

WIDE OXIDE GOLD MINERALISATION ABOVE HIGH GRADE SHOOT

Odyssey Gold Limited (ASX:ODY) (“Odyssey” or “Company”) is pleased to announce progress results from the Highway Zone on the Company’s Tuckanarra Project.

HIGHLIGHTS

- First assay results from 2 holes of a 19 hole (2,300m) RC program drilling program underway at the Highway Zone
- Exceptional oxide intersections of:
 - **20m @ 2.1g/t Au from 42m** (CBRC0148)
 - **7m @ 1.9g/t Au from 33m and 3m @ 3.7g/t Au from 51m** (CBRC0132)
- Results confirm wide intervals of oxide gold mineralisation above the high-grade shoot and boost the open pit mining potential
- Substantial scale already defined and open along strike and down dip at the Highway Zone with previous results including:
 - **84m @ 2.5g/t Au** (CBRC0055)ⁱ
 - **12m @ 6.5g/t Au** (TCKRC0100)ⁱⁱ
 - **11m @ 7.8g/t Au** (CBRC0056)ⁱⁱⁱ
- 17 RC holes for 2,035m completed, with the program continuing
- This is the first stage of a 7,800m multiphase resource infill and extension RC drilling program planned to prove up thick oxide mineralisation and the high-grade shoot along 300m of strike

Commenting on the Highway Zone, Managing Director, Matt Briggs said:

“These first assay results are a positive start to the program. The shallow oxide result of 20m @ 2.1g/t Au from 42m, is 40m to the east of a previous result which included 15m @ 4.6g/t Au^{iv}. These holes demonstrate strong supergene gold above the high-grade shoot at the Highway Zone supporting the potential of open pit mining. Extensions of the oxide mineralisation have been drilled a further 160m to the east as part of the current program.

Quartz veining and sulphide have been intersected in the targeted position in the drilling. The keenly awaited results from the 2,300m program are expected over the coming weeks.

The Company has an emerging portfolio of advanced targets, many on a granted mining lease in a district containing 7.5Mtpa of underutilised processing capacity.”

For further information, please contact:

Matt Briggs

Managing Director: +61 8 9322 6322




Figure 1 – Highway Zone Long Section showing drilling progress and recent results.

Highway Zone

Odyssey's Tuckanarra Project is part of the prolific Murchison Goldfields (Figure 6). The Murchison Goldfields are host to a +35Moz gold endowment (historic production plus current resources) with 7.5Mtpa of processing capacity within 120km of the Tuckanarra Project.

Odyssey's 2022 reverse circulation ("RC") drilling campaign has identified a mineralised shoot with significant scale potential. The first hole at the Highway Zone was drilled in late 2021 with a result of 2021 of 7m @ 2.5g/t Au, 14m @ 1.9g/t and 5m @ 2.2g/t Au in TCKRC0101^v at the Highway Zone.

Subsequent drilling in 2022 has defined a 10-20m true width structure with a 300m long mineralised shoot (Figure 1).

A 19 hole, 2,300m program is underway. The first results returned include CBRC0148 targeting oxide mineralisation above the high-grade shoot. CBRC0148 intersected **20m @ 2.1g/t Au from 42m**. This hole continues to demonstrate a consistent 15-20m thick oxide gold horizon above the sulphide mineralisation that extends to depth (Figure 2). Two lines of drilling have been completed targeting structure in oxide 160m to the east.




Figure 2 - Cross section through the Highway Zone hole CBRC0148 showing the thick supergene gold blanket and wide mineralisation extending 160m below surface.




Figure 3 - Cross section through the Highway Zone showing the wide mineralisation extending 200m below surface.

CBRC0132 drilled a 40m wide zone of oxide mineralisation associated with quartz veining in oxidised ultramafic and sediments. Within the 40m wide zone, notable intervals include:

- **7m @ 1.9g/t Au from 33m** and
- **3m @ 3.7g/t Au from 51m**

RC hole CBRC0132 was drilled west of the high-grade shoot and stronger mineralisation is expected in the three sections drilled to the east.




Figure 4 - Exceptional results from drilling at the Highway Zone Target. Holes contain up to 7 intersections so highlights are labelled.

Future Work

Three phases of drilling are planned at the Highway Zone:

- The 2,300m program underway is targeting the structure in the oxide zone to add **shallow mineralisation** to support open pit evaluation;
- The second phase of drilling will extend the structure **along strike**, in particular, to the northeast towards the encouraging rotary air blast ("RAB"), rock chip and soil samples; and
- The third phase of drilling will grow **>5g/t Au mineralisation down dip** to demonstrate the scale of underground mining potential. Underground mines in the area extend to over 1km depth. The deepest intersection at the Highway Zone intersected the structure 200m below surface. The structure is open down dip.

The Company has a portfolio of advanced open pit and underground targets being actively explored.




Figure 5 - Highlight drill results from 2022. ~30% of 2022 drillhole results include 25 gram metres Au or more highlighting the quality of the Tuckanarra Deposits




Figure 6 - Tukanarra Project Location Map highlighting the multiple proximal gold processing plants (combined 7.5Mtpa capacity)

APPENDIX 1 – Collar and Results Tables

Holes_id	East	North	RL	Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Project
CBRC0132	609036	7000666	486	142	-55	45	Bollard
CBRC0148	609098	7000667	488	106	-60	60	Highway

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (g/t)	Target Structure
CBRC0132	33	40	7	1.9	Bollard Oxide
and	42	46	4	0.5	
and	51	54	3	3.7	
and	60	63	3	0.8	
and	68	70	2	1.5	
and	100	105	5	0.8	
and	115	117	2	0.8	
CBRC0148	42	62	20	2.1	Highway Oxide
incl	43	47	4	3.9	
and incl	51	53	2	5.6	




Figure 7 - Highway Zone Collar map

APPENDIX 2 - JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	<p>RC Samples are split using a cone splitter into calico bags representing the 1m interval. RC hole diameter starting at 5 ¾ inch diameter reducing as the hole progresses.</p> <p>Individual samples weigh less than 5kg. The sample size is deemed appropriate for the grain size of the material being sampled. 1m intervals were selectively composited into 4m intervals as described below. 4m composites included in intersections are flagged in the results table.</p> <p>All samples are routinely scanned with a portable XRF. The is initially used to identify the footway tholeiitic basalt. Samples a classified by semi-supervised machine learning using a training database and generally a random forest algorithm. Magnetic Susceptibility measurements were taken for each 1m interval.</p>
	<i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representation and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i>	Sampling was carried out under the ODY protocols and QAQC. See further details below. Sampling is supervised by a geologist and/or trained field technician. Rig inspections document chain markings of metre intervals, rig setup, splitter and cyclone cleanliness, consistency of sampling and adherence to company procedures. Sample recovery and moisture levels are estimated and recorded. Holes are terminated once to wet samples are generated to ensure sample quality. Certified standards and blanks were inserted into the assay batches.
	<i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i>	Mineralisation is generally associated with foliation, quartz veining and pyrrhotite in ultramafic rocks, and pyrrhotite in banded iron formation. The mineralisation in oxide is not visual unless associated with more iron rich clays. The presence of these indicators or gold assay grades above 0.5g/t are used to report mineralisation. The highway zone is a broad zone of mineralisation. To avoid including more than 2m of below 0.5g/t Au the intervals of mineralisation are subdivided.
	<i>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i>	Samples are sent to the NATA accredited MinAnalytical Laboratory in Canning Vale, Perth and analysed via Photon Assay technique (method code PAAU2) along with quality control samples. Individual samples are assayed for gold after drying and crushing to nominally 85% passing 2mm and 450-500g split taken for PhotonAssay). The PhotonAssay technique was developed by CSIRO and Chrysos Corporation and is a fast, chemical free non-destructive, alternative using high-energy X-rays to traditional fire assay and uses a significantly larger sample size (500g v's 50g for fire assay). This technique is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA). Repeat assays are routinely taken of elevated gold samples.
Drilling techniques	<i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face- sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i>	<p>RC drilling has been undertaken by Strike Drilling with a track mounted X350 rig with booster compressor.</p> <p>Downhole surveys for both RC drilling are recorded using a True North Seeking Gyro survey tool.</p>
Drill sample recovery	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i>	The majority of the samples are reported to be dry. Ground water ingress occurred in some holes at the rod change but overall, the holes were kept dry. Typically, drilling operators ensured water was lifted from the face of the hole at each rod change to ensure water did not interfere with drilling and to make sure samples were collected dry.
	<i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	Drilling is carried out orthogonal to the mineralisation to get representative samples of the mineralisation. Standard practices for RC and diamond drilling are used.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	No relationship between recovery and grade have been identified. This is not seen to be a material risk with the drilling methods and approach to sampling being undertaken. No downhole contamination to subsequent samples is observed in assay results.
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	All RC chips is logged onsite by geologists to a level of detail to support future mineral resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i>	Logging is qualitative and records lithology, grain size, texture, weathering, structure, alteration, veining and sulphides. Chips are digitally photographed. Chip trays are routinely scanned with pXRF
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged</i>	All holes are logged in full, including the reported intersections.
Sub- sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	No core in this program yet.
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	1m RC samples are split using a cone splitter. Unmineralised areas are composite RC samples collected by spear from the reject from the riffle splitter by spearing and combined into 4m composite samples. . All samples are dry. Drilling of a hole is terminated if dry samples cannot be produced.
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	4m and 1m RC samples were submitted to Minanalytical Laboratory Perth where a 450-500g sample was assayed by Photon Assay. The sample preparation procedures carried out are considered acceptable. All photon tubs and coarse rejects are retained on site.
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub- sampling stages to maximise representation of samples.</i>	Sampling is supervised by a geologist and sample recovery and moisture content noted. A checklist to ensure ongoing checking for sample quality and to avoid contamination has been implemented.
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	Samples are inspected for contamination. The RC cyclone is routinely cleaned. RC field duplicates are collected on intervals that have been identified as geologically prospective by the field geologist at the time of drilling. The duplicate samples are collected directly from the second chute from the on-rig cone splitter.
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	Sample sizes are considered appropriate to give an indication of mineralisation. Once a meaningful population of samples is collected per sample domain an assessment will be made of the appropriate weight and number of samples to allow the classification of mineral resources.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	All samples were submitted to MinAnalytical Laboratory Perth where a 450-500g sample was assayed by Photon Assay for gold. The PhotonAssay technique was developed by CSIRO and Chrysos Corporation and is a fast, chemical free non-destructive, alternative using high-energy X-rays to traditional fire assay and uses a significantly larger sample size (500g v's 50g for fire assay). This technique is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA). Repeat assays are routinely taken of elevated gold samples.
	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	No geophysical surveys reported in this release.
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates,</i>	Certified reference material (CRM) samples sourced from Geostats and were inserted every 20. External lab check assays have not been completed for the current program.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	All assays are reviewed by Odyssey Gold and significant intercepts are calculated as composites and reported using a nominal 0.5g/t Au cut-off grade; however, intercepts may be reported within sub-grade mineralisation if dictated by a geological domain. A maximum of 3m consecutive internal waste is nominally allowed in composites. All significant intercepts are checked by the Competent Person. Previous announced intersections may vary with a change in interpretation. A reannouncement of previous results will not occur unless the Competent Person decides the change is material. The competent person routinely inspects drilling, and chips and core to ensure correlation with assay results.
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	Dedicated twin holes have not been drilled. As drilling is drilling the structures deeper than previously
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	All drill hole logging is completed on digital logging templates with built-in validation. Logging spreadsheets are uploaded and validated in a central MS Access database. All original logging spreadsheets are also kept in archive. Duplicated copies of the database and drillhole data is routinely backed up through cloud server backups. Logging of key intersections has been reviewed by the Geology Manager / Managing Director.
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	No assay data was adjusted.
Location of data points	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	Drill hole collars are located using handheld GPS with 3-5m accuracy. Downhole surveys for both RC and DDH drilling are recorded using a True North seeking GYRO survey tool. Subsequent to drilling, collars are surveyed by a licensed surveyor using a Topcon Hyper VR GNSS with expected accuracy of +/- 0.03m horizontal and +/- 0.05m vertical relative to the base station.
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	The project currently uses the MGA94, Zone 50 grid system.
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	The site topographic surveys including the pit surveys match well with the drill hole collars. Detailed aerial photography over the region has aided on locating historic drillhole collars. An updated digital terrain model has been generated from a recent UAV drone survey to validate GPS RL surveys.
Data spacing and distribution	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Drill hole spacing for the 2022 drill program is variable as most drilling to date is either first pass drilling of new exploration targets or step-out brownfields exploration targeting along strike from existing Resources. In general, drill hole collar spacing for the reported drillholes is 80x40m.
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	Drilling is on a spacing which is sufficient to test the grade continuity of mineralisation for this style of mineralisation. The current data set is considered potentially appropriate for use in a future Mineral Resource. A non JORC 2012 resource has previously been declared for the Bollard Pit. No resource has been prepared for mineralisation to the east of the Bollard Pit.
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	4m sample composites are used. Where reported intervals are composites this is disclosed in the announcement Table 2. All significant 4m composites are subsequently replaced with the intersections from 1m samples.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	Drilling is designed to be perpendicular to the strike of mineralisation on a hole by hole or section by section basis. The current program has successfully achieved this. Drilling is aiming for an initial resource with geological and/or grade continuity. Subsequent infill programs will better define the continuity of grade and appropriate drill spacing.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	The bulk of the intercepts appear to be orthogonal to the mineralisation +/- 25 degrees unless otherwise stated in the intercepts table. Further work will be undertaken to analyse this in the future as exploration works progress. Assay intercepts are stated as down-hole lengths. Previous resource modelled work has highlighted grade bias in holes drilled down the mineralisation.
Sample security	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	RC samples are collected in prenumbered calico bags. Samples are delivered to the lab directly by Odyssey personnel or freighted via an independent freight provider.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	All QAQC data is reviewed to ensure quality of assays; batches containing standards that report greater than 2 standard deviations from expected values are re-assayed.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i>	Odyssey's subsidiary, Tuckanarra Resources Pty Ltd, owns an 80% interest in the Tuckanarra Project, comprising two Exploration Licences (E20/782 and EL20/783), one Mining Licence (M20/527), and seven Prospecting Licences. A royalty may be payable if Monument Mining dilutes its interest in the project.
	<i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i>	The tenement package is understood to be in good standing with the WA DMIRS.
Exploration done by other parties	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	Refer to the body of the report and to previous announcements. Exploration History Gold was discovered at Tuckanarra in the late 1890s by prospectors searching further afield from Cue and Mt Magnet, with the first mine (Nemesis) discovered and developed in 1900. Subsequent exploration and development located additional deposits in the general area with the majority of deposits being developed as small underground mines exploiting narrow, highly mineralised quartz veins associated with Banded Iron Formation lithologies. In general, these historic gold mines were mined down to the water table, which is approximately 20m deep at Tuckanarra. 1980 to 1987: Tuckanarra Minerals By the mid-1980s Tuckanarra Minerals had completed in excess of 64 RAB holes, defining gold mineralisation at the Maybelle prospect and identifying numerous additional areas which were prospective for gold resources. They concluded that the area hosted excellent potential for the delineation of small-to-medium gold mines and noted that little drilling had been completed at depth. Following the 1987 stock market crash, Metana Minerals purchased the Tuckanarra group of tenements. 1988 to 1996: Metana Minerals (Gold Mines of Australia) Between 1988 and 1990 Metana Minerals (renamed Gold Mines of Australia ("GMA")) completed a systematic 200m x 40m soil geochemistry program over a large portion of their tenement holding, including Tuckanarra. Between 1990 and 1995 GMA undertook numerous drilling programs encompassing Rotary Air Blast ("RAB"), Reverse Circulation ("RC") and Diamond Drilling ("DD") over the defined gold anomalies and historic workings. This resulted in the delineation of gold mineral resources at the Maybelle, Bollard, Bottle Dump and Cable Prospects, which were mined between 1990-1994. 1996 to 2003: St Barbara Mines Limited In 1996 St Barbara Gold Mines ("St Barbara") purchased the Reedys plant and tenements from GMA. Minimal exploration was undertaken until Anglo Gold Australia ("Anglo") became managing joint venture partner in late 2000. Anglo focused on the central Tuckanarra tenement area and completed detailed GIS compilation, soil sampling, rock chip sampling and the drilling of a total of 21 RC holes for 3512 metres and the drilling of 109 aircore and RAB holes for 5127 metres. 2003 to 2006: Mercator Gold Pty Ltd

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>Following the withdrawal of Anglo from the joint venture, St Barbara entered into a joint venture with Mercator Gold Australia Pty Ltd ("Mercator"). Mercator completed GIS compilation work, mapped the existing pits and completed a number of lines of geophysical induced polarisation to test for the presence of chargeable zones that may have a gold-sulphide association.</p> <p>2006 to 2011:</p> <p>No field work was been carried out on the Tuckanarra gold project post 2006. The Tuckanarra tenement package was acquired by Phosphate Australia in late 2011. Phosphate Australia focused on drilling laterite and oxide resources on the Cable-Bollard Trend, and Anchor with aircore drilling before selling the project to Monument mining in 2015. Odyssey Gold acquired the project in late 2020.</p>
Geology	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	<p>The Project area is located within the Meekatharra-Wyldgee Greenstone belt within the north-eastern Murchison Domain. The majority of greenstones within the Meekatharra-Wyldgee belt have been stratigraphically placed within the Polelle Group and the Norie Group of the Murchison Supergroup.</p> <p>The Project area covers Archean basement rocks assigned to the 2815-2805 Ma basal Norie group of the Murchison Supergroup, which covers the eastern margin of the Meekatharra-Wyldgee greenstone belt. The Norie group comprises a thick succession of pillowed and massive tholeiitic basalts of the Muroulli Basalt, and conformably overlying and mafic schist and felsic volcanoclastics with interbedded BIF and felsic volcanic rocks of the Yaloginda Formation (Van Kranendonk et al, 2013). These rocks are folded around the south-plunging Besley Anticline. Adjacent to these rocks are the mafic sequences of the Meekatharra Formation (Polelle Group).</p> <p>Granitoids in the Project area comprise of the Jungar Suite and Annean Supersuite to the east and the Munarra Monzogranite of the Tuckanarra Suite to the west. The Jungar Suite comprises of foliated to strongly sheared K-feldspar-porphyritic monzogranites. These rocks are characterized by strong shear fabrics that suggest they may have been emplaced during, or just before, shearing. The Annean Supersuite includes hornblende tonalite and monzogranitic rocks. The Tuckanarra Suite consists of strongly foliated and locally magmatically layered granodiorite to monzogranitic rocks.</p> <p>The Project is situated within the 'Meekatharra structural zone', a major regional, NE-trending shear dominated zone, about 50 to 60km wide, stretching from Meekatharra through the Cue region as far south as Mount Magnet. This major shear zone is dominated by north and northeast-trending folds and shears (e.g. Kohinoor shear). The Mt Magnet fault is the major east-bounding structure of the Meekatharra structural zone.</p> <p>The mineralised zones of the Project are located in the Tuckanarra greenstone belt comprising a series of mafic and inter-banded mafic and iron formations, with a variable component of clastic sediments, (greywackes and minor shales). The sequence is folded into a south-westerly plunging anticline with a well-developed axial plane cleavage and numerous fractures, bedding parallel faults and shears. The belt extends northwards to Stake Well and east towards the Reedys mining centre.</p> <p>The area has four small open pits, extensive minor gold workings, and prospecting pits principally associated with mafic lithologies and Altered Ferruginous Transitional (AFT) and Altered Ferruginous Fresh (AFF) material which were originally banded iron formations. The magnetite content within the AFT/AFF's has been destroyed and predominantly altered to an assemblage of hematite with the relic structure of the banded iron intact.</p> <p>Where mineralised veins intersect major competency contrasts such as high magnesium basalt or AFT/AFF, veining becomes layer parallel resulting in larger deposits such as the Bollard and Cable deposits.</p> <p>A number of styles of gold mineralisation have been identified in the area including:</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mineralised AFT and AFF material \pm quartz veining (Cable East, Cable Central); Quartz veins \pm altered ultramafic and basalts (Cable West, Highway, Lucknow, Maybelle, Maybelle North, Miners' Dream); and Gold mineralisation within laterite (Anchor, Bollard, Drogue). Below the base of complete oxidation ($\sim 40\text{m}$) gold mineralisation is commonly seen associated with quartz-pyrrhotite veins and pyrrhotite replacement of the host rocks. Prospective models for the discovery of additional gold deposits in the area are related to the intersection of shear zones with prospective lithologies.
Drill hole Information	<p>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. <p>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</p>	Drill hole details are provided in Appendix 1. Results that are interpreted to be discontinuous, or outside the areas of interest may not be highlighted in the announcement.
Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	Significant intercepts are reported as down-hole length-weighted averages of grades above a nominal 0.5 g/t Au; or according to geological/mineralised units in occasional cases where warranted. No top cuts have been applied to the reporting of the assay results.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	Higher grade intervals are included in the reported grade intervals; and have also been split out on a case-by-case basis where relevant.
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	No metal equivalent values are used.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<p>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</p> <p>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</p> <p>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</p>	<p>The bulk of the exploration drilling was conducted so that results would be close to orthogonal to the mineralisation as understood at the time; however, the true relationship to the mineralisation is not accurately determined. Due to restrictions of access, such as from historic open pits, the drill angle may be compromised. Cross sections are included in the announcement to illustrate the interpreted orientation of the drillhole to the mineralisation.</p> <p>True widths of intersections in this announcement are interpreted to be 80-100% of the downhole width.</p>
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Refer to Figures in the body of this announcement and Appendix 1.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Balanced reporting	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Balanced reporting has been used. The exploration results should be considered indicative of mineralisation styles in the region. Exploration results stated indicated highlights of the drilling and are not meant to represent prospect scale mineralisation. As the projects are brownfields exploration targets, and there are large numbers of holes drilled over the region, it is considered appropriate to illustrate mineralised and non-mineralised drill holes using diagrams, with reference to the table of significant intercepts. RC grade control holes are not displayed within the open pit and off section RC and RAB holes may not be displayed for clarity. Removing the off section holes does not materially change the interpretation from the that displayed. Mineralisation widths and grades are very consistent within the oxide zone as shown on the long section in this position.
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	No other meaningful data is required to be presented other than what has been presented in the body of this announcement. The reader is referred to the Independent Geologists Report in the Odyssey Gold Prospectus. Surveying of the depths of historic pits has identified that the mined volumes in JORC 2004 resources published by previous companies are incorrect.
Further work	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	Updates to the geological interpretation are currently underway to allow for future resource estimation. Planning of Rotary Air Blast ("RAB") drilling for the screening of earlier stage targets across the project is in progress. Field mapping and soil sampling will be undertaken over newly identified prospective targets. Mapping and soil geochemistry is continuing ahead of planned RAB drilling on early stage targets.

COMPETENT PERSONS STATEMENT

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results and Targets is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled or reviewed by Matthew Briggs, who is a Competent Person. Mr Briggs is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and is a full-time employee of Odyssey and is a holder of shares, options, and performance rights in Odyssey Gold Limited. Mr Briggs has sufficient experience that is relevant to exploration and the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity being undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Persons as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' (JORC Code). Mr Briggs consents to the inclusion in the announcement of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements regarding plans with respect to Odyssey's project are forward-looking statements. There can be no assurance that the Company's plans for development of its projects will proceed as currently expected. These forward-looking statements are based on the Company's expectations and beliefs concerning future events. Forward looking statements are necessarily subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside the control of the Company, which could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements. The Company makes no undertaking to subsequently update or revise the forward-looking statements made in this announcement, to reflect the circumstances or events after the date of that announcement.

This ASX Announcement has been approved in accordance with the Company's published continuous disclosure policy and authorised for release by the Managing Director.

-
- ⁱ Refer ASX announcement dated 8 August 2022
 - ⁱⁱ Refer ASX announcement dated 1 September 2022
 - ⁱⁱⁱ Refer ASX announcement dated 14 June 2022
 - ^{iv} Refer ASX announcement dated 8 August 2022
 - ^v Refer ASX Announcement dated 20 January 2022