

15 August 2022

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT ASX: ASN, ASNOC, ASNOD OTC: ANSNF

Anson Targets New Zones in Resource Expansion Drilling at Paradox Lithium Project

Brines Sampled in Clastic Zones 41, 43, 45 & 47

Highlights:

- Anson has targeted four additional Clastic Zones at the priority Cane Creek 32-1 well in its ongoing Resource expansion drilling program at the Paradox Lithium Project
- The zones sampled contain supersaturated brines similar to the previously sampled Clastic Zones where a JORC Indicated and Inferred Resource has been confirmed
- Samples have been sent for quick turn-around laboratory analysis
- Results from the sampling of these additional Clastic Zones are to be included in future JORC Resource upgrades

Anson Resources Limited (ASX: ASN, ASNOC, ASNOD) (Anson or the Company) is pleased to announce that it has successfully drilled additional new zones at the priority Cane Creek 32-1 well in its ongoing Resource expansion drilling program at its Paradox Lithium Project in Utah, USA (the Project).

Drilling has sampled additional Clastic Zones 41, 43, 45 and 47 after drilling through the old casing of the Cane Creek 32-1 well while drilling down to the Mississippian unit targets, see results in Table 1.

These additional sampled Clastic Zones have the potential to contribute to the upcoming Resource upgrade at Paradox and deliver new Indicated and Inferred Resources in these horizons which are not previously included in the Project's existing JORC Resource or Exploration Target.

Well ID	Clastic Zone	Depth (ft)	Thickness (ft)	Comment
Cane Creek 32-1	41	7,084	12	Brine – awaiting results
	43	7,401	97	Brine – awaiting results
	45	7,540	12	Artesian Flow – shipped to lab
	47	7,601	TBD	Brine - shipped to lab

able 1: The depths and thicknesses of the newly sampled clastic zones.

The assay results, if favourable, will confirm that multiple additional clastic zones contain lithiumrich supersaturated brines, see Table 2, in addition to the five previously sampled in Anson's drilling programs (*see previous ASX Announcements*).

> Anson Resources Limited Level 1, 35 Outram Street, West Perth, WA 6005, Australia Tel: +61 478 491 355 ABN: 46 136 636 005 www.ansonresources.com



This assay data and rock chip samples from these new horizons will also provide additional geological information on the brine horizons in the Project area, which can be sampled in future exploration programs.

The brine samples have been sent to a nearby laboratory for a quick assay turnaround and have also been sent to SGS North America (Oil, Gas and Chemical Division) in Texas, where all samples from Anson's previous drilling at the Project had been sent. The SGS laboratory specialises in brines which can be associated with the oil industry. The brine sample is fast-tracked so it can be determined what additional work should be carried out.

Horizon	Approx. Thickness (ft)	Anson Sampled	Recorded Brine
Clastic 17	37	Yes	
Clastic 19	36	Yes	
Clastic 29	20	Yes	
Clastic 31	22	Yes	Artesian Flow
Clastic 33	23	Yes	
Clastic 37 - 39	60 - 90		Artesian Flow
Clastic 41	15		Confirmed
Clastic 43	60 - 90		Confirmed
Pinkerton Trail	50 – 85		confirmed
Mississippian	>250		Confirmed
Devonian	250 – 400		Confirmed

Table 2: The thickness of the clastic zones sampled by Anson or previously reported containing brines.

Anson also advises that in addition to the two new Clastic Zones 41 and 43 that have been drilled and sampled, drilling has continued and intersected an additional two Clastic Zones 45 and 47 above the Mississippian units. Clastic Zone 45 resulted in a pressure build up, indicating artesian flow may occur from this horizon as well. Drilling is continuing down to the Mississippian Units and should other brine be discovered these may also be tested.

Background and Rationale

While the thicknesses of the Clastic Zones vary between layers, each clastic zone is consistent across the project area, and the geology remains the same.

The reason for the presence of the supersaturated brines in the clastic breaks is that the clastic beds overlie rich potash and magnesium zones. In the geological concept of the evaporite cycle, the most soluble compounds are the last to precipitate. Therefore, the clastic units overlie end products of the preceding evaporite cycle. Potassium and magnesium chlorides and certain complex evaporite minerals can be found among the end products of evaporation.

The brine zones (clastic horizons) in the project area have not been cored, but have been adequately sampled and logged. The clastic zones contain the following from top to bottom:

- Anhydite
- Black Shale
- Dolomite; and
- Anhydrite.



The dolomite is quite porous and permeable, whereas the anhydrite and black shale is crushed and broken. When the zones containing brine are intersected during drilling, artesian flow begins which indicates vertical porosity, permeability and that communication exists between the layers.

The fractured clastic zones form an excellent reservoir for supersaturated brines. At the extraction point, when brine is removed salt will flow into the voids from where the brine has been removed, due to these parameters. This may help maintain high reservoir pressure and assist in a high ultimate recovery of brine.

Anson is in a unique, and ideal location for brine extraction at the intersection of Robert's Rupture and the Cane Creek Anticline, at its Paradox Lithium Project. Robert's Rupture provides vertical porosity, and the Cane Creek Anticline results a shallower depth to the target extraction horizon.

The three factors; high pressure, porosity (both horizontal and vertical) and shallow depth are key attributes of the Paradox Lithium Project and are not present anywhere else in the area. In combination, they provide strong indicators of low extraction costs and beneficial ESG outcomes.

This announcement has been authorised for release by the Executive Chairman and CEO.

ENDS

For further information please contact:

Bruce Richardson Executive Chairman and CEO

E: info@ansonresources.com Ph: +61 478 491 355 www.ansonresources.com Follow us on Twitter @anson_ir Media and Investor Relations James Moses, Mandate Corporate

E: james@mandatecorporate.com.au Ph: +61 420 991 574

About Anson Resources Ltd

Anson Resources (ASX: ASN) is an ASX-listed junior mineral resources company, with a portfolio of minerals projects in key demand-driven commodities. Its core asset is the Paradox Lithium-Brine Project in Utah, in the USA. Anson is focused on developing the Paradox Project into a significant lithium producing operation. The Company's goal is to create long-term shareholder value through the discovery, acquisition and development of natural resources that meet the demand of tomorrow's new energy and technology markets.

Forward Looking Statements: Statements regarding plans with respect to Anson's mineral projects are forward looking statements. There can be no assurance that Anson's plans for development of its projects will proceed as expected and there can be no assurance that Anson will be able to confirm the presence of mineral deposits, that mineralisation may prove to be economic or that a project will be developed.

Competent Person's Statement 1: The information in this announcement that relates to exploration results and geology is based on information compiled and/or reviewed by Mr Greg Knox, a member in good standing of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Knox is a geologist who has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a "Competent Person", as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves and consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on information in the form and context in which they appear. Mr Knox is a director of Anson and a consultant to Anson.



Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	 Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialized industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralization that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverized to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralization types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	 Historical oil wells (Gold Bar Unit #2, Cane Creek #32-1-25-20, Skyline Unit 1, and Long Canyon Unit 2) were utilized to access brine bearing horizons for sampling. Geophysical logging was completed to determine geologic relationships and guide casing perforation. Once perforated, a downhole packer system was utilized to isolate individual clastic zones and Mississippian Units (production intervals) for sampling. Perforation and packer isolated sampling moved from bottom to top to allow for the use of a single element packer. Brine fluid samples were discharged from each sample interval to large 1,000 L plastic totes. Samples were drawn from these totes to provide representative samples of the complete volume sampled at each production interval. The brine samples were collected in clean plastic bottles. Each bottle was marked with the location and sample interval. Sampling techniques for the one well assayed in the Mississippian Formation are not known.
Drilling Techniques	 Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, facesampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.). 	 Standard mud rotary drilling was utilized to re-enter historical oil wells. The wells had been previously plugged and abandoned in some cases, requiring drill out of cement abandonment plugs. All drilling fluids were flushed from the well casing prior to perforation and sampling activities. Historical drilling techniques into the Mississippian are not known but the wells were deep exploratory wells accessing oil and gas.
Drill Sample Recovery	 Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	 No new drill holes were completed. Therefore, no drill chips, cuttings, or core was available for review. Drilling procedures for well re-entry only produced cuttings from cement plugs. Drilling of the new units resulted in cuttings being collected at the same time as the brine sampling was carried out.
Logging	 Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	 No new drill holes were completed. Cuttings and core samples retrieved from UGS and USGS core libraries Not all wells were cored, but cuttings were collected. Cuttings were recovered from mud returns. Sampling of the targeted horizons was carried out at the depths interpreted from the newly completed geophysical logs. The Mississippian Units and Clastic Zones 17, 19, 29, and 33 were sampled.



Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sub-sampling Techniques and Preparation	 If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximize representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	 Bulk brine samples were stored for potential further analysis. Core samples were collected in the Long Canyon No 1, Big Flat Unit 1, Big Flat Unit 2 and Big Flat Unit 3 wells from the Mississippian Units from which specific yields could be calculated. The downhole geophysical logs provided the depths at which to perforate the individual clastic zones and also the porosities of each zone.
	 For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximize representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	 Historic Wells Sample size and quality were considered appropriate by operators/labs. Re-Entries Sampling followed the protocols produced by SRK for lithium brine sampling. Samples were collected in IBC containers and samples taken from them. Duplicate samples kept Storage samples were also collected and securely stored. Bulk samples were also collected for future use. Sample sizes were appropriate for the program being completed.
Quality of Assay Data and Laboratory Tests	 The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	 Analysis of brine fluids was completed at several laboratories including SGS (Applied Technology and Innovative Centre), Empact Laboratories and Enviro-Chem Analytical, Inc. All labs followed a standard QA/QC program that included duplicates, standards, and blind control samples. The quality control and analytical procedures used by the three analytical laboratories are considered to be of high quality. The assaying technique for the Big Flat No 2 well in the Mississippian is not known. The sample was assayed by the Ethyl Corporation. Duplicate and standard analyses are considered to be of acceptable quality. Limited downhole geophysical tools were utilized for orientation within the cased oil wells prior to perforation. These are believed to be calibrated periodically to provide consistent results.
Verification of Sampling and Assaying	 The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	 Accuracy, the closeness of measurements to the "true" or accepted value, was monitored by the insertion of laboratory certified standards. Duplicate samples in the analysis chain were submitted as part of the laboratory batch and results are considered acceptable. Laboratory data reports were verified by the independent CP. Historical assays are recorded in Concentrated Subsurface Brines, UGS Special Publication 13, printed in 1965
Location of Data Points	 Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used 	• The location of historical oil wells within the Paradox Basin is well documented.



Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	
Data Spacing and Distribution	 Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	 Data spacing is considered acceptable for a brine sample but has not been used in any Resource calculations. There has been no compositing of brine samples.
Orientation of Data in Relation to Geological Structure	 Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralized structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	 The Paradox Basin hosts bromine and lithium bearing brines within a sub-horizontal sequence of salts, anhydrite, shale and dolomite. The historical oil wells are vertical (dip -90), perpendicular to the target brine hosting sedimentary rocks. Sampling records did not indicate any form of sampling bias for brine samples.
Sample Security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	 Brine samples were moved from the drill pad as necessary and secured. All samples were marked with unique identifiers upon collection
Audits or Reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data	 No audits or reviews have been conducted at this point in time.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral Tenement and Land Tenure Status	 Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area. 	 The Paradox Basin Brine Project is located approximately 12 km west of Moab, Utah, USA, and encompasses a land position of 8,947 hectares. The land position is constructed from 1,866 Federal placer mineral claims, and three mineral leases from the State of Utah. A1 Lithium has 50% ownership of 87 of the 1,866 mineral claims through a earn-in joint venture with Voyageur Mineral Ltd. All other claims and leases are held 100% by Anson's U.S. based subsidiary, A1 Lithium Inc and Blackstone Resources Ltd. The claims/leases are in good standing, with payment current to the relevant governmental agencies.
Exploration Done by Other Parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	 Historical exploration for brines within the Paradox Basin includes only limited work in the 1960s. No brine resource estimates have been completed in the area, nor has there been any historical economic production of bromine or lithium from these fluids. The historical data generated through oil and gas development in the Paradox Formation has supplied some information on brine chemistry.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralization.	The geology of the Paradox Formation indicates a restricted marine basin, marked by 29 evaporite sequences. Brines that host bromine and lithium mineralization occur



Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		 within the saline facies of the Paradox Formation and are generally hosted in the more permeable dolomite sediments. Controls on the spatial distribution of certain salts (boron, bromine, lithium, magnesium, etc.) within the clastic aquifers of the Paradox Basin is poorly understood but believed to be in part dictated by the geochemistry of the surrounding depositional cycles, with each likely associated with a unique geochemical signature. The source and age of the brine requires further investigation.
Drill Hole Information	 A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in meters) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	 Four existing oil wells were re-entered and worked over in 2018 and 2019 to collect brine samples. Although these wells may be directional, all wells are vertical (dip -90, azimuth 0 degrees) through the stratigraphy of interest. Detailed historical files on these oil wells were reviewed to plan the re-entry, workover and sampling activities. Following geophysical logging to confirm orientation within the cased well, potential production intervals were perforated, isolated and sampled. The target horizons in the Paradox Formation are approximately 1,800 meters below ground surface. Data on hundreds of historic wells is contained with a database published by the Utah Geological Survey. Open File Report 600 'WELL DATABASE AND MAPS OF SALT CYCLES AND POTASH ZONES OF THE PARADOX BASIN, UTAH', published in 2012.
Data Aggregation Methods	 In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade Brine samples taken in holes were averaged (arithmetic average) without 14 Criteria JORC Code explanation Commentary truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	No weighting or cut-off grades have been applied.
Relationship Between Mineralization Widths and Intercept Lengths	 These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralization with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	 The sediments hosting the brine aquifer are interpreted to be essentially perpendicular to the vertical oil wells. Therefore, all reported thicknesses are believed to be accurate. Brines are collected and sampled over the entire perforated width of the zone. The Mississippian Units are assumed to be porous and permeable over its entire vertical width.
Diagrams	 Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill 	A diagram is presented in the text showing the location of the properties and re-entered oil wells.



Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	
Balanced Reporting	 Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	All data generated by A1 Lithium through re-entry, workover, and sampling of historical oil wells is presented. No newly generated data has been withheld or summarized.
Other Substantive Exploration Data	 Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	All available current exploration data has been presented.
Further Work	 The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	 Additional well re-entries and sampling planned following acceptance of Plan of Operations with BLM and completion of an Environmental Assessment. This will cover the Paradox Formation and Leadville Limestone. Future well re-entries will focus on wells located on western portion of claims.