



ASX Announcement

6th June 2023

Final PGE Assays for Bulge Drillholes

HIGHLIGHTS

Diamond Drilling – Duketon Nickel Project (100% DKM)

- Full suite of Platinum Group Elements (PGE's) received for previously released drillholes at the Bulge Ultramafic Complex*
- Significant intercepts at Rosie include:
 - **9.18m @ 1.49% Ni, 0.31% Cu & 2.44g/t PGE's (2.64% NiEq**) inc.**
 - **2.13m @ 3.12% Ni, 0.34% Cu & 6.38g/t PGE's (5.92% NiEq**) and**
 - **1.86m @ 2.28% Ni, 0.8% Cu & 2.94g/t PGE's (3.83% NiEq**)**
 - **2.53m @ 1.55% Ni, 0.18% Cu & 2.07g/t PGE's (2.54% NiEq**)**
 - **1.27m @ 1.8% Ni, 0.2% Cu & 1.36g/t PGE's (2.51% NiEq**)**
- Significant intercepts at Rosie West include:
 - **5.8m @ 0.67% Ni, 0.09% Cu & 0.92g/t PGE's (1.16% NiEq**) inc.**
 - **0.5m @ 3.86% Ni, 0.43% Cu & 4.02g/t PGE's (6.04% NiEq**) and further downhole**
 - **0.45m @ 1.95% Ni, 0.16% Cu & 2.4g/t PGE's (3.18% NiEq**)**
- The additional PGE's (Iridium, Osmium, Rhodium and Ruthenium) contribute up to 1g/t to the total PGE's for each hole.
- RC drill rig back on-site drilling 4,000m program at the Bulge

*See ASX announcement 22 May 2023

** The NiEq number is calculated using the same parameters as the latest MRE (see ASX announcement 10 March 2022). Assumptions for the nickel equivalent prices, recovery and calculation are detailed in the attached JORC Table 1. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed.



Duketon Mining Limited (**ASX: DKM**) (“**Duketon**” or “**the Company**”) is pleased to announce final assay results of the full suite of Platinum Group Elements (PGEs) have been received for drillholes completed earlier this year at the Bulge Ultramafic Complex (see ASX announcement 22 May 2023).

Stuart Fogarty, Duketon Mining Managing Director said; “These results exceed even our expectations. The high-grade nature of the intersections, particularly the PGE’s, and the extent to which they extend the known mineralisation beyond the existing resource demonstrates the scope for significant further growth at Rosie.

It’s exciting to see the RC rig back on site and continuing to test targets within the Bulge Ultramafic Complex outside of the known resources.”

Table 1: Rosie Significant Intercept Table (Significant intercepts are >4000 ppm Ni, maximum internal dilution of 2 metres, intersections are downhole widths.)

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Intercept Width (m)	Ni %	Cu %	PGE's (g/t)	Comments	NiEq%
DKDD0036	583	584.05	1.05	0.54	0.08	0.55	1.05m @ 0.54% Ni, 0.08% Cu & 0.55g/t PGE's	0.82
and	605.5	608.03	2.53	1.55	0.18	2.07	2.53m @ 1.55% Ni, 0.18% Cu & 2.07g/t PGE's	2.54
inc.	605.5	607.3	1.8	1.98	0.23	2.91	1.8m @ 1.98% Ni, 0.23% Cu & 2.91g/t PGE's	3.35
DKDD0038	419.8	421.4	1.6	1.61	0.2	1.23	1.6m @ 1.61% Ni, 0.2% Cu & 1.23g/t PGE's	2.26
inc.	419.8	421.07	1.27	1.8	0.2	1.36	1.27m @ 1.8% Ni, 0.2% Cu & 1.36g/t PGE's	2.51
DKDD0039	626	627	1	0.41	0.04	0.31	1m @ 0.41% Ni, 0.04% Cu & 0.31g/t PGE's	0.55
and	647.2	656.38	9.18	1.49	0.31	2.44	9.18m @ 1.49% Ni, 0.31% Cu & 2.44g/t PGE's	2.64
inc.	648.11	649.97	1.86	2.28	0.8	2.94	1.86m @ 2.28% Ni, 0.8% Cu & 2.94g/t PGE's	3.83
inc.	651.13	653.26	2.13	3.12	0.34	6.38	2.13m @ 3.12% Ni, 0.34% Cu & 6.38g/t PGE's	5.92

Table 2: Rosie West Significant Intercept Table (Significant intercepts are >4000 ppm Ni, maximum consecutive dilution of 2 metres, intersections are downhole widths.)

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Intercept Width (m)	Ni %	Cu %	Total PGEs g/t	Comments	NiEq%
DKDD0035	496.5	502.3	5.8	0.67	0.09	0.92	5.8m @ 0.67% Ni, 0.09% Cu & 0.92g/t PGEs	1.16
inc.	501.8	502.3	0.5	3.86	0.43	4.02	0.5m @ 3.86% Ni, 0.43% Cu & 4.02g/t PGEs	6.04
and	526.4	526.85	0.45	1.95	0.16	2.4	0.45m @ 1.95% Ni, 0.16% Cu & 2.4g/t PGEs	3.18




Figure 1: Cross section of DKDD0039, Rosie




Figure 2: Cross section of DKDD0036, Rosie




Figure 3: Rosie Long Section




Figure 4: Cross section of DKDD0035, Rosie West




Figure 5: Location map of the Duketon tenement holdings and location of the DKM prospects



Table 3: Drillhole collar details

Hole ID	Easting (MGA 94 Z51)	Northing (MGA 94 Z51)	Nominal RL (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (mag °)	Total Depth (m)
DKDD0035	401936	6943716	550	-60	45	711
DKDD0036	402898	6943411	545	-60	0	651
DKDD0037	403176	6943699	540	-60	315	423
DKDD0038	401947	6944332	541	-60	45	447
DKDD0039	402748	6943402	542	-60	0	701.8
DKDD0040	401850	6943950	540	-60	45	500.9

Table 4: Rosie Mineral Resource

Rosie Nickel Resource >1% NiEq							
Classification	Sulphide	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Cu (%)	Co (ppm)	Total PGEs (g/t)	NiEq %
Indicated	Pentlandite	1,191,555	2.4	0.42	642	2.7	3.76
	Violarite	820,999	1.7	0.39	504	2.5	2.75
	Sub-Total	2,012,553	2.1	0.41	585	2.6	3.35
Inferred	Pentlandite	694,751	1.8	0.48	580	2.5	3.13
	Violarite	66,179	1.5	0.42	442	1.7	2.36
	Sub-Total	760,930	1.8	0.48	568	2.4	3.06
Total	All	2,773,483	2.0	0.43	580	2.6	3.27

Authorised for release by:
Stuart Fogarty
 Duketon Mining Limited - Managing Director
 +61 8 6315 1490



Competent Person Statement:

The information in this release that relates to exploration results is based on information compiled by Ms Kirsty Culver, Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG) and an employee of Duketon Mining Limited. Ms Culver has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity that is being undertaken to qualify as a competent person as defined in the JORC Code 2012. Ms Culver consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

This release includes information that relates to exploration results which were prepared and first disclosed under the JORC Code 2012. The information was extracted from the Company's previous ASX announcements as follows:

- 22 May 2023 Nickel Results confirm Rosie Continues
- 16 February 2023 Diamond Drilling Commencing at Duketon
- 28 February 2023 Sulphides Intersected West of Rosie
- 21 April 2023 Revised Announcement Sulphide Success at The Bulge
- 10 March 2022 Rosie Resource Increases in Tonnes, Grade and Metal

The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement. The Company confirms that the form and context in which any Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

The information in the announcement that relates to Mineral Resources for Rosie is extracted from the ASX announcement 10 March 2022 and is available to view on the Company's website (www.duketonmining.com.au). The company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.



JORC Table 1

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report – Duketon Project

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data – Rosie Diamond Drilling

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> • <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> • <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> • <i>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diamond core was drilled HQ to competent rock and then NQ2 to end of hole. • The sample interval is cut in half using a diamond core saw and half core sampled for assay. Each sample provides between 2.0-3.0kg of material. The core is cut to the left of the orientation line, with the same half sampled to ensure sample is representative. • Diamond core is sampled to geological boundaries, no more than 1.2m and no less than 20cm per sample. • Certified samples and blanks are inserted every 25th sample for diamond drilling. • Mineralisation is determined qualitatively by geological logging and quantitatively through assaying.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diamond drilling using HQ2 (61.1mm) sized core to competent rock and then NQ2 (50.6mm) to end of hole. • Core was oriented using the Axis Champ Orientation tool.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. • Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. • Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recoveries qualitatively noted at the time of drilling and recorded. • Core is metre marked and orientated. Run recoveries are recorded. • Triple tube HQ is used to maximise recovery through the weathered zone and ensure a representative sample.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. • Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. • The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All core is logged to a level of detail to support future use in a mineral resource calculation. • Qualitative: Lithology, alteration, mineralisation. • Quantitative: Vein percentage, sulphide percentage. • All holes for their entire length are logged. • All core is photographed.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. • If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. • For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. • Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. • Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. • Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The core is cut using an automatic core saw, half core is sampled. • At the laboratory the core sample is crushed to <2mm and then pulverised to achieve 85% passing 75 µm • Field duplicates are collected within the mineralised zones and are samples as quarter core samples
Quality of assay data and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. • For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples are analysed using a 4-acid digest and ICP_OES finish for 33 elements and Fire Assay 25g charge with MS finish for Au, Pt and Pd.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
laboratory tests	<p><i>the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Selected samples are also analysed using a Nickel Sulphide Collection Fire Assay 25g charge with MS finish for Au, Pt, Pd, Rh, Ru, Os, Ir to a 1ppb detection limit. This technique is industry standard for nickel and considered appropriate. Certified Reference Material (Standards) and blanks are submitted with batches (1 in every 25 samples).
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All data is checked internally for correctness by senior DKM geological and corporate staff. All data is collected via Ocris software and uploaded into the DKM Dashed Database following validation. No twinned holes have been drilled to date.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All location points are collected using a handheld GPS in MGA 94 – Zone 51 Downhole surveying (azimuth and dip of the drillhole) of diamond drillholes was measured by the drilling contractors using an Axis Champ North Seeking Gyro. A topographic surface has been created from airborne geophysical data. Drillholes are corrected to this surface.
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Holes are drilled at various spacing depending upon the holes drilled previously in the area of interest. Hole spacing is appropriate for drilling at this stage.
Orientation of data in relation to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The orientation of the geology and mineralization at Rosie is steeply dipping to the south and striking NNW to W. The orientation of the geology and mineralization at Rosie West is steeply dipping to the north and striking NW to W.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
geological structure	<i>of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chain of custody is managed by company representatives and is considered appropriate. All samples are bagged in a tied numbered calico bag, grouped into larger polyweave bags and cable tied. Polyweave bags are placed into larger bulky bags with a sample submission sheet and tied shut. Consignment note and delivery address details are written on the side of the bag and delivered to Toll in Laverton. The bags are delivered directly to Intertek in Kalgoorlie, WA who are NATA accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC17025:2005.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No external audits or reviews have been conducted apart from internal company review.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The tenement (M38/1252) is 100% owned by Duketon Mining Limited and is in good standing and there are no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	area.	
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous drilling at The Bulge Complex was completed by Independence Group (IGO) and South Boulder Mines Ltd. This work has been checked for quality as far as possible and formed the basis of the follow-up conducted as part of the drilling programme presented.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Rosie Nickel Deposit is a komatiite-hosted nickel sulphide deposit. The mineralisation is characterised by accumulations of massive, matrix, breccia and disseminated sulphides at the basal contact overlying a basalt footwall.
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A table is provided within the text of this announcement.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No top-cuts have been applied when reporting results First assay from the interval in question is reported (i.e. Ni1) Aggregate sample assays calculated using a length weighted average Significant grade intervals are based on intercepts > 4000ppm Ni Metal equivalents have not been reported

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Downhole length is reported for drillholes. Cross section contained in document shows approximate geometry
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to figures in document.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All drillhole locations are reported.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to document.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A discussion of further work underway is contained within the body to this ASX release.