

28 October 2024

# Mapping and rock chip sampling completed at Marymia East base & precious metals project

-Norwest to drill test two copper-zinc anomalies in 2025.

**Norwest Minerals Limited** ("Norwest" or "the Company") (ASX: NWM) is pleased to announce the recent completion of mapping and rock chip sampling at its Marymia East project.

The Marymia East project is located just 10kms southeast of Norwest's 215,000 ounce Bulgera Gold project (100%) and just over 50kms east of the Plutonic Gold operation now owned and operated by Catalyst Metals. The Project covers 230 km<sup>2</sup> and is set within the Marymia Inlier, a discrete fault bounded Archaean gneiss granitoid-greenstone domain surrounded by volcano-sedimentary basins which formed during the Paleoproterozoic Capricorn Orogen. Tenements E52/2394 and E52/2395 encapsulate the poorly exposed and structurally complex Baumgarten Greenstone Belt (BGB).




Figure 1 – Marymia East tenement location map with aircore drill targets marked by blue star symbols.

During the quarter Norwest undertook mapping and rock chip sampling across the BGB where it straddles the E52/2394 and E52/2395 tenement boundary. A number of the multi-element assay results for the 115 rock chips collected return anomalous copper & zinc values. Figure 2 below.




Figure 2: 2024 heatmap of Cu-Zn assay results from recent rock chip sampling at Marymia East

Norwest has planned aircore drilling to test for base metal mineralisation (copper and zinc) at two sites in the southern portion of the BGB. The drill testing includes 21 holes totalling 1,050 metres across two drill lines at 50m hole spacing.

One drill line comprising 11 holes will transect Target 1, a coincident copper and zinc surface anomalism that overlies a northeast-southwest trending magnetic feature. Another drill line of 10 holes will transect Target 2, a coincident copper and zinc surface anomalism that overlies a weak EM interpreted anomaly. All holes are designed at -60° to the southwest over interpreted north westerly dipping bedrock.

The drilling program is scheduled to commence early 2025 following Heritage Study work.




Figure 3: 2024 proposed AC collars (yellow dots) overlaying interpreted bedrock geology.

This ASX announcement has been authorised for release by the Board of Norwest Minerals Limited. For further information, visit [www.norwestminerals.com.au](http://www.norwestminerals.com.au) or contact Charles Schaus

Chief Executive Officer  
E: [infor@norwestminerals.com.au](mailto:infor@norwestminerals.com.au)

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes forward-looking statements. These statements relate to the Company's expectations, beliefs, intentions or strategies regarding the future. These statements can be identified by the use of words like “will”, “progress”, “anticipate”, “intend”, “expect”, “may”, “seek”, “towards”, “enable” and similar words or expressions containing same.

The forward-looking statements reflect the Company's views and assumptions with respect to future events as of the date of this announcement and are subject to a variety of unpredictable risks, uncertainties, and other unknowns. Actual and future results and trends could differ materially from those set forth in such statements due to various factors, many of which are beyond our ability to control or predict. Given these uncertainties, no one should place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements attributable to the Company, or any of its affiliates or persons acting on its behalf. The Company does not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements,



whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Neither the Company nor any other person, gives any representation, warranty, assurance, nor will guarantee that the occurrence of the events expressed or implied in any forward-looking statement will actually occur. To the maximum extent permitted by law, the Company and each of its advisors, affiliates, related bodies corporate, directors, officers, partners, employees and agents disclaim any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or results or otherwise.

## COMPETENT PERSON'S STATEMENTS

### Exploration

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results and Exploration Targets is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation prepared by Charles Schaus (CEO of Norwest Minerals Pty Ltd). Mr. Schaus is a member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and has sufficient experience of relevance to the styles of mineralisation and types of deposits under consideration, and to its activities undertaken to qualify as Competent Persons as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr. Schaus consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which they appear.

**Table of Significant Rock Chip Assay Results**

Sample Id	Type	Easting	Northing	Elev	Significant Assay Result
		(GDA94z50) m	(GDA94z50) m	(STRM)	(>0.1g/t Au, >0.1% Cu & Zn, Ni, >0.3% V2O5, & >400 ppm Co
24MM001	Rock Chip	795064	7201021	578	
24MM002	Rock Chip	795128	7200922	578	
24MM003	Rock Chip	795267	7200643	577	
24MM004	Rock Chip	795251	7200620	577	
24MM005	Rock Chip	795153	7200407	577	
24MM006	Rock Chip	795892	7200720	579	
24MM007	Rock Chip	797280	7200581	585	0.17 % Zn
24MM008	Rock Chip	796394	7200981	582	
24MM009	Rock Chip	796153	7201188	581	0.10 % Cu
24MM010	Rock Chip	795974	7201321	581	
24MM011	Rock Chip	796893	7201186	584	
24MM012	Rock Chip	797174	7201091	585	
24MM013	Rock Chip	797341	7201003	586	
24MM014	Rock Chip	797353	7200995	586	
24MM015	Rock Chip	797380	7200977	586	
24MM016	Rock Chip	796392	7200976	582	
24MM017	Rock Chip	797808	7200991	588	
24MM018	Rock Chip	797766	7201034	588	
24MM019	Rock Chip	797692	7201196	588	
24MM020	Rock Chip	797648	7201285	588	

NORWEST MINERALS – MARYMIA EAST MAPPING AND ROCK CHIP SAMPLING

Sample Id	Type	Easting	Northing	Elev	Significant Assay Result
		(GDA94z50) m	(GDA94z50) m	(STRM)	(>0.1g/t Au, >0.1% Cu & Zn, Ni, >0.3% V2O5, & >400 ppm Co
24MM021	Rock Chip	797483	7201282	587	
24MM022	Rock Chip	797447	7201267	587	
24MM023	Rock Chip	797419	7201299	587	0.17 % Cu
24MM024	Rock Chip	797418	7201107	586	
24MM025	Rock Chip	797362	7201344	587	
24MM026	Rock Chip	797324	7201300	586	
24MM027	Rock Chip	797102	7201375	585	0.11 % Zn, 408 ppm Co
24MM028	Rock Chip	796678	7201598	585	
24MM029	Rock Chip	796612	7201868	586	
24MM030	Rock Chip	796632	7201934	587	0.11 % Ni
24MM031	Rock Chip	795822	7202475	585	
24MM032	Rock Chip	795798	7202449	585	
24MM033	Rock Chip	795791	7202482	585	
24MM034	Rock Chip	796046	7202732	587	
24MM035	Rock Chip	796043	7202654	587	
24MM036	Rock Chip	796184	7201175	582	
24MM037	Rock Chip	795899	7200767	579	
24MM038	Rock Chip	797877	7203220	598	
24MM039	Rock Chip	797915	7203238	598	
24MM040	Rock Chip	797877	7203272	598	0.2 g/t Au
24MM041	Rock Chip	797884	7203270	598	
24MM042	Rock Chip	797839	7203390	598	
24MM043	Rock Chip	797918	7203351	598	
24MM044	Rock Chip	798128	7203661	598	
24MM045	Rock Chip	798057	7203568	598	
24MM046	Rock Chip	798191	7203778	599	
24MM047	Rock Chip	798128	7203639	599	
24MM048	Rock Chip	798251	7203911	598	
24MM049	Rock Chip	798313	7203650	599	
24MM050	Rock Chip	798278	7203925	599	
24MM051	Rock Chip	798381	7203631	600	
24MM052	Rock Chip	797603	7203123	597	
24MM053	Rock Chip	797582	7203093	597	
24MM054	Rock Chip	797589	7203038	597	
24MM055	Rock Chip	797503	7202962	596	0.11 % Ni
24MM056	Rock Chip	797466	7202966	596	0.12 % Ni
24MM057	Rock Chip	797500	7202650	595	
24MM058	Rock Chip	797498	7202631	595	
24MM059	Rock Chip	797521	7202635	596	
24MM060	Rock Chip	797078	7203075	593	
24MM061	Rock Chip	797213	7203143	594	
24MM062	Rock Chip	797797	7201511	589	
24MM063	Rock Chip	797827	7201553	590	
24MM064	Rock Chip	798055	7201549	591	
24MM065	Rock Chip	798197	7201599	593	

NORWEST MINERALS – MARYMIA EAST MAPPING AND ROCK CHIP SAMPLING

Sample Id	Type	Easting	Northing	Elev	Significant Assay Result
		(GDA94z50) m	(GDA94z50) m	(STRM)	(>0.1g/t Au, >0.1% Cu & Zn, Ni, >0.3% V2O5, & >400 ppm Co
24MM066	Rock Chip	798187	7201585	593	
24MM067	Rock Chip	798177	7201749	594	
24MM068	Rock Chip	798193	7201763	594	
24MM069	Rock Chip	798404	7201768	595	
24MM070	Rock Chip	799336	7203322	601	
24MM071	Rock Chip	797756	7202148	594	
24MM072	Rock Chip	799519	7203327	601	
24MM073	Rock Chip	799533	7203583	600	
24MM074	Rock Chip	799629	7201288	597	
24MM075	Rock Chip	799647	7201331	597	
24MM076	Rock Chip	799942	7201516	597	
24MM077	Rock Chip	800067	7201571	598	0.43 % V2O5
24MM078	Rock Chip	800109	7201603	598	0.47 % V2O5
24MM079	Rock Chip	799985	7201766	597	
24MM080	Rock Chip	800010	7201768	598	
24MM081	Rock Chip	799616	7201939	598	
24MM082	Rock Chip	799976	7201716	597	
24MM083	Rock Chip	799580	7201742	598	
24MM084	Rock Chip	799740	7201924	598	
24MM085	Rock Chip	799332	7201624	599	
24MM086	Rock Chip	799550	7201753	598	
24MM087	Rock Chip	799113	7201570	599	
24MM088	Rock Chip	799527	7201586	598	0.6 g/t Au, 10 g/t Ag
24MM089	Rock Chip	799404	7201339	599	0.10 % Zn, 0.17% Ni
24MM090	Rock Chip	799591	7201482	598	
24MM091	Rock Chip	798733	7200838	595	
24MM092	Rock Chip	799457	7200328	595	
24MM093	Rock Chip	799687	7200470	595	
24MM094	Rock Chip	799194	7200142	593	
24MM095	Rock Chip	801176	7201693	600	
24MM096	Rock Chip	801142	7201706	600	0.32 % V2O5
24MM097	Rock Chip	801005	7201871	600	
24MM098	Rock Chip	801491	7200843	600	
24MM099	Rock Chip	800641	7199706	597	
24MM100	Rock Chip	800561	7199822	597	
24MM101	Rock Chip	800371	7199889	596	0.11 % Ni
24MM102	Rock Chip	800484	7200159	598	
24MM103	Rock Chip	800872	7200305	600	
24MM104	Rock Chip	800888	7200401	600	
24MM105	Rock Chip	800950	7200560	600	
24MM106	Rock Chip	798117	7199090	583	
24MM107	Rock Chip	798148	7198899	583	
24MM108	Rock Chip	796151	7200519	580	
24MM109	Rock Chip	795977	7201207	581	0.10% Cu
24MM110	Rock Chip	797995	7197766	580	
24MM111	Rock Chip	799161	7198203	581	
24MM112	Rock Chip	797723	7197147	578	
24MM113	Rock Chip	797949	7197653	579	
24MM114	Rock Chip	795618	7199346	577	0.3 g/t Au
24MM115	Rock Chip	795483	7199366	576	

## Appendix 1: JORC Code, 2012 Edition - Table 1

# JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralization that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralization types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>115 rock chip samples weighing approximately 0.5 to 1 kg were collected from areas of interest by APEX personnel.</li> <li>Samples from rock chip sampling were submitted to Intertek Genalysis in Perth, WA for sample preparation and analysis.</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not applicable as no Drilling was conducted.</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not applicable as no Drilling was conducted.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rock chip samples collected were logged for various geological attributes, including colour, lithology, oxidation, alteration, mineralisation and veining by geologists from Apex Geoscience Australia Pty Ltd.</li> <li>Rock chips collected were photographed to maintain a digital record</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>costean, channel, etc) photography.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<p>of geological logs.</p>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0.5 to 1 kg were hand-collected from outcrop locations.</li> <li>The sample and analysis sizes are considered suitable for appropriately representing the mineralisation based on the style of mineralisation, sampling methodology and assay value ranges for the commodities of interest.</li> <li>No QAQC samples were submitted with the samples as these were only reconnaissance samples.</li> <li>Samples were submitted to Intertek Genalysis Perth for analysis.</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All samples sent to Intertek will be prepared by drying, crushing and pulverizing to an 85% pass rate at 75 microns (SP01 method). A split of each pulverized sample will undergo a 50g fire assay flux recipe coupled with ICP-OES analysis (FA50/0E04 method) for gold assays and a four-acid aqua regia digestion coupled with ICP-MS analysis for a 48-element assay suite (4A/MS48 method). These techniques are considered by Intertek laboratories to be near complete.</li> <li>The assay method and laboratory procedures were appropriate for this style of mineralisation. The fire assay and ICP-MS techniques were designed to return precise precious metal recoveries.</li> <li>The Intertek Genalysis lab inserts its own standards and blanks at set frequencies and monitors the precision of the analyses. As well, the lab performs repeat analyses at random intervals, which return acceptably similar values to the original samples.</li> <li>Laboratory procedures are within industry standards and are appropriate for the commodities of interest.</li> </ul>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consultant geologists, from Apex Geoscience, were involved in the logging of the rock chip samples. Apex was involved in the whole process including sample collection and importing of the completed assay results. The entire chain of data custody of this sampling program was supervised by Apex. Digital data is backed up via offsite storage.</li> <li>The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate for the type, style and consistency of mineralization encountered.</li> <li>The assay method and laboratory procedures were appropriate for this style of mineralization.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data was reported by the laboratory and no adjustment of data was undertaken.</li> <li>All assay results were verified by alternative company personnel and the Qualified Person before release.</li> </ul>
<i>Location of data points</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rock chip sample locations were determined by handheld Garmin GPS, considered to be accurate to <math>\pm 5</math> m.</li> <li>All coordinates were recorded in MGA Zone 50 datum GDA94.</li> <li>Topographic control is provided by a Digital Terrain Model based on the 30 m Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission data.</li> </ul>
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rock chip sampling was conducted on an area of interest to follow-up on a historical soil anomaly. Spacing is variable.</li> <li>To date there is insufficient geological and grade continuity to support the definition of a mineral resource, and the classifications applied under the 2012 JORC code.</li> <li>No compositing has been conducted.</li> </ul>
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not applicable as no Drilling was conducted.</li> </ul>
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rock chip samples were collected from the field into pre-numbered calico bags and loaded into a polyweave bag for transport to the laboratory by APEX personnel. The chain of custody for the samples from collection to delivery at the laboratory was handled by APEX personnel.</li> <li>The sample submission was submitted by email to the lab, where the sample counts and numbers were checked by laboratory staff.</li> </ul>
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No formal audits or reviews have been performed on the project, to date.</li> <li>The work was carried out by reputable companies and laboratories using industry best practice.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The reported exploration straddles Exploration Licences E52/2395 and E52/2394-I, held and operated by Norwest Minerals Ltd.</li> <li>Tenement E 52/2394 was granted on 16/06/2010 and is set to expire on 15/06/2026. Tenement E 52/2395 was granted on 31/08/2010 and is set to expire on 30/08/2024. A tenement renewal application is currently being assessed. These tenements make up the C144/2010 combined reporting group.</li> <li>The tenements are in good standing.</li> </ul>
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The central area of the ADX lag and RR soil sampling grids ("this area") has been explored for gold as early as the 1920's from Baumgarten Reward reporting 26.46 ounces of gold from 19.5 tonnes treated in 1926.</li> <li>In 1993, the south-west edge of this area was surface grid sampled and RAB drilled by Growth Resources.</li> <li>In 1994, the south-east edge was RAB drilled by Alkane Exploration. In the same year, ADX conducted a RAB drill program along ESE-WNW tracks across the center of this area which tested depths of up to 6-15m for Au and As. ADX followed this work with a handful of small-scale RC drill programs in 1996 and bottom of hole assays are reported.</li> <li>In 2006, during field visits preceding the ADX lag sampling, ADX also collected several rock chip grab samples around the center of this area.</li> <li>In 2012, during field visits concurrent with the RR soil sampling, RR also collected several rock chip grab samples around the center of this area.</li> <li>In 2019, Norwest Minerals Ltd collected rock chip grab samples around the center of this area.</li> <li>None of the reported rock chip assays show spatially coincident anomalous Cu or Zn. Historical drillholes are largely untested for Cu or Zn and for some cases only bottom-of-hole assayed.</li> </ul>
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralization.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is uncertainty surrounding the geological terrane architecture covering the Marymia tenements, given the</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>complicated structural contact between the Baumgarten greenstone belt (part of the Marymia Inlier) and the Paleoproterozoic Yerrida Basin sediments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Magnetic imagery indicates that the Archaean Greenstone sequence extends, at shallow depth, beyond pre-existing mapping.</li> <li>• The Marymia area is prospective for Archaean lode gold, Proterozoic VMS, and Archean komatiitic or Archaen/Proterozoic intrusive nickel.</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>○ dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>○ down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>○ hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not applicable as no Drilling was conducted.</li> </ul>
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>• Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>• The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No high cuts have been applied.</li> <li>• Metal equivalent values are not being reported.</li> </ul>
Relationship between mineralization widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>• If the geometry of the mineralization with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>• If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not applicable as no Drilling was conducted.</li> </ul>
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An appropriate exploration map has been included in the release.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A table containing anomalous rock chip results to date has been included in the release.</li> </ul>
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nothing to note.</li> </ul>
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Future work will comprise some wide space aircore drill holes to test for saprolite mineralisation.</li> </ul>