

12 June 2024

ASX Announcement

## GOLD RESOURCE UPGRADE AT FORRESTANIA GOLD PROJECT

### Highlights:

- Significant increase in Indicated Resources at both Lady Ada and Lady Magdalene
- Combined Ladies Indicated Resource of 69,966 oz<sup>1</sup>;
- 227,613 oz combined Ladies Inferred Resources<sup>2</sup>.
- Cadre Geology and Mining Pty Ltd confirm total FGP Resource base to be 297k oz<sup>3</sup>.
- Total Kat Gap and FGP Indicated Resources of 90,454 oz.
- Combined Kat Gap and FGP Indicated and Inferred Resources of 8,091,920t @ 1.45g/t for 377,946 oz.

PERTH, AUSTRALIA - June 12, 2024 - Classic Minerals Ltd (ASX: CLZ) Gold development company Classic Minerals Ltd is pleased to announce a significant confidence upgrade in the gold resource at its FGP Project. Classic recently announced the successful grant of mining lease M77/1310 over the Project area which has had a significant upgrade of resources to **Indicated**. This is a pivotal milestone in the project's advancement towards further development.




Figure 1 –Plan View FGP Block models (Magdalene left, Ada right. Red=Indicated, Blue= inferred) with drill collars.

<sup>1</sup> The Mineral Resource is classified in accordance with JORC, 2012 edition.

<sup>2</sup> The Mineral Resource is classified in accordance with JORC, 2012 edition.

<sup>3</sup> The Mineral Resource is classified in accordance with JORC, 2012 edition.

The enhanced resource confidence level, resulting from re-estimation following the integration of additional 2021 drill data which focussed on twinning and infill drilling around historic drill holes has confirmed grades from the historic dataset align with stated values. Statistical analysis on the new drilling showed it was agreeable with historic drilling from the provided dataset which lacked QAQC information. This analysis provided confidence in the historic work carried out by prior operators and increases confidence in the dataset in these areas. This new information informed the current mineralogical model and resource estimation was completed by ordinary kriging within the 3D modelled mineralisation wireframes and block modelling in Surpac by Cadre Geology and Mining Ltd. A comparison of the mineral resource upgrades since 2020 is highlighted in the tables below with all resources reported at a 0.5g/t cut-off to represent a possible minimum mining cut-off grade for initial open-pit operations, although optimization and financial assessment is still in process.

2024		Mineral Resources		
Prospect	Classification	Tonnes	Grade (Au g/t)	Ounces Au
Lady Ada	Indicated	540,339	1.62	28,143
	Inferred	809,642	1.23	32,018
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,349,981</b>	<b>1.39</b>	<b>60,161</b>

2020		Mineral Resources		
Prospect	Classification	Tonnes	Grade (Au g/t)	Ounces Au
	Indicated	257,300	2.01	16,600
Lady Ada	Inferred	1,090,800	1.23	43,100
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,348,100</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>59,700</b>


Prospect	Classification	Tonnes	Grade (Au g/t)	Ounces Au
Lady Magdalene	Indicated	956,494	1.36	41,823
	Inferred	4,644,033	1.31	195,595
<b>Total</b>		<b>5,600,527</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>237,418</b>


Prospect	Classification	Tonnes	Grade (Au g/t)	Ounces Au
Lady Magdalene	Indicated	-	-	-
	Inferred	5,922,700	1.32	251,350
<b>Total</b>		<b>5,922,700</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>251,350</b>

**Table 1: 2020 vs 2024 resource estimation.**

**The increase of Indicated resources across both projects at FGP provides confidence in development of the project going forward.** Classic is determined to further optimise the project and upgrade resource categories which could be achieved through additional drilling.



**Figure 2 – 3D oblique view of the Lady Ada mineralisation wireframes (looking towards local north northwest) – grid spacing shown is 100mN x 100mE x 100mRL – showing prominent dip of 15° to 25° towards local grid southeast**



**Figure 3 – 3D oblique view of the Lady Magdalene mineralisation wireframes (looking towards local north northeast) – grid spacing shown is 200mN x 200mE x 200mRL – showing prominent dip of 25° to 35° towards local grid east**

**JORC Resource Estimate additional information**

Pursuant to ASX Listing Rule 5.8 and the 2012 JORC reporting guidelines, a summary of material information used to estimate the Mineral Resource is detailed below. For additional detail, please refer to JORC Table 1, Sections 1 to 3 included in Schedule 2.

**Table as per L.R. 5.8.1:**

<b>Geology and geological interpretation</b>	<i>Geological interpretation was deduced from logging both core and RC chips on site and observations, combined with assay data were combined using sectional interpretation to create 3D 'wireframes' to encapsulate these interpretations. The wireframes were used during estimation as hard boundary constraints to contain estimation of gold and other attributes.</i>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<i>Drilling techniques include RC and diamond (HQ and NQ) methods, with a small percentage of RAB drilling (&lt;2%).</i>
<b>Sampling and sub-sampling techniques</b>	<i>Sampling is assumed to be via ½ core for diamond drilling, and by 1m composite sampling for RC. Core intervals were selected based on geological observation and do not exceed 1.0m in length. There are limited details on the RC sampling setup from historic data, but it appears any anomalous composite samples were resampled as 1m intervals.</i>
<b>Estimation Methodology</b>	<i>Estimation was via ordinary kriging and using the block modelling function in Surpac. Estimation was carried out using 1m composites – a tactic employed to reduce the sample populations' variance and allow easier deduction of spatial relationships between grades.</i>
<b>Criteria used for Mineral Resource Classification</b>	<i>Only the well drilled portions have been assigned Indicated at each Prospect. Lady Ada is generally on 25m sections with the historic pit covered by grade control down to 10m and 15m spacing. Indicated resources extend SE from the pit. Lady Magdalene is generally 15-25m lateral spacing up to 50m spacing by nothing. The remaining resources were flagged as Inferred where spacing increases beyond 50m.</i>
<b>Cut-off grades and modifying factors</b>	<i>Top cutting was employed to reduce the effect of high-grade assay outliers and better reflect the short distances that high grades influence estimates. No other modifying factors have been applied. Resources were reported at a cut-off of 0.5g/t to represent a possible minimum mining cut-off grade for initial open-pit operations, although optimization and financial assessment is still in process.</i>
<b>Mining and metallurgical methods</b>	<i>Given the shallow nature of mineralisation and relatively low grades any potential mining is likely to be completed using standard open pit mining techniques. Metallurgical test work prior to mining the Lady Ada deposit in the early 2000's showed good recoveries via gravity leach methods and the ore from this pit was processed at the Marvel Loch processing facility of this nature.</i>
<b>Sample analysis method</b>	<i>Assays presented in the drilling database consist of a range of aqua regia, fire assay and leach well analyses. The analytical laboratory is listed by drill hole in the collar table for 670 drill holes from a total of 1,313 holes. Further analysis of historic data may provide additional details on these methods and improve the legacy database. Recent 2021 drilling was assayed by Bureau Veritas via 50g fire assay, and included blanks, standards and duplicates.</i>

### About Classic Minerals Ltd

Expanding its focus from exploration to mining, West Australian-based, minerals house Classic Minerals Ltd holds a pipeline of projects and continues to examine new opportunities both cyclic and counter-cyclic. Currently, ASX-listed Classic holds approximately 578 km<sup>2</sup> of tenements across two major regional exploration areas in minerals-rich West Australia. Classic's ground is in areas with identified high grade gold and base metal targets. Classic's flagship Kat Gap Gold Project has been the recent focus of its exploration, mining and processing efforts and is strategically located some 170 km south of Southern Cross and some 50 km south of the Company's Forrestania Gold Project. With strong grades and near-surface mineralisation Kat Gap is soon to attain full scale production.

The expansion of Classics Indicated resource base at Lady Ada and Lady Magdalene is a significant development for the company affording it operational flexibility when combined with its existing gold operation at Kat Gap. This new increase in Indicated Resources is testament to the quality of its assets in the Forrestania area and bodes well for future discoveries in the region.

**This announcement has been approved by the Board.**

**ENDS:**

### ABOUT THE FORRESTANIA GOLD PROJECT

The FGP Tenements (excluding Kat Gap) are registered in the name of Reed Exploration Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of ASX listed Redivium Ltd (ASX: RIL), previously Hannans Ltd. Classic held 80% of the gold rights on the FGP Tenements via a third party, whilst Redivium maintained its 20% interest in the gold rights. Classic is in the process of purchasing the final 20% from Redivium pending terms of the binding sale agreement (CLZ HNR ASX release 03 Oct 2023 and RIL CLZ ASX release 5 June 2024). For the avoidance of doubt Classic Ltd owns a 100% interest in the gold rights on the Kat Gap Tenements and also non-gold rights including but not limited to nickel and lithium.

Classic has inferred and indicated mineral resources of **8.09Mt at 1.45 g/t for 377,946 ounces of gold**, classified and reported in accordance with the JORC Code (2012), with Scoping Study (see ASX Announcement released 2nd May 2017) suggesting both the technical and financial viability of the project. The current post-mining Mineral Resource for Lady Ada, Lady Magdalene and Kat Gap is tabulated below. Additional technical detail on the Mineral Resource estimation is provided, further in the text below and in the JORC Table 1 as attached to ASX announcements dated 21 June 2023.

Prospect	Indicated			Inferred			Total		
	Tonnes	Grade (Au g/t)	Oz Au	Tonnes	Grade (Au g/t)	Oz Au	Tonnes	Grade (Au g/t)	Oz Au
Lady Ada	540,339	1.62	28,143	809,642	1.23	32,018	1,349,981	1.39	60,161
Lady Magdalene	956,494	1.36	41,823	4,644,033	1.31	195,595	5,600,527	1.32	237,418
Kat Gap	254,900	2.50	20,488	886,512	2.11	60,139	1,141,412	2.19	80,367
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,751,733</b>	<b>1.61</b>	<b>90,454</b>	<b>6,340,187</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>287,752</b>	<b>8,091,920</b>	<b>1.45</b>	<b>377,946</b>

**Notes:**

1. *The Mineral Resource is classified in accordance with JORC, 2012 edition*
2. *The effective date of the mineral resource estimate is 10 June 2024.*
3. *The mineral resource is contained within FGP tenements*
4. *Estimates are rounded to reflect the level of confidence in these resources at the present time.*
5. *Mineral resources for Lady Ada and Lady Magdalene (Ladies) are reported at 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade, Kat Gap at Og/t Au.*
6. *Depletion of the resource from historic open pit mining has been considered for the Ladies deposits. Trial pit mining depletion at Kat Gap has not been accounted for in the block model due to the ore remaining unprocessed.*

*The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in this market announcement and, that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed.*

**Forward Looking Statements**

*This announcement may contain certain “forward-looking statements” which may not have been based solely on historical facts, but rather may be based on the Company’s current expectations about future events and results. Where the Company expresses or implies an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have reasonable basis. However, forward looking statements are subjected to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors, which could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed, projected or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks include, but are not limited to Resource risk, metals price volatility, currency fluctuations, increased production costs and variances in ore grade or recovery rates from those assumed in mining plans, as well as political and operational risks in the Countries and States in which we operate or sell product to, and governmental regulation and judicial outcomes. For a more detailed discussion of such risks and other factors, see the Company’s annual reports, as well as the Company’s other filings. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward looking information. The Company does not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to any “forward-looking statements” to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this announcement, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.*

**Competent Person Statement**

*The information in this report that relates to Exploration Targets, Exploration Results, Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves is based on information compiled by Mr Ben Pollard, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Pollard is the Principal of Cadre Geology and Mining Pty Ltd and is paid as a consultant to Classic Minerals, to provide technical geological advice.*

*Mr Pollard has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the ‘Australian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’. Mr Pollard consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.*

## JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The samples for historic drilling were taken by HQ diamond drill coring, RC face hammer drill and RAB drill. All RC drill samples for assaying were generated via an RC hammer (diameter unknown), but for early holes it is not known whether this was a face-sampling or conventional hammer. The majority of RC holes were sampled as one-metre composites. There is limited information provided in the reporting of historic results on the quality of the sampling processes</li> <li>• Latter diamond drilling was at NQ diamond drill coring size.</li> <li>• Measures taken to ensure sample representativity are unknown, e.g. no comprehensive comments were documented in historical reports on issues such as metre delineation, dust suppression, bag weighing, etc.</li> <li>• The determination of mineralisation was done via standard methods, including RC/diamond drilling, followed by splitting, crushing and fire assay analysis.</li> <li>• Some discrepancies in field duplicate samples compared to primary were observed but a larger dataset is required to determine any relationships.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<p><i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All historical drilling referred to in this report was carried out using reverse circulation, diamond and rotary air blast drilling methods. Diamond coring was by HQ or NQ sized core; however, no information on the type of tubing was available. Core orientations are not reported to have been completed. Information on RC drilling was not available (e.g. no information on hammer size, hammer type).</li> </ul>

<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<p><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recoveries from the drilling are not known, but visual inspection of plastic PVC sample bags in the field (2021 drilling) indicate that recoveries were probably good.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample recovery is recorded in the geological logging table within the database. With only 393 intervals assigned a value, it is not considered representative. Recoveries from the most recent RC drilling programs were reported as "excellent due to an auxiliary booster being used to keep samples dry". However, no suitable comments were presented in any available reports on measures taken to maximise and ensure sample recovery.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is not clear whether a relationship between recovery and grade occurs as information for RC drilling is not available. 2021 drilling had sample weights recorded at the laboratory and no relationships between sample weight and grade could be established.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<p><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All diamond core and RC/RAB chips were logged.</li> <li>Logging was qualitative in nature.</li> <li>Cadre Geology and Mining Pty Ltd has reviewed previous historical databases and available historical reports to develop the "lm2209.accdb" database used in this mineral resource estimate. This database, together with the logging provided was used to refine the various weathering surfaces and determine the extent of alluvial cover.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></p>	
	<p><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></p>	
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<p><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is assumed that diamond drill core was cut down its longitudinal axis with half the core selected for assay in line with geological boundaries, and the remaining retained in the core tray. Review of the database indicates that</li> </ul>



	<p><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></p>	<p>the maximum selected sample length was constrained to one metre.</p>
	<p><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Details of the splitter and drill rig configuration for RC drilling were not provided prior to 2021 which utilized a standard cyclone and splitter configuration. Review of the database suggests that RC drilling was sampled on one metre intervals almost exclusively.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b></p>	<p><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The quality and the appropriateness of the sample preparation technique cannot be determined for the historical drilling. It is assumed that sampling practices employed during the respective drill programs followed standard industry practice in effect at the time. That the majority of the drilling forming this resource estimate is in excess of 20 years old, and that no detailed QA information and QC data can be presented prior to the small 2021 drilling campaign. The 2021 drilling utilized field standards, blanks and duplicates and drill results used to confirm historical drill results and add confidence to historical data.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No studies have been undertaken to determine whether the sample size was appropriate for the grain size of the material sampled.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></p>	
	<p><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assays presented in the drilling database consist of a range of aqua regia, fire assay and leach well analyses.</li> <li>• The analytical laboratory is listed by drill hole in the collar table for 667 drill holes, with the remainder unspecified. Determination of the analytical procedures</li> </ul>

	<p><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p>	<p>employed was not completed. The quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used could not be determined.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Information on quality control procedures was not available before 2021. 2021 drilling showed acceptable levels of QC measures utilizing field standards, blanks and duplicates but only represents a small amount of the total dataset.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	
<p><b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b></p>	<p><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comments are available in any reports on the verification of significant intersections.</li> <li>Lady Magdalene: Five (5) historic HQ-diameter RC/diamond drillholes and eight (8) recent 2021 RC drillholes were completed to twin previous RC intersections.</li> <li>Lady Ada: One (1) historic NQ-diameter RC/diamond drillhole and five 2021 RC drill holes were completed to twin previous RC intersections</li> <li>Procedures on data entry were not available but majority of historic data exists as digital files through WAMEX.</li> <li>Assay data were not adjusted.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></p>	
<p><b>Location of data points</b></p>	<p><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All recent and historical drillhole collar positions that could be located were surveyed during a campaign undertaken at Wattle Rocks in December 1998. Other holes were left with their previously surveyed or nominally designed coordinates. The default RL of these holes were altered from 1000 mRL to 415 mRL in</li> </ul>

*Specification of the grid system used.*

the database, to reflect an average of the topographic heights encountered across the broadly flat prospect area. 2024 DGPS collar pickups confirmed this with an average Z value of 414.16 mRL from 35 collars. During September 2000, the whole Lady Ada prospect area was tied in by survey to mine grid and all existing RC and diamond drillhole collars were tied to this grid.

*Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*

- Most holes drilled prior to 1996 were not downhole surveyed. After this time, most drill holes with significant intersections were downhole surveyed by Surtron Technologies. Two lines of RC/Diamond holes at 19300N (Lady Ada) and 20000N (Lady Magdalene) were downhole surveyed using Total Borehole Services (TBS) in late 1998. A slimline deviation tool recording shots electronically every 0.1m downhole, was utilised for the work. Most recent drilling at Lady Magdalene was downhole surveyed using TBS and included re-entering of the older Normandy and Forrestania Gold NL holes that were never previously downhole surveyed. Classic 2017-2021 drilling was downhole surveyed; 10 holes were blocked without downhole survey, 5 blocked with collar only survey, and the remaining 18 holes had satisfactory surveys
- The drill hole coordinate system used relates to the Lady Ada local grid. A two-point conversion was used to convert back to GDA94 Z50 grid.
- With the exception of the Lady Ada area, no topographic surfaces were provided for use in the resource estimation process. In order to generate a surface with which to constrain the resource, the drill collar locations were exported from Surpac and used to generate a topographic surface. While this surface is unlikely to be accurate over small scales, due to the wide spaced nature of the drilling, it forms an acceptable approximation of the ground surface for use in the block model. Clearly this approach however assumes that the drill collar information is correct, which has been demonstrated in some instances to be uncertain.

<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Most drilling at Lady Magdalene is on 50m north x 25m east, with spacing between fences reducing to 100m further towards the north and south.</li> </ul>
	<hr/> <i>Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Most of the exploratory and resource drilling at Lady Ada is on at least a 50m north x 25m east drill pattern spacing, with 25m sections northings more common in the area adjacent to the southeast of the Blue Haze pit (where grade control drill coverage was generally on 10-15m north spacing).</li> </ul>
	<hr/> <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the mineral resource estimation procedure and the classification applied.</li> <li>• Sample compositing was applied in the past; however, any anomalous intercepts were then resampled as 1m intervals.</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The orientation of sampling has mostly achieved unbiased sampling of controlling structures.</li> <li>• The relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is not considered to have introduced a sampling bias.</li> </ul>
	<hr/> <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	
<b>Sample security</b>	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No information on sample security is available prior to 2021 drilling.</li> <li>• 2021 drilling enforced adequate sample security controls by transporting samples from site directly to the test laboratory via trusted couriers.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No audits of any of the data are known.</li> </ul>



**CLASSIC  
MINERALS**

**CLASSIC MINERALS LIMITED**

71 Furniss Rd, Landsdale

Western Australia 6065

ASX: CLZ | ABN 77119484 016

[contact@classicminerals.com.au](mailto:contact@classicminerals.com.au)

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<p><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Forrestania Gold Project (FGP) tenements are registered in the name of Reed Exploration Pty Ltd, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of ASX- listed Redivium Ltd (ASX code: RIL), previously Hannans Ltd. Classic is in the process of acquiring the tenements pending the completion of the tenement sale agreement. Hannans Ltd also holds all of the non-gold rights on the FGP tenements including but not limited to nickel, lithium and other metals.</li> <li>The acquisition includes in the following granted tenements:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>E77/2207; E77/2219; E77/2239; P77/4290; P77/4291; E77/2220</li> </ul> </li> <li>A mining lease (M 77/1310) has now been granted over P77/4290, 4291, and portions of E77/2239 and 2220 which covers the FGP Project.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No impediments are known.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<p><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All historical exploration (before 2016) was carried out by the previous owners of the tenements (Aztec Mining, Forrestania Gold NL, Viceroy Australia, Sons of Gwalia Ltd).</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<p><i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The deposit is an Archaean-aged shear-zone hosted gold deposit.</li> <li>Geological interpretation indicates that the general stratigraphy consists of metasediments, BIFs and cherts to the east of the tenement, overlying an older sequence of metamorphosed komatiitic and high-magnesian basalts to the west. Black shales/pelites occur as small interbedded units throughout the stratigraphy, which dips gently to the east (10-35°) and strikes N-S, bending in a NNW direction in the far north of the tenement.</li> <li>An Archaean-aged quartz dolerite unit (informally the 'Wattle Rocks Dolerite') is emplaced along a contact between high-MgO basalt to the west and low-MgO ultramafic to the east, in the western part of the tenement and is the host rock for the Lady Ada and Lady Magdalene gold mineralisation. Strongly</li> </ul>

magnetic Proterozoic dolerite dykes cross-cut the stratigraphy in an east-west direction, splaying to the ENE, following fault directions interpreted from the aeromagnetics. A number of narrow shear zones lie subparallel to the shallow-dipping metasediment-mafic contact within the host stratigraphy and are important sites and conduits for the observed mineralisation. The Sapphire shear zone strikes approximately WSW-ENE, dipping to the SE at about 25°, and appears to crosscut all lithologies. This shear zone and associated shears host the bulk of the higher-grade gold mineralisation at Wattle Rocks. Similar flat-dipping shears are known to crosscut the Lady Magdalene area. Approximately 8-12 metres of transported sands and a gold depleted weathering profile of saprolitic clays overly the Lady Ada and Lady Magdalene mineralisation.

- Structurally, the Wattle Rocks area is quite complex and is positioned near the intersection of several major breakages and flexures in the regional stratigraphy in this part of the Forrestania Greenstone belt. Numerous shear zones are evident throughout the area, particularly at changes of rock stratigraphy where there are rheological differences. Narrow, stacked, flat-dipping shear zones are evident within the quartz dolerite unit and may have resulted from thrusting of the younger sedimentary sequence over the mafic package from east to west. A similar model is predicted for Van Uden (10km northwards) where mineralised quartz veins appear to 'stack' through a host ferruginous metasediment.

**Drill hole  
Information**

*A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:*

*easting and northing of the drill hole collar*

*elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar*

*dip and azimuth of the hole*

*down hole length and interception depth hole length.*

- This information is provided in Appendix 1.

<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High grades were not cut in the reporting of weighted averages during exploration but were cut (as required) for the mineral resource estimation phase (see Section 3 in table below).</li> </ul>
	<p><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p>	
	<p><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></p>	
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<p><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In almost all cases, the drill holes are perpendicular to the gold mineralisation. The true width is not expected to deviate much from intersection width.</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<p><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriately scaled images have been provided in the Report.</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<p><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A table of Drill Intercepts above 0.5g/t Au from Classic's Drilling at Lady Magdalene and Lady Ada is included in Appendix II.</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<p><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prior to commencing mining of the Lady Ada deposit in 2002-03, Ammtec Ltd completed a metallurgical test work programme of the gold mineralisation. This test work involved testing of four composite samples representing oxide, fresh, and two separate transitional composites.</li> <li>The drill database did not detail any density measurements completed throughout the drilling programs. Density values assigned to the mineral resource were taken from historical values assigned to previously reported resources via defined event surfaces modelled</li> </ul>



for the topography (TOPO), base of alluvials (BOA), base of complete oxidation (BOCO) and the top of fresh rock (TOFR), as logged geologically.

**Further work**

*The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).*

- Proposed RC and diamond drilling is planned to follow up the results of the updated mineral resource estimation for Lady Magdalene and Lady Ada.

*Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.*

### Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Database integrity</b>	<i>Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The drill hole database was reviewed against published hard copy reports and available drilling sections in order to confirm consistency between reported assays.</li> </ul>
	<i>Data validation procedures used.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drill holes within the database were plotted into the Surpac mine design software and reviewed in three-dimensional space. The Access database created containing the sample data was imported into Surpac and plotted. This process performs an internal check of the data and lists any areas where there are overlapping samples, inconsistent sample intervals, or negative intervals. This process did not identify any issues which may have a material effect on the result.</li> <li>Assays were plotted and reviewed on each hole together with the lithology logged for each interval. A selection of assay results reported in the database used for estimation were reviewed against the original hard copy reported results for the laboratory. In some instances, minor discrepancies were observed which were thought to be related to the averaging of repeat and secondary analysis. The magnitude of these discrepancies was not considered to be significant enough to have a material impact on the final resource figures.</li> </ul>
<b>Site visits</b>	<i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The competent person has visited the project area but not during active exploration. There is little outcrop, the historic pit is not easily accessible and historic collars are not easily observed.</li> </ul>
	<i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Given the historic nature of the project and lack of outcrop it was considered that a site visit would not materially change the treatment of the project.</li> </ul>
<b>Geological interpretation</b>	<i>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>While the drilling completed as a basis of the reported mineral resources is generally wide spaced, the geological interpretation is considered to provide sufficient confidence in line with the mineral resource classification assigned.</li> </ul>
	<i>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No assumptions have been made.</li> </ul>

<p><i>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interpretation has been developed with consideration of the local and regional geological and structural setting as currently understood. Based on the limited amount of diamond drilling across this prospect it is possible that alternative orientations may exist. Alternate orientations are currently not able to be supported by available information.</li> </ul>
<p><i>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The local and regional geological and structural setting was incorporated into the mineral resource estimate.</li> </ul>
<p><i>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is likely that structural features such as faults and shears exist which provide a secondary control on mineralisation. The lack of diamond drilling and detailed structural assessment may result in these features not being identified, which may result in restrictions or extensions to the observed mineralisation.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Dimensions</b></p> <p><i>The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lady Magdalene - A total of 17 individual lenses/domains reflecting gold mineralisation above a nominal cut-off of 0.5g/t Au were generated. These lenses dip between 25-35 degrees to the east and strike approximately north-south. Lenses vary in width from two to five metres, infrequently to 10 metres. Strike lengths vary by lens but average approximately 300m. Mineralisation extends to depths between 60 and 160 metres below surface.</li> <li>• Lady Ada - A total of 8 individual lenses/domains reflecting gold mineralisation above a nominal cut-off of 0.5g/t Au were generated. These lenses dip between 10-25° to the east and strike approximately north-south. One domain was horizontal. Lenses vary in width from two to five metres, infrequently to 10 metres. Strike lengths vary by lens but average approximately 300m. Mineralisation extends to depths between 40 and 140 metres below surface.</li> </ul>

**Estimation and  
modelling  
techniques**

*The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.*

- Grade estimation was completed using Ordinary Kriging (OK). Surpac software was used to generate the resource block model and to estimate the gold grades.
- Drill hole sample data was flagged within the database with the corresponding mineralisation lens appropriate to each prospect. Sample data was composited to 1m intervals within each of the flagged domains and investigated for the application of top-cuts.
- Variography was completed using the composite data for each domain where possible. Those domains for which an acceptable variogram model was not achievable were assigned the variogram model of a geologically similar domain. Grade was estimated into each of the mineralisation objects, each flagged as a unique domain within the block model to allow appropriate constraint of the composite data and estimation.

*The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.*

- Review of the historically reported resources indicates that total resources and gold grades are comparable to previous resources.

*The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.*

- No assumptions have been made regarding the recovery of by-products.

*Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).*

- Estimates of potentially deleterious elements have not been completed, primarily as a result of inconsistent sample suites.

<p><i>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parent block sizes were generally assigned with consideration of the average drill spacing. Sub-blocking was employed to varying levels to allow accurate resolution of the mineralisation solids within the block model. Grades were estimated into parent blocks only, with sub-blocks being assigned the value of their corresponding parent. Discretisation was set to 3X x 3Y x 3Z for all domains and elements.</li> <li>• Search distances for estimation were set at approximately 75%-85% of the maximum continuity of the variogram model.</li> <li>• Individual searches radius varies greatly from domain and prospect depending on individual parameters. Lady Ada Pass 1 search varies from 15m to 160m, Pass 2 varies from 22.5 to 320m, and Pass 3 from 90 to 400m across domains. Lady Magdalene Pass 1-2 search is 130m, 260m and 390m respectively.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Selection of the block size was based on available drilling data and is therefore significantly larger than any anticipated SMU.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N/A</li> </ul>
<p><i>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The geological interpretation was used to guide the generation of mineralised domains. Domains are used as hard boundaries to constrain sample data and blocks for estimation.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The selection of the top-cut was completed using both disintegration point of the composited data and a geostatistical review of the full data set (per domain) of its overall percentile range. These percentile values were then reviewed against the relative disintegration point of the composites and a best-fit value applied for the top-cut gold grade for each domain.</li> </ul>

*The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.*

- Validation of the block model involved graphical review of the assay data against the block grades. Overall this showed that generally the block grades reflected the assay grades, although with a smoother distribution.
- A second validation step involved the generation of swath plots comparing average composite assays against the respective block grades by northing for the main mineralised domains. This allows areas of significant deviations between composite and block grades to be investigated and modifications made to the estimate if required. Review of these plots showed that overall the blocks estimated reflected the composites within that area.
- Instances where composite grades varied significantly from block grades were investigated and generally found to be associated with localised high-grade intercepts in areas with few composites. Also important was investigation of the respective tonnages being estimated, with good correlation between composites and blocks more important in those zones reflecting large tonnages i.e. the majority of the tonnes generate good correlations between composites and blocks.

**Moisture**

*Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.*

- All tonnages are estimated on a dry basis.

**Cut-off parameters**

*The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.*

- A nominal cut-off grade of 0.5g/t Au was applied to the interpretation. The reporting of mineral resources was also completed at a 0.5g/t Au cut-off grade.

<p><b>Mining factors or assumptions</b></p>	<p><i>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Given the shallow nature of mineralisation and relatively low grades any potential mining is likely to be completed using standard open pit mining techniques. No assumptions on mining methodology have been made.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</b></p>	<p><i>The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Metallurgical testwork was completed on composites of the Lady Ada gold mineralisation prior to mining. It is expected that the observed metallurgical performance is applicable to the other prospects, including Lady Magdalene, which has similar geology and styles of gold mineralisation.</li> </ul>

<b>Environmental factors or assumptions</b>	<p><i>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An existing waste landform is present at Lady Ada. The mining tenure is considered sufficient to allow the placement and management of any anticipated environmental requirements applicable to the operations.</li> </ul>
<b>Bulk density</b>	<p><i>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assignment of bulk density values to the block model were assumed based on historically reported densities. Bulk densities are assigned based on weathering state of the host rock and mineralised intervals.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bulk density determinations have not been completed and instead use assigned values. Drilling has not identified the presence of any voids nor significant differences between lithologies and alteration zones.</li> </ul>
	<p><i>Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Application of bulk density values was based on a series of surfaces representing topography, transported alluvials, saprolite, saprock and top of fresh rock surfaces.</li> </ul>



<b>Classification</b>	<i>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Classification of the mineral resource considered the interpretation confidence, drilling density and integrity, demonstrated continuity, estimation statistics, estimation pass and block model validation review results.</li> </ul>
	<i>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e. relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>While the input data has been observed to be inconsistent in some instances, these inconsistencies are not considered to materially affect the final reported resources; with the mineral resource classification applied reflecting this level of uncertainty. The validation of the block model showed good correlation between input data and block grades.</li> </ul>
	<i>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The assignment of the mineral resource classifications reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposits.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No audits or review have been completed for the mineral resource estimate.</li> </ul>
<b>Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence</b>	<i>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The relative accuracy of the mineral resource estimate is reflected in the reporting of the mineral resource as per the guidelines of the 2012 JORC Code.</li> </ul>

*The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.*

- The statement relates to the global estimates of tonnes and grades.

*These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.*

- Review of the reported production from the nearby Lady Ada open pit against the reported resource within the pit showed a good correlation with the tonnes, while the reported grade was approximately 30% lower. This likely reflects the presence of spotty, nuggetty-style gold in cross-cutting shears within the mineralised envelopes not able to be represented in the resource estimate.

## Appendix 1 – Drill Collar List

Hole ID	Local N	Local E	MGA N	MGA E	RL	Depth	Drill Date	Drill Type
BHRC001	19330.03	9869.74			414.68	60	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC002	19310.01	9870.15			414.57	65	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC003	19323.05	9849.7			414.69	54	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC004	19305.83	9850.03			414.58	60	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC005	19329.98	9828.93			414.53	48	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC006	19318.37	9825.75			414.51	50	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC007	19305.22	9824.46			414.47	54	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC008	19295.02	9830.03			414.47	65	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC009	19313.26	9809.86			414.39	50	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC010	19295.97	9810.13			414.38	57	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC011	19316.86	9789.76			414.18	48	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC012	19302.95	9790.01			414.15	50	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC013	19285.97	9790.07			414.27	59	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC014	19317.58	9775			414.05	40	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC015	19303.99	9775.2			414.14	45	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC080	19266.29	9710.38	6429445.8	751017	395.37	15	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC081	19272.01	9709.84	6429451.52	751016.42	395.35	15	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC082	19295.78	9717.89	6429475.35	751024.31	394.96	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC083	19283.74	9717.63	6429463.3	751024.14	395.28	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC084	19277.39	9717.87	6429456.96	751024.42	395.42	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC085	19271.63	9718.25	6429451.19	751024.83	395.37	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC086	19265.84	9718.63	6429445.41	751025.25	395.34	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC087	19253.82	9718.16	6429433.38	751024.86	395.25	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC089	19254.38	9725.35	6429433.99	751032.05	395.08	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC090	19266.04	9725.92	6429445.65	751032.54	395.13	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC091	19271.86	9726.13	6429451.47	751032.72	395.32	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC092	19289.88	9725.76	6429469.5	751032.22	395.42	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC093	19295.88	9726.16	6429475.51	751032.58	395.19	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC094	19302.17	9725.97	6429481.79	751032.35	395.11	20	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC095	19301.64	9734.03	6429481.32	751040.42	395.55	20	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC096	19296.3	9733.81	6429475.98	751040.23	395.58	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC097	19289.82	9734.22	6429469.5	751040.68	395.58	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC098	19283.62	9734.24	6429463.3	751040.75	395.42	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC099	19277.6	9734.03	6429457.27	751040.58	395.3	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC100	19265.84	9734.39	6429445.51	751041.02	395.17	26	2002-03	RC (GC)

BHRC101	19260.29	9734.14	6429439.96	751040.8	395.22	26	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC102	19254.01	9733.8	6429433.67	751040.5	395.12	26	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC103	19241.95	9741.91	6429421.67	751048.7	395.06	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC104	19248.13	9741.78	6429427.85	751048.53	395.06	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC105	19254.2	9741.81	6429433.92	751048.52	395.11	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC106	19259.99	9742.08	6429439.71	751048.75	395.19	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC107	19265.87	9741.96	6429445.59	751048.59	395.13	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC108	19271.96	9742.19	6429451.69	751048.78	395.16	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC109	19283.77	9742.47	6429463.5	751048.98	395.24	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC110	19289.85	9742.63	6429469.58	751049.1	395.12	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC111	19296.03	9741.99	6429475.76	751048.42	395.54	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC112	19295.92	9750.01	6429475.7	751056.44	395.13	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC113	19284.29	9750.1	6429464.07	751056.61	395.01	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC114	19253.93	9750.03	6429433.7	751056.74	395.11	32	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC115	19242.51	9750.13	6429422.28	751056.92	395.25	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC116	19235.94	9757.98	6429415.76	751064.81	395.03	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC117	19241.96	9758.2	6429421.78	751065	395.01	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC118	19247.79	9757.99	6429427.61	751064.75	394.84	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC119	19253.95	9757.99	6429433.77	751064.71	394.92	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC120	19265.62	9758.98	6429445.45	751065.62	394.89	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC121	19272.05	9757.81	6429451.88	751064.4	394.89	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC122	19284.11	9757.86	6429463.95	751064.37	394.93	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC123	19289.27	9758.55	6429469.11	751065.03	394.97	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC124	19295.42	9758.79	6429475.26	751065.22	394.97	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC125	19301.52	9758.6	6429481.36	751064.99	394.99	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC126	19308	9758.02	6429487.84	751064.37	395.1	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC129	19320.18	9766.04	6429500.08	751072.31	395.12	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC130	19314.34	9766.26	6429494.24	751072.57	394.96	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC131	19302.04	9766	6429481.94	751072.4	394.9	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC132	19289.96	9765.88	6429469.85	751072.36	395.06	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC133	19283.87	9765.8	6429463.75	751072.32	395	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC134	19278.07	9766	6429457.96	751072.55	394.88	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC135	19266.15	9765.86	6429446.03	751072.5	394.67	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC136	19259.72	9765.91	6429439.59	751072.58	394.76	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC137	19253.91	9765.89	6429433.79	751072.61	394.78	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC138	19247.99	9765.8	6429427.87	751072.56	394.79	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC139	19241.86	9765.94	6429421.74	751072.73	394.87	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC140	19266	9773.99	6429445.94	751080.63	394.61	18	2002-03	RC (GC)

BHRC141	19272.02	9773.98	6429451.95	751080.58	394.69	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC142	19277.82	9774.04	6429457.76	751080.6	394.85	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC143	19290.14	9773.98	6429470.08	751080.45	395.09	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC144	19295.86	9774.17	6429475.81	751080.61	395	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC145	19307.94	9774.13	6429487.89	751080.49	395.08	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC146	19313.92	9773.78	6429493.87	751080.1	394.87	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC147	19331.85	9782.05	6429511.86	751088.25	395.08	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC148	19325.75	9782.15	6429505.76	751088.4	395.06	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC149	19319.93	9782.18	6429499.94	751088.46	395.07	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC150	19313.96	9781.83	6429493.96	751088.15	395.04	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC151	19301.94	9782.07	6429481.94	751088.47	394.99	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC152	19295.99	9782.06	6429475.98	751088.5	395.03	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC153	19289.89	9782.16	6429469.89	751088.64	394.97	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC154	19284.12	9781.68	6429464.12	751088.2	394.97	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC155	19277.77	9781.89	6429457.77	751088.46	394.89	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC156	19266.06	9781.63	6429446.05	751088.28	394.63	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC157	19290.01	9789.88	6429470.06	751096.36	394.89	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC158	19319.99	9789.7	6429500.04	751095.99	394.99	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC159	19331.79	9789.91	6429511.85	751096.11	394.96	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC160	19343.91	9798.17	6429524.03	751104.29	394.9	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC161	19337.57	9797.66	6429517.69	751103.83	394.73	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC162	19326.35	9797.58	6429506.47	751103.83	394.89	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC163	19320.01	9797.9	6429500.12	751104.19	395.11	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC164	19313.94	9797.92	6429494.05	751104.25	395.02	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC165	19307.9	9797.84	6429488.01	751104.21	395.09	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC166	19302	9797.94	6429482.1	751104.35	395.04	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC167	19296.08	9797.9	6429476.18	751104.35	394.97	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC168	19283.89	9797.78	6429463.99	751104.31	394.99	40	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC169	19278.76	9798.04	6429458.86	751104.6	394.95	40	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC170	19277.93	9806.3	6429458.08	751112.86	394.91	42	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC171	19284.21	9805.8	6429464.37	751112.32	394.95	40	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC172	19290.31	9806.01	6429470.47	751112.5	395.05	40	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC173	19301.86	9805.97	6429482.02	751112.38	394.93	38	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC174	19307.79	9806.06	6429487.96	751112.43	394.89	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC175	19320.06	9804.87	6429500.22	751111.15	395.04	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC176	19325.82	9805.88	6429505.99	751112.13	395.17	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC177	19331.84	9805.75	6429512.01	751111.96	395.17	18	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC178	19343.9	9805.8	6429524.07	751111.93	395.19	18	2002-03	RC (GC)

BHRC179	19343.78	9814.3	6429524.01	751120.43	394.92	21	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC180	19338.2	9814.04	6429518.43	751120.21	394.84	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC181	19302.02	9814.07	6429482.24	751120.48	395.02	36	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC182	19290.01	9814.07	6429470.22	751120.56	394.94	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC183	19284.14	9814.01	6429464.35	751120.54	394.58	42	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC184	19278.03	9822.29	6429458.29	751128.87	394.51	46	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC185	19283.99	9821.9	6429464.25	751128.43	394.72	46	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC186	19289.98	9821.83	6429470.25	751128.32	394.62	46	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC187	19295.99	9821.98	6429476.25	751128.44	394.78	40	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC188	19314	9821.94	6429494.27	751128.27	394.96	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC189	19319.83	9821.97	6429500.1	751128.27	394.93	30	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC190	19332.02	9821.9	6429512.3	751128.11	394.95	24	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC192	19338.07	9830.17	6429518.4	751136.34	389.89	25	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC193	19307.99	9830.08	6429488.31	751136.45	389.97	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC194	19302.02	9829.95	6429482.34	751136.37	389.77	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC195	19283.95	9830.02	6429464.27	751136.56	389.95	41	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC196	19271.94	9838.23	6429452.3	751144.85	389.97	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC197	19278.04	9838.09	6429458.41	751144.66	389.78	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC198	19284.22	9838.09	6429464.59	751144.63	389.79	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC199	19290.13	9838.03	6429470.5	751144.53	389.91	39	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC200	19295.91	9837.69	6429476.28	751144.15	389.91	35	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC201	19301.97	9838.01	6429482.35	751144.43	389.91	35	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC202	19307.97	9838.05	6429488.35	751144.43	389.87	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC203	19320.1	9837.88	6429500.48	751144.17	389.75	25	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC204	19325.92	9837.49	6429506.3	751143.75	389.85	25	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC205	19331.82	9846.09	6429512.26	751152.31	389.68	25	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC206	19313.99	9846.02	6429494.42	751152.36	389.79	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC207	19301.93	9845.83	6429482.36	751152.25	389.88	35	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC208	19290.21	9846.45	6429470.64	751152.95	389.98	41	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC209	19289.81	9853.97	6429470.29	751160.47	389.9	41	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC210	19296.27	9853.98	6429476.76	751160.44	389.73	37	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC211	19301.84	9853.94	6429482.32	751160.36	389.78	35	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC212	19313.81	9853.4	6429494.29	751159.74	389.77	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC213	19319.36	9855.19	6429499.85	751161.49	389.72	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC214	19332.11	9854.1	6429512.6	751160.32	389.72	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC215	19337.92	9854.04	6429518.42	751160.22	389.55	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC216	19331.78	9862.12	6429512.33	751168.35	389.76	31	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC217	19319.76	9862.11	6429500.3	751168.42	389.78	31	2002-03	RC (GC)

BHRC218	19313.96	9861.92	6429494.5	751168.27	389.74	35	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC219	19307.91	9861.88	6429488.45	751168.26	389.74	37	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC220	19302.04	9861.99	6429482.57	751168.42	389.9	41	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC221	19296.04	9862.03	6429476.57	751168.49	389.76	41	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC222	19284.08	9870.06	6429464.66	751176.61	389.82	19	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC223	19289.87	9869.71	6429470.45	751176.22	389.89	19	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC224	19314.09	9870.07	6429494.69	751176.42	389.87	37	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC225	19325.78	9869.96	6429506.37	751176.22	389.73	35	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC226	19325.91	9878	6429506.56	751184.27	389.98	37	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC227	19319.76	9877.98	6429500.41	751184.29	390.01	37	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC228	19308.11	9878.08	6429488.76	751184.46	390.06	41	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC229	19302	9878.26	6429482.65	751184.69	389.9	41	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC230	19296.15	9877.74	6429476.79	751184.21	389.88	16	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC231	19290.11	9878.05	6429470.75	751184.55	389.92	16	2002-03	RC (GC)
BHRC232	19278.53	9878.57	6429459.17	751185.15	390.12	16	2002-03	RC (GC)
FWRD001	19275.15	9864.11	6429455.54	751170.6	414.89	107	14-May-99	DD
FWRD002	19225.18	9957.57	6429406.15	751264.96	414.9	135.8	16-May-99	DD
FWRD003	19199.97	10001.66	6429381.44	751309.15	415.24	162.9	17-May-99	RC/DD
FWRD004	19160.08	10003.31	6429341.43	751310.41	415.25	67	9-Jun-99	RC
FWRD005	19160.14	9960.93	6429341.14	751267.4	415.49	60	10-Jun-99	RC
FWRD006	19160	9918.26	6429340.86	751224.39	415.52	60	10-Jun-99	RC/DD
FWRD007	20000	9703.7	6430328	751148.1	414	56	30-Aug-99	RC/DD
FWRD008	20000	9846.3	6430329	751290.8	415.7	63.9	31-Aug-99	RC/DD
FWRD009	20100	9753.6	6430429	751197.4	413.2	60.3	3-Sep-99	RC/DD
FWRD010	20163.5	9761.3	6430492	751204.6	413.1	58.9	5-Sep-99	RC/DD
FWRD011	20211	9822.2	6430540	751265.2	413	90.3	6-Sep-99	DD
FWRD012	20400	9773.5	6430729	751215.2	412.2	70	14-Sep-99	RC/DD
FWRP001	20501.78	9841.8	6430682.55	751140.18	413.02	87	4-Nov-98	RC
FWRP002	20403.95	9787.76	6430584.32	751086.78	412.08	85	5-Nov-98	RC
FWRP003	20403.61	9853.34	6430584.42	751152.39	412.25	111	5-Nov-98	RC
FWRP004	20299.63	9803.19	6430480.07	751102.92	413.14	81	6-Nov-98	RC
FWRP005	20099.31	9743.6	6430279.29	751044.65	413.49	84	6-Nov-98	RC
FWRP007	19499.81	9849.91	6429680.33	751155.01	415.4	81	7-Nov-98	RC
FWRP009	19501.1	10024.81	6429682.79	751329.95	416.6	120	7-Nov-98	RC
FWRP010	20403.39	9900.3	6430584.51	751199.36	413.62	150	8-Nov-98	RC
FWRP011	20301.68	9900.34	6430482.78	751200.07	413.27	120	9-Nov-98	RC
FWRP012	20245.73	9849.35	6430426.46	751149.45	413.22	100	10-Nov-98	RC

FWRP013	20243.33	9900.45	6430424.41	751200.58	413.28	130	10-Nov-98	RC
FWRP014	20203.11	9900.59	6430384.18	751200.99	413.38	120	12-Nov-98	RC
FWRP015	20152.45	9901.9	6430333.5	751202.64	413.63	130	12-Nov-98	RC
FWRP016	20098.83	9813.19	6430279.28	751114.26	413.6	100	12-Nov-98	RC
FWRP017	20100.33	9873.12	6430281.18	751174.2	413.65	132	12-Nov-98	RC
FWRP018	20100	9925	6430281.19	751226.09	415	140	14-Nov-98	RC
FWRP019	20045.82	9847.41	6430226.48	751148.85	414.05	130	14-Nov-98	RC
FWRP020	20002.74	9839.47	6430183.34	751141.19	415.85	111	16-Nov-98	RC
FWRP021	20003.2	9882.42	6430184.08	751184.15	416.05	140	17-Nov-98	RC
FWRP022	19999.54	9953.44	6430180.89	751255.22	416.51	120	17-Nov-98	RC
FWRP023	20002.42	10049.49	6430184.42	751351.28	415.88	130	17-Nov-98	RC
FWRP024	19947.38	9848.2	6430128.02	751150.3	416.16	130	18-Nov-98	RC
FWRP027	19899.67	9838.09	6430080.23	751140.5	414.48	100	20-Nov-98	RC
FWRP028	19902.94	9955.53	6430084.28	751257.96	415.32	110	20-Nov-98	RC
FWRP029	19902.04	10001.97	6430083.69	751304.42	415.63	130	21-Nov-98	RC
FWRP030	19700	9950	6429881.24	751253.78	415	90	22-Nov-98	RC
FWRP032	19602.69	10055.42	6429784.61	751359.89	417.4	130	24-Nov-98	RC
FWRP034	19401.53	9926.58	6429582.53	751232.36	415.62	100	25-Nov-98	RC
FWRP035	19401.37	9976.73	6429582.71	751282.52	415.74	115	26-Nov-98	RC
FWRP038	19352.54	9975.35	6429533.85	751281.47	415.54	130	27-Nov-98	RC
FWRP039	19302.43	9974.38	6429483.72	751280.83	415.36	130	27-Nov-98	RC
FWRP041	19198.29	9781.74	6429378.26	751088.84	414.41	107	28-Nov-98	RC
FWRP042	19199.63	9852.75	6429380.08	751159.86	414.92	100	30-Nov-98	RC
FWRP043	19200.23	9893.18	6429381.12	751199.68	414.84	120	1-Dec-98	RC
FWRP044	19250.53	9949.58	6429431.1	751256.8	415.18	147	2-Dec-98	RC
FWRP045	19800	10050	6429981.94	751353.14	415	120	3-Dec-98	RC
FWRP047	20241.63	9777.2	6430421.88	751077.31	413.06	63	5-Dec-98	RC
FWRP048	20401.2	9756.95	6430581.36	751055.98	412.26	75	6-Dec-98	RC
FWRP051	20048.8	10050.14	6430230.82	751351.62	415.63	130	6-Dec-98	RC
FWRP052	20053.66	9722.36	6430233.49	751023.7	413.49	60	6-Dec-98	RC
FWRP053	19958.67	10048.29	6430140.65	751350.37	415.98	135	7-Dec-98	RC
FWRP054	19197.57	9887.55	6429380.69	751197.11	414.9	120	10-Apr-99	RC
FWRP055	19199.34	9928.77	6429380.96	751237.12	414.98	135	12-Apr-99	RC
FWRP056	19298.97	9968.75	6429480.25	751275.47	415.31	120	13-Apr-99	RC
FWRP057	19301.76	10009.29	6429483.52	751315.46	415.42	130	14-Apr-99	RC
FWRP058	19248.21	9940.09	6429431.04	751246.79	415.15	135	15-Apr-99	RC
FWRP059	19250.84	9979.75	6429431.31	751286.8	415.24	147	16-Apr-99	RC
FWRP060	19326.56	9948.2	6429507.11	751253.79	415.25	117	17-Apr-99	RC



FWRP061	19326.05	9906.65	6429505.82	751210.28	415.06	99	18-Apr-99	RC
FWRP062	20200	9797.5	6430380.45	751110.39	413.25	80	19-Apr-99	RC
FWRP063	20200	9737.5	6430380.05	751050.37	413	50	19-Apr-99	RC
FWRP064	19173.98	9774.23	6429354.91	751082.24	414.35	60	12-Jul-99	RC
FWRP065	19175.5	9798.99	6429355.07	751106.25	414.43	60	13-Jul-99	RC
FWRP066	19224.7	9749.82	6429404.76	751056.9	414.57	55	13-Jul-99	RC
FWRP069	19275.83	9710.83	6429454.51	751017.56	414.33	40	14-Jul-99	RC
FWRP070	19224.99	9773.43	6429402.92	751081.92	414.37	65	15-Jul-99	RC
FWRP071	19250.5	9774.37	6429429.94	751082.74	414.55	60	15-Jul-99	RC
FWRP072	19224.65	9799.14	6429403.09	751105.93	414.42	70	15-Jul-99	RC
FWRP073	19238	9810.57	6429417.6	751106.83	414.43	75	16-Jul-99	RC
FWRP074	19224.58	9829.31	6429403.79	751136.94	414.55	85	16-Jul-99	RC
FWRP075	19263.62	9829.93	6429403.79	751136.94	414.55	85	17-Jul-99	RC
FWRP076	19227.39	9868.79	6429407.56	751175.92	414.71	100	17-Jul-99	RC
FWRP077	19262.34	9869.37	6429443.07	751176.69	415.05	85	17-Jul-99	RC
FWRP078	19311.13	9850.98	6429491.95	751156.35	414.77	60	18-Jul-99	RC
FWRP079	19325.62	9885.4	6429505.69	751191.27	415.03	70	19-Jul-99	RC
FWRP080	19349.59	9885.75	6429530.7	751191.11	415.06	70	19-Jul-99	RC
FWRP081	19375.03	9886.07	6429555.71	751190.94	415.28	70	19-Jul-99	RC
FWRP082	19378.79	9871.02	6429557.11	751175.92	415.27	60	20-Jul-99	RC
FWRP083	19410.75	9809.31	6429590.22	751115.68	414.93	50	20-Jul-99	RC
FWRP084	19387.23	9826.16	6429567.81	751130.84	414.96	50	20-Jul-99	RC
FWRP085	19374.15	9810.18	6429555.2	751115.92	414.76	50	20-Jul-99	RC
FWRP086	19362.12	9825.88	6429542.8	751131	414.96	60	21-Jul-99	RC
FWRP087	19389.06	9790.01	6429567.57	751095.83	414.65	50	21-Jul-99	RC
FWRP088	19379.26	9774.02	6429554.97	751080.91	414.51	50	21-Jul-99	RC
FWRP090	19363.4	9796.11	6429542.6	751100.99	414.59	50	22-Jul-99	RC
FWRP091	19350.56	9810.87	6429530.2	751116.08	414.7	60	23-Jul-99	RC
FWRP092	19362.39	9849	6429542.97	751156.01	415.09	55	23-Jul-99	RC
FWRP093	19326.35	9762.5	6429504.87	751068.74	414.14	60	23-Jul-99	RC
FWRR002	19100	10080	6429281.93	751387.84	415	49	5-Nov-98	RAB
FWRR003	19100	10055	6429281.76	751362.83	415	43	5-Nov-98	RAB
FWRR004	19100	10033	6429281.62	751340.82	415	50	5-Nov-98	RAB
FWRR005	19100	10008	6429281.45	751315.82	415	39	5-Nov-98	RAB
FWRR369	20297.96	9759.32	6430478.11	751059.04	412.97	35	22-Nov-98	RAB
FWRR370	19996.11	9646.59	6430175.41	750948.3	415.09	57	22-Nov-98	RAB
FWRR384	19199.81	10029.17	6429381.43	751336.33	415.3	64	23-Nov-98	RAB
FWRR385	19200.15	9997.29	6429381.56	751304.43	415.15	48	23-Nov-98	RAB

MADD001	20210.89	9823.95	6430540	751265	413	75.5	3-Jun-17	DD
MADD002	19180.17	9948.79	6429510	751397	415	130.6	4-Jun-17	DD
MADD003	20150.99	9810.54	6430480	751252	413	92.4	18-Feb-18	DD
MADD004	19999.43	10039.46	6430330	751482	415.44	215.3	26-Feb-18	DD
MARC001	19153	9974.6	6429483	751423	415	165	5-Jun-17	RC
MARC002	19140.17	9950.51	6429470	751399	415	165	5-Jun-17	RC
MARC003	19168.27	9935.71	6429498	751384	415	150	7-Jun-17	RC
MARC004	19183.1	9959.81	6429513	751408	415	150	8-Jun-17	RC
MARC005	20000.48	10032.47	6430331	751475	415	225	14-Jun-17	RC
MARC006	19900.7	10001.78	6430231	751445	415	210	18-Jun-17	RC
MARC007	19950.04	9952.13	6430280	751395	415	185	19-Jun-17	RC
MARC008	20049.92	9966.82	6430380	751409	415	185	16-Jun-17	RC
MARC009	20100.74	9992.17	6430431	751434	415	175	17-Jun-17	RC
MARC010	20050.16	10076.8	6430381	751519	415.9	225	15-Jun-17	RC
MARC011	20150.01	9952.51	6430480	751394	413.8	150	17-Jun-17	RC
MARC012	19949.86	10122.1	6430281	751565	415	155	10-Jun-17	RC
MARC013	19850.67	10152.41	6430182	751596	415	300	9-Jun-17	RC
MARC014	19999.99	10102.45	6430331	751545	416.3	250	11-Jun-17	RC
MARC015	19173.54	9896.75	6429503	751345	415	165	13-Jun-17	RC
MARC019	19169.91	9986.71	6429500	751435	415	156	29-Jul-17	RC
MARC020	19170.5	9901.73	6429500	751350	415	138	31-Jul-17	RC
MARC021	19170.85	9851.74	6429500	751300	415	144	1-Aug-17	RC
MARC022	19145.58	9891.56	6429475	751340	415	168	2-Aug-17	RC
MARC023	19145.2	9946.55	6429475	751395	415	174	3-Aug-17	RC
MARC024	19194.94	9981.89	6429525	751430	415	150	4-Aug-17	RC
MARC025	19195.52	9898.91	6429525	751347	415	138	5-Aug-17	RC
MARC026	19220.26	9936.07	6429550	751384	415	168	6-Aug-17	RC
MARC027	19120.51	9901.38	6429450	751350	415	156	7-Aug-17	RC
MARC028	19120.17	9951.38	6429450	751400	415	174	8-Aug-17	RC
MARC029	19119.82	10001.37	6429450	751450	415	180	9-Aug-17	RC
MARC030	19095.34	9926.21	6429425	751375	415	156	10-Aug-17	RC
MARC031	19095	9976.2	6429425	751425	415	186	11-Aug-17	RC
MARC032	19094.65	10026.19	6429425	751475	415	210	11-Aug-17	RC
MARC034	19069.83	10001.02	6429400	751450	415	204	16-Aug-17	RC
MARC035	19069.48	10051.01	6429400	751500	415	222	17-Aug-17	RC
MARC036	19550.08	9954.35	6429880	751400	415	150	21-Aug-17	RC
MARC038	19499.75	10004	6429830	751450	415	204	25-Aug-17	RC
MARC039	19019.15	10100.65	6429350	751550	415	184	30-Aug-17	RC

MARC047	19750.04	9955.74	6430080	751400	415	210	27-Sep-17	RC
MARC048	19749.35	10055.72	6430080	751500	415	282	29-Sep-17	RC
MARC049	19748.66	10155.7	6430080	751600	415	324	2-Oct-17	RC
MARC050	19747.96	10255.68	6430080	751700	417	366	7-Oct-17	RC
MARC051	19800.38	9906.1	6430130	751350	415	192	9-Oct-17	RC
MARC052	19799.69	10006.08	6430130	751450	415	234	12-Oct-17	RC
MARC053	19798.99	10106.06	6430130	751550	415	270	15-Oct-17	RC
MARC054	20253.99	9808.26	6430583	751249	413	90	23-Jun-18	RC
MARC055	20214.99	9809.98	6430544	751251	413	100	24-Jun-18	RC
MARC056	20170.02	9806.67	6430499	751248	413	90	24-Jun-18	RC
MARC057	20132.03	9805.41	6430461	751247	413	100	25-Jun-18	RC
MARC058	20091.03	9806.13	6430420	751248	413	110	26-Jun-18	RC
MARC059	20072.37	9758	6430401	751200	413.5	90	26-Jun-18	RC
MARC060	20032.37	9757.73	6430361	751200	415.1	90	27-Jun-18	RC
MARC061	19990.38	9757.44	6430319	751200	415.4	90	27-Jun-18	RC
MARC062	19950.39	9757.16	6430279	751200	413	100	28-Jun-18	RC
MARC063	19911.39	9757.89	6430240	751201	413	78	28-Jun-18	RC
MARC064	20246.17	9782.2	6430575	751223	413	90	4-Sep-18	RC
MARC065	20216.19	9781	6430545	751222	413	90	5-Sep-18	RC
MARC066	20171.21	9778.69	6430500	751220	413	90	5-Sep-18	RC
MARC067	20134.25	9773.43	6430463	751215	413	90	6-Sep-18	RC
MARC068	20091.23	9777.13	6430420	751219	413	94	7-Sep-18	RC
MARC072	19551.9	9836.38	6429881	751282	415	90	4-Nov-19	RC
MARC073	19501.9	9837.04	6429831	751283	415	90	5-Nov-19	RC
MARC074	19453.91	9836.71	6429783	751283	415	90	5-Nov-19	RC
MARC076	19151.81	10001.59	6429482	751450	415	100	10-Oct-21	RC
MARC077	19151.91	9987.59	6429482	751436	415	90	10-Oct-21	RC
MARC079	19250.13	9953.28	6429580	751401	415	154	12-Oct-21	RC
MARC080	19247.97	9977.26	6429578	751425	415	150	12-Oct-21	RC
MARC081	20405	9803.3	6430734	751243	415	102	13-Oct-21	RC
MARC082	20302.05	9799.59	6430631	751240	415	78	13-Oct-21	RC
MARC083	20247.88	9825.21	6430577	751266	415	96	13-Oct-21	RC
MARC084	20202.88	9825.9	6430532	751267	415	96	14-Oct-21	RC
MARC085	20150.89	9824.54	6430480	751266	415	96	14-Oct-21	RC
MARC086	20101.72	9850.19	6430431	751292	415	126	14-Oct-21	RC
MARC087	20043.73	9850.79	6430373	751293	415	106	15-Oct-21	RC
MARC087	19151.17	9949.59	6429481	751398	415	150	11-Oct-21	RC
MARC088	20053.42	9750.87	6430382	751193	415	75	15-Oct-21	RC



**CLASSIC MINERALS LIMITED**

71 Furniss Rd, Landsdale

Western Australia 6065

ASX: CLZ | ABN 77119 484 016

[contact@classicminerals.com.au](mailto:contact@classicminerals.com.au)

VWRD001	19300	9739.94			414.14	59.7	18-Oct-00	RC/DD
VWRD002	19277.5	9770			414.34	60	21-Oct-00	RC/DD
VWRD003	19324.36	9790.15			414.37	65.5	23-Oct-00	RC/DD
VWRD004	19296.41	9810.16			414.49	54.9	26-Oct-00	RC/DD
VWRD005	19300.53	9830.03			414.52	56.5	27-Oct-00	RC/DD
VWRD006	19216.02	9763.33			414.38	79.85	30-Oct-00	DD
VWRD007	19223.66	9886.86			414.87	79.95	2-Nov-00	DD
VWRD009	19363.14	9917.12			415.35	83.75	10-Nov-00	DD
VWRP001	19314.95	9840.56			414.87	64	30-Aug-00	RC
VWRP002	19284.92	9879.74			414.83	80	30-Aug-00	RC
VWRP003	19330.26	9799.88			414.55	60	5-Oct-00	RC
VWRP004	19339.92	9820.09			414.79	60	6-Oct-00	RC
VWRP005	19327.33	9859.62			414.89	60	6-Oct-00	RC
VWRP008	19312.57	9879.67			414.98	70	7-Oct-00	RC
VWRP009	19309.68	9818.87			414.61	60	7-Oct-00	RC
VWRP010	19289.91	9800.42			414.48	60	8-Oct-00	RC
VWRP011	19264.74	9800.18			414.59	75	8-Oct-00	RC
VWRP012	19275.14	9830.35			414.68	75	8-Oct-00	RC
VWRP013	19250.01	9829.65			414.59	75	9-Oct-00	RC
VWRP014	19274.79	9890.03			414.83	95	9-Oct-00	RC
VWRP016	19259.83	9718.22			414.1	50	10-Oct-00	RC
VWRP017	19290.06	9720.51			414.07	50	10-Oct-00	RC
VWRP018	19238.82	9740.05			414.39	60	11-Oct-00	RC
VWRP019	19259.38	9760.02			414.36	60	11-Oct-00	RC
VWRP020	19279.84	9759.95			414.53	60	11-Oct-00	RC
VWRP021	19274.38	9780.33			414.34	60	11-Oct-00	RC
VWRP022	19309.5	9780.04			414.41	60	12-Oct-00	RC
VWRP023	19275.54	9930.05			415.08	100	12-Oct-00	RC
VWRP024	19249.93	9919.69			415.09	110	12-Oct-00	RC
VWRP025	19238.18	9889.25			414.55	100	13-Oct-00	RC
VWRP026	19259.93	9860.42			414.87	90	13-Oct-00	RC
VWRP027	19284.85	9858.99			414.91	75	14-Oct-00	RC
VWRP028	19334.64	9841.08			414.9	60	14-Oct-00	RC
VWRP030	19219.78	9740.92			414.33	55	15-Oct-00	RC
VWRP031	19270.47	9909.8			414.97	90	15-Oct-00	RC
VWRP032	19263.81	9899.85			414.93	95	16-Oct-00	RC
VWRP033	19236.77	9909.68			415.03	110	17-Oct-00	RC
VWRP034	19236.68	9930.56			415.19	110	17-Oct-00	RC

VWRP035	19225.1	9900			414.96	104	18-Oct-00	RC
VWRP036	19211.71	9910.08			415.04	110	19-Oct-00	RC
VWRP037	19212.89	9860.09			414.77	95	20-Oct-00	RC
VWRP038	19225.49	9849.43			414.77	90	21-Oct-00	RC
VWRP053	19550	9840			415	70	28-Oct-00	RC
VWRP058	19120	9900	6429300.77	751207.69	415	150	12-Oct-00	RC
VWRP059	19120	9940	6429301.04	751247.7	415	165	12-Nov-00	RC
VWRP060	19120	9980	6429301.31	751287.72	415	180	12-Dec-00	RC
wr016	19300.27	9788.23	6429480.04	751095.41	414.3	33	18-Dec-88	RAB
wr017	19300	9773	6429479.93	751079.41	415	43	18-Dec-88	RAB
wr019	19300	9738	6429479.7	751044.4	415	39	18-Dec-88	RAB
wr121	19300	9762	6429479.86	751068.4	415	41	16-Mar-89	RAB
wr258	19200	9786	6429379.99	751093.08	415	38	16-Jul-89	RAB
wr361	20500	9750	6430680.14	751048.36	415	47	24-Sep-89	RAB
wr372	19307	9735	6429486.68	751041.35	415	44	14-Oct-89	RAB
wr373	19284	9735	6429463.67	751041.5	415	47	14-Oct-89	RAB
wr380	19308	9810	6429488.18	751116.36	415	43	15-Oct-89	RAB
wr382	19349	9810	6429529.2	751116.09	415	46	15-Oct-89	RAB
WRD001	19300.2	9925.59	6429481.31	751231.36	415.12	146.8	21-Feb-90	DD
WRD002	20000.6	10000.5	6430182.27	751302.28	415.4	207	5-Apr-93	DD
WRD003	20200	9950	6430381.39	751250.43	413.47	160	8-Apr-93	DD
WRD004	20197	10048	6430379.05	751348.48	414.51	212.8	10-Apr-93	DD
WRD005	20004.27	9915.8	6430185.38	751217.54	416.33	163	7-Apr-93	DD
WRP002	19301.02	9750.27	6429479.77	751055.4	414.19	81	18-May-89	RC
WRP003	19300.26	9770.15	6429479.92	751077.41	414.2	96	19-May-89	RC
WRP004	19300.49	9890.15	6429480.7	751194.44	414.94	81	19-May-89	RC
WRP005	19700	9854	6429880.6	751157.75	415	81	20-May-89	RC
WRP012	19300.06	9831.27	6429480.34	751140.43	414.62	99	2-Aug-89	RC
WRP013	19200.29	9803.66	6429380.12	751112.09	414.36	81	17-Aug-89	RC
WRP016	19200.29	9829.36	6429380.29	751137.09	414.85	85	1-Sep-89	RC
WRP017	19299.97	9799.8	6429480.13	751108.42	414.35	81	2-Sep-89	RC
WRP018	19313.5	9737.9	6429491.68	751041.32	414.32	102	6-Dec-89	RC
WRP019	19284.7	9810.33	6429465.18	751116.52	414.49	99	7-Dec-89	RC
WRP021	20497.8	9791.24	6430678.23	751089.64	413.15	89	9-Dec-89	RC
WRP022	20299.63	9836.1	6430480.29	751135.83	413.13	72	10-Dec-89	RC
WRP023	20098.22	9789.88	6430278.51	751090.95	413.38	71	10-Dec-89	RC
WRP025	19257.8	9811.09	6429437.98	751117.79	414.52	79	13-Dec-89	RC

WRP026	19300.58	9863.39	6429480.52	751167.43	414.8	78	17-Jan-90	RC
WRP027	19249.59	9749.36	6429429.77	751056.73	413.77	50	17-Jan-90	RC
WRP028	19250	9800	6429430.1	751106.75	414.31	70	17-Jan-90	RC
WRP029	19250.08	9849.43	6429430.43	751156.76	414.47	82	19-Jan-90	RC
WRP030	19250.05	9899.01	6429430.77	751206.78	414.95	82	19-Jan-90	RC
WRP032	19350.09	9799.24	6429530.13	751106.08	414.6	72	20-Jan-90	RC
WRP033	19350.63	9849.16	6429530.46	751156.1	415.04	72	21-Jan-90	RC
WRP034	19350.04	9898.68	6429530.84	751204.79	415.17	82	22-Jan-90	RC
WRP035	20099.73	9814.84	6430280.19	751115.91	413.48	76	23-Jan-90	RC
WRP036	20299.08	9860.71	6430479.91	751160.45	413.02	76	25-Jan-90	RC
WRP037	19324.7	9824.13	6429504.99	751130.39	414.72	64	18-Feb-90	RC
WRP038	19248.81	9723.45	6429429.6	751031.73	414.14	52	18-Feb-90	RC
WRP039	20499.29	9815.22	6430679.87	751113.61	412.92	81	19-Feb-90	RC
WRP040	20402.66	9814.3	6430583.21	751113.33	412.91	81	20-Feb-90	RC
WRP041	20199.56	9813.52	6430380.04	751113.92	413.19	75	20-Feb-90	RC
WRP043	19401.95	9824.37	6429580.31	751130.75	415.16	57	21-Feb-90	RC
WRP044	20200.13	9788.71	6430380.45	751089.1	413.17	58	20-Mar-90	RC
WRP045	19999.98	9799.16	6430180.3	751100.89	413.95	93	24-Mar-90	RC
WRP047	20148.43	9797.35	6430328.78	751098.08	413.23	75	25-Mar-90	RC
WRP048	20243.43	9801.15	6430423.84	751101.25	413.11	70	25-Mar-90	RC
WRP052	20052.65	9749.83	6430232.66	751051.19	413.63	57	10-Jun-90	RC
WRP053	20000.12	9747.39	6430180.1	751049.1	415.41	67	11-Jun-90	RC
WRP054	19999.31	9699.46	6430178.97	751001.16	413.59	50	11-Jun-90	RC
WRP055	19996.43	9649.38	6430175.75	750951.09	413.48	52	12-Jun-90	RC
WRP056	20199.24	9749.79	6430379.3	751050.17	412.92	46	12-Jun-90	RC
WRP057	20149.52	9770.86	6430329.7	751071.58	413.14	62	26-Jun-90	RC
WRP059	20148.56	9851.11	6430329.28	751151.86	413.45	99	10-Sep-90	RC
WRP060	20198.8	9863.66	6430379.61	751164.08	413.25	96	11-Sep-90	RC
WRP061	20050.23	9798.84	6430230.57	751100.23	413.67	85	12-Sep-90	RC
WRP062	19899.64	9925.17	6430080.78	751227.61	415	96	12-Sep-90	RC
WRP064	19800	9920	6429981.07	751223.1	415	81	17-Sep-90	RC
WRP065	19700	9910	6429880.97	751213.77	415	75	18-Sep-90	RC
WRP073	19223.33	9735.05	6429404.66	751041.9	414.46	75	5-Jul-91	RC
WRP074	19270.39	9735	6429449.67	751041.6	414.14	50	6-Jul-91	RC
WRP075	19304.09	9810.41	6429483.18	751116.4	414.74	55	6-Jul-91	RC
WRP076	19259.37	9773.51	6429439.94	751081.68	414.23	69	7-Jul-91	RC
WRP077	19255.27	9849.04	6429435.44	751156.73	414.85	65	8-Jul-91	RC
WRP078	19301.01	9850.4	6429480.45	751156.43	414.77	69	8-Jul-91	RC

WRP083	19259.56	9748.78	6429439.77	751056.67	414.28	60	15-May-92	RC
WRP084	19275.66	9723.99	6429455.27	751030.55	414.11	55	15-May-92	RC
WRP085	19257.65	9772.97	6429437.93	751081.69	414.31	60	15-May-92	RC
WRP086	19280.54	9788.84	6429460.04	751096.55	414.52	60	16-May-92	RC
WRP087	19324.43	9793.17	6429505.08	751099.25	414.44	60	16-May-92	RC
WRP088	19325.21	9773.55	6429504.96	751081.24	414.41	60	17-May-92	RC
WRP089	19339.62	9808.92	6429520.19	751116.15	414.76	60	17-May-92	RC
WRP090	19314.72	9828.88	6429495.03	751135.21	414.73	60	17-May-92	RC
WRP091	19335.45	9833.38	6429515.8	751139.57	414.85	60	17-May-92	RC
WRP092	19280.15	9749.99	6429459.77	751056.53	414.34	60	14-May-92	RC
WRP100	19270.24	9850	6429450.44	751156.63	414.61	65	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP101	19295.3	9849.89	6429475.45	751156.46	414.71	60	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP102	19289.86	9830.15	6429470.31	751136.49	414.9	65	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP103	19344.93	9829.91	6429525.26	751136.04	414.9	60	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP104	19270.09	9809.82	6429450.17	751116.62	414.6	65	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP105	19330.73	9810.08	6429511.19	751116.21	414.66	50	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP106	19340.01	9790.15	6429520.06	751096.14	414.27	60	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP107	19310.26	9789.84	6429490.05	751096.35	414.38	60	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP108	19280.71	9729.96	6429460.36	751036.49	414.11	55	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP109	19257.78	9729.59	6429437.63	751036.68	414.15	55	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP110	19235.37	9749.73	6429414.76	751056.84	414.12	60	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP111	19270.17	9749.94	6429449.77	751056.6	414.32	60	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP113	19272.78	9769.99	6429452.69	751076.58	414.32	60	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP114	19295.17	9770.04	6429474.91	751076.44	414.36	60	1-Jan-00	RC
WRP115	19900.32	9874.52	6430081.12	751176.94	414.66	70	7-Mar-97	RC
WRP116	19900.81	9898.79	6430081.77	751201.21	414.91	80	7-Mar-97	RC
WRP117	19949.75	9753.42	6430129.75	751055.47	415.69	70	8-Mar-97	RC
WRP118	19949.22	9799.77	6430129.53	751101.84	415.93	90	8-Mar-97	RC
WRP119	19999.43	9677.33	6430178.93	750979.02	415.23	50	9-Mar-97	RC
WRP120	19999.6	9726.21	6430179.43	751027.92	415.24	65	9-Mar-97	RC
WRP121	20000.83	9778.76	6430181.02	751080.47	415.45	80	9-Mar-97	RC
WRP122	20050.07	9778.46	6430230.27	751079.85	415.33	75	9-Mar-97	RC
WRP123	20100.03	9765.78	6430280.16	751066.83	413.2	60	10-Mar-97	RC
WRP124	20100.21	9840.23	6430280.84	751141.3	415.18	80	10-Mar-97	RC
WRP125	20148.26	9821.43	6430328.77	751122.18	413.36	85	11-Mar-97	RC

WRP126	20198.66	9768.6	6430378.84	751068.99	413	50	11-Mar-97	RC
WRP127	20199.27	9849.73	6430380	751150.14	413.07	85	11-Mar-97	RC
WRP128	19260.43	9711.09	6429439.95	751017.75	414.08	60	11-Mar-97	RC
WRP129	19284.74	9709.65	6429464.25	751016.14	414.07	60	11-Mar-97	RC
WRP132	19289.03	9750.04	6429468.82	751056.51	414.26	60	12-Mar-97	RC
WRP133	19310.12	9770.38	6429490.04	751076.4	414.56	60	12-Mar-97	RC
WRP134	19265.15	9790.2	6429444.83	751096.83	414.6	60	13-Mar-97	RC
WRP135	19294.71	9790.67	6429474.76	751097.12	414.39	60	13-Mar-97	RC
WRP136	19355.17	9829.86	6429535.51	751135.92	414.93	60	14-Mar-97	RC
WRP137	19390.6	9809.69	6429570.92	751115.26	415.07	60	14-Mar-97	RC
WRP138	19339.6	9850.17	6429520.07	751156.34	415.13	60	14-Mar-97	RC
WRP139	19319.84	9869.79	6429500.5	751176	415.22	60	14-Mar-97	RC
WRP140	19279.62	9869.48	6429460.19	751176.05	415.02	100	15-Mar-97	RC
WRP142	19600.51	9902.54	6429781.41	751206.97	415.8	70	4-Jul-97	RC
WRP148	19401.05	9871.79	6429581.69	751177.56	415.53	70	5-Jul-97	RC
WRP149	19399.95	9772.5	6429579.91	751078.24	414.81	70	6-Jul-97	RC
WRP150	19380.07	9829.11	6429560.41	751135	415.11	70	6-Jul-97	RC
WRP151	19379.68	9848.03	6429560.14	751153.93	415.32	70	6-Jul-97	RC
WRP152	19359.99	9869.68	6429540.59	751175.72	415.43	70	7-Jul-97	RC
WRP153	19340.18	9868.67	6429520.78	751174.84	414.99	70	7-Jul-97	RC
WRP154	19240.22	9868.34	6429420.78	751175.18	414.85	90	8-Jul-97	RC
WRP155	19240.04	9826.28	6429420.32	751133.11	414.64	80	8-Jul-97	RC
WRP156	19238.26	9787.15	6429418.28	751093.98	414.41	75	9-Jul-97	RC

*Appendix II - A table of Drill Intercepts above 0.5g/t Au from Classic's Drilling at Lady Magdalene and Lady Ada*

Hole ID	Interval (m)	Au (g/t)	From (m)	To (m)
MARC023	4	37.30	116	120
MARC079	5	27.76	101	106
MARC024	2	15.51	117	119
MARC080	2	14.28	110	112
MARC006	2	12.46	87	89
MARC026	5	10.40	67	72
MARC015	2	9.77	109	111
MARC007	2	8.59	48	50
MARC078	2	7.75	118	120
MARC076	7	5.40	44	51
MARC009	7	4.95	138	145
MARC040	6	4.89	201	207



MARC007	4	4.66	76	80
MARC005	15	4.32	181	196
MARC049	2	3.61	240	242
MARC087	4	3.37	53	57
MARC010	4	3.25	110	114
MARC046	2	3.25	254	256
MARC041	2	3.24	144	146
MARC001	22	3.20	36	58
MARC014	2	3.20	141	143
MARC054	4	3.17	59	63
MARC040	2	3.12	141	143
MARC047	2	3.03	126	128
MARC052	3	3.01	154	157
MARC052	2	2.78	127	129
MARC067	21	2.52	34	55
MARC009	2	2.52	75	77
MARC020	2	2.34	82	84
MARC024	6	2.22	40	46
MARC019	14	2.16	58	72
MARC013	2	2.12	226	228
MARC008	12	2.08	139	151
MARC056	4	2.05	20	24
MARC069	3	2.01	78	81
MARC053	2	1.99	95	97
MARC060	6	1.96	56	62
MARC087	6	1.94	93	99
MARC006	3	1.87	50	53
MARC055	5	1.85	62	67
MARC069	2	1.85	27	29
MARC083	2	1.84	61	63
MARC082	14	1.83	37	51
MARC024	2	1.83	68	70
MARC059	18	1.75	38	56
MARC023	3	1.74	56	59
MARC077	6	1.74	37	43
MARC026	4	1.74	47	51
MARC043	2	1.68	125	127
MARC052	17	1.68	40	57
MARC076	4	1.60	84	88
MARC088	8	1.58	44	52
MARC035	3	1.57	45	48
MARC030	5	1.53	43	48

MARC019	2	1.48	36	38
MARC058	22	1.47	54	76
MARC061	11	1.45	64	75
MARC080	6	1.44	94	100
MARC078	2	1.43	40	42
MARC077	2	1.42	50	52
MARC084	43	1.41	24	67
MARC009	2	1.40	68	70
MARC065	17	1.36	38	55
MARC049	7	1.35	109	116
MARC083	4	1.34	20	24
MARC066	8	1.34	76	84
MARC047	3	1.32	104	107
MARC028	2	1.32	65	67
MARC013	6	1.31	140	146
MARC003	22	1.31	54	76
MARC066	27	1.30	32	59
MARC085	40	1.30	36	76
MARC020	5	1.30	37	42
MARC040	4	1.30	253	257
MARC083	11	1.29	30	41
MARC002	11	1.25	64	75
MARC028	2	1.24	59	61
MARC046	3	1.23	156	159
MARC011	5	1.22	117	122
MARC024	2	1.20	54	56
MARC075	15	1.19	76	91
MARC008	6	1.18	81	87
MARC058	4	1.16	36	40
MARC056	28	1.14	42	70
MARC073	2	1.12	64	66
MARC078	3	1.11	57	60
MARC012	9	1.09	115	124
MARC010	5	1.08	186	191
MARC062	15	1.08	77	92
MARC081	28	1.06	41	69
MARC026	2	1.06	104	106
MARC057	36	1.06	36	72
MARC005	2	1.04	92	94
MARC026	3	1.02	94	97
MARC068	18	0.99	41	59
MARC052	2	0.99	84	86

MARC042	3	0.98	97	100
MARC080	2	0.98	49	51
MARC031	5	0.98	44	49
MARC051	3	0.96	40	43
MARC042	2	0.96	121	123
MARC075	5	0.95	60	65
MARC057	2	0.94	75	77
MARC054	12	0.94	34	46
MARC083	3	0.93	47	50
MARC080	3	0.89	85	88
MARC067	2	0.89	27	29
MARC079	4	0.89	81	85
MARC022	8	0.89	35	43
MARC041	2	0.89	31	33
MARC025	3	0.86	96	99
MARC007	2	0.85	132	134
MARC005	2	0.84	79	81
MARC086	34	0.83	69	103
MARC044	2	0.83	264	266
MARC006	2	0.82	104	106
MARC048	2	0.82	232	234
MARC081	6	0.81	77	83
MARC023	2	0.79	79	81
MARC036	4	0.79	85	89
MARC011	4	0.79	108	112
MARC014	2	0.78	212	214
MARC082	4	0.77	14	18
MARC044	3	0.76	177	180
MARC075	12	0.75	36	48
MARC055	12	0.74	39	51
MARC072	7	0.70	52	59
MARC054	2	0.70	18	20
MARC048	2	0.69	104	106
MARC026	3	0.68	54	57
MARC052	2	0.68	116	118
MARC055	2	0.68	71	73
MARC022	4	0.68	46	50
MARC029	5	0.67	41	46
MARC064	3	0.66	41	44
MARC001	3	0.65	68	71
MARC025	2	0.65	59	61
MARC079	2	0.65	118	120



**CLASSIC  
MINERALS**

**CLASSIC MINERALS LIMITED**

71 Furniss Rd, Landsdale

Western Australia 6065

ASX: CLZ | ABN 77119484 016

[contact@classicminerals.com.au](mailto:contact@classicminerals.com.au)

MARC075	4	0.64	16	20
MARC058	4	0.63	28	32
MARC060	2	0.61	43	45
MARC075	2	0.61	66	68
MARC058	2	0.61	46	48
MARC079	5	0.58	43	48
MARC065	2	0.54	32	34
MARC078	3	0.54	62	65
MARC049	2	0.53	103	105
MARC068	4	0.52	60	64