

## Yinnetharra Lithium Project Continues to Deliver

### Highlights:

- The Yinnetharra Lithium Project covers a **very large area** of 575km<sup>2</sup> within the Gascoyne region of **Western Australia**
- The Malinda Prospect located within the Yinnetharra Lithium Project is the current focus of exploration activities and hosts **>50 mapped pegmatites**, some of which have been drilled and thus far demonstrate:
  - **Multiple thick lithium mineralised intercepts**
  - lithium mineralisation **from surface** and to a **depth of 350m** below surface
  - significant **strike** with **M1 greater than 950 metres of mineralisation**
  - **consistent and predictable lithium mineralisation**
- **New drilling result** include:
  - **29m @ 1.39% Li<sub>2</sub>O from 121 meters** in YRRD003
  - **21m @ 1.13% Li<sub>2</sub>O from 71 metres** in YNRD025
  - **17m @ 1.06% Li<sub>2</sub>O from 52 metres** in YRRD002
  - **12m @ 1.38% Li<sub>2</sub>O from 155 metres** in YNRD027
- Rock chip from **Jamesons Prospect** within the wider Yinnetharra Project grades **4.2% Li<sub>2</sub>O** with **spodumene** the **only** observable lithium **mineral** present
- The **Malinda** Lithium prospect **footprint** is very **large** and remains relatively **untested**

Red Dirt Metals Limited (ASX: RDT) ("**Red Dirt**" or the "**Company**") is pleased to announce an update for activities at its 100% owned Yinnetharra Lithium Project ("**Yinnetharra**" or the "**Project**") in the Gascoyne region of Western Australia. The total tenement holding at the Yinnetharra Lithium Project is 575km<sup>2</sup> including pending tenements.

New assay results received demonstrate consistent thick and predictable Lithium mineralisation at the M1 pegmatite which is mineralised from surface to at least 350m deep and has a strike extent of greater than 950 meters and open.

Additionally, assay results have also confirmed Jamesons as a significant Lithium prospect with assays from rockchip samples (*refer ASX announcement 3 April 2023 – Scale of the Yinnetharra Project continues to grow*) returning a grade of 4.2% Li<sub>2</sub>O.


**Commenting on the results** Executive Chairman, David Flanagan said;

*"This project just keeps on producing brilliant hits. It's hard to believe these results are from first pass drilling at a project we acquired in September in a region already producing 55% of the world's annual lithium demands. With the additional discovery of lithium 20km from Malinda at Jamesons, Yinnetharra is looking more like a province than a project."*

To date the Company has completed 101 holes for 21,150 metres at Yinnetharra. This announcement relates to results received from 6 Reverse Circulation (RC) drill holes. A further 77 holes are in the process of being assayed with results due in batches throughout the next few months. The company is also on track to complete an additional 230 holes before September.

HoleID		From	To	Length	Li <sub>2</sub> O %	Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ppm	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> %
YNRD018	NSR						
YNRD025		71	92	21	1.13	52	0.87
YNRD027		155	167	12	1.38	40	1.14
YRRD001	NSR						
YRRD002		52	69	17	1.06	46	0.96
YRRD003		121	150	29	1.39	58	0.98
	and	160	165	5	0.55	28	0.92

**Table 1:** Assay results received reported in this release for the Yinnetharra project.



**Figure 1:** Yinnetharra plan showing general location of drilling at the Malinda Prospect and the newly discovered Jamesons Prospect.

**Drilling results from the Malinda Prospect show thick, consistent, and predictable lithium mineralisation**

Drilling on site at the Malinda Lithium Prospect is rapidly defining the scale of several lithium bearing pegmatites (Figure 2). These results demonstrate strong Lithium continuity within the M1 pegmatite. YRRD003 has delivered a great result of 29 metres @ 1.39% Li<sub>2</sub>O from 121 metres and confirms an important plunge component to the mineralisation.

Extensional drilling on M47, M36 and M42 pegmatites are also ongoing with further results expected over the coming weeks and months.




Figure 2: Plan showing drilling at Malinda.

## Results from the Jamesons Prospect

Initial field mapping and rock chipping undertaken by Red Dirt has led to the discovery of spodumene bearing pegmatites in outcrop at the newly identified Jamesons Prospect, roughly 20km to the west of the Malinda Prospect. Importantly this now confirms the large scale of the mineralisation system.

The rock chip taken from 404124E 7296094N GDA94 Z50 has a result of 4.2%  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$  and the lithium mineral is spodumene.

The J5 pegmatite from which this rockchip was taken is one of more than 20 pegmatites identified at the Jamesons Prospect with only very limited sampling completed to date.

This discovery highlights the excellent potential of the Yinnetharra Project. The Jamesons Prospect remains on a pending tenement, with work underway to allow for further exploration to commence upon the tenement being granted.

**Authorised for lodgement by the Board of Red Dirt Metals Limited.**

For further information, please contact:

### Red Dirt Metals Limited

David Flanagan, Chairman  
+61 8 6109 0104  
[info@reddirtmetals.com.au](mailto:info@reddirtmetals.com.au)

### Investor/Media enquiries

Citadel-MAGNUS  
Michael Weir +61 402 347 032  
Jono van Hazel +61 411 564 969

## **About Red Dirt Metals**

Red Dirt Metals (ASX: RDT) is an exploration and development company focused on bringing high-quality, lithium-bearing pegmatite deposits, located in Western Australia, into production. With a strong balance sheet and an experienced team driving the exploration and development workstreams, Red Dirt is rapidly advancing its Mt Ida Lithium Project towards production. The Mt Ida Lithium Project has a global Mineral Resource Estimate of 12.7Mt @ 1.2% Li<sub>2</sub>O and holds a critical advantage over other lithium developers with existing Mining Leases and heritage agreements in place. To capitalise on the prevailing buoyant lithium market, Red Dirt is pursuing a rapid development pathway to unlock maximum value for shareholders, whilst at the same time undertaking drilling activities to expand the footprint of the Mineral Resource.

Red Dirt also holds the highly prospective Yinnetharra Lithium Project that is already showing signs of becoming one of Australia's most exciting lithium regions. The Company is currently undergoing an extensive 400 drill hole campaign to be completed throughout 2023.

## **Competent Person's Statement**

Information in this Announcement that relates to exploration results is based upon work undertaken by Mr. Charles Hughes, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AUSIMM). Mr. Hughes has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a 'Competent Person' as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' (JORC Code). Mr. Hughes is an employee of Red Dirt Metals Limited and consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Refer to [www.reddirtmetals.com.au](http://www.reddirtmetals.com.au) for past ASX announcements.

Past Exploration results and Mineral Resource Estimates reported in this announcement have been previously prepared and disclosed by Red Dirt in accordance with JORC 2012. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in these market announcements. The Company confirms that the form and content in which the Competent Person's findings are presented here have not been materially modified from the original market announcement, and all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning Mineral Resource Estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. Refer to [www.reddirtmetals.com.au](http://www.reddirtmetals.com.au) for details on past exploration results and Mineral Resource Estimates.

## **Disclaimer**

This release may include forward-looking and aspirational statements. These statements are based on Red Dirt management's expectations and beliefs concerning future events as of the time of the release of this announcement. Forward-looking and aspirational statements are necessarily subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are outside the control of Red Dirt, that could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements. Red Dirt makes no undertaking to subsequently update or revise the forward looking or aspirational statements made in this release to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this release, except as required by applicable laws and the ASX Listing Rules.



Appendix 1: Table showing drill hole details completed by Red Dirt at the Yinnetharra Project:

HoleID	E	N	RL	EOH Depth	Dip	Azi
YDRD004	426904	7288551	322	486.93	-57.38	358.54
YDRD005	426231	7289463	320	438.63	-57.82	0.87
YNEX014	425591	7289203	320	287.23	-26.14	1.71
YRRD025	427083	7288735	319	354	-75.27	3.45
YRRD026	427245	7288808	323	300	-80.13	353.48
YRRD027	426765	7288620	319	306	-76.31	351.28
YRRD028	427165	7289065	323	252	-57.09	358.96
YRRD029	427245	7288808	323	186	-63.99	98.09
YRRD030	427086	7288894	319	252	-56.34	1.92
YRRD031	426031	7289763	320	222	-55.7	178.16
YRRD032	426031	7289803	320	192	-54.95	184.72
YRRD033	426031	7289885	320	195	-56.46	186.59
YRRD034	426031	7289923	320	234	-55.74	180.94

Previous Drilling						
HoleID	E	N	RL	EOH Depth	Dip	Azi
YDRD001	425431	7289323	320	150.67	-54.75	1.44
YDRD002	425751	7289283	320	246.2	-54.65	0.54
YDRD003	425910	7289404	320	358.2	-55.15	0.64
YNEX001	426924	7288757	322	354.8	-56	330
YNEX002	425962	7289350	322	357	-50	0
YNEX003	425751	7289365	322	177.6	-50	340
YNEX004	425727	7289793	323	90.7	-80	180
YNEX005	425863	7289824	322	64.5	-50	180
YNEX006	425863	7289865	322	201.96	-50	180
YNEX007	425538	7289646	322	277.3	-50	0
YNEX008	426121	7289662	322	244.8	-50	0
YNEX009	425650	7289150	322	403.8	-50	0
YNEX010	425769	7289843	323	195.3	-50	310
YNEX011	425782	7289801	323	200.85	-58.63	309.93
YNEX012	426012	7289847	324	241.2	-55.77	177.59
YNEX013	425591	7289363	320	196	-55.3	2.33
YNRD001	426663	7288933	322	63.7	-62	0
YNRD002	426663	7288933	322	119.9	-72	325
YNRD003	426657	7288991	325	258.5	-50	180
YNRD004	426722	7288891	323	118.6	-50	310
YNRD005	426723	7288853	322	223	-62	20
YNRD006	426531	7288796	322	200	-60	350
YNRD007	426527	7288824	322	288.6	-56	350
YNRD008	426902	7288795	322	216.7	-51	0
YNRD009	425785	7289590	322	300.7	-55	0
YNRD010	425843	7289580	323	112	-60	0
YNRD011	425591	7289463	322	108	-55	0
YNRD012	425591	7289443	322	138	-55	0

YNRD013	425591	7289423	322	174	-55	0
YNRD014	425591	7289403	322	200	-55	0
YNRD015	425591	7289383	322	228	-55	0
YNRD016	425584	7289489	322	48	-55.23	1.67
YNRD017	425585	7289512	322	114	-54.76	359.72
YNRD018	425431	7289463	322	216	-55.77	1.94
YNRD019	425431	7289443	322	120	-55.02	2.74
YNRD020	425431	7289423	322	192	-55.92	359.69
YNRD021	425431	7289403	322	200	-55.11	1.41
YNRD022	425431	7289383	322	120	-55.47	0.29
YNRD023	425436	7289507	322	96	-55.44	3.99
YNRD024	426946	7289382	325	90	-56.12	13.47
YNRD025	426951	7288887	321	150	-54.94	333.53
YNRD026	427181	7288873	319	156	-56.49	2.05
YNRD027	427083	7288815	319	220	-56.39	359.87
YNRD028	426924	7288908	318	200	-56.2	3.47
YRRD001	426924	7288686	321	294	-55.05	5.68
YRRD002	426792	7288895	320	132	-54.06	3.8
YRRD003	426764	7288821	321	250	-55.64	10.98
YRRD004	426764	7288732	321	294	-55.17	1.98
YRRD005	427242	7288904	321	252	-55.05	358.39
YRRD006	427243	7288812	323	264	-55.28	0.4
YRRD007	426606	7288762	321	252	-55.18	357.93
YRRD008	426602	7288664	320	252	-56.21	358.44
YRRD009	426444	7288702	321	264	-55.24	350.58
YRRD010	426445	7288795	321	250	-55.96	5.89
YRRD011	426446	7288861	321	252	-55.14	4.42
YRRD012	426448	7288580	318	222	-55.41	0.86
YRRD013	426447	7288901	321	120	-55.741	2.05
YRRD014	426445	7288498	320	222	-55.77	1.44
YRRD015	426287	7288868	321	198	-54.83	359.73
YRRD016	426287	7288790	321	90	-56.09	17.02
YRRD017	426286	7288694	319	150	-54.56	5.19
YRRD018	426286	7288591	316	168	-54.98	10.71
YRRD019	426605	7288559	321	250	-56.34	358.6
YRRD020	426791	7289063	320	204	-56.07	358.67
YRRD021	426868	7289101	320	180	-56.09	358.02
YRRD022	426765	7288620	318	336	-56.18	2.02
YRRD023	426925	7288620	318	330	-54.51	355.98
YRRD024	427083	7288735	318	300	-54.39	4.19

Appendix 2: Table showing Previous Lithium results from the Yinnetharra Project:

Hole_ID		From	To	Width (m)	Li <sub>2</sub> O %	Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ppm
<b>GASRC0001</b>		<b>87</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>GASRC0002</b>		16	23	7	0.46	52
<b>GASRC0003</b>		105	108	3	0.38	26
	and	<b>110</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>GASRC0004</b>		0	1	1	0.75	76
	and	<b>14</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>GASRC0007</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>GASRC0009</b>		106	108	2	1.49	30
	and	121	126	5	0.39	97
<b>GASRC0011</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.04</b>	<b>47</b>
	and	15	20	5	1.04	67
<b>GASRC0016</b>		117	120	3	1.26	74
<b>GASRC0017</b>		23	24	1	0.63	106
	and	115	118	3	0.8	18
	and	<b>132</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0.58</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>MARC003</b>		6	12	6	0.52	127
<b>MARC009</b>		97	98	1	0.31	68
	and	105	106	1	0.32	5
<b>MARC010</b>		71	72	1	0.49	24
	and	<b>77</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>MARC011</b>		<b>81</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>41</b>

## JORC Code, 2012 Edition

Table 1; Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<p><b>Sampling techniques</b></p>	<p>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Diamond (DD) and reverse circulation (RC) drilling has been carried out by Red Dirt Metals at the Yinnetharra project</li> <li>• RC samples are collected from a static cone splitter mounted directly below the cyclone on the rig</li> <li>• DD sampling is carried out to lithological/alteration domains with lengths between 0.3-1.1m</li> <li>• Limited historic data has been supplied, reverse circulation (RC) drilling and semi-quantative XRD analysis have been completed at the Project. Historic drilling referenced has been carried out by Segue Resources and Electrostade (prior holder)</li> <li>• Historic sampling of RC drilling has been carried out via a static cone splitter mounted beneath a cyclone return system to produce a representative sample, or via scoop</li> <li>• These methods of sampling are considered to be appropriate for this style of exploration</li> </ul>
<p><b>Drilling techniques</b></p>	<p>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Diamond drilling is being carried out by DDH1 utilising a Sandvik DE880 truck mounted multipurpose rig and is HQ or NQ diameter. RC drilling is carried out by Precision Exploration Drilling (PXD) using a Schramm 850 rig</li> <li>• Some RC precollars have been completed, diamond tails are not yet completed on these holes</li> <li>• Historic RC drilling was completed using a T450 drill rig with external booster and auxiliary air unit, or unspecified methods utilising a 133mm face sampling bit</li> <li>• It is assumed industry standard drilling methods and equipment were utilised for all drilling</li> </ul>



Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sample condition is recorded for every RC drill metre including noting the presence of water or minimal sample return, inspections of rigs are carried out daily</li> <li>• Recovery on diamond core is recorded by measuring the core metre by metre</li> <li>• Poor recoveries were occasionally encountered in near surface drilling of the pegmatite due to the weathered nature</li> <li>• Historic RC recoveries were visually estimated on the rig, bulk reject sample from the splitter was retained on site in green bags for use in weighing and calculating drill recoveries at a later date if required</li> <li>• Sample weights were recorded by the laboratory</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quantitative and qualitative geological logging of drillholes adheres to company policy and includes lithology, mineralogy, alteration, veining and weathering</li> <li>• Diamond core and RC chip logging records lithology, mineralogy, alteration, weathering, veining, RQD, SG and structural data</li> <li>• All diamond drillholes and RC chip trays are photographed in full</li> <li>• A complete quantitative and qualitative logging suite was supplied for historic drilling including lithology, alteration, mineralogy, veining and weathering</li> <li>• No historic chip photography has been supplied</li> <li>• Logging is of a level suitable to support Mineral resource estimates and subsequent mining studies</li> </ul>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<p>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</p> <p>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</p> <p>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DD sampling is undertaken by lithological/alteration domain to a maximum of 1.1m and a minimum of 0.3m. Core is cut in half with one half sent to the lab and one half retained in the core tray</li> <li>• Occasional wet RC samples are encountered, extra cleaning of the splitter is carried out afterward</li> <li>• RC and core samples have been analysed for Li suite elements by ALS Laboratories, Samples are crushed and pulverised to 85% passing 75 microns for peroxide fusion digest followed by ICPOES or ICPMS determination</li> <li>• Historic RC sampling methods included single metre static cone split from the rig or via scoop from the green bags, field duplicates were inserted at a rate of 1:20 within the pegmatite zones</li> <li>• Historic samples were recorded as being mostly dry</li> <li>• Historic samples were analysed by Nagrom or ALS Laboratories where 3kg samples were crushed and pulverised to 85% passing 75 microns for a sodium peroxide fusion followed by ICP-MS determination for 25 elements.</li> <li>• Semi-Quantitative XRD analysis was carried out by Microanalysis Australia using a representative sub-sample that was lightly ground such that 90% was passing 20 µm to eliminate preferred orientation</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<p>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</p> <p>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples have been analysed by an external laboratory utilising industry standard methods</li> <li>• The assay method utilised by ALS for core sampling allows for total dissolution of the sample where required</li> <li>• Standards and blanks are inserted at a rate of 1 in 20 in RC and DD sampling, all QAQC analyses were within tolerance</li> <li>• The sodium peroxide fusion used for historic assaying is a total digest method</li> <li>• All historic samples are assumed to have been prepared and assayed by industry standard techniques and methods</li> <li>• In the historic data field duplicates, certified reference materials (CRMs) and blanks were inserted into the sampling sequence at a rate of 1:20 within the pegmatite zone</li> <li>• Internal standards, duplicates and repeats were carried out by Nagrom and ALS as part of the assay process</li> <li>• No standards were used in the XRD process</li> </ul>

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Explanation</b>	<b>Commentary</b>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant intercepts have been reviewed by senior personnel</li> <li>Some holes in the current diamond program have been designed to twin historic RC drillholes and verify mineralised intercepts</li> <li>Primary data is collected via excel templates and third-party logging software with inbuilt validation functions, the data is forwarded to the Database administrator for entry into a secure SQL database</li> <li>Historic data was recorded in logbooks or spreadsheets before transfer into a geological database</li> <li>No adjustments to assay data have been made other than conversion from Li to Li<sub>2</sub>O and Ta to Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub></li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill collars are located using a handheld GPS unit, all holes will be surveyed by third party contractor once the program is complete</li> <li>GDA94 MGA zone 50 grid coordinate system was used</li> <li>Downhole surveys were completed by DDH1 and PXD using a multishot tool</li> <li>Historic collars were located using handheld Garmin GPS unit with +/- 5m accuracy</li> <li>Historic holes were not downhole surveyed, planned collar surveys were provided</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill hole spacing is variable throughout the program area</li> <li>Spacing is considered appropriate for this style of exploration</li> <li>Sample compositing has not been applied</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill holes were orientated to intersect the pegmatite zones as close to perpendicular as possible; drill hole orientation is not considered to have introduced any bias to sampling techniques utilised as true orientation of the pegmatites is yet to be determined</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	The measures taken to ensure sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples are prepared onsite under supervision of Red Dirt Metals staff and transported by a third party directly to the laboratory</li> <li>Historic samples were collected, stored, and delivered to the laboratory by company personnel</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None carried out</li> </ul>

## JORC Table 2; Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria		Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling and sampling activities have been carried on E09/2169</li> <li>• The tenement is in good standing</li> <li>• There are no heritage issues</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The area has a long history of multi commodity exploration including base and precious metals, industrial minerals and gemstones stretching back to the 1970s, activities carried out have included geophysics and geochemical sampling, and some drilling</li> <li>• Targeted Li exploration was carried out in 2017 by Segue Resources with follow up drilling completed by Electrostate in July 2022</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The project lies within the heart of the Proterozoic Gascoyne Province, positioned more broadly within the Capricorn Orogen — a major zone of tectonism formed between the Archean Yilgarn and Pilbara cratons. The Gascoyne Province has itself been divided into several zones each characterised by a distinctive and episodic history of deformation, metamorphism, and granitic magmatism. The project sits along the northern edge of the Mutherbukin zone, along the Ti Tree Syncline. Mutherbukin is dominated by the Thirty-Three supersuite — a belt of plutons comprised primarily of foliated metamonzogranite, monzogranite and granodiorite. Rare-earth pegmatites have been identified and mined on small scales</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A list of the drill hole coordinates, orientations and metrics are provided as an appended table</li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No metal equivalents are used</li> <li>• Significant intercepts are calculated with a nominal cut-off grade of 0.5% Li<sub>2</sub>O</li> </ul>

Criteria		Commentary
	usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The pegmatites are interpreted as dipping moderately to steeply toward the south</li> <li>• Further drilling is required to confirm the true orientation of the pegmatites across multiple lined</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Figures are included in the announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All drill collars, and significant intercepts have been reported in the appendix</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None completed at this time</li> </ul>
<b>Further work</b>	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• POW's have been submitted to give RDT access to drill a further 200RC and 100 Diamond holes immediately over the area currently cleared under the existing heritage agreement (work will only be carried out under the guidelines of the heritage agreement and the agreed POW terms).</li> </ul>