ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

10 November 2022

TEMPUS FIRST NO. 9 VEIN HOLE INTERSECTS 87.0G/T GOLD OVER 2.11M

HIGHLIGHTS

- **Tempus' first drill-hole into No. 9 Vein, EZ-22-19 hit "bonanza" grades, with intersections of two zones of quartz veining, including 87.0g/t gold over 2.11 metres from 135.67 metres, which included a sub-section grading 184.9g/t gold over 0.70 metres from 136.79 meters**
- **Assay results have also been received for drill holes EZ-22-14 through EZ-22-16 targeting the Blue Vein, with EZ-22-16 intersecting multiple zones of quartz veining with anomalous gold mineralisation over approximately 155 metres from 80.0 metres, with more notable intersections including:**
    - **1.96 g/t gold over 0.58 metres from 197.1 metres;**
    - **0.76 g/t gold over 1.25 metres from 223.20 metres; including**
    - **1.58 g/t gold over 0.28 metres from 223.42 metres**
- **EZ-22-15 intersected multiple zones of quartz veining with anomalous gold mineralisation over approximately 141 metres from 93.0 metres with more notable including:**
    - **0.21 g/t gold over 1.07 metres from 128.11 metres;**
    - **0.89 g/t gold over 0.46 metres from 146.00 metres; including**
    - **1.39 g/t gold over 0.29 metres from 146.00 metres; and**
    - **1.35 g/t gold over 0.55 metres from 186.30 metres; and**
    - **1.93 g/t gold over 0.23 metres from 224.55 metres**
- **Assays for 19 drill-holes from the 2022 program at Elizabeth remain pending, including holes where visible gold has been reported**

Tempus Resources Ltd ("**Tempus"** or **"**the **Company"**) (ASX: TMR, TSX.V: TMRR, OTC: TMRFF) is pleased to announce Elizabeth Gold Project assay results for the first hole drilled at the No.9 Vein (EZ-22-19) plus drill-holes EZ-22-14 through EZ-22-16 that targeted the Blue Vein.

Tempus Resources, President and CEO, Jason Bahnsen, commented ***"EZ-22-19 as our first hole on No. 9 Vein come in with a 'bonanza' intersection of 87g/t gold over 2.11m. Other holes on No. 9 Vein showed interesting structure including the highly anticipated EZ-22-20, which contained visible gold over 26 metres of continuous quartz veining that we're still waiting for. Overall No. 9 Vein has joined the Blue Vein as a priority target to continue to extend the known mineralised envelope at Elizabeth."***

Level 2, 22 Mount Street
Perth WA 6000
PO Box 7054
Cloisters Square Perth WA 6850

ABN: 70 625 645 338
tel: +61 8 6188 8181
fax: +61 8 6188 8182
www.tempusresources.com.au

No. 9 Vein

The No. 9 vein is a vein for which its northeastern extent was mapped and initially explored via an underground adit in the early 1940's and was subject to a limited amount of historic drilling in the 1980s.

During the 2022 drill program, Tempus has completed 10 drill-holes targeting potential No. 9 Vein mineralisation along strike of the historical works to the southwest. Several of the No. 9 Vein drill-holes intersected wide zones of quartz veining including 3 drill-holes reporting the presence of visible gold.

EZ-22-19 being reported today effectively confirms the discovery of a previously unknown high-grade southwestern extension of No. 9 Vein. It intersected two zones of quartz veining including a 2.11 metre zone containing visible gold occurrences from 135.67 metres with assays of 86.99g/t gold over 2.11 metres from 136.11 metres (as being reported today), and a second zone of quartz veining over 0.50 metres from 162.92 metres.

Two other drill-holes targeting the No. 9 Vein with assays pending also encountered visible gold, including:

- EZ-22-20 intersected multiple zones of quartz veining including 25.75 metre zone of sheeted quartz with multiple occurrences of visible gold from 94.8 metres and second zone of quartz veining over 2.25 metres from 206.45 metres. Assays remain pending for this exciting hole.
- EZ-20-28, located approximately 100 metres along strike from EZ-22-19 and EZ-22-20 and intersected quartz veining over approximately 2.0 metres from 117.50 metres containing multiple occurrences of visible gold. Assays remain pending.

Blue Vein

Drill-holes EZ-22-14, EZ-22-25 and EZ-22-16 reported in this release targeted the Blue Vein. Drill-holes 14 through 16 are located mid point between two high-grade shoots in the Blue Vein. Assay results showed multiple zones of sheeted quartz with anomalous gold values in drill-holes EZ-22-15 and EZ-22-16 over zones of over vertical 80 metres of continuous quartz veining.

In addition, visible gold has been observed in drill-hole EZ-22-36 drilled at the southern extension of the Blue Vein. The grains of visible gold were observed within a narrow quartz vein of approximately 0.25 metres in thickness from a depth of 188.38 metres. This observation of visible gold extends the southern extension of the Blue Vein by approximately 80 metres bringing the total strike length of high-grade gold zones to approximately 300 metres.

Figure 1 – Elizabeth plan view showing 2022 drill-hole locations



Table 1 – Elizabeth 2022 Drill-hole Distribution and Target Veins

Target Vein	Drill Holes	Comment
Blue Vein	21	Assays for 16 holes received, 5 holes pending assays
SW Vein	2	Assays pending
No 9 Vein	10	Assays pending on 9 holes, including #20 VG over 25 metres, #28 VG
Main/West Vein	4	Drilling established the extensions of the Main and West Vein with intersections of mineralised quartz veins / veinlets up to 1.1m width – assays pending
Ella Zone	3	Targeting new vein set, assays pending
Total	**40**	**Total 9,760 metres**

Figure 2 – Elizabeth Blue Vein Section View



Figure 3 – Elizabeth Veins Cross Section View



This announcement has been authorised by the Board of Directors of Tempus Resources Limited.

Competent Persons Statement

Information in this report relating to Exploration Results is based on information reviewed by Mr. Sonny Bernales, who is a Member of the Engineers and Geoscientists British Columbia (EGBC), which is a recognised Professional Organisation (RPO), and an employee of Tempus Resources. Mr. Bernales has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined by the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves, and as a Qualified Person for the purposes of NI43-101. Mr. Bernales consents to the inclusion of the data in the form and context in which it appears.

For further information:

TEMPUS RESOURCES LTD

Melanie Ross – Director/Company Secretary Phone: +61 8 6188 8181

About Tempus Resources Ltd

Tempus Resources Ltd ("Tempus") is a growth orientated gold exploration company listed on ASX ("TMR") and TSX.V ("TMRR") and OTCQB ("TMRFF") stock exchanges. Tempus is actively exploring projects located in Canada and Ecuador. The flagship project for Tempus is the Blackdome-Elizabeth Project, a high grade gold past producing project located in Southern British Columbia. Tempus is currently midway through a drill program at Blackdome-Elizabeth that will form the basis of an updated NI43-101/JORC resource estimate. The second key group of projects for Tempus are the Rio Zarza and Valle del Tigre projects located in south east Ecuador. The Rio Zarza project is located adjacent to Lundin Gold's Fruta del Norte project. The Valle del Tigre project is currently subject to a sampling program to develop anomalies identified through geophysical work.

Forward-Looking Information and Statements

This press release contains certain "forward-looking information" within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities legislation. Such forward-looking information and forward-looking statements are not representative of historical facts or information or current condition, but instead represent only the Company's beliefs regarding future events, plans or objectives, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside of Tempus's control. Generally, such forward-looking information or forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "plans", "expects" or "does not expect", "is expected", "budget", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "intends", "anticipates" or "does not anticipate", or "believes", or variations of such words and phrases or may contain statements that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "might" or "will be taken", "will continue", "will occur" or "will be achieved". The forward-looking information and forward-looking statements contained herein may include, but are not limited to, the ability of Tempus to successfully achieve business objectives, and expectations for other economic, business, and/or competitive factors. Forward-looking statements and information are subject to various known and unknown risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the ability of Tempus to control or predict, that may cause Tempus' actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from those expressed or implied thereby, and are developed based on assumptions about such risks, uncertainties and other factors set out herein and the other risks and uncertainties disclosed under the heading "Risk and Uncertainties" in the Company's

Management's Discussion & Analysis for the year ended June 30, 2022 dated September 28, 2022 filed on SEDAR. Should one or more of these risks, uncertainties or other factors materialize, or should assumptions underlying the forward-looking information or statements prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described herein as intended, planned, anticipated, believed, estimated or expected. Although Tempus believes that the assumptions and factors used in preparing, and the expectations contained in, the forward-looking information and statements are reasonable, undue reliance should not be placed on such information and statements, and no assurance or guarantee can be given that such forward-looking information and statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such information and statements.

The forward-looking information and forward-looking statements contained in this press release are made as of the date of this press release, and Tempus does not undertake to update any forward-looking information and/or forward-looking statements that are contained or referenced herein, except in accordance with applicable securities laws. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking information and statements attributable to Tempus or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in its entirety by this notice.

Neither the ASX Exchange, the TSX Venture Exchange nor its Regulation Service Provider (as that term is defined in the policies of the TSX Venture Exchange) accepts responsibility for the adequacy or accuracy of this release.

Appendix 1

Table 1: Drill Hole Collar Table

Hole ID	Target	UTM Easting (NAD83 Z10)	UTM Northing (NAD83 Z10)	Elevation (m)	Length (m)	Azimuth (°)	Dip (°)
EZ-22-01	Blue Vein	530953	5653772	2392	222.0	130	-65
EZ-22-02	Blue Vein	530953	5653772	2392	225.0	108	-65
EZ-22-03	Blue Vein	530953	5653772	2392	198.0	95	-50
EZ-22-04	Blue Vein	531200	5653774	2393	375.0	285	-55
EZ-22-05	Blue Vein	531130	5653775	2399	156.0	280	-45
EZ-22-06	Blue Vein	531130	5653775	2399	237.0	290	-55
EZ-22-07	Blue Vein	531130	5653775	2399	216.0	298	-45
EZ-22-08	Blue Vein	531039	5653887	2422	201.0	133	-50
EZ-22-09	Blue/SW Vein	530953	5653772	2392	468.0	101	-53
EZ-22-10	Blue Vein	530953	5653772	2392	210.0	95	-65
EZ-22-11	Blue Vein	531039	5653887	2422	207.0	110	-60
EZ-22-12	Blue Vein	531039	5653887	2422	216.0	85	-50
EZ-22-13	Blue Vein	531039	5653887	2422	251.0	123	-65
EZ-22-14	Blue Vein	531004	5653896	2428	249.0	138	-65
EZ-22-15	Blue Vein	531004	5653896	2428	240.0	130	-65
EZ-22-16	Blue Vein	531004	5653896	2428	242.0	120	-65
EZ-22-17	Blue Vein	531004	5653896	2428	250.7	160	-65
EZ-22-18	Blue Vein	531004	5653896	2428	258.0	150	-65
EZ-22-19	No.9 Vein	531041	5653893	2422	201.0	284	-63
EZ-22-20	No.9 Vein	531041	5653893	2422	270.0	284	-67
EZ-22-21	No.9 Vein	531041	5653893	2422	216.0	294	-63
EZ-22-22	No.9 Vein	531041	5653893	2422	183.0	274	-63
EZ-22-23	No.9 Vein	531041	5653893	2422	201.0	264	-63
EZ-22-24	West/Main Veins	531347	5653777	2378	405.0	100	-45
EZ-22-25	No.9 Vein	531039	5653888	2422	181.0	254	-63
EZ-22-26	No.9 Vein	531039	5653888	2422	201.0	244	-63
EZ-22-27	No.9 Vein	531038	5653891	2422	201.0	308	-63
EZ-22-28	No.9 Vein	531038	5653891	2422	234.0	318	-63
EZ-22-29	SW vein	531136	5653860	2422	246.0	111	-48
EZ-22-30	SW vein	531136	5653860	2422	230.3	111	-55
EZ-22-31	West/Main Veins	531351	5653773	2378	444.0	110	-52
EZ-22-32	West/Main Veins	531352	5653773	2378	447.0	125	-52

Hole ID	Target	Easting (NAD83	Northing (NAD83	Elevation (m)	Length (m)	Azimuth (º)	Dip (º)
EZ-22-33	West/Main Veins	531352	5653773	2378	390.0	140	-52
EZ-22-34	Blue Vein	530887	5653765	2382	246.0	120	-55
EZ-22-35	Blue Vein	530885	5653769	2382	204.0	130	-45
EZ-22-36	Blue Vein	530885	5653769	2382	210.0	140	-47
EZ-22-37	No.9 Vein	530888	5653769	2382	201.0	290	-45
EZ-22-38	Ella Zone	531917	5653591	2096	102.0	80	-45
EZ-22-39	Ella Zone	531917	5653591	2096	156.0	110	-60
EZ-22-40	Ella Zone	531917	5653591	2096	170.0	140	-60

Table 2: Significant Interval Table

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	True Thickness (m)	Gold Grade (g/t)	MET Screen Grade (g/t)	Vein
EZ-22-01	123.9	124.7	0.8	0.68	2.07	2.07	Blue Vein
and	125.9	126	0.1	0.08	**3.82**	**3.82**	Blue Vein
and	161.42	161.82	0.4	0.34	2.25	2.25	Blue Vein
EZ-22-02	147.65	147.83	0.18	0.15	**6.88**	**6.88**	Blue Vein
and	185.25	185.85	0.6	0.51	1.89	1.89	Blue Vein
EZ-22-03	96.91	97.33	0.42	0.36	2.05	**523**	Blue Vein
and	124.02	124.47	0.45	0.38	**32.66**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
including	124.02	124.13	0.11	0.09	**130**	**133**	Blue Vein
and	164.41	166.14	1.73	1.47	**7.41**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
including	165.41	166.14	0.73	0.62	**17.4**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-04	353.8	354	0.2	0.17	**1.25**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-05	44.5	45.2	0.7	0.595	**11.2**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	56.8	57	0.2	0.17	**1.38**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	84.65	85.55	0.9	0.765	**1.33**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	98	99	1	0.85	**2.62**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-06	40.2	40.9	0.7	0.595	**1.91**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	47.8	48.15	0.35	0.2975	**1.17**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	153.35	155	1.65	1.4025	**1.71**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
Including	154.15	155	0.85	0.7225	**2.79**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-07	164.6	164.92	0.32	0.272	**1.45**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	165.66	165.79	0.13	0.1105	**7.3**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	170.17	170.4	0.23	0.1955	**48.6**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-08	120.6	121	0.4	0.34	**0.494**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-09	105.12	106.17	1.05	0.89	**322.54**	**310.72**	Blue Vein
including	105.12	105.32	0.2	0.17	**1,654**	**1,572**	Blue Vein
	105.32	106.17	0.85	0.72	**9.25**	**13.95**	Blue Vein
and	161.13	162	0.87	0.74	**2.68**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	356.19	358.38	2.19	1.86	**0.41**	Not Preformed	SW Vein
Including	356.19	356.7	0.51	0.43	**1.05**	Not Preformed	SW Vein
EZ-22-10	193.1	194.75	1.65	1.4	**0.61**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
Including	193.98	194.23	0.25	0.21	**0.997**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein

**true thickness is estimated using a multiplier of 0.85. The Company considers anything over 0.2 g/t gold as significant.*
***no significant intervals*

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	True Thickness (m)	Gold Grade (g/t)	MET Screen Grade (g/t)	Vein
EZ-22-11	102.45	193.42	91.37	77.67	**0.31**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
including	102.45	104.75	2.3	1.96	**11.75**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
including	103.15	103.45	0.3	0.26	**85.2**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-12	137.65	139.33	1.68	1.43	**1.26**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
including	138.8	139.33	0.53	0.45	**2.08**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-13	108.52	108.77	0.25	0.21	**1.62**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	111	111.27	0.27	0.23	**1.03**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	112.34	112.45	0.11	0.09	**15.3**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	196.42	196.6	0.18	0.15	**1.49**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	215.83	216	0.17	0.14	**1.95**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-14	94.4	94.6	0.2	0.16	**3.23**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	156.28	156.51	0.23	0.18	**1.08**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	182.66	182.82	0.16	0.13	**1.6**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-15	128.11	129.18	1.07	0.86	**0.21**	Partially done	Blue Vein
and	146	146.57	0.57	0.46	**0.89**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
including	146	146.29	0.29	0.23	**1.39**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	186.3	186.85	0.55	0.44	**1.35**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	224.55	224.78	0.23	0.18	**1.93**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-16	197.1	197.68	0.58	0.46	**1.96**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
and	223.2	224.45	1.25	1.00	**0.76**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
including	223.42	223.7	0.28	0.22	**1.58**	Not Preformed	Blue Vein
EZ-22-19	135.67	137.78	2.11	1.69	**71.09**	**86.99**	No.9 Vein
including	136.11	137.49	1.38	1.1	**108.56**	**132.82**	No.9 Vein
including	136.79	137.49	0.7	0.56	**148.03**	**184.9**	No.9 Vein
and	162.92	163.68	0.76	0.608	**0.48**	Not Preformed	No.9 Vein

**true thickness is estimated using a multiplier of 0.85. The Company considers anything over 0.2 g/t gold as significant.*
***no significant intervals*

Appendix 2: The following tables are provided to ensure compliance with the JORC Code (2012) requirements for the reporting of Exploration Results for the Elizabeth - Blackdome Gold Project

Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• *Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.* • *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systemsused.* • *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.*	• HQ (63.5 mm) sized diamond core using standardequipment. • Mineralised and potentially mineralised zones, comprising veins, breccias, and alteration zoneswere sampled. • Samples were half core. • Typical core samples are 1m in length. • Core samples sent to the lab will be crushed and pulverized to 85% passing 75 microns. A 50g pulp will be fire assayed for gold and multi-element ICP. Samples over 10 g/t gold will be reanalysed by fire assay with gravimetric finish
Drilling techniques	• *Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	• Diamond Drilling from surface (HQ size)
Drill sample recovery	• *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.* • *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.* • *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.*	• Detailed calculation of recovery was recorded, with most holes achieving over 95% • No relationship has yet been noted between recovery and grade and no sample bias was noted to have occurred.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Logging	• *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level ofdetail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.* • *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.* • *The total length and percentage of the relevantintersections logged.*	• Detailed geological and geotechnical logging wascompleted for each hole. • All core has been photographed. • Complete holes were logged.
Sub- sampling techniquesand samplepreparation	• *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter,half or all core taken.* • *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotarysplit, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.* • *For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.* • *Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.* • *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.* • *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grainsize of the material being sampled.*	• Half core was sampled, using a core saw. • Duplicate samples of new and historical core are Quarter core or half core where not previously sampled • Sample sizes are considered appropriate for thegrain size of the material being sampled. • It is expected that bulk sampling will be utilised as the project advances, to more accurately determinegrade.
Quality of assay dataand laboratorytests	• *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.* • *For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument makeand model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.* • *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.*	• Core samples that have been sent to the lab for analysis include control samples (standards, blanks and prep duplicates) inserted at a minimum rate of 1:5 samples. • In addition to the minimum rate of inserted control samples, a standard or a blank is inserted following azone of mineralization or visible gold • Further duplicate samples were analysed to assessvariability
Verification of sampling and assaying	• *The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.* • *The use of twinned holes.* • *Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.* • *Discuss any adjustment to assay data.*	• Re-assaying of selected intervals of historic corehave been sent for analysis.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Location ofdata points	• *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locatedrill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.* • *Specification of the grid system used.* • *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*	• All sampling points were surveyed using a hand held GPS. • UTM grid NAD83 Zone 10. • A more accurate survey pickup will be completed at the end of the program, to ensure data is appropriate for geological modelling and Resource Estimation. • Down hole surveys have been completed on all holes.
Data spacingand distribution	• *Data spacing for reporting of ExplorationResults.* • *Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.* • *Whether sample compositing has been applied.*	• Most drilling is targeting verification and extension of known mineralisation. • It is expected that the data will be utilised in apreparation of a Mineral Resource statement. • Additional drilling is exploration beneath geochemical anomalies, and would require further delineation drilling to be incorporated in a Mineral Resource.
Orientationof data in relation to geological structure	• *Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.* • *If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to haveintroduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.*	• In general, the aim was to drill perpendicular to the mineralised structures, to gain an estimate of the true thickness of the mineralised structures. • At several locations, a series (fan) of holes was drilled to help confirm the orientation of the mineralised structures and to keep land disturbance to a minimum.
Samples Security	• *The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	• Samples from Elizabeth were delivered to the laboratory by a commercial transport service.
Audits or Reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews ofsampling techniques and data.*	• An independent geological consultant has recently visited the site as part of preparing an updated NI43-101 Technical Report for the Project.

Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• *Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.* • *The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.*	• The Blackdome-Elizabeth Project is comprised of 73 contiguous mineral claims underlain by 14 Crown granted mineral claims and two mining leases. • The Property is located in the Clinton and Lillooet Mining Divisions approximately 230 km NNE of Vancouver • Tempus has exercised the option to acquire the Elizabeth Gold Project and has completed an addendum to the original Elizabeth Option Agreement (refer to ASX announcement 15 December 2020) • A net smelter royalty of 3% NSR (1% purchasable) applies to several claims on the Elizabeth Property. • No royalties apply to the Blackdome Property or Elizabeth Regional Properties. • There are currently no known impediments to developinga project in this area, and all tenure is in good standing.
Exploration done by other parties	• *Acknowledgment and appraisal of explorationby other parties.*	• In the 1940s, placer gold was discovered in Fairless Creek west of Blackdome Summit. Prospecting by Lawrence Frenier shortly afterward led to the discovery of gold-bearing quartz veins on the southwest slope of the mountain that resulted in the staking of mining claimsin 1947. Empire Valley Gold Mines Ltd and Silver Standard Resources drove two adits and completed basic surface work during the 1950s. • The Blackdome area was not worked again until 1977 when Barrier Reef Resources Ltd. re-staked the area and performed surface work in addition to underground development. The Blackdome Mining Corp. was formed in 1978 and performed extensive surface and underground work with various joint venture partners thatresulted in a positive feasibility study. A 200 ton/day mill,camp facilities and tailings pond were constructed and mining operations officially commenced in 1986. The mine ceased operations in 1991, having produced 225,000 oz of Au and 547,000 oz of Ag from 338,000 tons of ore (Godard et al., 2010) • After a period of inactivity, Claimstaker Resources Ltd.took over the project, reopening the mine in late 1998.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Mining operations lasted six months and ended in May of 1999. During this period, 6,547 oz of Au and 17,300 oz of Ag were produced from 21,268 tons of ore. Further exploration programs were continued by Claimstaker over the following years and a Japanese joint venture partner was brought onboard that prompted a name change to J-Pacific Gold Inc. This partnership was terminated by 2010, resulting in another name change to Sona Resources Corp. • Gold-bearing quartz veins were discovered near Blue Creek in 1934, and in 1940-1941 the Elizabeth No. 1-4 claims were staked. • Bralorne Mines Ltd. optioned the property in 1941 and during the period 1948-1949, explored the presently-named Main and West Veins by about 700 metres of cross-cutting and drifting, as well as about 110 metres ofraises. • After acquiring the Elizabeth Gold Project in 2002, J-Pacific (now Sona) has conducted a series of exploration programs that included diamond drilling 66 holes totalling 8962.8 metres (up until 2009) Other exploration work by Sona at the Elizabeth Gold Project has included two soil grid, stream sediment sampling, geological mapping and sampling, underground rehabilitation, structural mapping and airborne photography and topographic base map generation.
Geology	• *Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.*	• The Blackdome property is situated in a region underlain by rocks of Triassic to Tertiary age. Sedimentary and igneous rocks of the Triassic Pavilion Group occurring along the Fraser River represent the oldest rocks in the region. A large, Triassic age, ultramafic complex (Shulaps Complex) was emplaced along the Yalakom fault; a regional scale structure located some 30 kilometres south of the property. Sediments and volcanics of the Cretaceous Jackass Mountain Group and Spences Bridge/Kingsvale Formations overlie the Triassic assemblages. Some of these rocks occur several kilometres south of Blackdome. • Overlying the Cretaceous rocks are volcanics and minor sediments of Eocene age. These rocks underlie much of Blackdome and are correlated with the Kamloops Group seen in the Ashcroft and Nicola regions.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Geochemical studies (Vivian, 1988) have shown these rocks to be derived from a "calc-alkaline" magma in a volcanic arc type tectonic setting. Eocene age granitic intrusions at Poison Mountain some 22 kilometres southwest of Blackdome are host to a gold bearing porphyry copper/molybdenum deposit. It is speculated that this or related intrusions could reflect the source magmas of thevolcanic rocks seen at Blackdome. There is some documented evidence of young granitic rocks several kilometres south of the mine near Lone Cabin Creek. The youngest rocks present are Oligocene to Miocene basalts of the Chilcotin Group. These are exposed on the uppermost slopes of Blackdome Mountain and Red Mountain to the south. • Transecting the property in a NE-SW strike direction are a series of faults that range from vertical to moderately westerly dipping. These faults are the principal host structures for Au- Ag mineralisation. The faults anastomose, and form sygmoidal loops. • The area in which the Elizabeth Gold Project is situated is underlain by Late Paleozoic to Mesozoic rock assemblages that are juxtaposed across a complex system of faults mainly of Cretaceous and Tertiary age. These Paleozoic to Mesozoic-age rocks are intruded by Cretaceous and Tertiary-age stocks and dykes of mainly felsic to intermediate composition, and are locally overlain by Paleogene volcanic and sedimentary rocks. The Elizabeth Gold Project is partly underlain by ultramafic rocks of the Shulaps Ultramafic Complex, which include harzburgite, serpentinite and their alteration product listwanite. • The gold mineralisation found on the Elizabeth Gold Project present characteristics typical of epigenetic mesothermal gold deposits. The auriferous quartz vein mineralisation is analogous to that found in the Bralorne-Pioneer deposits. Gold mineralisation is hosted by a series of northeast trending, steeply northwest dipping veins that crosscut the Blue Creek porphyry intrusion. The Main and West vein systems display mesothermal textures, including ribboned-laminated veins and comprehensive wall rock breccias. Vein formation and gold mineralisation were associated with extensional- brittle faulting believed to be contemporaneous with mid-Eocene extensional faulting along the Marshall Creek, Mission Ridge and Quartz Mountain faults.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary

Drill hole Information	• *A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:*
	  ○ *easting and northing of the drill hole collar*
	  ○ *elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar*
	  ○ *dip and azimuth of the hole*
	  ○ *down hole length and interception depth*
	  ○ *hole length.*
	• *If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.*
		• Refer to Appendix 1 for drill hole collar information

Data aggregation methods	• *In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.* • *Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.* • *The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.*	• Intervals reported using several samples arecalculated using a weighted average. • Calculated intervals using a weighted average did notuse a top cut on high-grade samples. High-grade samples are reported as 'including' • Calculated weighted average intervals are continuousintervals of a mineralized zone and do not include unsampled intervals or unmineralized intervals.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• *These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.* • *If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.* • *If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement tothis effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').*	• In general, drilling is designed to intersect the mineralized zone at a normal angle, but this is notalways possible. • For the reported intervals, true widths are reported where mineralized core was intact and possible to measure the orientation. Otherwise the true width isleft blank
Diagrams	• *Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	• Refer to maps within announcement for drill hole locations.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Balanced reporting	• *Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	• Where broader low-grade intervals are reported the high-grade intercepts are reported as 'including' within the reported interval
Other substantive explorationdata	• *Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.*	• Tempus recently completed an airborne magnetic and radiometric survey over the Elizabeth Gold Project (refer to ASX announcement 02 August 2021) by completing 97 lines for a total of 735 line-kilometres. Flight lines are oriented east-west with north-south tie lines and spaced 200 metres across the entire 115km2 Elizabeth property. Line spacing of 100 metres was flown over the Elizabeth Main and Elizabeth East Zones. • The airborne magnetic survey data was reviewed and interpreted by Insight Geophysics Inc. using 3D magnetization vector inversion (MVI) modelling. • The geophysical surveys identified the Blue Creek Porphyry, which is the known host of the high-grade Elizabeth gold-quartz veins, as a relative magnetic low anomaly within the Shulaps Ultramafic Complex. From this correlation of geology and geophysics it was determined that the Blue Creek Porphyry, originally explored / mapped to approximately 1.1km2 in size, is likely much larger. The airborne magnetic survey and MVI 3D modelling interpret the Blue Creek Porphyry to be at least four-times the size at approximately 4.5km2. • This interpretation of the Blue Creek Porphyry is also extensive at depth extending to at least 2km deep
Further work	• *The nature and scale of planned further work (eg testsfor lateral extensions or depth extensions or large- scale step-out drilling).* • *Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.*	• Tempus plans to update historical NI43-101 foreign resource estimates to current NI43-101and JORC 2012 standards • Tempus is also seeking to expand the scale of themineralisation at the project through further exploration.