98g/t gold for 524,000 ounces of gold using a 0.4g/t gold cut-off grade.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Further work</b>	<p><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p> <p><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Litho-geochemical and IRG pathfinder multielement analysis and 3D modelling using Leapfrog Workflow to be undertaken which will assist with further targeting and follow up work programs</li> <li>• Review of geophysical targets with geochemical and structural results to optimise further drilling</li> <li>• Additional follow up drilling programs to be undertaken</li> </ul>