



## QUARTERLY REPORT TO 31 DECEMBER 2023 KIHABE/NXUU POLYMETALLIC PROJECT, BOTSWANA

### Compliance with the Department of Environmental Affairs

During the quarter, the principal activity of the Company involved completing all regulatory requirements as prescribed by the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA), to conduct an estimated 2,600m of HQ diamond core drilling campaign on the Nxuu Deposit Indicated/Inferred Resource.

Following completion of the drilling campaign, the Company believes it will be able to generate a Measured/Indicated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Nxuu Deposit, compliant with the 2012 JORC Code. A revised Mineral Resource estimate will enable the Company to proceed to a Pre-feasibility study, followed by a Definitive Feasibility Study.

**In May 2023**, the Company first submitted a project brief to the DEA, outlining its intention to conduct an exploration drilling campaign.

In response, the DEA advised that the proposed drilling campaign would require the undertaking of an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), compiled and submitted by an independent Environmental Consultant.

**In August 2023**, once having sourced, selected and appointed an independent Environmental Consultant to compile an EIA for the proposed drilling campaign, the Company was then required to:

- Contact representatives from all local villages within the area, as well as area council officers and ecologists and request their attendance at proposed meetings.
- Accompany the Environmental Consultants to site to attend the proposed meetings over a two-day period.

All meetings were described as positive with local attendants agreeing a mining operation would create employment for local inhabitants.

**In September 2023**, a draft EIA Scoping Report was submitted and subsequently accepted by the DEA on 21 December 2023.

**In October 2023**, the DEA informed the Company it wanted to conduct a site visit to the project area.

A Company geologist travelled to Maun to accompany the DEA to the project site. However, the DEA informed the geologist it needed to travel in its own vehicle, albeit it did not have any suitable vehicles available for the journey at that time.

**On 17 December 2023**, a DEA staff member arrived on site to inspect and clarify the proposed drilling programme, after which on **21 December 2023** the DEA advised the Company of the requirement for a detailed EIA. The requirement of a detailed EIA was the result of an amendment to environmental regulations which now stipulate that any exploration being conducted for heavy metals on tribal or state land requires a detailed EIA to be submitted.

As the Company's Kihabe-Nxuu project is situated on tribal land, the Company's EIA consultant has submitted a detailed EIA to the DEA, for which the Company is now awaiting approval.

### **Test Work for onsite recovery of Gallium and Germanium**

Samples have been submitted to laboratories for metallurgical test work to confirm to what extent Gallium and Germanium can be recovered on site.

The sample preparation has now progressed to a point where the samples are ready to be subject to mineralogical test work to determine the host minerals for Gallium and Germanium. Once the host minerals have been determined, metallurgical recovery test work will then be conducted.

During the quarter initial gravity and leaching test work was programmed and commenced in mid-January 2024.

### **Updated Project Summary**

Attached is an updated Project Summary presented at the Company's AGM on 30 November 2023.

### **Forward Looking Statement**

This report contains forward looking statements in respect of the projects being reported on by the Company. Forward looking statements are based on beliefs, opinions, assessments and estimates based on facts and information available to management and/or professional consultants at the time they are formed or made and are, in the opinion of management and/or consultants, applied as reasonably and responsibly as possible as at the time that they are applied.

Any statements in respect of Ore Reserves, Mineral Resources and zones of mineralisation may also be deemed to be forward looking statements in that they contain estimates that the Company believes have been based on reasonable assumptions with respect to the mineralisation that has been found thus far. Exploration targets are conceptual in nature and are formed from projection of the known resource dimensions along strike. The quantity and grade of an exploration target is insufficient to define a Mineral Resource. Forward looking statements are not statements of historical fact, they are based on reasonable projections and calculations, the ultimate results or outcomes of which may differ materially from those described or incorporated in the forward-looking statements. Such differences or changes in circumstances to those described or incorporated in the forward-looking statements may arise as a consequence of the variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors relative to the exploration and mining industry and the particular properties in which the Company has an interest.

Such risks, uncertainties and other factors could include but would not necessarily be limited to fluctuations in metals and minerals prices, fluctuations in rates of exchange, changes in government policy and political instability in the countries in which the Company operates.

### **Other important Information**

**Purpose of document:** This document has been prepared by Mount Burgess Mining NL (MTB). It is intended only for the purpose of providing information on MTB, its Project and its proposed operations. This document is neither of an investment advice, a prospectus nor a product disclosure statement. It does not represent an investment disclosure document. It does not purport to contain all the information that a prospective investor

may require to make an evaluated investment decision. MTB does not purport to give financial or investment advice.

**Professional advice:** Recipients of this document should consider seeking appropriate professional advice in reviewing this document and should review any other information relative to MTB in the event of considering any investment decision.

**Forward looking statements:** This document contains forward looking statements which should be reviewed and considered as part of the overall disclosure relative to this report.

**Disclaimer:** Neither MTB nor any of its officers, employees or advisors make any warranty (express or implied) as to the accuracy, reliability and completeness of the information contained in this document. Nothing in this document can be relied upon as a promise, representation or warranty.

**Proprietary information:** This document and the information contained therein is proprietary to MTB.

### **Competent Person's Statements**

The information in this report that relates to drilling results at the Nxuu and Kihabe Deposits fairly represents information and supporting documentation approved for release by Giles Rodney Dale FRMIT who is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy. Mr Dale is engaged as an independent Geological Consultant to the Company. Mr Dale has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the JORC Code)'. Mr Dale consents to the inclusion in this report of the drilling results and the supporting information in the form and context as it appears.

The information in this report that relates to mineralogical/metallurgical test work results conducted on samples from the Nxuu and Kihabe Deposits fairly represents information and supporting documentation approved for release by Mr R Brougham (FAusIMM). Mr Brougham, non-executive Director of the Company, is a qualified person and has sufficient experience relevant to the process recovery under consideration and to the laboratory activity to which he has undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the JORC Code)'. Mr Brougham consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters, based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this release that relates to Mineral Resources and Exploration Targets is based on information compiled by Mr Shaun Searle who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Searle is an employee of Ashmore Advisory Pty Ltd and independent consultant to Mount Burgess Mining Limited. Mr Searle has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he has undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for the Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Searle consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.



**Mount Burgess Mining NL  
(ASX: MTB)**

**Focused on the development  
of 100%-owned polymetallic  
project in Botswana**

**PROJECT SUMMARY**

# KIHABE-NXUU POLYMETALLIC PROJECT

## Corporate

### CEO

Nigel Forrester FCA (ICAEW)

### NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

Jan Forrester

Serene Chau CPA

Jacob Thamage (Botswana Resident) - Mining Engineer, MBA BEM

Ian McGeorge (Botswana Resident) - Geologist, C Geol MSc BSc

Harry Warries - Mining Engineer Ms FAusIMM

Robert Brougham - Metallurgist BSC FAusIMM

### COMPANY SECRETARIES

Jan Forrester

Serene Chau CPA

BDO Botswana

Mount Burgess  
Mining NL

Mount Burgess  
(Botswana)(Pty)Ltd

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*


\*

\*


\*

The Kihabe – Nxuu Zn/Pb/Cu/Ag/V2O5/Ga/Ge project, under the title of PL43/2016, situated on the border with Namibia in Western Ngamiland, covers an area of 1,000 sq km. The project area covers that whole portion of a neo-proterozoic belt, situated on the Botswana side of the border, highly prospective for base metals (Zn/Pb/Cu), battery metals (V2O5), precious metals (Ag) and strategic metals (Ge/Ge).

# PROSPECTING LICENCE PL 43/2016



# PROSPECTING LICENCE PL 43/2016



# INDICATED/INFERRED KIHABE AND NXUU MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATES IN-GROUND METAL CONTENT

For Resource Grades refer to Slides 7 and 8 for Nxuu Deposit and Slide 22 for Kihabe Deposit

Resources	Tonnes (Million)	Zinc Tonnes	Lead Tonnes	Silver Ozs	Vanadium Pentoxide Tonnes	Gallium kg	Germanium kg
Kihabe	21	321,000	154,000	5,400,000	10,000	No estimate	No estimate
Nxuu	6	64,000	32,000	1,040,000	2,600	61,000	16,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>385,000</b>	<b>186,000</b>	<b>6,440,000</b>	<b>12,600</b>	<b>61,000</b>	<b>16,000</b>

A **peripheral Gallium and Germanium** Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate at the Nxuu Deposit includes the following metal content:

Resource	Tonnes (Million)	Gallium Kg	Germanium Kg
Nxuu Peripheral	2.3	25,500	3,200

The Mineral Resource Estimates were compiled independently under the supervision of Mr Shaun Searle, a Director of Ashmore Advisory Pty Ltd and a Registered Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Searle has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity that he has undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person, as defined in the JORC Code.

## Significant Metal credits NOT included in Kihabe Mineral Resource Estimate

Significant **Copper, Gallium and Germanium mineralisation** intersected in the Kihabe Deposit over a strike length of 2.4km. Further infill drilling required to include these in a Mineral Resource Estimate, as well as additional credits for **Vanadium Pentoxide** and **Silver**.

# NXUU RESOURCE

The Company intends to develop the estimated 6 million tonne Nxuu Mineral Resource first, even though smaller than the estimated 21 million tonne Kihabe Mineral Resource, as it presents as a low risk, low cost, shallow basin shaped deposit, with a maximum depth of **62m**.

- Mineralisation occurs within a totally oxidised/weathered quartz wacke, amenable to processing to produce metals on site
- The average depth to base of mineralisation of 70 holes drilled to date included in the current Mineral Resource Estimate is only **48.3m**
- The average depth of Kalahari sand cover per hole is **3.3m (6.9%)**
- The average length of above low-cut grade mineralised quartz wacke per hole is **40.3m (83.4%)**
- The average length of barren/below low-cut grade quartz wacke per hole is **4.7m (9.7%)**
- The waste to ore ratio is estimated to be less than **1 to 1**
- An estimated 2,600m of HQ diamond core drilling is required to quote a 2012 JORC Code Indicated/Measured Resource
- A Preliminary Feasibility Study can then be conducted followed by a Definitive Feasibility Study
- The Company is awaiting approval from the Department of Environmental Affairs to commence the drilling.

# NXUU MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE (0.5%ZnEq low cut)

Domain	Indicated Mineral Resource														
	Tonnage	ZnEq	Zn	Pb	Ag	V2O5	Ge	Ga	Zn	Pb	Ag	V2O5	Ge	Ga	
	Mt	%	%	%	g/t	%	g/t	g/t	kt	kt	kOz	kt	kg	kg	
Base Metal	2.7	2.3	1.4	0.7	7.2	0.04	3.1	10.4	38	20	630	1.2	9,000	28,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>10.4</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>630</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>28,000</b>	

Domain	Inferred Mineral Resource														
	Tonnage	ZnEq	Zn	Pb	Ag	V2O5	Ge	Ga	Zn	Pb	Ag	V2O5	Ge	Ga	
	Mt	%	%	%	g/t	%	g/t	g/t	kt	kt	kOz	kt	kg	kg	
Base Metal	2.9	1.4	0.9	0.4	4.0	0.03	2.3	10.3	25	10	370	0.9	7,000	30,000	
Vanadium	0.4	1.5	0.3	0.5	3.7	0.15	2.6	8.7	1	2	40	0.6	1,000	3,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>10.1</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>8,000</b>	<b>33,000</b>	

Domain	Total Mineral Resource														
	Tonnage	ZnEq	Zn	Pb	Ag	V2O5	Ge	Ga	Zn	Pb	Ag	V2O5	Ge	Ga	
	Mt	%	%	%	g/t	%	g/t	g/t	kt	kt	kOz	kt	kg	kg	
Base Metal	5.6	1.8	1.1	0.5	5.5	0.04	2.7	10.3	63	30	990	2.0	15,000	58,000	
Vanadium	0.4	1.5	0.3	0.5	3.7	0.15	2.6	8.7	1	2	40	0.6	1,000	3,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>10.2</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1,040</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>16,000</b>	<b>61,000</b>	

The Mineral Resource has been compiled under the supervision of Mr. Shaun Searle who is a director of Ashmore Advisory Pty Ltd and a Registered Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr. Searle has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity that he has undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the JORC Code.

All Mineral Resources figures reported in the table above represent estimates in November 2022. Mineral Resource estimates are not precise calculations, being dependent on the interpretation of limited information on the location, shape and continuity of the occurrence and on the available sampling results. The totals contained in the above table have been rounded to reflect the relative uncertainty of the estimate. Rounding may cause some computational discrepancies.

Mineral Resources are reported in accordance with the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (The Joint Ore Reserves Committee Code – JORC 2012 Edition).

Zinc equivalent grades are estimated based on LME Zn/Pb prices, Kitco Silver Price for Ag, Live Vanadium Price for V2O5, Kitco Strategic Metals Prices for Ge/Ga, as at 21 October 2022 and calculated with the formula:

$$*ZnEq = 100 \times [(Zn\% \times 3,000) + (Pb\% \times 2,000) + (Ag \text{ g/t} \times (20/31.1035)) + (V2O5\% \times 16,000)] / (3,000).$$



# NXUU Ga/Ge PERIPHERAL INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE (10g/t Ga Cut-off Grade)

Domain	Inferred Mineral Resource				
	Tonnage Mt	Ge g/t	Ga g/t	Ge kg	Ga kg
Peripheral	2.3	1.4	11.3	3,200	25,500

The Peripheral Mineral Resource surrounds the Base Metal and Vanadium Resource and as such **is in addition** to the Base Metal and Vanadium Mineral Resource above.

This Mineral Resource Estimate included a peripheral Indicated/Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate containing 2.3 million tonnes @ 11.3g/t Ga and 1.4g/t Ge. **The Ga and Ge in this peripheral resource have not been included in the Nxuu Mineral Resource Estimates**

# NXUU DEPOSIT NE & NW DRILL HOLE MAP



# NXUU DEPOSIT - ORDER OF CONTRIBUTION IN LENGTHS OF MINERALISATION INCLUDING GALLIUM, GERMANIUM AND VANADIUM PENTOXIDE


The 70 drill holes in the Nxuu Deposit Mineral Resource Estimate included 40 holes recently assayed for Gallium and Germanium and 43 holes which were assayed for Vanadium.

The 40 drill holes assayed for Gallium and Germanium, as well as Zn/Pb/Ag/V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, totalled 1,711.7m to base of mineralisation. By excluding Kalahari Sand Cover, which can be dozed off, a total of **1, 479.8m** contained mineralised quartz wacke to base of mineralisation (BM). Combined or individual mineralised intersections of Zn/Pb/AgV<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/Ga/Ge, **within the 1,479.8m** are as follows:


- 1<sup>st</sup> Gallium, 1002.62m (67.8% to BM) @ 11g/t, @ 10g/t low cut**
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Zinc, 497.55m (33.6% to BM) @ 1.8%, @ 1% low cut**
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Germanium, 444.63m (30.0% to BM) @ 4.3g/t, @ 3g/t low cut**
- 4<sup>th</sup> Vanadium Pentoxide, 386.28m (26.1% to BM) @ 1,170ppm, @ 300ppm low cut**
- 5<sup>th</sup> Lead, 243.59m (16.5% to BM) @ 1.5%, @ 1% low cut**
- 6<sup>th</sup> Silver, 170.42m (11.5% to BM) @ 17.8g/t, @ 10g/t low cut**

Gallium and Germanium are strategic modern metals. Due to significant increase in their demand, which requires access to imported supply, they are now both listed by the United States Geological Survey as Critical Minerals.

# NXUU DEPOSIT - CONTRIBUTION OF GALLIUM, GERMANIUM AND VANADIUM PENTOXIDE




# NXUU DEPOSIT - CONTRIBUTION OF ZINC, LEAD AND SILVER



# NXUU DEPOSIT MINERALISATION

Showing:

- Kalahari Sand Cover
- Barren or sub-grade quartz wacke (grey) and
- Mineralised intersections in blue containing combined or individual intersections of Zn/Pb/Ag/V2O5/Ga and Ge



# GALLIUM, GERMANIUM AND VANADIUM PENTOXIDE – MODERN STRATEGIC METALS

## Gallium

Gallium, a soft metallic element, currently trading at US\$755.80/kg (Strategic Metals Invest) is used for semi-conductors, blue ray technology, light emitting diodes (LEDs), mobile phones and as an additive to produce low melting point alloys.

Now listed by the United States Geological Survey as a critical mineral because of required access to imported supply and its increase in demand for Gallium Nitride (GaN) energy saving chips, required for:

- Rapid expansion of cost effective fifth generation (5G) networks requiring Gallium Nitride computer chips, rather than silicon chips. GaN chips are able to operate significantly faster with higher energy efficiency at higher temperatures, caused through the growing increase in internet traffic.
- Wireless charging of electric vehicles with energy efficiency levels of 96%, compared to current levels, at best, of 93%. The 3% increase will reduce CO2 emissions by about 1.7 mega-tonnes per annum by 2030. This is equivalent to annual CO2 emissions from 1 million cars with combustion engines.
- Low power loss and smooth connection of solar energy to grid power storage systems.

In order to meet future demand, the Fraunhofer Institute System and Innovation Research estimates that by 2030, worldwide production of Gallium will need to be six times higher than current world production of around 720 tonnes per annum.

# GALLIUM, GERMANIUM AND VANADIUM PENTOXIDE – MODERN STRATEGIC METALS (CONT'D)

## **Germanium**

Germanium currently trading at US\$2,839.40/kg (Strategic Metals Invest) is used in fibre-optics, infra-red optics, high brightness LEDs used in automobile headlights, mobile phone lights and in semi-conductors for transistors in thousands of electric applications. It is also used for night vision and night targeting.

Germanium is now the most efficient energy generator in solar panels which can convert more than 40% of sunlight into power, compared to silicon based solar cells which have a maximum capacity of 20%.

Germanium is also listed by the United States Geological Survey as a critical mineral because of required access to imported supply through growing demand.

## **Vanadium Pentoxide**

Vanadium Pentoxide currently trading at US\$13.23/kg (Daily Vanadium Price) is used in the manufacture of Vanadium Redox Flow (VRF) batteries. VRF batteries can store huge amounts of power over long periods of time. Their power storage levels can be subject to significant variations in high/low power storage levels over short periods of time, with little impact on power storage capability. Li-ion batteries have to be maintained at constant power storage levels, otherwise they deteriorate.

# TEST WORK FOR THE RECOVERY OF METALS ON SITE

- 93% Zn can be recovered through solvent extraction and electro winning (SX/EW), from the oxide mineral smithsonite
- Pb can be recovered as a concentrate by gravity separation, followed by flotation of the oxide mineral cerussite
- 82% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> can be recovered through gravity separation, followed by subjecting the tail to flotation, applying hydroxamate acid for recovery, from the oxide mineral Descloizite
- International Ag operations have developed processing circuits within concentrators which maximise Ag recoveries from oxide deposits
- Metallurgical test work is currently being conducted to determine appropriate processing routes for the recovery of Ga/Ge from high percentage oxide mica concentrates

# COMMINUTION MILLING TEST WORK ON THE OXIDISED, WEATHERED, MINERALISED QUARTZ WACKE

- Primary and secondary crushing reduces the mineralised quartz wacke to <40mm. By then milling with an EDS Vertical Mill, the particle size is reduced to a P80 of 1mm (1,000 microns). **This only requires 2kWh/t power**
- A further reduction to 106 microns at 80t/h is achieved using a small ball mill, with an average work index (BWi) of 10kW/h. **This only requires an additional 6.5kWh/t power**

A standard size ball mill requires at least an extra 40% of power to achieve the equivalent. The capital cost of a conventional Ball/SAG/Rod mill is significantly more than an EDS Vertical mill.


# NXUU GALLIUM/GERMANIUM EXPLORATION TARGET

<b>Range</b>	<b>Tonnage (Mt)</b>	<b>Gallium Grade (ppm)</b>	<b>Germanium Grade (ppm)</b>
<b>Lower</b>	4	9	2
<b>Upper</b>	8	12	3

The Exploration Target is based on the results of exploration activities undertaken to date and references an extensive dataset of historical drilling, geological and geophysical information, which includes recent exploration data obtained by MTB. The quartz wacke host geology wireframe forms the basis for grade ranges and tonnage factors for the Exploration Target, as gallium and germanium occur at consistent grades across the breadth of this geological unit. The average depth to the base of the gallium/germanium mineralisation and Exploration Target is approximately 43m below the natural surface, with the maximum depth being 65m.

MTB plans to conduct additional drill testing within the Exploration Target area as conditions permit.

# NXUU GALLIUM/GERMANIUM EXPLORATION TARGET GEOSPATIAL LOCATION




Note: ga = Gallium component of the 3/11/22 MRE, ga\_ge\_et = Exploration Target

# KIHABE RESOURCE

The Kihabe Indicated/Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate contains 21 million tonnes to a depth of 175m applying a 0.5% ZnEq low cut grade, including Zn/Pb/Ag V2O5:

- It covers a strike length of 2.4km.
- The top 6.9 million tonnes (32.9%) contains oxide and transitional mineralisation.
- The bottom 14.1 million tonnes (67.1%) contains sulphide mineralisation.

# KIHABE DEPOSIT – 2.4KM IN LENGTH



# KIHABE MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE (0.5%ZnEq low cut)

Type	Indicated Mineral Resource										
	Tonnage Mt	ZnEq* %	Zn %	Pb %	Ag g/t	V2O5 %	ZnEq* kt	Zn kt	Pb kt	Ag Moz	V2O5 kt
Oxide	1.1	1.6	0.9	0.8	8.8	0.04	18	10	8	0.3	1
Transitional	3.1	1.8	1.4	0.7	9.0	0.01	57	43	20	0.9	1
Fresh	7.5	2.1	1.6	0.8	8.9	0.01	160	122	57	2.1	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>5</b>

Type	Inferred Mineral Resource										
	Tonnage Mt	ZnEq* %	Zn %	Pb %	Ag g/t	V2O5 %	ZnEq* kt	Zn kt	Pb kt	Ag Moz	V2O5 kt
Oxide	0.8	1.4	0.9	0.6	6.0	0.04	11	7	4	0.1	1
Transitional	1.9	1.7	1.3	0.6	5.4	0.02	33	25	11	0.3	1
Fresh	6.6	2.3	1.7	0.8	7.7	0.01	151	114	53	1.6	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>5</b>

Type	Total Mineral Resource										
	Tonnage Mt	ZnEq* %	Zn %	Pb %	Ag g/t	V2O5 %	ZnEq* kt	Zn kt	Pb kt	Ag Moz	V2O5 kt
Oxide	1.9	1.5	0.9	0.7	7.7	0.04	28	17	13	0.5	2
Transitional	5.0	1.8	1.4	0.6	7.6	0.01	90	68	31	1.2	2
Fresh	14.1	2.2	1.7	0.8	8.3	0.01	310	237	110	3.8	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>10</b>

The Mineral Resource has been compiled under the supervision of Mr. Shaun Searle who is a director of Ashmore Advisory Pty Ltd and a Registered Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr. Searle has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity that he has undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the JORC Code.

All Mineral Resources figures reported in the table above represent estimates at 10<sup>th</sup> August 2022. Mineral Resource estimates are not precise calculations, being dependent on the interpretation of limited information on the location, shape and continuity of the occurrence and on the available sampling results. The totals contained in the above table have been rounded to reflect the relative uncertainty of the estimate. Rounding may cause some computational discrepancies.

Mineral Resources are reported in accordance with the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (The Joint Ore Reserves Committee Code – JORC 2012 Edition). "Zinc equivalent grades are estimated based on LME closing prices as at 30th June 2022 and calculated with the formula:

$$*ZnEq = [(Zn\% \times 3,410) + (Pb\% \times 1,955) + (Ag \text{ g/t} \times 20.7/31.1035) + (V2O5\% \times 20,720)] / (3,410)$$

Mount Burgess is of the opinion that all elements included in the metal equivalent calculation have reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.

# KIHABE DEPOSIT GALLIUM NOT INCLUDED IN THE MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE

Only 18 of the 150 holes drilled over the 2.4km strike length of the Kihabe Deposit were assayed for Ga. However, 14 of the holes were drilled over a strike length of 670m in the SW area and 4 holes were drilled over a strike length of 520m in the NE area. All holes contained significant lengths of Ga mineralisation, showing the potential for the consistency of Ga mineralization to occur along the entire 2.4km of strike length of the Kihabe Deposit.


The 18 drill holes totalled 2,095.8m to base of mineralisation, in which **1365.0m (65.1%)** contained Ga mineralisation, averaging **12.1g/t**.

For the consistency of the Ga mineralisation over the 2.4km Kihabe strike length, refer to Slides 24 and 25.



# KIHABE DEPOSIT

## SW AREA LONG SECTION




KIHABE DEPOSIT SW AREA  
LONG SECTION

LEGEND

Vanadium (V2O5)	<span style="color: red;">■</span>
Zinc	<span style="color: darkblue;">■</span>
Lead	<span style="color: lightblue;">■</span>
Silver	<span style="color: green;">■</span>
Gallium	<span style="color: purple;">■</span>
Germanium	<span style="color: black;">■</span>

# KIHABE GALLIUM EXPLORATION TARGET



Range	Tonnage (Million Tonnes)	Gallium Grade (ppm)
Lower	75	9 (900,000kg Ga)
Upper	100	12 (1,200,000kg Ga)

*The potential quantity and grade of the Exploration Target is conceptual in nature. There has been insufficient exploration to estimate a Mineral Resource for all target areas reported. It is uncertain if further exploration will result in the estimation of a Mineral Resource. The Exploration Target has been prepared and reported in accordance with the 2012 edition of the JORC Code.*

# KIHABE DEPOSIT GERMANIUM NOT INCLUDED IN MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE

Only 7 of the 150 holes drilled into the Kihabe Deposit were assayed for Germanium. Six of these holes returned 62m of Germanium, averaging 7.1g/t Ge, applying a 3g/t low cut grade. (Refer to Slides 24 and 25)


# KIHABE DEPOSIT **COPPER** NOT INCLUDED IN MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE

In the NE area of the Kihabe Deposit, 26 holes drilled over a strike length of 800m (Slide 28) contained 324m of Copper mineralisation, averaging **0.26% Cu**.

The 26 holes were drilled on nine cross sections 100m apart, (see Slide 29 for typical Cu mineralisation).

In-fill drilling between the nine cross sections will enable a Copper Mineral Resource Estimate to be conducted, enabling an additional metal credit to the current Zn/Pb/Ag/V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> Mineral Resource Estimate.


# KIHABE DEPOSIT **COPPER** NOT INCLUDED IN MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE



**KIHABE DEPOSIT COPPER ZONE** **KDD114**  
SECTION 11,500E

KALAHARI SAND

MINERALISED OXIDISED  
QUARTZ WACKE




Average Grades of Mineralisation for  
KDD114, KRC048, KRC049 and KRC052

- Average Cu grade over 161m of mineralisation = 0.26%
- Average Zn grade over 159m of mineralisation = 2.3%
- Average Pb grade over 92m of mineralisation = 2.0%
- Average Ag grade over 110m of mineralisation = 3oz/t
- Average V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> grade over 8m of oxide mineralisation = 303ppm

BASE OF OXIDATION

MINERALISED SULPHIDIC  
QUARTZ WACKE



NOTE: KDD114 was not assayed for Germanium

EOH  
168.8m  
DHD

LEGEND

- Vanadium (V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>)
- Zinc
- Lead
- Silver
- Copper
- Germanium

D-ID = DOWN HOLE DEPTH

**KIHABE DEPOSIT COPPER ZONE**  
SECTION 11,800E


KALAHARI SAND

MINERALISED OXIDISED  
QUARTZ WACKE

MINERALISED SULPHIDIC  
QUARTZ WACKE

LEGEND

- Vanadium (V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>)
- Zinc
- Lead
- Silver
- Copper



BASE OF OXIDATION

BAREN  
DOLOSTONE  
EOH  
115m  
DH  
EOH  
103m  
DH

# KIHABE DEPOSIT POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL CREDITS FOR VANADIUM PENTOXIDE

Only 44 of the 150 holes drilled into the Kihabe Deposit were assayed for Vanadium within the oxide zone.

Sixteen were drilled over a 200m strike length in the SW area of the Kihabe Deposit (Zone 1 – Slide 31).


Twenty-eight were drilled over a 500m strike length in the NE area of the Kihabe Deposit (Zone 4 – Slide 32)

In the oxide zone Vanadium is hosted in the oxide mineral Descloizite, where the volume of Vanadium Pentoxide is 1.785 times the volume of Vanadium.


- The 16 holes in the SW area, drilled on 6 cross sections 25m apart with one cross section 75m apart contained 288m @ an average grade of **1,085ppm V2O5**
- The 28 holes in the NE area, drilled on cross sections 100m apart contained 190m @ an average grade of **694ppm V2O5**

Additional infill drilling between the 75m in the SW area and the 100m in the NE area cross sections has the potential to enhance the confidence and grades of V2O5

# KIHABE DEPOSIT SW AREA V205 MINERALISATION - OXIDE ZONE



# KIHABE DEPOSIT NE AREA V205 MINERALISATION – OXIDE ZONE



# KIHABE DEPOSIT POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL CREDITS FOR SILVER

By applying a low cut grade of 15g/t Ag, there are:


- 26 holes over a strike length of 500m in the SW area of the Kihabe Deposit, which contain 319.4m of Ag mineralisation, averaging **2.2 oz/t**
- 18 holes over a strike length of 500m in the NE area of the Kihabe Deposit, which contain 212m of Ag mineralisation, averaging **2.5 oz/t**

With most of these holes drilled on drill sections 100m apart, additional infill drilling has the potential to enhance the confidence and grades of Ag. (See Slide 34)

# KIHABE DEPOSIT POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL CREDITS FOR SILVER

## Holes drilled to date containing silver grades of over 15 g/t

12,000mE  
 11,900mE  
 11,800mE  
 11,700mE  
 11,600mE  
 11,500mE  
 11,400mE  
 11,300mE  
 11,200mE  
 11,100mE  
 11,000mE  
 10,900mE  
 10,800mE  
 10,700mE  
 10,600mE  
 10,500mE  
 10,400mE  
 10,300mE  
 10,200mE  
 10,100mE  
 10,050mE  
 10,000mE  
 9,900mE  
 9,800mE  
 9,700mE



KRC098  
 KDD202  
 KDD125  
 KDD204  
 KRC038  
 KIH001  
 KIH004  
 KIH041  
 KIH003  
 KRC037  
 KDD203  
 KDD201  
 KDD126  
 KDD206  
 KDD109  
 KRC044  
 KRC046  
 KDD129  
 KRC021  
 KRC028  
 KRC025

KRC052  
 KRC049  
 KRC048  
 KDD114  
 KRC058  
 KRC056  
 KRC054  
 KRC059  
 KIH007  
 KDD143  
 KDD115  
 KRC072  
 KRC067  
 KRC061  
 KRC076  
 KDD116  
 KRC082  
 KDD117

500m  
 SW Silver Domain

500m  
 NE Silver Domain

Zinc Soil Anomaly

Drill Lines

Soil Geochem Sampling Area



# BOTSWANA

- Area 581,730 sq km
- 22<sup>nd</sup> largest nation of Africa's 49 nations
- Population 2,588,000
- Borders with Namibia to the West, Zambia to the North, Zimbabwe to the North-East and South Africa to the South and South-East
- Politically stable with Africa's longest continuous multi-party democracy,
- Elections every 5 years
- Sources of income:
  - (a) Mostly dependent on diamond mining as the largest diamond producer in the world
  - (b) Also dependent on tourism as it has some of Africa's largest wilderness areas containing wildlife. The Okavango Delta is one of the natural Wonders of the World.
  - (c) Also dependent upon livestock product generated from large rural grazing areas

With several decades of diamond mining, Botswana maintains a high degree of in-country, appropriately qualified personnel, including Mining Engineers and Geologists.

Significant emphasis is now being placed on diversification of mining operations such as copper and Mount Burgess Mining's polymetallic project, to add to future benefit for the country.

# DISCLAIMER

The material in this presentation has been prepared by Mount Burgess Mining NL (“Company”).



This presentation may not be reproduced, redistributed or passed on, directly or indirectly, to any other person, or published, in whole or in part, for any purpose without prior written approval of the Company. This presentation and the material contained in it is for information purposes only. This presentation is not an offer or invitation for subscription or purchase of, or a recommendation in relation to, securities in the Company and neither this presentation nor anything contained in it shall form the basis of any contract or commitment.


This presentation may not be distributed in any jurisdiction except in accordance with the legal requirements applicable in such jurisdiction. Recipients should inform themselves of the restrictions that apply in their jurisdiction. Failure to do so may result in a violation of rules in such a jurisdiction.

This presentation is not financial product or investment advice. It does not consider the investment objectives, financial situation and particular needs of any investor. Before making an investment in the Company, an investor or prospective investor should consider whether such an investment is appropriate to their particular investment needs, objectives and financial circumstances, seek legal and taxation advice as appropriate and consult a financial adviser if necessary.

This presentation may contain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include those containing such words as "anticipate", "estimates", "forecasts", "should", "could", "may", "ideal", "intends", "will", "expects", "plans" or similar expressions. Such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other important factors, many of which are beyond the control of the Company, its directors and management that could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from the results expressed or anticipated in these statements. The Company cannot and does not give any assurance that the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward looking statements contained in this announcement will actually occur and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward looking statements. The Company does not undertake to update or revise forward looking statements, or to publish prospective financial information in the future regardless of whether new information, future events or any other factors affect the information contained in this presentation, except where required by applicable law or the ASX Listing Rules. It is believed that the expectations reflected in these statements are reasonable, but they may be affected by a range of variables and changes in underlying assumptions which could cause actual results or trends to differ materially. The Company does not make any representation or warranty as to the accuracy of such statements or assumptions.

This presentation has been prepared by the Company based on information currently available to it. No representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the fairness, accuracy, completeness or correctness of the information, opinions and conclusions contained in this presentation. To the maximum extent permitted by law, none of the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates or the directors, employees, agents, representatives or advisers of any such party, nor any other person accepts any liability for any loss arising from the use of this presentation or its contents or otherwise arising in connection with it, including without limitation, any liability arising from fault or negligence on the part of the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates or the directors, employees, agents, representatives or advisers of any such party.

MOUNT BURGESS (BOTSWANA) (PTY) LTD  
Prospecting Licence No.043/2016



The licence area is nine hundred and ninety five point nine square kilometers (995.9Km<sup>2</sup>) defined by boundary lines in the North West District, which shall be straight unless otherwise stated, joining successive points at the following coordinates.


Coordinate System: GCS WGS 1984  
Datum: WGS 1984  
Units: Degree

POINT	LONGITUDE	LATITUDE
A	20.999710	-19.580740
B	21.237820	-19.580820
C	21.238060	-19.941390
D	20.999700	-19.941390

Total Area =995.9 Km<sup>2</sup>

**Legend**

- Settlements
- Road
- ▨ Licence Area
- - - International Boundary
- Water Body



Drawn on the 11/01/2023

By Kelvin Ketshabile *[Signature]*

Checked By P. Matlotse *[Signature]*

Department of Mines

# JORC Table 1

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HQ and PQ diamond Core was marked and collected in sample trays, visually logged and cut in half. Samples were collected as nominal 1m intervals but based on visible geology with minimum samples of 0.3m and maximum samples of 1.3m. Half of each core was retained on site in core trays and the other half was double bagged and sent to Intertek Genalysis Randburg, South Africa where they were crushed. A portion of each intersection sample was then pulverised to p80 75um and sent to Intertek Genalysis in Perth for assaying via ICPMS/OES for Ag/Pb/Zn/V/Ge/Ga.</li> <li>Individual meters of RC drill chips were bagged from the cyclone. These were then riffle split for storage in smaller bags, with selected drill chips being stored in drill chip trays. A trowel was used to select drill chip samples from sample bags to be packaged and sent to Intertek Genalysis, Randburg, South Africa where they were crushed. A portion of each intersection's sample was then pulverised to P80 75um and sent to Intertek Genalysis in Perth for assaying via ICP/OES for Ag/Co/Cu/Pb/Zn.</li> <li>The remainder of the crushed samples were then sent from Intertek Genalysis Randburg to Intertek Genalysis in Perth where they were then collected by the Company for storage. Samples from various intersections from drill holes were selected by the Company for submission for metallurgical test work.</li> <li>Based on the distribution of mineralisation the core sample size is considered adequate for representative sampling.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HQ and PQ diameter triple tube was generally used for diamond core drilling at Nxuu and Kihabe.</li> <li>RC chips were collected over 1m intervals, and two-stage riffle split to produce a sample for dispatch to the assay laboratory. The remainder of the sample was bagged and kept on site for access pending assay results; with washed chip samples for each metre also collected in chip trays for logging and later reference.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample recoveries have in general been good and no unusual measures were taken to maximise sample recovery other than the use of triple tube for diamond core drilling. In the event of unacceptable core loss MTB drills twin holes. MTB believes there is no evidence of sample bias due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material for holes being reported on.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Holes were logged in the field by qualified geologists on MTB's log sheet template and of sufficient detail to support Mineral Resource estimation: qualitative observations covered lithology, grain size, colour, alteration, mineralisation, structure. Quantitative logging included vein percent. SG measurements were obtained at approximately 5m intervals on DD holes.</li> <li>All core is photographed wet and dry.</li> <li>All drill holes are logged in full.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HQ and PQ Core was sawn in half on site. Half of each core was retained on site in core trays and the other half was</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></li> <li>• <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></li> <li>• <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• double bagged and labelled noting hole number and interval both within the bag and on the bag. Sample bags were then placed in larger bags of ~40 individual samples and the larger bag also labelled describing the contents. Field duplicates were inserted at regular intervals.</li> <li>• RC chips were collected over 1m intervals, and two-stage riffle split to produce a sample for dispatch to the assay laboratory. The remainder of the sample was bagged and kept on site for access pending assay results; with washed chip samples for each metre also collected in chip trays for logging and later reference.</li> <li>• All samples currently being reported on were assayed for Ag/Pb/Zn/V/Ge/Ga/Cu/Co.</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></li> <li>• <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></li> <li>• <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples prior to 2008 were dispatched to the Ongopolo Laboratory situated in Tsumeb, Namibia. Check samples were also sent to Genalysis in Perth.</li> <li>• Samples since 2008, when originally assayed, were sent to Intertek Genalysis Perth, for assaying according to the following standard techniques.</li> <li>• Diamond core samples were analysed for: (a) Ore grade digest followed by ICPMD – OES finish for Silver, Lead, Zinc, Copper, Cobalt, Vanadium/Germanium/Gallium; (b) Also 4 acid digest for silver, lead, zinc followed by AAS.</li> <li>• RC samples were analysed with Ore grade digest followed by ICP-OES for Ag/Co/Cu/Pb/Zn/Cu/Co.</li> <li>• MTB quality control procedures include following standard procedures when sampling, including sampling on geological intervals, and reviews of sampling techniques in the field.</li> <li>• The current laboratory procedures applied to the MTB sample preparation include the use of cleaning lab equipment with compressed air between samples, quartz flushes between high grade samples, insertion of crusher duplicate QAQC samples, periodic pulverised sample particle size (QAQC) testing and insertion of laboratory pulp duplicates QAQC samples according to Intertek protocols.</li> <li>• Intertek inserts QA/QC samples (duplicates, blanks and standards) into the sample series at a rate of approx. 1 in 20. These are tracked and reported on by MTB for each batch. When issues are noted, the laboratory is informed and investigation conducted defining the nature of the discrepancy and whether further check assays are required. The laboratory completes its own QA/QC procedures, and these are also tracked and reported on by MTB. Acceptable overall levels of analytical precision and accuracy are evident from analyses of the routine QAQC data.</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li>• <i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li>• <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li>• <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A selection of the original digital assay files from MTB has been checked and verified against the supplied database.</li> <li>• Numerous twin, and close spaced holes have been drilled. Results show close spatial and grade correlation.</li> <li>• All drilling logs were validated by the supervising geologist.</li> <li>• Adjustments to assay data included converting assays recorded in ppm to percent for Zn, Pb, Cu and V; the conversion of V to V2O5 and the conversion of negative or below detection limit values to half detection limit.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All drill hole collars were surveyed using DGPS equipment in WGS84 UTM Zone 34S coordinates.</li> <li>• Drill holes were routinely down hole surveyed using Eastman single shot magnetic survey instruments, with the dip and azimuth monitored by the driller and site geologist to ensure the hole remained on track within the</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>stipulated guidelines. Readings were obtained at approximately 25m intervals down hole.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Topographic control was derived from collar surveys. The Nxuu area is overlain by Kalahari Sand cover and is predominantly flat.</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing (drill holes) is variable and appropriate to the geology. Sections are spaced at 30m intervals, with hole spacings predominantly 30m on section.</li> <li>The spacing is considered sufficient to establish geological and grade continuity appropriate for a Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Samples were composited to 1m intervals prior to estimation.</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mineralisation at the Nxuu Deposit is sub-horizontal, therefore holes were drilled vertically. Mineralisation at the Kihabe Deposit is sub vertical. Holes were drilled at minus 60°, at 150° or 330° Azimuth.</li> <li>The drill holes may not necessarily be perpendicular to the orientation of the intersected mineralisation.</li> <li>Reported intersections are down-hole intervals and are generally representative of true widths.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples were taken by vehicle on the day of collection to MTB's permanent field camp and stored there until transported by MTB personnel to Maun from where they were transported via regular courier service to laboratories in South Africa.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MTB's exploration geologists continually reviewed sampling and logging methods on site throughout the drilling programs.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Kihabe-Nxuu Project is located in north-western Botswana, adjacent to the border with Namibia. The Project is made up of one granted prospecting licence PL 43/2016, which covers an area of 1000 sq km. This licence is 100% owned and operated by MTB. The title is current to 31 December 2024</li> <li>PL 43/2016 is in an area designated as Tribal Land. The Tenement is current and in good standing.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Geological Survey of Botswana undertook a program of soil geochemical sampling in 1982. As a result of this program, Billiton was invited to undertake exploration and drilling activities in and around the project area. MTB first took ownership of the project in 2003 and has undertaken exploration activities on a continual basis since then.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Kihabe-Nxuu Project lies in the north-western part of Botswana at the southern margin of the Congo craton. The Gossan Anomaly is centred on an exposed gossan within the project. To the north of the project are granitoids, ironstones, quartzites and mica schists of the Tsodilo Hills Group covered by extensive recent Cainozoic sediments of the Kalahari Group. Below the extensive Kalahari sediments are siliciclastic sediments and igneous rocks of the Karoo Supergroup in fault bounded blocks.</li> <li>The Nxuu deposit mineralisation occurs in a flat-lying quartz wacke unit situated on the contact of a barren dolomite basement unit. The deposit is weathered, with base metal and associated V/Ge/Ga</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>mineralisation occurring as a series of sub-horizontal units overlying the barren dolomite unit.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Kihabe Deposit mineralisation occurs in a quartz wacke situated on the contact of a steeply dipping barren dolostone unit. The deposit is variably weathered with base metal and associated V/Ge/Ga mineralisation occurring as a series of steeply dipping to sub vertical units in the hanging wall of the barren dolostone.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploration results are not being reported.</li> <li>All information has been included in the appendices. No drill hole information has been excluded.</li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploration results are not being reported.</li> <li>Not applicable as a Mineral Resource is being reported.</li> <li>For the Nxuu Deposit ZnEq=Zinc equivalent grade, which is estimated based on Kitco prices as of 21<sup>st</sup> October 2022 and calculated with the formula: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ZnEq = [(Zn% x 3,000) + (Pb% x 2,000) + (Ag g/t x (20.0/31.1035)) + (V2O5% x 16,000)] / (3,000).</li> </ul> </li> <li>For the Kihabe Deposit ZnEq = zinc equivalent grade, which is estimated on LME closing prices on 30 June 2022 and calculated with the formula: ZnEq = {(Zn% x 3,410) + (Pb% x 1,955) +Ag g/t x (20.7/31.1035)} + V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>% x20,720}/(3,410)</li> <li>MTB is of the opinion that all elements included in the metal equivalent calculation have reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mineralisation at Nxuu is sub-horizontal. Holes are drilled vertically.</li> <li>Reported hole intersections generally represent true width.</li> <li>Mineralisation at Kihabe is steeply dipping to sub vertical. Holes are drilled at approximately -60 deg towards azimuths 150 deg and 330 deg.</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Figures 1 &amp; 2 being, being drill hole maps for Nxuu and Kihabe have been included to show areas covered in the Mineral Resource Estimates.</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced Reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Figures 1 &amp; 2 being, being drill hole maps for Nxuu and Kihabe have been included to show areas covered in the Mineral Resource Estimates.</li> <li>Exploration results are not being reported.</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples - size and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Results were estimated from drill hole assay data, with geological logging used to aid interpretation of mineralised contact positions.</li> <li>Geological observations are included in the report.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	
<b>Further work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follow up drilling will be undertaken to improve confidence.</li> <li>Drill spacing is currently considered adequate for the current level of interrogation of the Project.</li> </ul>

### Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Database integrity</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.</i></li> <li><i>Data validation procedures used.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The database has been systematically audited by MTB geologists.</li> <li>The database used for estimation was cross checked with original records where available.</li> <li>Ashmore performed initial data audits in Surpac. Ashmore checked collar coordinates, hole depths, hole dips, assay data overlaps and duplicate records.</li> </ul>
<b>Site visits</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i></li> <li><i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ashmore has not undertaken a site visit to the Relevant Assets by the CP as at the date of this report. Ashmore notes that it plans to conduct a site visit as part of the future works and upgrade of the Mineral Resource to higher categories.</li> </ul>
<b>Geological interpretation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</i></li> <li><i>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</i></li> <li><i>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li><i>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li><i>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The confidence in the geological interpretation is considered to be good and is based on visual confirmation within drill hole intersections.</li> <li>Geochemistry and geological logging have been used to assist identification of lithology and mineralisation.</li> <li>The Nxuu deposit consists of sub-horizontal units. Alternative interpretations are highly unlikely.</li> <li>The Kihabe Deposit consists of steeply dipping to sub vertical units. Alternative interpretations are highly unlikely.</li> <li>Infill and extensional drilling has supported and refined the model and the current interpretation is considered robust.</li> <li>Observations from the host rocks; as well as infill drilling, confirm the geometry of the mineralisation.</li> <li>Infill drilling has confirmed geological and grade continuity.</li> </ul>
<b>Dimensions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Nxuu Mineral Resource area extends over an northeast strike length of 730m, has a maximum width in plan view of 265m and includes the 80m vertical interval from 1,155mRL to 1,075mRL.</li> <li>The Kihabe mineral resource area extends over an east-southeast strike length of 2,440m. It has a maximum width in plan view of 80m and includes the 220m vertical interval from 1,190m RL to 970mRL. Overall the mineral resource extends from 500,500mE to 502,600mE</li> </ul>
<b>Estimation and modelling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.</i></li> <li><i>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Using parameters derived from modelled variograms, Ordinary Kriging (OK) was used to estimate average block grades in three passes using Surpac software. Linear grade estimation was deemed suitable for the Nxuu and Kihabe Mineral Resources due to the geological control on mineralisation. Maximum extrapolation of wireframes from drilling was 30m along strike and down-dip for Nxuu and 100m along strike and down</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</i></li> <li>• <i>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</i></li> <li>• <i>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</i></li> <li>• <i>Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.</i></li> <li>• <i>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</i></li> <li>• <i>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</i></li> <li>• <i>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</i></li> <li>• <i>The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</i></li> </ul>	<p>dip for Kihabe. This was equal to the drill hole spacing in these regions of the Project. Maximum extrapolation was generally half to one drill hole spacing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Zn (%), Pb (%), Ag (ppm), Cu (%), V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (%), Ga (ppm) and Ge (ppm) were all interpolated.</li> <li>• Reconciliation could not be conducted as no mining has occurred.</li> <li>• It is assumed that Zn, Pb and Ag can be recovered in a Zn concentrate and V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> can be recovered in a V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> concentrate. In addition, Ga and Ge may be recovered as by-products.</li> <li>• It is assumed that there are no deleterious elements when considering the proposed processing methodology for the Nxuu and Kihabe mineralisation.</li> <li>• At Nxuu the parent block dimensions used were 15m EW by 15m NS by 5m vertical with sub-cells of 3.75 by 3.75m by 1.25m. The model was rotated to align with the strike of the deposit of 045°. At Kihabe the parent block dimensions used 12.5m EW by 5m NS, by 5m vertical with sub cells of 3.125 x 1.25m x 1.25m was selected on the results obtained from Kriging Neighbourhood Analysis that suggested this was the optimal block size for the dataset.</li> <li>• An orientated 'ellipsoid' search was used to select data and adjusted to account for the variations in lode orientations, however all other parameters were taken from the variography. Up to three passes were used for each domain. The first pass had a range of 50m for Nxuu and 80m for Kihabe, with a minimum of 8 samples for Nxuu and 10 samples for Kihabe. For the second pass, the range was extended to 100m for Nxuu and 150m for Kihabe with a minimum of 4 samples for Nxuu and 6 samples for Kihabe. For the final pass, the range was extended to 150m for Nxuu and 250m for Kihabe with a minimum of 2 samples. A maximum of 20 samples was used for all three passes for Nxuu with a maximum of 24 samples being used for all three passes at Kihabe.</li> <li>• No assumptions were made on selective mining units.</li> <li>• Zn and Pb, as well as Pb and Ag had moderate positive correlations. Zn and Ag had a moderate positive correlation.</li> <li>• The mineralisation was constrained by Mineral Resource outlines created in Surpac software, based on logged geology and mineralisation envelopes prepared using a nominal 0.5% combined Zn and Pb cut-off grade with a minimum down-hole length of 2m for Nxuu and 3m for Kihabe. The wireframes were applied as hard boundaries in the estimate.</li> <li>• After review of the project statistics, it was determined that high grade cuts were required for Ag and V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> within some domains of Nxuu together with copper domains for Kihabe.</li> <li>• Validation of the model included detailed comparison of composite grades and block grades by strike panel and elevation. Validation plots showed good correlation between the composite grades and the block model grades.</li> </ul>
<b>Moisture</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tonnages and grades were estimated on a dry in situ basis.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Cut-off parameters</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ZnEq cut-off grades of 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.5% for Nxuu and Kihabe were utilised for reporting purposes, assuming an open pit mining method. The Statement of Mineral Resources has been constrained by the mineralisation solids and reported above Zn equivalent ("ZnEq") cut-off grades of 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.5%. For Nxuu Zinc equivalent cut-off grades are estimated based on LME Zn/Pb prices, Kitco Silver Price for Ag, Live Vanadium Price for V2O5, Kitco Strategic Metals Prices for Ge/Ga, as at 21 October 2022. The ZnEq formula is shown below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>ZnEq = 100 \times [(Zn\% \times 3,000) + (Pb\% \times 2,000) + (Ag \text{ g/t} \times (20.0/31.1035)) + (V2O5\% \times 16,000)] / (3,000)</math>.</li> <li>For the Kihabe Deposit ZnEq = zinc equivalent grade, which is estimated on LME closing prices on 30 June 2022 and calculated with the formula: <math>ZnEq = \{(Zn\% \times 3,410) + (Pb\% \times 1,955) + Ag \text{ g/t} \times (20.7/31.1035)\} + V_2O_5\% \times 20,720 / (3,410)</math></li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Mining factors or assumptions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ashmore has assumed that the Nxuu deposit could potentially be mined using open pit techniques. No assumptions have been made for mining dilution or mining widths. It is assumed that mining dilution and ore loss will be incorporated into any Ore Reserve estimated from a future Mineral Resource with higher levels of confidence.</li> </ul>
<b>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Both the Nxuu and Kihabe mineralisation was initially determined to be a zinc and lead sulphide deposit. Metallurgical test work involved the recovery of the zinc / lead by flotation. Initial results gave low zinc recoveries (67.5%), with low sulphur in the tails.</li> <li>Mineralogical evaluation of the tailings determined that the zinc was in an oxide form of smithsonite at Nxuu and baileychlore at the Kihabe Oxide zone and the lead as a carbonate (cerussite) at Nxuu and in Galena at Kihabe. Further flotation tests were conducted, and the tailings subjected to leaching with sulphuric acid at 40 deg C for a zinc extraction rate of 89.5%.</li> <li>Recovery of zinc concentrate by flotation and leaching of the zinc oxides (baileychlore) in the tailings resulted in a zinc extraction of 89.5% giving an overall access availability to 94% of zinc within the ore. Additional testwork is recommended.</li> </ul>
<b>Environmental factors or assumptions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No assumptions have been made regarding environmental factors. MTB will work to mitigate environmental impacts as a result of any future mining or mineral processing.</li> </ul>
<b>Bulk density</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A total of 513 bulk density measurements were taken on core samples collected from diamond holes drilled at the Nxuu deposit using the water</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</li> <li>Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</li> </ul>	<p>immersion technique. A total of 4258 Bulk density measurements were taken on core samples from the Kihabe Deposit. Bulk densities for the transitional mineralisation at both Nxuu and Kihabe were assigned in the block model based on a density and Zn regression equation. Average densities for weathered mineralisation were applied (2.40t/m<sup>3</sup> for oxide) at Nxuu and 2.46t/m<sup>3</sup> for oxide and 2.58t/m<sup>3</sup> for transitional at Kihabe. Average waste densities were assigned based on lithology and weathering.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is assumed that the bulk density will have some variation within the mineralised material types due to the host rock lithology and sulphide minerals present. Therefore, a regression equation for Zn and density was used to calculate density in the Nxuu transitional material.</li> </ul>
<b>Classification</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</li> <li>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</li> <li>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Mineral Resource estimates are reported here in compliance with the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' by the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC). The Mineral Resources were classified as Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resource based on data quality, sample spacing, and lode continuity. The Indicated Mineral Resources were defined within areas of close spaced drilling of less than 30m by 30m for the Nxuu Deposit and 50m x 50m for Kihabe and where the continuity and predictability of the mineralised units was reasonable. The Inferred Mineral Resources were assigned to areas where drill hole spacing was greater than 30m by 30m for Nxuu and greater than 50m x 30m for Kihabe and less than 60m by 60m for Nxuu and 200m x 40m for Kihabe or where small, isolated pods of mineralisation occur outside the main mineralised zones.</li> <li>The input data is comprehensive in its coverage of the mineralisation and does not favour or misrepresent in-situ mineralisation. The definition of mineralised zones is based on high level geological understanding producing a robust model of mineralised domains. This model has been confirmed by infill drilling which supported the interpretation. Validation of the block model shows good correlation of the input data to the estimated grades.</li> <li>The Mineral Resource estimates appropriately reflect the view of the Competent Person.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internal audits have been completed by Ashmore which verified the technical inputs, methodology, parameters and results of the estimate.</li> </ul>
<b>Discussion of relative accuracy/confidence</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</li> <li>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The geometry and continuity have been adequately interpreted to reflect the applied level of Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resource. The data quality is good and the drill holes have detailed logs produced by qualified geologists. A recognised laboratory has been used for all analyses.</li> <li>The Mineral Resource statement relates to global estimates of tonnes and grade.</li> <li>No historical mining has occurred; therefore, reconciliation could not be conducted.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>used.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i></li> </ul>	

Lodged: 30 January 2024

ACN: 009 067 476  
8/800 Albany Hwy, East Victoria Park,  
Western Australia 6101  
Tel: (61 8) 9355 0123  
Fax: (61 8) 9355 1484  
[mtb@mountburgess.com](mailto:mtb@mountburgess.com)  
[www.mountburgess.com](http://www.mountburgess.com)