## **ASX ANNOUNCEMENT**

## UPDATE ON STATUS OF EXEMPTION APPLICATIONS

Athena Resources Limited (ASX:AHN) advises as follows:

## **Judicial Review of Wardens Decision**

Athena Resources Limited (ASX:AHN) advises as follows:

Today a Judicial Review of the Decision of the Warden dated 16 December 2022 in which the Warden recommended refusal of applications for exemption in respect of two mining tenements held by subsidiaries of Athena Resources Ltd came on for hearing before His Honour Justice Howard in the Supreme Court of Western Australia.

Mr Dane Chandler appeared on behalf of Byro Exploration Pty Ltd and Complex Exploration Pty Ltd while Mr Mark Gerus appeared on behalf of Alexander Creek Pty Ltd.

At the conclusion of the hearing His Honour reserved his decision. It is not known when a decision will be delivered but it will be in written form and would be expected to either uphold the grounds of review or to dismiss that Application. In the event that the Review is successful the question of the grant or otherwise of exemption applications would be returned to the Warden for reconsideration.

By way of background, on 16 December 2022 the Perth Warden handed down a decision in which he recommended refusal of applications for exemption in respect to exploration licences E09/1507 and E09/1552 held by Byro Exploration Pty Ltd and Complex Exploration Pty Ltd both being subsidiaries of Athena Resources Ltd. The exemption applications were sought for the expenditure years ending on 20 October 2020.

The tenement holders had claimed expenditure of \$174,852 against the required minimum expenditure of \$231,000 on E09/1507 and \$69,856 against the required minimum expenditure of \$100,667 on E09/1552.

Such a recommendation are made by the Warden would normally then go to the Minister for Mines for determination. The Minister is not required to consider the matter however until the Review is determined.

AHN on legal advice considered and decided to proceed with a Judicial Review of the Warden's decision relating to the exemption applications.

The Judge reviews the Warden's decision, based upon the evidence given before the Warden.

The grounds upon which the Judicial Review is sought are:

- 1. The respondent committed a jurisdictional error in making his recommendation dated 16 December 2022 (Byro Exploration Pty Ltd v Alexander Creek Pty Ltd [2022] WAMW 25) that the applicants' Applications for Exemption 592781 and 592782 be refused in that the respondent failed to consider the applicants' evidence as to the work done and money spent on Exploration Licences 09/1507 and 09/1552 subsequent to the subject expenditure year ending 22 October 2020 when that evidence was not challenged.
- 2. Alternatively, the respondent committed a jurisdictional error in making his recommendation in that the respondent's consideration of the applicants' evidence as to the work done and money spent on Exploration Licences 09/1507 and 09/1552 subsequent to the subject expenditure year ending 22 October 2020 was unreasonable.

In addition, Byro and Complex are seeking a declaration from the Court to the effect that the Warden's recommendation is unlawful.

If AHN is successful the applications will be referred back to the Warden to reconsider. If the Warden again recommends refusal of the exemptions then the applications will be placed before the Minister for Mines for determination.

The Minister may grant the exemption applications or refuse them.

In the event that the Minister grants the exemption applications then an application for forfeiture on each of the tenements will be defeated.

In the event that the exemption applications are refused by the Minister the forfeiture applications will come on before a Warden for determination at which the refusal of the exemption applications would be deemed as non-compliance with the expenditure obligations.

The issue for determination by the Warden will then be whether that non-compliance is of sufficient gravity to justify forfeiture. Byro and Complex will have opportunity of calling further evidence at that hearing, after which the Warden may recommend forfeiture or, as an alternative, the Warden may impose a fine of not more than \$10,000 per tenement or impose no penalty at all.

The final determination will again be that of the Minister, who is free to follow or not follow the Warden's recommendation.

In the event that the Warden recommends forfeiture, it will also be open to the applicants to make written submissions to the Minister as to why he should not follow the Warden's recommendation.

This announcement is Authorised by the Board

**Ed Edwards Managing Director** 24 August 2023