



ASX RELEASE | 29 June 2022 | ASX: AON

THICK HIGH-GRADE MINERALISATION EXTENDED AT DIKAKI

HIGH-GRADE ZONE FOOTPRINT DOUBLES AT DIKAKI EAST

Apollo Minerals Limited (ASX: AON) (Apollo Minerals or Company) is pleased to report results of additional shallow, high-grade mineralisation at the Dikaki prospect (Dikaki or TP11) within the province-scale Kroussou Zinc-Lead Project (Kroussou or Project) in Gabon.

HIGHLIGHTS:

- Eastern Dikaki high-grade zone (Dikaki-East) doubled, with mineralisation now defined over 500m and open along trend.
- Significant, thick, high-grade, shallow intercepts at Dikaki-East include:
 - 19.8m @ 4.0% Zn+Pb from 51.2m and 3.7m @ 7.5% Zn+Pb from 38.3m within a broader zone of 40.0m @ 3.1% Zn+Pb from 31.1m – DKDD118;
 - 10.1m @ 5.7% Zn+Pb from 15.3m within a broader zone of 19.9m @ 4.0% Zn+Pb from 5.4m – DKDD121; and
 - 13.5m @ 4.0% Zn+Pb from 20.0m within a broader zone of 45.8m @ 2.2% Zn+Pb from 6.6m – DKDD122.
- Newly identified zone of shallow, high-grade, mineralisation in the central portion of Dikaki including:
 - 3.3m @ 5.4% Zn+Pb from 20.0m within a broader zone of 11.4m @ 2.4% Zn+Pb from 16.5m – DKDD111.
- Assays pending for four further drill holes at Dikaki (DKDD134, 138, 139 and 140), which include localised zones of strong visible sulphide mineralisation.
- Two diamond drill rigs are active on site targeting regional exploration targets, TP13 and TP9.
- Airborne electromagnetic (AEM) survey targeting the entire 80km Kroussou trend underway.

Apollo Minerals' Managing Director, Mr Neil Inwood commented:

“Drilling within the previously untested Dikaki-East area has returned impressive intercepts of shallow, high-grade zinc-lead mineralisation. The excellent results from our ongoing drilling campaign add to our confidence that Kroussou is province-scale and highlights the significant future exploration potential of the Project.

Drilling is now focussed on expanding our knowledge of regional target prospects TP9 and TP13, whilst we await additional results from the remainder of the Dikaki drilling.

We have recently commenced an airborne electromagnetic survey covering the entire 80km Kroussou project strike, aiming to highlight further shallow high-grade mineralisation similar to that identified by drilling at Dikaki and Niamabimbou.”

For further information contact:

Neil Inwood
Managing Director
Tel: +61 8 9322 6322

Email: info@apollominerals.com.au

Themis Kailis
Business Development




Figure 1: Location of drilling at Dikaki.

DIKAKI DRILL RESULTS

Results have been received for 18 diamond holes for 1,067m from the 2022 drilling program at Dikaki (TP11). High-grade mineralisation at Dikaki is associated with conglomerate and sandstone units and continues to be zinc-dominant, with zinc comprising 73% of the total Zn+Pb content in the latest results. Significant intercepts (Figure 1) reported in this announcement include:

- **19.8m @ 4.0% Zn+Pb from 51.2m and 3.7m @ 7.5% Zn+Pb from 38.3m** within a broader zone of **40.0m @ 3.1% Zn+Pb from 31.1m** in DKDD118;
- **10.1m @ 5.7% Zn+Pb from 15.3m** within a broader zone of **19.9m @ 4.0% Zn+Pb from 5.4m** in DKDD121;
- **13.5m @ 4.0% Zn+Pb from 20.0m** within a broader zone of **45.8m @ 2.2% Zn+Pb from 6.6m** in DKDD122;
- **4.5m @ 4.5% Zn+Pb from 30.9m** within a broader zone of **36.8m @ 1.3% Zn+Pb from 28.9m** in DKDD108; and
- **3.3m @ 5.4% Zn+Pb from 20.0m** within a broader zone of **11.4m @ 2.4% Zn+Pb from 16.5m** in DKDD111.

Drilling along the developing eastern extension, Dikaki-East, (Figure 1 and 5) has intersected additional shallow, high-grade mineralisation along a developing trend of over 500m (e.g. in drill holes DKDD118, 121 and 122) (Figures 1, 3 and 4).

Drill holes DKDD121 and 122 (Figures 1 and 3) demonstrate on-section extensions of the high-grade mineralisation previously encountered in DKDD094. These holes were drilled 30m and 50m either side of DKDD094, which hosted a combined **29.6m @ 3.6% Zn+Pb from 39.4m** (in two closely located zones of **10.6m @ 3.5% Zn+Pb from 25.5m** and **19.0m @ 3.7% Zn+Pb from 39.4m**) within a total interval of **60.2m @ 2.4% Zn+Pb from 1.9m** (refer ASX announcement 20 April 2022).

The Dikaki-East high-grade zone now extends for over 500m with DKDD118 (**19.8m @ 4.0% Zn+Pb from 51.2m**) successfully demonstrating the extension of high-grade mineralisation 230m to the east of DKDD094.




Figure 2: Sphalerite and galena mineralisation in DKDD121 at Dikaki-East (at 22.5m down-hole). The core sample displays sphalerite (zinc sulphide – ‘sp’) and galena (lead sulphide – ‘gn’) mineralisation from within a zone of mineralisation that returned 10.1m @ 5.7% Zn+Pb from 15.3m. The inset photo illustrates approximately 40% galena, sphalerite and marcasite (iron sulphide - ‘ma’) over an approximately 30cm length (sphalerite appears as light-grey infill around grain boundaries).




Figure 3: Section 641,200mE at Dikaki: Displaying recent drill results for DKDD121 and DKDD122.




Figure 4: Section 641,400mE at Dikaki: Displaying recent drill results for DKDD118 and DKDD123.



Broad-spaced drilling in the central portion of Dikaki has also extended the main mineralised trend to the west (e.g. DKDD108) and has identified new shallow high-grade mineralisation 450m to the west of DKDD108 in drill hole DKDD111 (Figure 1). The mineralisation observed in DKDD111 is significantly higher grade than that recorded in the nearby historical BRGM drilling and now represents an additional area of investigation at Dikaki.




Figure 5: Dikaki Region - displaying mapped channels and focus of current work.

Assays are pending for drill holes DKDD134, 138, 139 and 140 (Figure 1) which all feature localised intervals of strong visible sulphide mineralisation. These new drill holes are on an infill section between the 200m spaced sections, which contain drill holes DKDD094, 121, 122 and 118 - all of which host high-grade mineralisation. Broad mineralised intervals in these drill holes are indicated by logged base-metal sulphides over lengths of up to 20m in true thickness. These intervals contain between 0.5%-15% logged Zn-Pb sulphide minerals (sphalerite + galena) over 1-10m locally, typically in the range of 1-4% sulphides (refer Table 2). Intervals of more intense mineralisation include logged 2-15% Zn-Pb sulphides over 0.2-4.0m.

All significant intersections for the new drill holes, details of the collar position, drill hole orientation and depth, are summarised in Appendix 1 and the location of the latest assays are displayed in Figures 1, 3, 4 and 5.

The initial 2022 Phase 1 drill program at Dikaki, which was designed to test for mineralisation to the east and west of known intercepts on nominal 200m and 400m spaced sections; and to test the entire width of the channel, has now been completed. This program has been particularly successful in discovering high-grade mineralisation in the Dikaki-East area.

The current drilling focus is now on the regional drill targets at TP13 and TP9. At TP13, 11 holes for 425m have been drilled to date and are currently being processed on site (Figure 8).



Figure 6: Helicopter laydown at camp.

2022 WORK PROGRAM

The 2022 planned work program at Kroussou is ongoing and is currently focussed on:

- Regional drilling and targeting at TP13, TP9 and TP8;
- An AEM survey across the whole 80km strike length of prospective geology of the Kroussou project area, which has the potential to highlight further shallow high-grade mineralisation as displayed at Dikaki and Niamabimbou;
- Field exploration to identify new zones of mineralisation at other defined prospects/regional targets through mapping, rock sampling and soil geochemistry;
- Analysis of results from the passive regional seismic program;
- Metallurgical test work to confirm positive high-recovery, high-quality sulphide concentrate production; and
- High level analysis of regional infrastructure options for materials transport.

Exploration activities planned for the September 2022 quarter include exploration drilling at TP13, TP9 and TP8 and field exploration (mapping, rock and soil geochemical surveys). Currently, a two rig diamond drill program is underway at TP13 and will move to TP9. The passive seismic program has been completed and covered regions of TP13, TP9, TP8 and TP4; and is currently being analysed.

An AEM survey is ongoing and scheduled to be completed in July this year.




Figure 7: 2022 Planned Exploration Program Workflow.




Figure 8: Kroussou with key Target Prospects ('TP').



ABOUT THE KROUSSOU PROJECT

Kroussou consists of the Prospecting License G4-569 which covers 986.5km² in the Ngounié Province of Western Gabon located approximately 220km south-south east of the capital city of Libreville (Figure 8 and 9). Gabon is a mining friendly jurisdiction with a long history of successful and stable extractive industry investment and operation.

Kroussou is easily accessible by the major sealed N1 road from Libreville, and well-maintained provincial roads to towns bordering the project. Well-established and wide forestry tracks are present within the project area to the camp and exploration sites.

Historical exploration work at Kroussou identified Zn-Pb mineralisation hosted in Cretaceous sediments within preserved channels lying on unconformable Archaean and Paleoproterozoic basement rocks. Eighteen separate shallow channels with base metal occurrences have been identified along more than 80km of strike length of prospective geology in the project area. The Zn-Pb mineral occurrences represent a province-scale opportunity offering numerous very shallow, near surface base metal targets with multiple opportunities for discovery.

Apollo Minerals completed a maiden drilling campaign in 2021 which returned significant wide Zn+Pb mineralised intercepts from shallow depths at Dikaki and Niamabimbou, two of the 18 channel prospects. The drilling results indicated both a developing discovery at Dikaki and confirmed shallow mineralisation at Niamabimbou. These results validate the province scale, base metal potential of Kroussou. There are multiple opportunities for further discovery of Zn-Pb mineralisation at Kroussou within the remaining untested channels.

The Zn-Pb discoveries made at Kroussou are represented by thick intercepts at shallow depths with geometry that may be favourable to simple low-cost open-pit mining scenarios.

Initial metallurgical test work on the Kroussou Zn-Pb mineralisation has demonstrated the potential for high grade clean concentrates with strong recoveries of both zinc and lead creating expectations for the potential for high payability.

High-level assessment of infrastructure and transport requirements for a future mining operation at Kroussou has indicated the potential for existing capability which will provide the basis for future feasibility study work.



Figure 9: Location of the Kroussou project in Gabon with nearby transport infrastructure.

COMPETENT PERSONS STATEMENT

The information in this announcement that relates to exploration results for Dikaki is based on information reviewed by Mr Neil Inwood, a Competent Person who is a Fellow of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Inwood is Managing Director of Apollo Minerals and is a holder of incentive options, performance rights and shares in Apollo Minerals. Mr Inwood has sufficient experience that is relevant to the styles of mineralisation and types of deposit under consideration, and to the activity being undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" (JORC Code). Mr Inwood consents to the inclusion in the announcement of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this announcement that relates to previous exploration results are extracted from the Company's ASX announcements dated 3 September 2019, 15 January 2021, 30 April 2020, 29 January 2021, 21 July 2021, 30 August 2021, 1 September 2021, 6 October 2021, 11 November 2021, 2 February 2022, 24 February 2022, 16 March 2022, 20 April 2022 and 9 June 2022. These announcements are available to view on the Company's website at www.apollominerals.com. The Company confirms that a) it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the ASX announcements; b) all material assumptions included in the ASX announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed; and c) the form and context in which the relevant Competent Persons' findings are presented in this report have not been materially changed from the ASX announcements.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements regarding plans with respect to Apollo's projects are forward-looking statements. There can be no assurance that the Company's plans for development of its projects will proceed as currently expected. These forward-looking statements are based on the Company's expectations and beliefs concerning future events. Forward looking statements are necessarily subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside the control of the Company, which could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements. The Company makes no undertaking to subsequently update or revise the forward-looking statements made in this announcement, to reflect the circumstances or events after the date of that announcement.

This announcement has been authorised for release by Managing Director, Mr Neil Inwood.



Appendix 1: Intercepts and JORC Tables

Table 1: Table of Significant Intercepts (reported above a nominal 0.5% or 2% Zn-Pb lower cut-off)

Hole	East	North	RI	Dip	Azi	Depth m	From m	Length m	Zn+Pb %	Zn %	Pb %	Ag ppm			
DKDD108	640086	9832131	93	-90	0	92.6	5.60	3.00	0.56	0.53	0.04	-			
							11.74	4.96	1.60	1.11	0.49	-			
							20.30	6.80	1.92	1.22	0.71	2			
						<i>incl</i>	21.15	1.75	3.47	3.43	0.05	2			
						<i>incl</i>	25.40	1.70	3.15	0.53	2.62	-			
							28.90	36.75	1.34	0.84	0.50	-			
	<i>incl</i>	30.90	4.50	4.52	2.83	1.69	-								
		68.25	2.05	1.12	0.32	0.8	-								
DKDD109	640112	9832074	94	-90	0	94.5	17.00	2.00	0.77	0.71	0.06	-			
							29.00	6.40	0.60	0.53	0.07	-			
							40.00	19.60	1.59	0.90	0.68	-			
						<i>incl</i>	40.60	11.10	2.22	1.17	1.06	-			
							66.75	15.65	0.63	0.30	0.33	2			
DKDD110	639615	9832407	75	-90	0	71.6	26.70	1.97	0.54	0.44	0.10	-			
							39.60	23.40	1.18	0.64	0.54	-			
						<i>incl</i>	53.65	2.60	2.66	1.62	1.05	-			
						<i>incl</i>	61.00	2.00	3.65	1.30	2.34	-			
DKDD111	639644	9832238	79	-90	0	35.6	3.00	2.00	0.52	0.51	0.01	-			
							7.88	4.60	1.01	0.96	0.04	-			
							14.38	1.10	0.52	0.39	0.13	-			
							16.50	11.40	2.42	1.40	1.02	-			
						<i>incl</i>	20.00	3.30	5.40	2.32	3.04	-			
DKDD112	639830	9832399	83	-90	0	104.6	23.35	5.65	0.49	0.40	0.09	-			
							41.00	15.00	0.68	0.55	0.13	-			
							84.50	3.50	2.57	0.06	2.51	-			
						<i>incl</i>	85.00	2.00	3.93	0.09	3.84	-			
DKDD113	639642	9832506	77	-90	0	39.0	3.00	15.00	0.59	0.36	0.22	-			
						<i>incl</i>	16.57	1.43	2.01	0.85	1.17	-			
							21.00	5.70	0.52	0.27	0.24	-			
							29.70	3.00	0.57	0.54	0.03	-			
DKDD114	639839	9832238	84	-90	0	53.6	19.85	3.23	0.62	0.54	0.08	-			
							29.00	11.75	1.03	0.72	0.31	-			
						<i>incl</i>	29.00	2.97	2.24	1.71	0.52	-			
DKDD115	639239	9832551	71	-90	0	19.5	1.35	4.55	2.38	0.41	1.97	3			
						<i>incl</i>	4.50	1.40	6.30	0.25	6.04	3			
							8.70	4.95	0.85	0.65	0.19	-			
DKDD116	639237	9832366	68	-90	0	110.6	26.60	2.00	1.81	1.75	0.06	-			
							34.00	3.35	0.53	0.48	0.05	-			
							43.00	17.45	0.51	0.41	0.10	-			
DKDD117	639258	9832191	80	-90	0	33.0	2.10	2.30	1.46	0.71	0.75	-			
							8.35	1.95	0.55	0.50	0.05	-			
							11.25	8.60	1.54	0.86	0.68	-			



Hole	East	North	RI	Dip	Azi	Depth m	From m	Length m	Zn+Pb %	Zn %	Pb %	Ag ppm
						<i>incl</i>	11.25	2.80	2.85	1.05	1.80	-
							21.80	2.00	0.86	0.75	0.11	-
							28.35	1.65	0.72	0.36	0.36	-
DKDD118	641402	9832062	133	-90	0	76.5	10.50	4.50	0.70	0.62	0.08	-
							25.50	4.15	1.36	1.15	0.21	3
							31.05	39.95	3.10	2.68	0.42	2
						<i>incl</i>	38.30	3.67	7.47	6.51	0.96	-
						<i>incl</i>	51.22	19.78	3.96	3.34	0.61	2
DKDD119	641097	9832263	107	-90	0	25.5	4.14	15.6	1.97	1.24	0.73	2.7
						<i>incl</i>	15.70	4.04	2.92	1.64	1.27	3
DKDD120	641103	9832179	102	-90	0	73.5	1.37	36.83	1.36	1.25	0.11	-
						<i>incl</i>	23.10	4.15	4.11	3.99	0.12	-
						<i>incl</i>	30.85	4.68	2.29	2.11	0.18	-
							43.20	8.90	0.58	0.35	0.24	-
DKDD121	641193	9832246	111	-90	0	29.15	2.62	1.88	0.59	0.52	0.07	-
							5.37	19.93	3.98	3.29	0.69	2.9
						<i>incl</i>	7.25	3.15	5.18	4.34	0.83	2
						<i>incl</i>	15.25	10.05	5.67	4.61	1.06	3
DKDD122	641195	9832167	109	-90	0	70.5	6.63	45.81	2.22	1.67	0.55	3.4
						<i>incl</i>	13.05	2.80	3.62	3.59	0.03	-
						<i>incl</i>	20.00	13.50	4.04	2.43	1.61	4
						<i>incl</i>	37.35	2.34	2.27	2.24	0.03	3
						<i>incl</i>	49.55	1.6	2.35	2.32	0.03	-
DKDD123	641408	9832267	134	-90	0	74.9	36.96	20.19	1.15	0.97	0.18	-
						<i>incl</i>	47.8	2.71	2.13	2.12	0.00	-
						<i>incl</i>	55.09	1.90	4.10	3.35	0.75	-
							65.00	2.30	0.65	0.62	0.03	-
DKDD124	641090	9832303	112	-90	0	6	pending					
DKDD125	641089	9832302	112	-90	0	9	pending					
DKDD126	641596	9832213	130	-90	0	43.5	11.67	24.33	1.01	0.84	0.17	-
DKDD127	641597	9832151	129	-90	0	57	pending					
DKDD128	641399	9832184	133	-90	0	110.3	pending					
DKDD129	641785	9832072	118	-90	0	18.4	13.03	1.03	0.45	0.36	0.09	13

Data is rounded to two decimal places – numbers may not add due to rounding. All intervals are down-hole.



Table 2: Description of Logged Sulphide Mineralisation¹

Hole	From (m)	To (m)	Description
DKDD134	35	50	Tr-5% sphalerite, tr-2% galena hosted in conglomerate and sandstone.
	55	82	Tr-5% sphalerite, tr-2% galena hosted in conglomerate and sandstone. Includes localised zones of more intense mineralisation e.g. 59-66m.
DKDD138	27	75	Tr-15% sphalerite, tr-5% galena hosted in conglomerate and sandstone. Includes localised zones of more intense mineralisation e.g. 1-15% sphalerite from 57.5m to 69m
DKDD139	17	27	Tr-5% sphalerite and galena hosted in conglomerate and sandstone.
	51	62	Tr-10% sphalerite and galena hosted in conglomerate and sandstone.
DKDD140	20	40	Tr to 5% sphalerite and galena; within sandstone and conglomerate.

¹The logged sulphide percentages are approximate, particularly as sphalerite mineralisation is difficult to quantify due to its generally fine-grained, sediment-matrix infilling, nature. Hand held XRF may also be used to identify approximate sulphide percentages. The visual/XRF approximations of zinc- and lead- sulphides are empirical in nature, and that, laboratory assay results will be required to determine the absolute values for the mineralised zones. Sphalerite is zinc sulphide - (Zn, Fe)S; and galena is lead sulphide - (PbS).



JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Report

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	Diamond Core was cut in half to produce a ½ core samples using a core saw - DDH. All sampling was either supervised by, or undertaken by, qualified geologists. ½ core samples were assayed at Intertek Perth where the entire sample was crushed, and a charge digested by ore grade multi-acid digest and analysed by ICP-MS or ICP-OES.
	<i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i>	Drill hole locations were surveyed using standard Garmin GPS equipment achieving sub metre accuracy in horizontal and vertical position. Sampling was carried out under the AON protocols and QAQC. See further details below.
	<i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i>	Half-core samples are selected based on geological criteria (presence of sulphide mineralisation).
Drilling techniques	<i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i>	HQ-sized (63.5 mm diameter) and NQ size core drilling has been completed by FGSD drilling contractors. All drilling is vertical.
Drill sample recovery	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i>	Drill hole recoveries were recorded during logging by measuring the length of core recovered per 1m interval.
	<i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	Drilling is carried out vertical and orthogonal to the mineralization to obtain representative samples of the mineralization.
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	No relationship between recovery and grade has been identified to date; however it is noted that poor recovery can occur near some high-grade intercepts, with indications from the outside return of the rig indicating that mineralised material is being lost. Further investigation is required.
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	All drill core was logged onsite by geologists to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i>	Logging is qualitative and records lithology, grain size, texture, weathering, structure, alteration, veining, and sulphides. Core is digitally photographed.
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	All holes are logged in full.
Sub-sampling techniques	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	Core is cut using a diamond saw and ½ core (or 1/4 core in the case of duplicates) is submitted for assaying. The core is sampled to geological boundaries as determined by the geologist logging the core.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary															
and sample preparation	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	N/A.															
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	Core sample preparation at Intertek Laboratory (Intertek – Libreville, Gabon) consists of crushing entire ½ core samples (up to 3kg) to 80% passing -10 mesh, splitting 300 grams, and pulverizing to 95% passing -150 mesh. The 300g pulp is then assayed in Perth by Intertek.															
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	All half core samples are selected from the same side to remove sample bias. Intern QA/QC procedures involved the use of standards, blanks and duplicates which are inserted into sample batches at a frequency of approximately 5%.															
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	Core is marked for sampling along an orientation line and a consistent half of core is sampled along the drill hole. A combination of field duplicates and laboratory coarse are used to test for sample reproducibility at this stage of exploration.															
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	Sample sizes are considered appropriate to give an indication of mineralisation.															
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	Core samples were assayed at Intertek Perth where the entire sample was crushed, a 300g split was pulverised and a charge digested by ore grade multi-acid digest and analysed by ICP-MS or ICP-OES.															
	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	No geophysical surveys reported in this release.															
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	Certified reference material (CRM) samples sourced from Geostats and were inserted every 25 samples and Blank samples. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Std</th> <th>Zn ppm</th> <th>Pb ppm</th> <th>Source</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>GBM310-1</td> <td>9753</td> <td>3035</td> <td>Geostats Pty Ltd</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GBM310-14</td> <td>179106</td> <td>89465</td> <td>Geostats Pty Ltd</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GBM319-14</td> <td>22491</td> <td>7331</td> <td>Geostats Pty Ltd</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Std	Zn ppm	Pb ppm	Source	GBM310-1	9753	3035	Geostats Pty Ltd	GBM310-14	179106	89465	Geostats Pty Ltd	GBM319-14	22491	7331
Std	Zn ppm	Pb ppm	Source														
GBM310-1	9753	3035	Geostats Pty Ltd														
GBM310-14	179106	89465	Geostats Pty Ltd														
GBM319-14	22491	7331	Geostats Pty Ltd														
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	All assays are reviewed by AON and significant intercepts are calculated as composites and reported using a nominal 0.5% Zn+Pb cut-off grade. A maximum of 3m consecutive internal waste is allowed in composites. All significant intercepts are calculated by the AON data base manager and checked by the Competent Person.															
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	There have been no recent twin holes drilled at the Project.															
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	All drill hole logging is completed on digital logging templates with built-in validation. Logging spreadsheets are uploaded and validated in a central MS Access database. All original logging spreadsheets are also kept in archive.															
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	Zinc and lead combined assays are discussed in the text with Appendix 1 providing a breakdown of significant individual zinc and lead assays.															
Location of data points	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	GPS coordinates of drill hole locations were captured using a Garmin GPS in UTM WGS84 Easting/Northing coordinates with metric accuracy in horizontal and vertical position.															
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	Sample locations are provided as UTM co-ordinates within Zone 32, southern hemisphere using WGS 84 datum.															
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	Topographic control is based on topographic contours sourced from SRTM data.															
Data spacing and distribution	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Drill hole spacing for the drill program is variable as most drilling to date is either first pass drilling of new exploration targets or step-out brownfields exploration targeting along strike from existing intercepts.															



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</p>	<p>Further work is required at the Project to test for extension of mineralisation potential and verification of historical collars. Some drilling is on a spacing which is sufficient to test the grade continuity of mineralisation for this style of mineralisation. The current data set is considered potentially appropriate for use in a future Mineral Resource providing further drilling is completed.</p>
	<p>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</p>	<p>No compositing of samples in the field was undertaken.</p>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<p>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</p>	<p>It is considered the orientation of the bulk of the drilling and sampling suitably captures the dominant "structure" of the style of mineralisation at the Project.</p>
	<p>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</p>	<p>This is not currently considered material.</p>
Sample security	<p>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</p>	<p>All core sample intervals are labelled in the core. Cut core samples are collected in bags labelled with the sample number and a sample tag. Samples are delivered to the Intertek, Libreville sample preparation facility directly by AON personnel or transport contractors. The samples were then transported to the Intertek Genalysis Laboratory in Perth for geochemical analysis.</p>
Audits or reviews	<p>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</p>	<p>All QAQC data is reviewed to ensure quality of assays; batches containing standards that report greater than 2 standard deviations from expected values are re-assayed.</p>

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<p>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</p>	<p>The Kroussou Project consists of one Prospecting License (G4-569), covering approximately 986.5km² located in Ngounié Province, western Gabon. Apollo Minerals owns 100% of the Kroussou Project through its 100% wholly owned Gabonese subsidiary, Select Explorations Gabon SA.</p> <p>The Prospecting License was granted in July 2015 and renewed in July 2018 and 2021 for an additional three years to November 2024.</p> <p>Havilah Consolidated Resources (HCR) holds a 0.75% NSR in the Kroussou Project. This royalty may be bought back from HCR for US\$250,000.</p> <p>No historical sites, wilderness or national parks are located within the Prospecting License.</p>
	<p>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</p>	<p>Tenure in the form of a Prospecting License (<i>Permis de Recherche</i>) which has been granted and is considered secure. In accordance with the Gabonese Mining Code, the Prospecting License may be extended for a further three years. The license was renewed in November 2021 for an additional 3 years.</p>
Exploration done by other parties	<p>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</p>	<p>Intermittent historical exploration as conducted by French Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières (BRGM) at Kroussou from 1962 - 1963, the project was then later re-examined in 1979-1981 by the BRGM in joint venture with Comilog which is a Gabonese government owned mining company.</p> <p>BRGM discovered the Kroussou Pb-Zn-(Ag) mineral occurrences as well as others along various river systems on the Kroussou license.</p> <p>BRGM conducted drilling on the project in 1962 and 1977-1980.</p>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>Metals of Africa (renamed Battery Minerals) obtained historical reports and drill logs relating to BRGM's field program and completed cursory rock chip and mapping work in 2015 and 2016.</p> <p>Trek completed soil surveying, mapping, rock chip sampling, ground geophysics and two drilling programs to confirm historical results during 2017 and 2018.</p>
Geology	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	<p>The deposit style reported in BRGM historical files is Mississippi Valley Type (MVT) sedimentary mineralisation of Pb-Zn-(Ag) where mineralisation is similar to the Laisville (Sweden) style with deposition within siliciclastic horizons in a reducing environment.</p> <p>On a regional scale, the Pb-Zn mineral concentrations are distributed at the edge of the continental shelf which was being eroded during Lower Cretaceous time.</p> <p>Mineralisation is located within the Gamba Formation part of the N'Zeme Asso Series and was deposited during the Cretaceous as part of the Cocobeach Complex deposited during formation of the Cotier Basin.</p> <p>Mineralisation is hosted by conglomerates, sandstones and siltstones deposited in laguno-deltaic reducing conditions at the boundary of the Cotier Basin onlapping continental basement rocks.</p> <p>Large scale regional structures are believed to have influenced mineralisation deposition.</p>
Drill hole Information	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar ○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar ○ dip and azimuth of the hole ○ down hole length and interception depth ○ hole length. 	All new drill hole details are provided in Table 1 of Appendix 1.
	<i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i>	N/A
Data aggregation methods	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p>	Significant intercepts are reported as down-hole length-weighted averages of contiguous grades above approximately 0.5% Zn+Pb and above a nominal length of 1m. No top cuts have been applied to the reporting of the assay results. Overall sample recovery is predominantly > 90%; intervals with no sample recovery have not been diluted in the compositing process.
	<i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i>	Higher grade intervals are included in the reported grade intervals; and have also been split out on a case-by-case basis where relevant.
	<i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	Zinc plus lead have been combined on an equal basis for summary reporting in the body of the report; however complete element results are shown in the drill summary table. No other metal equivalent values are used.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and	<i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the</i>	<p>Down-hole lengths are reported.</p> <p>The exploration drilling was conducted so that results would be close to orthogonal to the mineralisation as understood at the time. As such, the intercepts are interpreted to be close to true-</p>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
intercept lengths	<i>drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i>	thickness of the mineralisation.
	<i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i>	
Diagrams	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	Appropriate diagrams, including geological plans, are included in the main body of this release.
Balanced reporting	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	The exploration results should be considered indicative of mineralisation styles in the region. Exploration results stated indicated highlights of the drilling and are not meant to represent prospect scale mineralisation. As the projects are brownfields exploration targets, and there are large numbers of holes drilled over the region, it is considered appropriate to illustrate mineralised and non-mineralised drill holes by the use of diagrams, with reference to the table of significant intercepts.
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	All meaningful and material information is reported.
Further work	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i>	Infill and extensional drilling at the Dikaki Prospect and initial drilling testing at the Niamabimbou Prospect.
		Additional surface exploration programs comprising soil surveying, geological mapping, rock chip sampling to further assess identified prospects and to generate new targets within the broader project area. Further drill testing of multiple exploration targets across the project area following after ranking and prioritisation. Additional metallurgical test work over all prospective targets to assess recovery characteristics, concentrate quality, and variability.
	<i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	These diagrams are included in the main body of this release.