

Jupiter extension drilling results

HIGHLIGHTS

- Additional results from the Phase 2 Jupiter extension drilling program targeting the Jupiter Exploration Target¹ have been received
- The drilling program continues to intersect mineralisation of significant width and scale below the Doublejay and Saddle open pits to a depth of approximately 400m below surface
- Significant recent intersections include²:
 - Drillhole 22JUDD0838
 - **41.4m @ 1.1g/t from 361m**
 - Drillhole 22JUDD0834
 - **29.2m @ 1.6g/t from 346.25m**
 - Drillhole 22JUDD0839
 - **37.5m @ 0.9g/t from 461m including.**
 - **15.7m @ 1.3 g/t from 482.85m**
- These results confirm that the Saddle area hosts thicker mineralised intercepts than previously encountered and improves confidence in mineralisation below the current final pit design³

Dacian Gold Limited (**Dacian or the Company**) (ASX: DCN) is pleased to report the latest intersections below the Jupiter mining complex in its Phase 2 drilling activities at the Jupiter extension program. The completion of the Phase 1 program and these Phase 2 drilling results confirm the potential for mineralisation of significant width and scale associated with the syenite intrusive system at the Mt Morgans Gold Operation.

CEO Dale Richards commented: *“These latest exploration results show consistent and broad mineralisation intercepts which further support the scale of the opportunity at the Jupiter syenite system and provide important geological data in an area of the system with limited previous drilling. The current Jupiter extension drilling program is planned to systematically delineate the extent of mineralisation within the Jupiter system to a depth of 400m below surface during H1FY23.”*

¹ Refer DCN ASX Announcement dated 22 July 2022 “Jupiter Underground Resource Reclassification”

² For a Table of all intercepts see Appendix 1

³ Refer DCN See ASX announcements 25 October 2021, 21 December 2021, 18 January 2022, 7 March 2022, 4 April 2022, 17 June 2022, 30 June 2022, 18 July 2022





Figure 1: Plan view of the Jupiter syenite complex with latest drilling results



DBJ and Saddle Drilling Results

These results follow the earlier successful drilling from the Jupiter extension program at Ganymede, Heffernans and Doublejay (see ASX announcements 25 October 2021, 21 December 2021, 18 January 2022 7 March 2022, 4 April 2022, 17 June 2022, 30 June 2022 and 18 July 2022).

At DBJ and Saddle, the following significant intercepts were recorded:

Drillhole 22JUDD0838:

- 41.4m @ 1.1g/t from 361m, including:
 - 6m @ 4.1g/t from 378.0m

Drillhole 22JUDD0834:

- 29.2m @ 1.6g/t from 346.25m
- 0.4m @ 28g/t from 440.9.0m
- 0.8m @ 8.9g/t from 261.7m
- 3.2m @ 1.8g/t from 403.8m

Drillhole 22JUDD0839:

- 37.5m @ 0.9g/t from 451m, including:
 - 15.65m @ 1.3 g/t from 482.85m

Drillhole 22JUDD0831:

- 5.4m @ 1.6g/t from 97.45m.

Drillhole 22JUDD0830:

- 4.2m @ 2.0g/t from 320m

These results are located within the Jupiter Exploration Target⁴, prepared and reported in accordance with the 2012 edition of the JORC Code, tabulated below.

Table 1: Jupiter Deposit – Exploration Target


Deposit/ Prospect	Depth range (m)	Tonnage range (Mt)		Grade range (g/t Au)		Ounces range (oz Au)	
		31.8	39.7	0.8	1.6	810,000	1,960,000
TOTAL							

Please note that the potential quantity and grade are conceptual in nature, that there has been insufficient exploration to estimate a Mineral Resource, and that it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the estimation of a Mineral Resource.

Phase 2 drilling of the Jupiter extension program is continuing and is designed to test the Jupiter exploration target across the full strike extent of the Jupiter syenite system, to a depth of ~400m below surface, before resource estimation and engineering studies commence

After completion of this exploration program, Mineral Resource estimation and subsequent mining studies under a leaner operating model, will be conducted.

⁴ Refer DCN ASX Announcement dated 22 July 2022 “Jupiter Underground Resource Reclassification”



Doublejay Syenite

Plan View Schematic

Showing significant intersections over 2GxM Au
Geology cut at 0mRL and -160mRL +/-20m

Jupiter Mining Area

August 2022, GDA 94 Zone 51 DACIAN GOLD

Exploration Drilling

- New Holes - Assays in this Release
- Previously Released Assays

Interpreted Geology


- Syenite
- Basalt & Porphyry suite

Intersection Grade as GxM*

- >100
- 50 to 100
- 20 to 50
- 10 to 20
- 4 to 10
- 2 to 4

*Interval Au g/t multiplied by width

Figure 3: Schematic plan view of the Doublejay and Saddle sections of the Jupiter syenite complex



Doublejay Syenite

Section 22JUDD0838-0839-0845

Showing significant intersections over 2GxM Au
Section Envelope +/-15m

DACIAN GOLD

Jupiter Mining Area August 2022. GDA 94 Zone 51

Exploration Drilling Assays

In this Release

Previously Released

Intersection Grade as GxM*

>100 20 to 50 5 to 10
50 to 100 10 to 20 2 to 5


*Interval Au g/t multiplied by width

Interpreted Geology

Syenite
Basalt & Porphyry suite

--- Base of Complete Oxidation
--- Top of Fresh Rock

Figure 4: Schematic section view of Jenny syenite in drillhole 22JUDD0838, 22JUDD0839 (partial assays received) and 22JUDD0845 (awaiting assays) with +/-20m width



Doublejay Syenite
Section 22JUDD0834

Showing significant intersections over 2GxM Au
 Section Envelope +/-20m



Jupiter Mining Area July 2022. GDA 94 Zone 51

Exploration Drilling Assays

- In this Release
- Previously Released

Intersection Grade as GxM*

- >100
- 20 to 50
- 5 to 10
- 50 to 100
- 10 to 20
- 2 to 5

*Interval Au g/t multiplied by width

Interpreted Geology

- Syenite
- Basalt & Porphyry suite
- Cornwall Shear Zone
- Base of Complete Oxidation
- Top of Fresh Rock

Figure 5: Schematic section view of Saddle drillhole 22JUDD0833 and 33JUDD0834 with +/-20m width

- ENDS -

This announcement has been approved and authorised for release by the board of Dacian Gold Limited.

For further information, please contact:

Dale Richards Chief Executive Officer Dacian Gold Limited +61 8 6323 9000 info@daciangold.com.au
--

COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr. Andrew de Joux, a Competent Person who is a member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr de Joux is a full-time employee of Dacian Gold Limited. Mr de Joux has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr de Joux consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information in the relevant ASX releases, and the form and context of the announcements has not materially changed.

Where the Company refers to the Mineral Resources referencing previous releases made to the ASX, it confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in that announcement and all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the Mineral Resource estimate with that announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Persons findings are presented have not materially changed from the original announcement

Appendix 1: Jupiter Exploration Results

Jupiter Exploration Drilling Results

Collar Location and Orientation								Intersection > 0.5 g/t Au			
Hole	Type	X	Y	Z	Total Depth	Dip	Azimuth	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Grade (g/t Au)
22JUDD0830	DD	423,755	6,812,516	401	600.5	-53	263	19.5	21.85	2.35	0.40
								99.75	101.6	1.85	1.18
								104.7	106.85	2.15	1.05
								111	112	1	1.56
								263.5	265.15	1.65	1.18
								301.9	304.85	3	0.55
								320	324.15	4.15	1.98
								428.7	431.8	3.1	1.20
								452.5	453.2	0.75	0.52
								566	567	1	1.63
								22JUDD0831	DD	423,756	6,812,516
77.4	78.2	0.8	0.54								
97.45	102.8	5.35	1.62								
194.9	197	2.15	1.65								
233	233.3	0.3	0.78								
288	288.25	0.3	0.74								
326.8	328	1.25	1.53								
331	331.6	0.6	1.06								
379	380	1	0.76								
449.1	450.3	1.25	1.03								
548.4	550.9	2.55	1.91								
22JUDD0834*	DD	423,923	6,812,813	414	588.7	-65	272	76.8	77.3	0.5	0.50
								90.6	96	5.4	0.71
								191	192	1	0.65
								197.5	198	0.55	0.54
								202	204	2	1.34
								249.9	250.15	0.3	1.21
								255.7	256	0.35	2.29
								261.7	262.45	0.8	8.93
								308.5	309.25	0.8	3.66
								337	337.75	0.75	0.64
								346.3	375.4	29.15	1.59
								379.2	381.7	2.5	0.62
								403.8	406.9	3.15	1.80
								411	412	1	0.60
								440.9	441.3	0.4	28.00
462.3	462.7	0.45	1.02								
22JUDD0838*	DD	423,987	6,812,888	415.621	546.3	-49	271	62.65	64.2	1.55	0.65
								115	116	1	0.67
								148.6	149.05	0.45	2.13
								152.5	153	0.5	0.72
								191	192	1	1.43
260.1	261.35	1.25	0.68								

								279	280	1	0.82
								341	342	1	5.18
								361	361.9	0.9	1.71
								366.2	375	8.8	0.67
								378	384	6	4.12
								387	402.35	15.35	0.81
								419	420	1	0.85
								426.1	427	0.9	0.94
								474	475	1	0.80
								481	485	4	1.00
								498.9	500	1.1	2.07
								517	518	1	0.66
22JUDD0839*	DD	423,987	6,812,888	415.621	630.6	-59	271	218	219	1	0.75
								230	231	1	0.70
								308	309	1	0.58
								329	330	1	0.52
								333	333.64	0.68	3.01
								340.3	340.85	0.55	1.26
								345	351.3	6.35	1.03
								378.2	379	0.85	0.74
								384	385	1	0.87
								394	401	7.05	2.69
								418	421	3	1.82
								424.5	425	0.5	0.59
								439.9	441	1.1	0.50
								456.8	457.15	0.4	0.95
								461	470.9	9.9	0.74
								473.6	476.95	3.4	1.13
								479.1	479.65	0.55	1.06
								482.9	498.5	15.65	1.26
								501	502	1	0.64
								507.6	508.5	0.95	1.17
								523.1	525.6	2.55	3.25

Collar coordinates are in MGA94 Zone 51 grid.

Significant mineralised zone intercepts have been reported as weighted average grades either above a cut-off of 0.5g/t Au for widths ≥ 0.1 m width, with no more than 2m of internal dilution. The table includes holes that have assays pending

Appendix 2: JORC Code 2012 Table 1, Section 1 and 2

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (e.g., cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g., 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g., submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Surface Diamond (DD) and Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling was carried out over the Jupiter prospect. Surface holes were angled to intersect the targeted mineralised zones at optimal angles. Surface diamond core was sampled as half core at 1m intervals or to geological contacts. To ensure representative sampling, half core samples were always taken from the same side of the core. RC Samples were collected either as 1m split samples or 4m composite samples. To ensure representative sampling, samples were split using a cone splitter. DCN samples were submitted to a contract laboratory for crushing and pulverising to produce either a 40g or 50g charge for fire assay.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g., core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DCN Diamond drilling was predominantly carried out with NQ2 sized equipment, along with minor HQ3 and PQ2, using standard tube. Surface drill core was orientated using a Reflex orientation tool. DCN RC drilling was predominantly carried out with 5 ½ inch diameter.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recoveries from DCN diamond drilling were measured and recorded into the database. Recoveries average 99.5% with minor core loss in oxidised material or fresh rock that is very broken due to the interaction of multiple structures. No relationship has been established between sample recovery and grade.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. • Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. • The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All RC and diamond drill holes were logged for multiple data fields including, geological, geotechnical and recovery information. Structural measurements are taken to record alpha and beta angles relative to core orientation. The quality of the bottom of hole orientation line is also recorded. • This detail is considered an appropriate level of detail to support Mineral Resource estimation, mining, and metallurgical studies. • RC and Diamond drill core is logged qualitatively by company geologists for various geological attributes including but not limited to weathering, primary lithology, primary & secondary textures, colour, and alteration. All core is photographed. • All drill holes are logged in full.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all cores taken. • If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. • For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. • Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. • Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. • Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RC samples split via cone splitter in 1m intervals. 4m composites collected from piles in zones of lesser geological interest. 1m split samples in these zones have been retained on site for assaying if required. • Diamond core collected including NQ2 along with minor HQ3 and PQ2 were cut in half using an automatic core saw at either 1m intervals or to geological contacts; core samples were collected from the same side of the core. • Internal quality control includes working to approved company standard procedures. • Externally prepared Certified Reference Materials are inserted as QAQC at an appropriate frequency. • RC and Diamond core sample duplicates were taken 1 in 50. • Statistical analysis of QAQC data is routinely conducted and reported. • Sample sizes are considered appropriate to correctly represent the gold mineralisation based on the style of mineralisation, the thickness and consistency of the intersections, the sampling methodology and assay value ranges for gold. • Sample preparation was conducted by a contract laboratory. After drying, the sample is subject to a primary crush, then pulverised to 85% passing 75µm.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. • For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. • Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g., standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e., lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were submitted to an accredited commercial laboratory for analysis at their facilities located in either Perth or Kalgoorlie, Western Australia • The analytical technique used was a 40g or 50g lead collection fire assay with an Atomic Absorption Spectrometry finish. This is a full digestion technique and is an appropriate technique for the analytical determination of total gold content. • For DCN drilling, sieve analysis was carried out by the laboratory to ensure the grind size of 85% passing 75µm was being attained. • QAQC procedures involved the use of certified reference materials (1 in 20) and blanks (1 in 50). Coarse blanks and certified reference materials are inserted around observed mineralisation. Diamond core sample duplicates

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>were taken 1 in 50.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • QAQC results were assessed as each laboratory batch was received and were acceptable in all cases. • Laboratory QAQC includes the use of internal standards using certified reference material, blanks, splits, and replicates. • Certified reference materials demonstrate that sample assay values are accurate. • Umpire laboratory test work was completed in 2019 over mineralised intersections with good correlation of results. • Commercial laboratories used by DCN were audited in November 2020. • Twinned holes were not completed as part of this exploration drilling program.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant intersections were verified visually by company geologists and Senior Geologists. • Primary data was physically collected into purpose configured logging software provided by MaxGeo which includes validation processes to minimise any potential data transcription errors. • Validated data is electronically synced into a dedicated SQL based Geological database management system. • Laboratory assay data is validated by independent database consultants and merged into the SQL database. • No adjustments have been made to the assay data. • Assay values that were below detection limit are stored in the database in this form but are adjusted to equal half of the assay laboratory lower detection limit value when exported for reporting.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill hole collars were surveyed in MGA94 Zone 51 grid using differential GPS. • DD and RC holes were down hole surveyed with a north-seeking gyro tool at 12m intervals down the hole. • Topographic surfaces were prepared from detailed aerial drone surveys conducted by the operations survey department and updated monthly.
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The exploration holes drilled at DoubleJay and Saddle were drilled at various angles and dips. Additional holes are planned for this phase of drilling. • The data spacing is insufficient to support Mineral Resource estimation at the targeted depths, consequently no Mineral Resource Estimation has or will be conducted prior to additional drilling which provides sufficient data to establish appropriate geological and grade continuity. • Samples have not been composited.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> • <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The exploration holes were drilled to determine the potential for structurally controlled concentrations of gold mineralisation at depth within the syenite intrusive which hosts the economic deposits including at Heffernans DoubleJay and

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	<p>Ganymede nearer to surface.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional drilling is required to resolve the orientation and potential continuity of mineralisation intersected within the syenite system, including the wider low-grade intersections, and narrower high-grade intersections. No orientation-based sampling bias has been identified in the data, as orientations are yet to be resolved through follow up drilling.
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples are collected and stored by company personnel on site until collected for transport to the sample preparation laboratory via a transport contractor. A tracking system is used by company personnel to track the progress of samples through the chain of custody.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regular reviews of DD sampling techniques are completed by Senior Geologists and Principal Resource Geologist and conclude that sampling techniques are satisfactory. Commercial laboratories used by DCN were audited in November 2020. Review of QAQC data is routinely conducted by the Principal Resource Geologist.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The prospect is located within Mining Lease M39/236, which is 100% owned by Mt Morgans WA Mining PTY LTD. M39/236 is in good standing.
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Tenements have had multiple campaigns of historic exploration including airborne geophysical data, ground geophysical data, RAB drilling, RC drilling and DD drilling. The latest exploration campaigns by Dacian Gold Ltd have resulted in economic exploitation of the near surface gold deposits hosted above the targets which are discussed in this report. Dacian gold is, at the time of writing, engaged in mining of the Jupiter deposits near surface through open pit methods. In 1992, Austmin Gold NL drilled 14 RAB ranging from 23m to 46m, and 34 RC holes ranging from 40m to 60m. In 1993, Dominion Mining Ltd drilled 34 air core holes ranging from 21m to 40m. In 1995, Plutonic drilled 15 RC holes ranging from 47 to 125m. These holes all identified mineralisation, mainly hosted in supergene. The drilling identified the areas of mineralisation, but at that time, commercial decision to stop exploration was taken.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Deposit type, geological setting, and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The deposits are located within the Yilgarn Craton of Western Australia.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The deposit type is a syenite-related gold mineralisation system. Mineralising fluids are interpreted to be sourced from the upper mantle and permeate vertically through the syenite exploiting structural weaknesses within the syenite, and along contacts with the country rock. The syenite has exploited structural weaknesses within the crust on emplacement. At present, mineralisation within the syenite has been delineated within predominantly north south striking, shallowly easterly-dipping regional structures, and more specifically along the intersection plane through the syenite, which creates a favourable depositional environment for mineralising fluid concentration and gold deposition. The Cornwall Shear Zone (CSZ) is an example which intersects all the discrete Jupiter syenite stocks over a north-south extent of approximately 2.0km. The CSZ – syenite intersection has been the primary target of the company's exploitation through open pit mining methods. In the hanging-wall, of the CSZ, minor lodes parallel the main structure, while in the footwall, the orientation of the lodes is variably east-, flat- and west dipping, but display only shallow to moderate dips. To date, exploration activities at Jupiter have concentrated on exploring for CSZ analogous structures. Geological studies conducted recently have identified potential additional structural orientation and associated mineralisation control which are being tested with the exploration program.
Drill hole information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All information that is material to the understanding of exploration and infill drilling results completed by DCN is documented in this report and the appendices that accompany this announcement.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exploration results are reported as length weighted averages of the individual sample intervals. No high-grade cuts have been applied to the reporting of exploration results, where an intercept includes a much higher-grade interval, a second, shorter high-grade intercept is also reported within the results table. The significant intercepts have been reported using the following criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> >0.5g/t Au No more than 2m of internal waste

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Report narrower intercepts if they have a metal accumulation of >1.5gm No metal equivalent values have been used.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Holes reported were drilled at various bearings as reported using MGA94 grid north, and at a range of dips of -49 to -65°. The orientation and continuity of significant intersections of mineralisation reported in this report are interpreted and not yet determined by further drilling results. As such they are reported as 'down hole length – true width not known'.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant diagrams have been included within the main body of this ASX release.
Balanced Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All collars were surveyed in MGA94 Zone 51 grid using differential GPS. Holes were down-hole surveyed either with a north seeking gyroscopic tool. All exploration results relating to this exploration drilling program at the Jupiter complex are reported either within this announcement or a previous announcement. The report is considered balanced and provided in context.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples - size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interpretations of mineralisation has considered the observations made and information gained during mining at the Heffernans, Ganymede and Doublejay open pit mining operations. Ongoing Geological studies and interpretation including geophysical data set interpretation, geochronological age data interpretation, structural and geomechanical modelling and geochemical investigation are informing the updated exploration planning at Jupiter.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This program of follow-up drilling is not yet complete. It is designed to test for potential mineralisation continuity.