



ASX Announcement | 19 December 2024

## RESULTS CONTINUE TO DEMONSTRATE CONTINUITY AND SCALE OF THE DANTE REEFS DISCOVERY

### Highlights

- Further assays show consistent Bushveld-style copper, gold, platinum group metals ("PGMs"), titanium and vanadium reef mineralisation, from surface at the Dante Reefs discovery.
- All 6 of the new drillholes intercepted significant mineralisation.
- Results continue to demonstrate the continuity and scale of the Dante Reefs discovery, supporting the potential to host a large sulphide deposit containing copper, gold, PGMs, vanadium and titanium in the low sovereign risk jurisdiction of Western Australia.
- Phase 1 diamond drilling (Reef 2):
  - **7.7m @ 0.27% Cu, 0.67g/t PGE3, 18.4% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.68% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>**, from 86m (HDH009), including:
    - **1.9m @ 0.42% Cu, 1.01g/t PGE3, 26.5% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.91% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>** from 88.5m
    - **1.7m @ 0.39% Cu, 1.21/t PGE3, 24.2% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.97% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>** from 92m
- Phase 2 reverse circulation ("RC") infill drilling (Reef 1 North):
  - **5m @ 0.86g/t PGE3, 0.26% Cu, 19.2% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.78% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>**, from 12m (URC022), including:
    - **3m @ 1.28g/t PGE3, 0.24% Cu, 21.6% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.93% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>**, from 14m
  - **6m @ 0.26% Cu, 16.01% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.36g/t PGE3, 0.48% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>**, from 134m (URC019), including:
    - **4m @ 0.32% Cu, 20.04% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.51g/t PGE3, 0.64% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>**, from 136m
  - **7m @ 0.78g/t PGE3, 22.7% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.18% Cu, 0.88% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>**, from surface (URC021), including:
    - **3m @ 0.29% Cu, 25.1% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.44g/t PGE3, 0.73% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>**, from 1m
    - **3m @ 1.28g/t PGE3, 21.6% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 1.12% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>**, from 4m
- **Assays pending from a further 68 drillholes** including 60 more RC holes and 8 diamond holes aim to expand the discovery further.
- Metallurgical testwork is advancing with progress updates expected in 2025.

---


**Managing Director and CEO, Mr. Thomas Line, commented:** "The first lot of infill drilling at Reef 1 continues to reinforce our thesis and build our confidence in the continuity, scale and significance of the Dante Reefs discovery. The Dante Project continues to deliver consistent Bushveld-style reef mineralization containing significant copper, gold, platinum group metals, and high-grade titanium and vanadium in a tier-1 mining jurisdiction. We will continue methodically executing our exploration program to prove the size and scale of the Dante Reefs discovery."

## Summary

**Terra Metals Limited (ASX:TM1) ("Terra" or "Company")** is pleased to announce further strong assay results from its Dante Reefs discovery, confirming consistent Bushveld-style mineralization of copper, gold, platinum group metals ("PGMs"), titanium, and vanadium from surface. The results of the Company's ongoing exploration program, which includes infill drilling at Reef 1 North, continue to build confidence in the continuity and scale of the Dante Reefs discovery.


Key intercepts from Phase 1 diamond drilling at Reef 2 (1 new diamond hole) continue to deliver exceptional results, highlighted by **7.7m @ 0.67g/t PGE3, 0.27% Cu, 18.4% TiO<sub>2</sub>, and 0.68% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>** from 86m (HDH009), including higher-grade zones such as **1.9m @ 1.01g/t PGE3, 0.42% Cu, 26.5% TiO<sub>2</sub>, and 0.91% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>** from 88.5m. Additionally, Phase 2 RC drilling at Reef 1 North (5 new RC holes) include **7m @ 0.78g/t PGE3, 22.7% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.88% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 0.18% Cu** from surface (URC021), with higher-grade zones such as **3m @ 1.28g/t PGE3, 1.12% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 21.6% TiO<sub>2</sub>** from 4m (URC021) and **4m @ 0.32% Cu, 20.04% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.51g/t PGE3, 0.64% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>**, from 136m (URC019).

**Pending assays for 68 additional drill holes, including 60 RC holes and 8 diamond holes, aim to expand the discovery further**, along with results from a deep EIS co-funded diamond hole at the Cronus Cu-Au-PGM prospect, which was drilled to a depth of 682m.




**Figure 1.** TMI image showing prospects in the western portion of Dante Project Reef 1 and Reef 2 discoveries with previously reported, reported in this release, and pending results.







**Figure 2.** TMI magnetics image showing recent drilling at Reef 1 North and Reef 2 discoveries.



**Figure 3.** Reef 1 North inset, showing recent drilling over TM1 magnetics.



**Figure 4.** Geological cross section showing new drilling results from Reef 1 infill drilling.



**Figure 5.** Geological cross section showing new drilling results from Reef 1 infill drilling.

## Geological Discussion

The Dante Reefs are a series of stratiform mineralized layers hosted within the Jameson Layered Intrusion, a large mafic intrusion geologically analogous to South Africa's Bushveld Complex – the world's largest source of PGMs, vanadium, and chromite, mined for over a century. Similar to Bushveld, the Jameson intrusion contains mineralized reefs enriched in platinum, palladium, gold, copper, vanadium, and titanium, highlighting its potential to host a significant critical minerals deposit.

The Dante Reefs primarily consist of titanium-rich mineral phases and magnetite, which serve as the host for economic concentrations of base and precious metals. Notably, the basal reef, located at the contact between gabbro-norite in the hanging wall and anorthosite in the footwall, contains the highest-grade mineralization to date, including copper, PGMs, vanadium, and titanium. This reef remains the key target for exploration, though only 2 of the 7 mapped reefs have been drill-tested, indicating significant upside potential. Additionally, recent assays from Reef 1 South (Oceanus), located 11km along strike from Reef 1 North, confirm extensions to mineralized zones, further supporting the project's potential to host a large sulphide deposit containing copper, gold, PGMs, vanadium, and titanium in Western Australia's West Musgrave region, a tier-1 mining jurisdiction.


## Bushveld Complex

**The Bushveld Complex** is analogous to the Jameson Layered Intrusion which dominates the Dante Project. The Bushveld Complex is the world's largest layered mafic intrusion and is approximately 2 billion years old. Located in South Africa, it currently contains the world's largest reserves of platinum group elements, along with substantial resources of gold, copper, nickel, vanadium and titanium. The Reefs of the Bushveld Complex are typically around 0.5-2m thick, and have been mined commercially for over 100 years, typically in complex underground mining operations. Only a handful of these large layered mafic intrusions exist globally.

### **Bushveld relevant/related resources:**

- **Platreef PGE-Au-Cu-Ni Reef > 30 years production**
- **Merensky Reef (PGE-Au-Cu-Ni), >100 years production**
- **UG2 Chromitite Layer (PGE-Au-Cu), >50 years production**
- **Magnetite Layers >30 years production**






**Figure 6.** Schematic of the Bushveld Complex, South Africa, showing the various metallogenic provinces within the complex which includes specific layers which are commercial enriched in PGEs, Copper, Nickel, Titanium, Vanadium, and Chromium (source: USGS Open-File Report 2005-1294-E).

## About the Dante Project

The Dante Project, located in the West Musgrave region of Western Australia, contains large-scale magmatic copper ("Cu"), gold ("Au"), platinum group metals ("PGMs") targets, as well as extensive outcropping Cu-PGE-Au reefs and is situated in the same geological complex and in close proximity to one of the world's largest mining development projects, BHP's Nebo-Babel deposit.

The Giles Complex is hosted in the broader Musgrave block (140,000km<sup>2</sup>) in central Australia, located at the junction of three major crustal elements: the West Australian, North Australian, and South Australian cratons. The discovery of the Nebo-Babel Ni-Cu-PGE sulphide deposit in the western portion of the Musgrave block was considered to be the world's largest Ni-Cu-PGE sulphide discovery since Voisey's Bay, prior to the discovery of the Julimar-Gonneville deposit in 2018.



**Figure 7.** Dante Project location map displaying surrounding companies' tenure and major deposits.

**For further information, please contact:**

**Thomas Line**

CEO & Managing Director

T: +61 8 9322 6322 | E: [info@terrametals.com.au](mailto:info@terrametals.com.au)

### **Competent Person's Statement**

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on, and fairly reflects, the information and supporting documentation prepared by Mr Ken Lomborg, a Competent Person who is a member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions, a 'Recognised Professional Organization', and is a Professional Natural Scientist (Pr.Sci.Nat.). Mr Lomborg is the Director - Geology and Resources of Pivot Mining Consultants Pty Ltd. Mr Lomborg has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resource and Ore Reserves'. Mr Lomborg consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

### **Forward Looking Statements and Important Notice**

Statements regarding plans with respect to Terra's project are forward-looking statements. There can be no assurance that the Company's plans for development of its projects will proceed as currently expected. These forward-looking statements are based on the Company's expectations and beliefs concerning future events. Forward looking statements are necessarily subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside the control of the Company, which could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements. The Company makes no undertaking to subsequently update or revise the forward-looking statements made in this announcement, to reflect the circumstances or events after the date of that announcement.

This ASX announcement has been approved in accordance with the Company's published continuous disclosure policy and authorised for release by the CEO and Managing Director.



## Appendix 1 – Significant Intercepts and Drill Collars

### Reef 2 - Phase 1 Diamond Drilling - Significant intercepts (>0.1% Cu or >0.1g/t PGE3):

Prospect	HoleID	East	North	Dip	Azi	EOH	From	To	Intercept Width	Cu %	Au g/t	Pt g/t	Pd g/t	PGE3 g/t	TiO2 %	Fe2O3 %	V2O5 %	Ag g/t	Co ppm	Ni %
Reef 2	HDH009	358405	7145900	-90	-	98.3	86	93.7	7.7	0.27	0.23	0.37	0.06	0.67	18.4	43.4	0.68	0.02	150	0.04
	Inc.						88.5	90.4	1.9	0.42	0.38	0.56	0.07	1.01	26.5	58.6	0.91	0.02	191	0.05
	and						92	93.7	1.7	0.39	0.38	0.71	0.11	1.21	24.2	59.5	0.97	0.02	203	0.06

### Reef 1 North - Phase 2 RC Drilling - Significant intercepts (>0.1% Cu or >0.1g/t PGE3):

Prospect	HoleID	East	North	Dip	Azi	EOH	From	To	Intercept Width	Cu %	Au g/t	Pt g/t	Pd g/t	PGE3 g/t	TiO2 %	Fe2O3 %	V2O5 %	Ag g/t	Co %	Ni %
Reef 1 North	URC018	364031	7144672	-60	54	174	59	69	10	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	8.36	27.61	0.29	0.39	0.01	0.03
	URC018						72	75	3	0.15	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	12.5	32.88	0.378	0.47	0.01	0.04
Reef 1 North	URC019	363961	7144620	-60	54	156	134	140	6	0.26	0.15	0.17	0.03	0.36	16.01	35.84	0.481	0.8	0.01	0.03
	inc.						136	140	4	0.32	0.21	0.25	0.05	0.51	20.04	44	0.644	1.03	0.02	0.04
Reef 1 North	URC020	363881	7144558	-60	54	210	147	154	7	0.13	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.05	10.81	30.55	0.358	0.41	0.01	0.04
	URC020						168	171	3	0.09	0.09	0.53	0.26	0.89	13.58	43.41	0.68	0.2	0.02	0.04
Reef 1 North	URC021	364090	7145159	-60	60	84	0	7	7	0.18	0.15	0.46	0.17	0.78	22.71	53.47	0.881	0.2	0.02	0.04
	inc.						1	4	3	0.29	0.21	0.21	0.02	0.44	25.08	46.18	0.73	0.27	0.01	0.03
	and						4	7	3	0.09	0.11	0.81	0.36	1.28	21.57	64.77	1.122	0.17	0.02	0.05
Reef 1 North	URC022	364061	7145137	-60	60	24	7	17	10	0.2	0.12	0.25	0.09	0.46	13.91	33.93	0.477	0.44	0.01	0.04
	inc.						12	17	5	0.26	0.19	0.5	0.17	0.86	19.23	48.44	0.775	0.58	0.02	0.05
	inc.						12	15	3	0.3	0.17	0.38	0.13	0.69	18.37	45.75	0.708	0.57	0.02	0.05
	and						14	17	3	0.24	0.21	0.79	0.28	1.28	21.58	54	0.927	0.67	0.02	0.05

PGE3 is the sum of platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), and gold (Au)

# Reef 1 South - Phase 1 RC Drilling - Significant intercepts (>0.1% Cu or >0.1g/t PGE3):

Prospect	HoleID	East	North	Dip	Azi	EOH	From	To	Interval Width	Cu %	Au g/t	Pt g/t	Pd g/t	PGE3 g/t	TiO2 %	Fe2O3 %	V2O5 %	Ag g/t	Co ppm	Ni %
Reef 1 South	ORC001*	371395	7137422	-60	90	120	8	12	4	0.1	0	0	0.01	0.02	5.46	15.48	0.09	0	70.8	0.02
	ORC001						34	43	9	0.12	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	9.04	26.6	0.26	0.36	108.49	0.04
	ORC001*						53	55	2	0.13	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.23	10.38	23.72	0.275	0.4	92.1	0.02
Reef 1 South	ORC002*	371294	7137404	-60	90	114	83	106	23	0.12	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.07	8.51	23.04	0.203	0.39	94.9	0.03
	ORC002						83	91	8	0.13	0.02	0	0	0.03	10.09	28.42	0.284	0.4	115.6	0.04
	ORC002*						94	106	12	0.12	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.1	7.95	20.64	0.168	0.42	85.89	0.02
	inc.*						105	106	1	0.26	0.2	0.37	0.1	0.67	19.46	47.8	0.77	0.8	174	0.04
Reef 1 South	ORC003*	371201	7137401	-60	90	236	132	140	8	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	10.15	27.65	0.33	0.44	111.85	0.03
	ORC003*						145	147	2	0.11	0.01	0	0	0.01	5.78	18.44	0.16	0.3	82.2	0.02
	ORC003*						161	169	8	0.15	0.1	0.17	0.06	0.33	11.64	28.62	0.334	0.44	108.38	0.03
	inc.*						166	168	2	0.26	0.23	0.61	0.21	1.05	21.64	54.04	0.845	0.8	186	0.05
Reef 1 South	ORC004	371661	7136761	-60	90	120	16	19	3	0.18	0.12	0.17	0.04	0.33	12.99	31.31	0.353	0.4	137.77	0.03
	inc.						18	19	1	0.32	0.29	0.49	0.12	0.89	22.55	54.46	0.81	0.6	244	0.06
	ORC004*						21	25	4	0.02	0.01	0.19	0.1	0.31	6.18	20.59	0.275	0.05	78.98	0.02
	ORC004*						16	25	9	0.07	0.05	0.15	0.06	0.26	7.87	22.15	0.263	0.11	90.84	0.02
Reef 1 South	ORC005*	371560	7136769	-60	90	150	32	34	2	0.02	0.02	0.09	0.09	0.2	4.43	11.54	0.11	0	40.45	0.01
	ORC005						36	41	5	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	9.81	29.22	0.302	0.28	115.9	0.04
	ORC005*						46	52	6	0.14	0.1	0.24	0.09	0.42	12.3	31.74	0.427	0.27	114.22	0.03
	inc.*						46	49	3	0.22	0.18	0.42	0.14	0.74	16.81	39	0.523	0.47	138.67	0.04
	ORC005*						66	73	7	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.04	0.13	4.33	16.38	0.19	-0.1	63.84	0.02
Reef 1 South	ORC006*	371572	7136776	-60	20	150	30	43	13	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.02	0.15	9.08	24.82	0.252	0.36	97.75	0.03
	inc.						38	40	2	0.27	0.23	0.34	0.09	0.67	18.6	42.25	0.6	0.85	147.5	0.04
	ORC006*						69	72	3	0.04	0.03	0.52	0.26	0.81	12.32	40.96	0.607	-0.1	154.8	0.04
	inc.*						70	71	1	0.05	0.05	1.27	0.63	1.94	20.54	66.67	1.11	-0.1	244	0.06
Reef 1 South	ORC007	371358	7136757	-60	90	174	94	100	6	0.12	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.06	10.41	27.78	0.282	0.38	109.6	0.03
Reef 1 South	ORC008	371628	7136134	-90	0	78	30	36	6	0.13	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04	9.98	29.56	0.3	0.38	118.18	0.04
	ORC008						41	46	5	0.19	0.15	0.25	0.08	0.47	15.3	44.03	0.626	0.6	139.76	0.04
Reef 1 South	ORC009	371662	7136247	-90	0	49	3	15	12	0.14	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.05	9.82	29.24	0.293	0.21	127.67	0.03
Reef 1 South	ORC010*	371567	7136259	-60	90	140	9	18	9	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.04	5.31	16.07	0.079	0.36	75.87	0.02
	ORC010*						31	41	10	0.12	0.02	0	0	0.02	8.63	24.93	0.237	0.41	101.99	0.03
	ORC010*						44	51	7	0.09	0.08	0.36	0.16	0.6	11.24	31.81	0.423	0.23	122.56	0.04
	ORC010						44	47	3	0.15	0.13	0.59	0.23	0.95	16.2	40.96	0.613	0.5	146	0.04

\*Amended or new assay results from additional sampling from Phase 1 RC drilling program

## Appendix 2 – JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p> <p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></p> <p><i>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Reverse Circulation (RC):</u></b></p> <p>RC drill holes were sampled as individual, 1 m length samples from the rig split. Individual metre samples were collected as a 12.5% split collected from a static cone splitter attached to the drill rig. Individual RC samples were collected in calico sample bags and grouped into polyweave bags for dispatch in bulka bags (approximately five per plastic bag).</p> <p>4m composite samples were taken outside of the zones of geological interest, or within broad low-grade mineralised zones, by spearing a split of four green bag rejects into one calico bag taking the same size sample from each bag to form a representative composite across the four metre interval. Individual 1m samples were retained for re-assay based on 4m composite assay results.</p> <p>All samples were collected in labelled calico bags.</p> <p><b><u>Diamond:</u></b></p> <p>Drill core was lithologically logged then sampling boundaries defined by lithology. Within reef zones, Maximum 1.6m lengths were sampled and restricted to lithological boundaries within the reef zones. Samples from basal reef zones were prioritised, with footwall and hanging wall zones only partially sampled on 1 metre intervals. Additional samples comprising approximately 5-8m of hanging wall and footwall have been collected and submitted for analysis around the basal reef zones on all diamond holes.</p> <p>Core orientated using a Reflex downhole tool.</p> <p>Holes surveyed using an Axis North Seeking Continuous Gyro tool.</p> <p>Half core was used in all sampling.</p> <p>Drill core cleaned, orientated and metre marked using 1m tape measure on site prior to being cut for sampling.</p> <p>All samples were cut and collected in labelled calico bags to be crushed, pulverised and split at the lap to produce a 40g charge for fire assay as well as necessary split to produce fused bead for LA and XRF analysis.</p>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<p><i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></p>	<p><b><u>RC:</u></b></p> <p>Reverse circulation drilling utilising an 8inch open-hole hammer for first 6m (pre-collar) and a 5.6 inch RC hammer for the remainder of the drill hole.</p> <p><b><u>Diamond:</u></b></p> <p>Diamond drilling performed at Reef 1 was all HQ3 diameter diamond core.</p> <p>Core orientated by marking the bottom of core showing downhole direction in chinagraph pencil</p>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<p><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results asses</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></p> <p><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></p>	<p><b><u>RC:</u></b></p> <p>RC sample recoveries of less than approximately 80% are noted in the geological/sampling log with a visual estimate of the actual recovery. No such samples were reported within the significant intercept zones. Moisture categorisation was also recorded.</p> <p><b><u>Diamond:</u></b></p> <p>Core recovery was measured by the drillers using a tape measure and recorded on wooden core blocks for each run.</p> <p>Core was measured again and verified by Terra field staff.</p> <p>Short runs used in oxide zone at the top of hole and broken zones mainly in the Proterozoic dolerites to maximise recovery.</p> <p>All core was photographed on site after being orientated and metre marked with core blocks indicating any core loss.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Logging</b>	<p><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p> <p><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></p> <p><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></p>	<p><b><u>RC:</u></b></p> <p>Washed drill chip samples from Nexgen drilling have been geologically logged to a level to support appropriate mineral resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Lithology, oxidation, mineralogy, alteration and veining has been recorded at 1m resolution. Core is logged both qualitatively and quantitatively. RC chip trays have been stored for future reference and chip tray photography is available.</p> <p><b><u>Diamond:</u></b></p> <p>Drill core trays were collected from the rig and returned to the yard and placed on racks for ease of access.</p> <p>Summary qualitative log was taken to provide daily feedback to off site personnel.</p> <p>Core was marked up with metre marks and if 3 orientation marks aligned, a solid orientation line was marked.</p> <p>Preliminary geotechnical information was recorded.</p> <p>Geological quantitative logging undertaken at the core yard with mineral abundances accurately recorded once metre marks were verified.</p> <p>Structural features were logged recording alpha and beta angles with description of recorded feature using the marked orientation line.</p> <p>Cut sheets produced after logging was completed and geological boundaries accurately defined.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<p><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></p> <p><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></p> <p><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></p> <p><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></p>	<p><b><u>RC:</u></b></p> <p>Approximately 3-5kg RC samples were passed through a rig mounted cone splitter on 1m intervals to obtain a 3-5kg representative split sample for assay. In areas not considered high priority by geological logging, a 4m spear composite sample was taken. Each sample is sorted, dried, split and pulverised to 85% passing through 75 microns to produce a representative subsample for analysis and considered adequate sample homogenisation for repeatable assay result.</p> <p><b><u>Diamond:</u></b></p> <p>Drill core was cut lengthways using an Almonte diamond core saw.</p> <p>½ cut core was sampled at 1m lengths downhole in the reef zones until the geological boundary where a maximum of 1.6m lengths were sampled.</p> <p>Footwall and hanging wall zones were sampled on 1 metre intervals approximately 5 to 8 metres either side of the reef.</p> <p>Samples were collected in labelled calico bags for delivery to BV labs in Perth. Standards and blanks were inserted at 1:10 samples in reef and 1:20 in footwall and hanging wall.</p> <p>The nominal 1m sample size is considered industry standard and adequate for the targeted style of mineralisation as well as the grain size of both mineralised reef and foot/hanging wall.</p> <p>Remaining half core is retained as a reference.</p>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b></p>	<p><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p> <p><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <p><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<p><b>RC:</b></p> <p>Samples were analysed at Bureau Veritas, Perth for broad-suite multi-element fused bead Laser Ablation/ICPMS. Gold, Pt and Pd analysis was by Fire Assay ICP-OES. Oxides were determined by glass bead/XRF.</p> <p>Sampling QA/QC including standards (7 different CRM to cover low mid and higher-grade material of various elements including but not limited to copper, gold, nickel, PGEs, silver, titanium and vanadium) were included in each sample despatch and reported in the laboratory results. QA/QC samples included Company selected CRM material including blank material. Laboratory QAQC has additional checks including standards, blanks and repeat samples that were conducted regularly on every batch. Company standards are included every 50<sup>th</sup> sample.</p> <p>267 sample assay results have been received with total sampling QAQC (standards) more than 6%. All standards submitted were within acceptable limits for copper, gold, silver, zinc, platinum, palladium, cobalt, iron, vanadium, barium, titanium and scandium.</p> <p><b>Diamond:</b></p> <p>Samples analysed at Bureau Veritas, Perth for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Laser Ablation Fused Bead ICP-MS - broad-suite multi-element</li> <li>- Fire Assay ICP-OES. Au, Pt, Pd</li> <li>- XRF – glass bead. Major oxides.</li> </ul> <p>Terra Metals QA/QC procedure the insertion of included seven different CRM standards to cover low mid and higher-grade material for targeted magmatic sulphide Cu PGE mineralisation. CRM material was selected based upon expected element ranges for copper, gold, nickel, PGEs, silver, titanium and vanadium.</p> <p>Field QA/QC procedure includes the use of blanks which were inserted into each sample batch.</p> <p>Field standards were inserted at 1:10 in reef and 1:20 in footwall and hanging wall.</p> <p>Alternating standards and blanks at a ratio of 4:1 were included in each sample despatch and reported in the laboratory results.</p> <p>Laboratory standard procedures were followed for QAQC with the insertion of standards, blanks and lab duplicates as well as grind checks which were routinely conducted on every batch.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<p>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</p> <p>The use of twinned holes.</p> <p>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</p> <p>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</p>	<p><b>RC:</b></p> <p>Drill hole information including lithological, mineral, sample, magnetic susceptibility, downhole survey, etc was collected electronically or entered into an excel sheet directly then merged into a primary database for verification and validation.</p> <p>Assay data was not adjusted</p> <p><b>Diamond:</b></p> <p>Drill hole information was collected electronically onto a Toughbook laptop. Lithology, alteration, mineral abundances and structural data was recorded in the field on an excel spreadsheet then sent directly then merged into a primary database for verification and validation.</p> <p>Drill survey information was recorded by the drillers using the Axis downhole tool and uploaded to their dedicated server system for download to the primary database.</p> <p>Hole collars were recorded using a handheld Garmin GPS and entered into the excel sheet then added to the database.</p> <p>Drillhole intercepts have been viewed and verified by Ken Lomborg, independent consultant geologist at Pivot Mining.</p>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<p>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</p> <p>Specification of the grid system used.</p> <p>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</p>	<p>Once drilling was completed the hole locations were picked up using a DGPS with 20cm accuracy in easting, northing and elevation. Coordinates unless otherwise labelled with latitude/longitude on images and tables within this document are in datum GDA94 zone 52.</p>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<p>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</p> <p>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</p> <p>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</p>	<p>Drill lines are spaced approximately 800m apart along strike of target geology. Drill holes are spaced 100 or 200m along the drill line angled perpendicular to strike. Spacing is dependent on target geology and coverage.</p> <p>Data is sufficient to confidently establish geological continuity in areas of continuous strike.</p> <p>No JORC-2012 compliant resource calculations have been completed using this data.</p> <p>1m split samples taken in zones of geological interest and 4m composite samples taken for the rest of the hole.</p>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<p>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</p> <p>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</p>	<p>Drill orientation perpendicular to mapped strike and dip of shallow dipping units to the SW Strike orientation determined by geological mapping and 50m line spacing airborne magnetic data interpretation.</p> <p>No sample bias due to drilling orientation is expected.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sample security</b>	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	<p><b><u>RC:</u></b></p> <p>Sample control was managed by on site geologists where single metre splits and composite samples were grouped into zip tied polyweave bags and loaded into bulka bags. Samples collected by NATS transport from site and delivered from NATS yard in Perth to Bureau Veritas Labs for sorting and assay.</p> <p>Assay results received by email to the managing director.</p> <p><b><u>Diamond:</u></b></p> <p>Sample control was managed by on site geologists and external contractors engaged to process the core.</p> <p>Core was initially logged and processed onsite, before full holes covered and strapped on pallets for transported to GALT's core facility in Perth.</p> <p>The facility is fully enclosed in a secure compound.</p> <p>The core was cut, sampled and dispatched in Perth by GALT.</p>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	<p>No audits were undertaken as sample techniques considered sufficient for first pass exploration drilling.</p> <p>Sampling methods are considered industry practice</p>



## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<p>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</p> <p>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</p>	<p>The Dante Project is in the West Musgraves of Western Australia. The Project includes 2 exploration licences E69/3401 and E69/3552.</p> <p>The licences E69/3401 and E69/3552 are 100% held by 97992001 Pty Ltd a wholly owned subsidiary of Dante Resources Pty Ltd.</p> <p>A Native Title Agreement is currently in place with the Ngaanyatjarra Land Council.</p> <p>Initial heritage surveys have been completed over key focus areas, and progressive heritage survey work remains ongoing. Flora and Fauna surveys are in progress.</p>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<p>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</p>	<p>Datasets from previous explorers include full coverage airborne electromagnetic and magnetics; auger geochemical drillholes; reverse circulation (RC) and diamond core drillholes; an extensive rock chip database; ground electromagnetics and gravity (extended historical datasets continue to be under further review).</p> <p>The Dante Project has had substantial historical exploration. Historical exploration on the Dante Project has been summarised below with most of the work reported being conducted between 1998 and 2016.</p> <p>Western Mining Corporation (WMC) conducted RC and diamond drilling, rock chip sampling, soils, gravity, airborne magnetics between 1998 – 2000. WMC flew airborne electromagnetics over the Dante Project area.</p> <p>Traka Resources between 2007 and 2015 completed approximately 3,500 auger drillholes, 10 RC drillholes and 2 diamond drillholes and collected rock chips and soil samples. Geophysics included ground-based electromagnetics geophysics over 5 locations. Western Areas Ltd partnered with Traka and completed some RC drilling and ground based EM during this period.</p> <p>Anglo American Exploration between 2012 and 2016 flew airborne EM and collected rock chips in a Joint Venture with Phosphate Australia.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Geology</b>	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	<p>The Musgrave Province comprises an elongate east west trending belt of Neo Proterozoic terrain approximately 800km long by 350km wide. It represents continental crust sandwiched between the Archaean and Palaeo-Proterozoic Western and South Australian Cratons, and the Palaeo-proterozoic Northern Australian Craton. The main structure of the Musgrave Block is the east west trending Mann Fault and Woodroffe Thrust that extends the full 800km length of the Block. The Giles Event led to the emplacement of the Giles Complex, a series of layered mafic-ultramafic intrusives. The Giles Complex layered intrusions and their immediate host rocks are considered to be prospective for platinum-group element (PGE) reefs in the ultramafic-mafic transition zones of layered intrusions, and in magnetite layers of the differentiated portions of the intrusions.</p> <p>The Dante Project within the Giles Complex includes identified PGE-Au reefs and is seen as prospective for magmatic Ni-Cu-PGE deposits.</p>
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <p><i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></p> <p><i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></p> <p><i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></p> <p><i>down hole length and interception depth</i></p> <p><i>hole length.</i></p> <p><i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></p>	<p>See figure Hole Plan, Table Collars and Table Intercepts in body of announcement.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p> <p><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p> <p><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></p>	<p>Length weighted averages were calculated in intercepts of zones where composite samples and 1m splits span the intercept.</p> <p>Samples &gt;0.1g/t PGE3 and &gt;0.1% Cu were considered significant and reported in table Intercepts. No high cut-off was applied. A maximum of 2m internal waste was allowed in each intercept.</p>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<p><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></p> <p><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></p>	<p>Calculated intervals are based on down hole intersections as true widths are not known.</p> <p>Holes were designed to be perpendicular to mapped dip and strike. Estimated dip of the target lithology is 30 degrees and holes drilled at -60 degrees. Some holes were drilled at -90 therefore the author respects a slightly oblique intersection in those holes. However true widths of mineral intersect cannot be accurately determined by drill density at this stage.</p>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<p><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></p>	<p>Appropriate maps and diagrams relevant to the data are provided in the document. All relevant data has been displayed on the diagrams which are appropriately geo-referenced.</p>
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<p><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p>	<p>All significant intervals are reported in the body of the announcement. Low and high grade intervals are presented in Appendix 1 &amp; Appendix 2 with all relevant element abundances calculated as weighted averages by length.</p> <p>All results above 0.1g/t PGE3 have been reported.</p> <p>All intercepts over 0.1% Cu have been reported.</p>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<p><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></p>	<p>All material exploration drilling data has been reported.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Further work</b>	<p><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p> <p><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></p>	Further infill and extensional RC drilling is planned at Reef 1 North (Crius), Reef 2 (Hyperion) and Reef 1 South (Oceanus).