

1.88% CU AND 4.10 G/T AU FROM SURFACE SAMPLING AT QUELON

Culpeo Minerals Limited ("Culpeo" or "the Company") (ASX: CPO, OTCQB: CPORF) is pleased to announce that sampling and mapping conducted at the Quelon Project ("Quelon" or "the Project") in Chile has extended the surface copper and gold mineralised zone at the Anico Prospect by 500m to now cover an area of 800m x 1,000m (Figures 1 and 2). Sampling returned grades up to **1.88% Cu** and up to **4.10g/t Au** in surface outcrops and reconnaissance drilling is now planned,

HIGHLIGHTS

- Mineralisation at Quelon extended 500m to the south.
- Copper grades up to **1.88% Cu** and gold grades up to **4.10g/t Au** returned from the surface outcrop sampling.
- **Surface mineralisation has now been identified over an area 800m x 1,000m and is adjacent to the previously defined Induced Polarisation (IP) target** (ASX announcement 19 April 2022).
- Shallow drilling program planned to test coincident geochemical and geophysical target.



Figure 1: Outcropping copper mineralisation at the Anico Prospect, Quelon Project, grading 1.88% Cu.

Culpeo Minerals' Managing Director, Max Tuesley, commented:

"The discovery of widespread surface copper mineralisation has expanded the mineralised footprint at the Anico Prospect by 500m to the south to now cover an 800m x 1,000m zone where we have multiple data sets correlating with our field observations, providing us with a compelling drill target. This new sampling data continues to support our view that a significant mineralised system remains untested at the Quelon Project.

"The Company has further exploration planned in the coming weeks and we look forward to providing Shareholders with updates as these progress."




Figure 2: Geological map of the Anico Prospect showing the mapped zones of mineralisation and IP anomaly.



Table 1: Significant Surface Sampling Results – Quelon Project (see Appendix B)

Sample No.	Cu %	Au ppm	Ag ppm
Q22-008	0.21	1.12	0.17
Q22-030	1.20	0.13	9.56
Q22-037	0.33	0.01	6.38
Q22-038	0.74	0.01	24.20
Q22-044	0.42	0.13	1.48
Q22-045	1.25	0.54	3.44
Q22-048	0.66	0.13	4.77
Q22-051	1.30	4.10	97.50
Q22-053	0.57	0.57	2.99
CPO0008095	1.88	0.45	1.43
CPO0008105	0.67	0.05	2.87

Anico Prospect

Assay results received from rock chip sampling programs at the Anico Prospect have defined an 800m x 1,000m zone displaying elevated copper and gold geochemistry with up to 1.88% Cu and 4.10 g/t Au reported. The elevated copper and gold results are coincident with the previously reported geophysical anomalies (ASX announcement 19 April 2022). Sampling was undertaken to follow up and infill results from a broad spaced sampling program completed as part of the recent surface mapping exercise in the area (ASX announcement 13 July 2022). A total of 67 samples were taken and sent to ALS Chile for multi-element analysis.

The Anico Prospect is considered to have significant prospectivity for Iron-Oxide-Copper-Gold (IOCG) or porphyry style mineralisation due to the elevated chargeability anomalies (Figure 3), its proximity to magnetic highs and mapped alteration in outcrop. The newly discovered copper and gold mineralisation on surface is coincident with a geophysical anomaly, suggesting the presence of a deeper mineralised body at the Prospect.

Geophysical Target

The surface geochemical anomaly and primary chargeability anomaly within the Anico Prospect appears to be associated with a resistive basement. The top of the feature is approximately 140m below surface, with the center of the feature located approximately 260m below surface.

Culpeo has designed three holes for approximately 1,200m to test the chargeability anomaly features identified within the PDIP inversion model data (Figure 4).

The Company is very excited about the significant potential of this large-scale copper and gold exploration target identified at the Anico Prospect with further exploration planned in the coming weeks, we look forward to providing progress updates.




Figure 3: 2D Inversion model resistivity (top) and chargeability (bottom) sections for PDIP data acquired over the Anico Prospect.




Figure 4: 3D view from above and looking north on PDIP chargeability inversion model cross sections at the Anico prospect and proposed drillhole locations.



This announcement has been authorised by the Board of Directors of Culpeo Minerals Limited.

COMPANY

Max Tuesley
 Managing Director
 E: max.tuesley@culpeominerals.com.au
 P: +61 (08) 9322 1587

MEDIA/INVESTORS

Evan Smith
 Advisir
 E: evan.smith@advisir.com.au
 P: +61 (0) 431 176 607

ABOUT CULPEO MINERALS LIMITED

Culpeo Minerals is a copper exploration and development company with assets in Chile, the world's number one copper producer. The Company is exploring and developing high grade copper systems in the coastal Cordillera region of Chile.

The Company has recently acquired the Lana Corina Project situated in the Coquimbo region of Chile, where near surface breccia hosted high-grade copper mineralisation offers walk up drilling targets and early resource definition potential.


The Company has two additional assets, the Las Petacas Project, located in the Atacama Fault System near the world-class Candelaria Mine. Historic exploration has identified significant surface mineralisation with numerous outcrops of high-grade copper mineralisation which provide multiple compelling exploration targets. The Quelon Project located 240km north of Santiago and 20km north of the regional centre of Illapel, in the Province of Illapel, Region of Coquimbo. Historical artisanal mining has taken place within the Quelon Project area, but modern exploration in the project area is limited to rock chip sampling and geophysical surveys.

Culpeo Minerals has a strong board and management team with significant Chilean country expertise and has an excellent in-country network. All these elements enable the company to gain access to quality assets in a non-competitive environment. We leverage the experience and relationships developed over 10 years in-country to deliver low cost and effective discovery and resource growth. We aim to create value for our shareholders through exposure to the acquisition, discovery and development of mineral properties which feature high grade, near surface copper mineralisation.

COMPETENT PERSONS' STATEMENTS

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Maxwell Donald Tuesley, BSc (Hons) Economic Geology, MAusIMM (No 111470). Mr Tuesley is a member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and is a shareholder and Director of the Company. Mr Tuesley has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Tuesley consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this announcement that relates to Geophysical Results is based on information compiled by Nigel Cantwell. Mr Cantwell is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG) and the Australian Society of Exploration Geophysics (ASEG). Mr Cantwell is a consultant to Culpeo Minerals Limited. Mr Cantwell has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources & Ore Reserves. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the historical geophysical results included in the original reports.





Appendix A JORC Code Table 1 –Quelon Project

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down-hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 2022 surface mapping and sampling program covered an area of approximately 303 hectares, and a total of 55 samples were collected. A follow up rock chip sampling program was undertaken collecting 67 samples Samples were sent to the ALS laboratory for analysis. Analysis by the following codes Au-AA24, Au 50g FA AA Finish, ME-MS61 48 element four acid ICP-MS was completed. All the samples were grab type. Historical Surface sampling was completed as channel sampling and grab sampling. Two programmes of sampling were performed, an early programme in 2013 and a more recent programme in 2018. The 2013 sampling programme focused on grab and outcrop sampling with 25 samples taken, these were analysed for multi element geochemistry as well as fire assay gold. Samples were sent to ALS Minerals laboratory in Coquimbo using the following techniques: Gold-Au-AA25, Multielement-MEMS61 The 2018 programme utilised systematic grid sampling, with 1,000 samples taken, analysis was completed at the ALS laboratory in La Serena. ICP multielement analysis was completed as was fire assay gold.
	<i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i>	
	<i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i>	
Drilling techniques	<i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling has been completed.
Drill sample recovery	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling has been completed.
	<i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling or logging has been completed.
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.</i>	
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No records are available.
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assay certificates are available for the 2022 surface sampling program and internal laboratory standards, blanks and duplicates were undertaken. Assay certificates are available for the 2018 sampling programme. Routinely internal laboratory standards, blanks and duplicates were undertaken. No external QAQC has been recorded.
	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 2022 surface sampling program was undertaken by an experienced consultant geologist, Culpeo staff have visited the project site and have verified the local geology interpretation. Previous company staff reviewed the historic intersections. Due to the early nature of the project, Culpeo staff have not independently verified the sampling and assaying. No drilling has been completed.
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	
Location of data points	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Location of surface samples were recorded by handheld GPS. Accuracy is not known but is considered reasonable for early-stage exploration. PDIP survey locations were recorded using handheld GPS and are referenced to the datum PSAD56 and projection UTM Zone 19 South.
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	
Data spacing and distribution	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The surface sampling programmes give reasonable indication of the mineral potential of the project but are not appropriate to establish a Mineral Resource. PDIP survey data were acquired using transmitter station moves of 100m and receiver dipole separation of 100m. Data were recorded down to a maximum N-level of 16.
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied</i>	
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Surface sampling has been widely spaced and the relationship to overall mineralised geometries has not been established. PDIP survey lines were oriented perpendicular to geological and target strike.
	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	
Sample security	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is unknown what protocols were used.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No external audit has been completed.



Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project area comprises 34 exploitation concessions, which cover a total area of approximately 55 km². Culpeo Minerals has an agreement in place to earn 85% of these properties.
Exploration done by other parties	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Historically, small scale prospecting and mining has taken place on the property. In 2018, Pucobre (owners of the adjacent El Espino Project) explored the area, undertaking a ground magnetic survey and several discreet IP surveys. They also undertook mapping and sampling. No drilling was undertaken.
Geology	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project is prospective for IOCG, vein hosted and mantos style Cu/Ag/Au/Mo mineralisation.
Drillhole Information	<i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drillholes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>easting and northing of the drillhole collar</i> <i>elevation or RL (elevation above sea level in metres) of the drillhole collar</i> <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> <i>down hole length and interception depth hole length.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling has been completed.
Data aggregation methods	<i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No sample weighting or metal equivalent values have been used in reporting. Only raw assay results have been reported.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drillhole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling has been completed.
Diagrams	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diagrams are included in the main body of the report.
Balanced reporting	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All results have been reported without bias.
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A ground magnetic survey has been completed at the project site. Several discreet induced polarisation geophysical lines have been completed over the project. The project is at an early stage of exploration, no metallurgical, geotechnical or groundwater studies have been completed. Quantec Geoscience South America (Quantec) were commissioned to complete pole-dipole induced polarisation (PDIP) surveying during December 2021. Five NNE-SSW oriented PDIP survey lines were planned at the Anico prospect in order to optimise coverage across the WNW-ESE striking magnetic anomaly high trend and to follow-up on the high-amplitude chargeability anomaly defined in the 2018 IP survey data. A single E-W PDIP survey line (L10370) was also planned in this area to assess IP responses associated with the western portion of the magnetic anomaly high. A single PDIP survey line was planned at Mina La Tabita (L12220) to cover an interpreted NW-SE strike of moderate amplitude chargeability anomaly. A single PDIP survey line was planned at La Despreciada



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>(L14920) to infill over a high-amplitude IP anomaly defined by the 2018 PDIP surveys, and to cross the centre of a magnetic anomaly low, which may represent hematite alteration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Induced polarisation (IP) data were acquired using the pole-dipole IP (PDIP) survey configuration, whereby the remote transmitter electrode was positioned 500 m off one end of each survey line. The PDIP transmitter used a base frequency of 0.125 Hz (2-second time base). A transmitter electrode was moved along the survey line at 100 m station moves, and IP data were recorded using receiver electrodes with 100 m dipole separation to a maximum N-level of 16. The PDIP data were acquired using an Iris Instruments VIP 10000 (10Kw) transmitter and an Iris Instruments ELREC Pro 10 channel receiver. IP transmitter electrode pits were shallow hand dug pits lined with aluminium foil, and then filled with salt, sand and water. Stainless steel pegs were used for the receiver electrodes.
<p>Further work</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Once geophysical and geological mapping data is compiled, a comprehensive drilling programme will be completed at the project site. Trenching and drilling is planned to test the surface mineralisation and geophysical anomalies that have recently been defined.



Appendix B Results from 2022 Surface Mapping and Sampling

Sample No.	Easting	Northing	RL	Au ppm	Ag ppm	Cu %
Q22-001	294494	6521207	1153	0.00	0.02	0.00
Q22-002	294425	6521213	1150	0.00	0.01	0.00
Q22-003	294366	6521205	1145	0.00	0.04	0.00
Q22-004	294499	6521004	1118	0.02	0.10	0.00
Q22-005	294443	6520856	1105	0.01	0.09	0.04
Q22-006	294298	6520455	1081	0.00	0.01	0.00
Q22-007	295223	6521968	1240	0.01	0.04	0.00
Q22-008	295334	6521924	1257	1.12	0.17	0.21
Q22-009	294863	6521316	1176	0.00	0.12	0.00
Q22-010	294868	6521203	1173	0.00	0.01	0.00
Q22-011	294882	6521121	1174	0.00	0.01	0.00
Q22-012	294903	6520960	1155	0.00	0.01	0.00
Q22-013	294844	6520908	1143	0.00	0.61	0.01
Q22-014	294807	6520877	1131	0.00	1.18	0.02
Q22-015	294831	6520803	1140	0.01	3.36	0.27
Q22-016	294791	6520728	1140	0.06	6.25	0.25
Q22-017	294758	6520683	1137	0.00	0.04	0.00
Q22-018	294751	6520662	1132	0.00	0.08	0.08
Q22-019	294752	6520618	1128	0.00	0.04	0.00
Q22-020	294748	6520563	1127	0.07	0.23	0.14
Q22-021	294734	6520351	1127	0.00	0.01	0.00
Q22-022	294761	6520248	1121	0.01	2.22	0.07
Q22-023	294885	6520374	1111	0.00	0.03	0.00
Q22-024	293622	6521103	1155	0.07	0.88	0.34
Q22-025	293540	6521190	1172	0.03	1.56	0.33
Q22-026	293738	6521436	1224	0.02	0.38	0.11
Q22-027	293877	6521406	1235	0.00	0.02	0.01
Q22-028	293348	6521165	1266	0.01	1.35	0.05
Q22-029	293313	6521100	1285	0.00	0.33	0.01
Q22-030	294763	6520946	1122	0.13	9.56	1.20
Q22-031	294763	6520941	1113	0.06	4.11	0.12
Q22-032	294722	6520794	1123	0.01	1.44	0.16
Q22-033	294826	6520760	1133	0.01	0.97	0.03
Q22-034	294863	6520773	1127	0.05	2.30	0.09
Q22-035	293643	6521565	1246	0.00	0.03	0.00
Q22-036	293713	6521657	1308	0.00	0.02	0.00
Q22-037	293819	6521808	1385	0.01	6.38	0.33
Q22-038	294129	6521880	1453	0.01	24.20	0.74
Q22-039	294035	6521502	1254	0.00	0.10	0.02
Q22-040	294077	6521446	1232	0.00	0.09	0.01
Q22-041	293956	6520928	1088	0.00	0.02	0.00
Q22-042	293955	6520934	1090	0.01	0.35	0.06
Q22-043	293997	6520990	1102	0.04	0.36	0.09
Q22-044	294263	6520954	1157	0.13	1.48	0.42
Q22-045	294286	6520936	1166	0.54	3.44	1.25
Q22-046	294328	6520995	1176	0.01	0.22	0.02
Q22-047	293237	6520962	1294	0.03	3.95	0.15



Sample No.	Easting	Northing	RL	Au ppm	Ag ppm	Cu %
Q22-048	293230	6520941	1291	0.13	4.77	0.66
Q22-049	293917	6521011	1115	0.00	0.07	0.01
Q22-051	294110	6521241	1184	4.10	97.50	1.30
Q22-052	293962	6521238	1194	0.01	0.22	0.00
Q22-053	293698	6520882	1161	0.57	2.99	0.57
Q22-054	293578	6520582	1183	0.01	0.06	0.01
Q22-055	293894	6520785	1118	0.04	0.68	0.29
Q22-056	294220	6521451	1250	0.08	0.41	0.28



Appendix C Results from 2022 Rock Chip Sampling Program

Sample No.	Easting	Northing	RL	Au ppm	Ag ppm	Cu %
CPO0008077	294337	6520270	1097	0.01	0.04	0.01
CPO0008078	294380	6520313	1104	0.00	0.03	0.00
CPO0008079	294425	6520361	1091	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008081	294472	6520417	1040	0.00	0.04	0.01
CPO0008082	294532	6520446	1111	0.00	0.01	0.00
CPO0008083	294598	6520457	1129	0.00	0.01	0.00
CPO0008084	294624	6520474	1139	0.00	0.01	0.00
CPO0008085	294666	6520461	1160	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008086	294714	6520463	1181	0.00	0.03	0.00
CPO0008087	294770	6520433	1160	0.01	0.12	0.01
CPO0008088	294344	6520389	1086	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008089	294403	6520418	1060	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008091	294451	6520442	1042	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008092	294506	6520469	1062	0.17	0.34	0.38
CPO0008093	294554	6520498	1085	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008094	294612	6520540	1111	0.00	0.03	0.00
CPO0008095	294657	6520613	1103	0.45	1.43	1.88
CPO0008096	294693	6520570	1120	0.00	0.01	0.01
CPO0008097	294734	6520556	1134	0.00	0.02	0.01
CPO0008098	294797	6520597	1135	0.00	0.05	0.01
CPO0008099	294535	6520582	1080	0.00	0.06	0.00
CPO0008101	294602	6520601	1093	0.00	0.06	0.00
CPO0008102	294462	6520309	1105	0.03	1.05	0.12
CPO0008103	249700	6520643	1120	0.00	0.21	0.01
CPO0008104	294741	6520651	1135	0.16	1.04	0.17
CPO0008105	294784	6520677	1138	0.05	2.87	0.67
CPO0008106	294824	6520714	1135	0.00	0.20	0.01
CPO0008107	294879	6520749	1117	0.01	1.46	0.10
CPO0008108	294550	6520737	1076	0.10	1.91	0.20
CPO0008109	294602	6520751	1092	0.00	0.16	0.00
CPO0008111	294652	6520774	1104	0.00	0.29	0.01
CPO0008112	294703	6520799	1114	0.00	0.20	0.00
CPO0008113	294746	6520814	1131	0.00	0.49	0.05
CPO0008114	294808	6520829	1139	0.00	0.93	0.05
CPO0008115	294854	6520837	1145	0.00	0.06	0.01
CPO0008116	294927	6520831	1133	0.01	1.39	0.03
CPO0008117	294955	6520890	1145	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008118	294456	6520847	1090	0.00	0.34	0.01
CPO0008119	294504	6520870	1084	0.01	1.47	0.03
CPO0008121	294590	6520895	1070	0.00	0.07	0.00
CPO0008122	294635	6520907	1078	0.00	0.13	0.00
CPO0008123	294686	6520923	1083	0.00	0.10	0.00
CPO0008124	294753	6520944	1100	0.02	2.28	0.16
CPO0008125	294820	6520933	1123	0.04	2.65	0.08
CPO0008126	294882	6520968	1138	0.00	0.07	0.00
CPO0008127	294914	6521005	1142	0.00	0.02	0.01
CPO0008128	294940	6521042	1141	0.00	0.07	0.00



Sample No.	Easting	Northing	RL	Au ppm	Ag ppm	Cu %
CPO0008129	294495	6521004	1141	0.00	0.24	0.04
CPO0008131	294528	6521032	1125	0.01	0.16	0.01
CPO0008132	294586	6521045	1122	0.00	0.03	0.00
CPO0008133	294660	6521038	1123	0.00	0.04	0.00
CPO0008134	294717	6521057	1123	0.00	0.05	0.00
CPO0008135	294768	6521073	1139	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008136	294815	6521095	1154	0.00	0.03	0.00
CPO0008137	294850	6521122	1177	0.00	0.04	0.00
CPO0008138	294882	6521145	1188	0.00	0.01	0.00
CPO0008139	294919	6521164	1177	0.00	0.41	0.01
CPO0008141	294427	6521116	1155	0.00	0.10	0.01
CPO0008142	294474	6521129	1140	0.00	0.04	0.00
CPO0008143	294540	6521154	1155	0.00	0.03	0.00
CPO0008144	294594	6521173	1150	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008145	294636	6521193	1161	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008146	294691	6521223	1163	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008147	294745	6521259	1165	0.00	0.07	0.00
CPO0008148	294814	6521253	1183	0.00	0.01	0.00
CPO0008149	294847	6521272	1198	0.00	0.02	0.00
CPO0008151	294898	6521286	1201	0.00	0.04	0.00