

## HIGH-GRADE GOLD INTERCEPT IN SECOND PARALLEL LODE AT PARIS

**6M @ 11.7 G/T GOLD WITHIN 15M @ 5.0 G/T GOLD (25PRC163), DHEM PLATE C15 MODELLED OVER 200M X 50M FURTHER EXTENDS MINERALISATION SOUTH OF CURRENT MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE (MRE).**

### HIGHLIGHTS

- **Step-out** drilling south of the Paris deposit returns a standout intersection in hole 25PRC163:
  - ✓ **6m @ 11.7 g/t gold** from 254m in hole 25PRC163, within a broader interval of
    - **15m @ 5 g/t gold** from 253m (vertical depth ~219m),
- New gold intercept located **~50m** south from previous high-grade result of **15m @ 12.6 g/t gold** (24PRC160) and **~75m from existent MRE**.
- Down-Hole Electromagnetic (DHEM) survey in 25PRC163 defines new plate C15, **200m x 50m**, correlating with high-grade gold zone and expanding mineralisation to the south beyond the existent MRE boundary.
- This lode is a **parallel to the Paris West lode** (approx. 100m south) indicating **DHEM can identify mineralisation down dip and identify new parallel lodes** along the **4km long mineralisation corridor**.
- Pyrrhotite-associated gold shoots continue to show clear correlation with strong DHEM conductors, reinforcing the role of EM plates as vectoring tools for tracking high-grade mineralisation.
- Diamond drilling advancing step-outs and conductor extending mineralisation to west of the MRE at depth
- RC drilling set to recommence this week drilling the **15 new DHEM conductors identified** thus far across 4 parallel lodes.




Figure 1 EM conductor plates modelled from DHEM surveys targeting extensions of pyrrhotite-associated gold mineralisation.

## TORQUE'S MANAGING DIRECTOR, CRISTIAN MORENO COMMENTED:

*"This new high-grade intercept of **6m @ 11.7 g/t gold**, within a broader interval of **15m @ 5 g/t gold** (vertical depth ~219m) at 25PRC163 is another strong endorsement of our targeting model. Not only is this intersection ~75m of existent MRE and 50m from a previous, equally high-grade result outside the MRE, but the associated DHEM plates C14 and C15 suggests the mineralised system continues to grow to the south. We have only tested two down dip shoots of four which have been modelled at Paris, both have returned substantial results.*

*Our strategy of integrating structural geology, in-house geological modelling and high-resolution geophysics is delivering repeated success.*

*The consistent correlation between pyrrhotite-associated gold shoots and our DHEM conductor plates means we now have a powerful predictive tool to guide further step-outs. With 15 untested plates in this southern area, Torque is only just beginning to unlock this high-grade gold system at Paris."*




Figure 2 From left to right, Observation, HHH, Paris, Paris South deposits showing the untested potential both at depth and along strike along the 4km mineralised corridor.

## DRILLING UPDATE

Torque Metals Limited (ASX: **TOR**) reports that RC drilling at the Paris Gold Project, Western Australia, has intersected significant gold mineralisation south of the current MRE in hole 25PRC163 (Figure 4), including:

- ✓ **6m @ 11.7 g/t gold** from 254m in hole 25PRC163, within a broader interval of
  - **15m @ 5 g/t gold** from 253m (vertical depth ~219m),

The intersection is located ~50m from hole 24PRC160, **15m @ 12.6 g/t gold<sup>1</sup>**, and ~75m from existent MRE, holes 25PRC163 and 24PRC160 are lying outside the current Paris MRE.

<sup>1</sup> Refer to ASX Announcement dated 7 November 2024 – "15m at 12.57g/t Gold Intercept at Paris"



Following the RC drilling, DHEM surveying of 25PRC163 identified a new conductor plate, C15, modelled over approximately **200m x 50m** (Figure 1, 4). This plate is interpreted as a continuation of mineralisation associated with plate C2 and demonstrates clear spatial correlation with the gold zone in 25PRC163.




Figure 3 RC drill chips, hole 25PRC163, abundant pyrrhotite, chlorite alteration, sulphides and quartz carbonate veins including 6m @ 11.7 g/t from 254m.

## DRILLING CONTEXT

Hole 25PRC162, drilled west of existing conductor plate C2, was designed to search for additional plates adjacent to the known conductor. While mineralisation was intersected, it was not as strong as within the plates themselves; nevertheless, this hole was instrumental in identifying the C14 conductor, **205m x 40m**, which Torque subsequently intersected with strong gold mineralisation reported in hole 25PRC163.




Figure 4 Schematic representation of the EM plates modelled from DHEM showing mineralisation extension towards untested zones.

This result provides further validation of Torque's exploration strategy, which integrates:

- ✓ Structural modelling, in-house and external consultants (structural geologist consultant),
- ✓ In-house geological modelling, and
- ✓ Geophysical modelling with Southern Geoscience Consultants.

This multi-disciplinary approach has proven highly effective in identifying and vectoring towards high-grade pyrrhotite-associated gold shoots at Paris.

Diamond drilling is currently in progress targeting high-priority conductor plates located west of the Paris deposit, aiming to expand known mineralisation and test untested EM anomalies. The RC drilling program, temporarily paused for routine rig maintenance, is scheduled to resume shortly. Once operational, the RC rig will focus on systematically testing for additional conductor plates across the broader Paris system, providing further vectoring data for follow-up drilling.

### THE REGIONAL OPPORTUNITY

The Paris Gold Project presents a significant regional exploration opportunity within a highly prospective greenstone belt. Our initial focus has been across 4km strike, yielding multiple substantial results. We are in our initial phase of drill testing our recently defined EM plates. Once we refine this targeting method across areas of known mineralisation we look forward to broadening our scope to evaluating the full 57km strike, which is largely untested.




Figure 5 Paris Structural framework, mineral resources and drilling.



The current Mineral Resource Estimate stands at 250koz of gold at 3.1 g/t<sup>2</sup>, with mineralisation remaining open in multiple directions, highlighting the potential for further resource expansion. Paris is strategically positioned near major gold producers, including Westgold's Beta Hunt operation and St Ives Goldfields, reinforcing the project's potential for future development. Historical exploration efforts have been limited, indicating substantial upside potential for new discoveries across this underexplored tenure.

## ABOUT TORQUE METALS

Torque's entire Paris Exploration Camp covers ~1,200km<sup>2</sup> of land, including 14 mining licences, 2 prospecting licences and 48 exploration licences ~90km Southeast of Kalgoorlie in WA. Torque is focused on mineral exploration in this well-established mineral province.




Figure 6 Paris Exploration Camp; Paris Gold, New Dawn Lithium and Penzance Gold/Lithium projects

Torque Metals has embedded its presence and staked its future on the mineral endowed region south of Kambalda, WA. Through exemplary technical application and rewarding field work Torque recorded its inaugural gold resource within the Paris Gold Project, an inventory within 2.5km strike of a 57km long prospective corridor.

<sup>2</sup> Refer to ASX Announcement dated 18 September 2024 – "Paris Gold Project, Mineral Resource Estimate"

## MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE –PARIS GOLD PROJECT

The Paris Gold Project MRE<sup>2</sup> includes three deposits (Paris, HHH and Observation), which are only partially tested. The project, fully controlled by Torque, covers **~57km** strike length within **~350km<sup>2</sup>** greenstone belt. Paris MRE spans **2.5km** strike length and an area of **2.5km<sup>2</sup>**, with strong indications of interlinking structures between Paris, HHH, Observation deposits and promising gold mineralisation now identified just outside the resource area.




Figure 7 Paris Gold Project, regional scale and greenstone belt dominance.

The Paris Gold Project MRE<sup>2</sup>, based on RC and Diamond drilling completed and assayed up to 1 September 2024, was prepared by independent consultants (Mining Plus Pty Ltd) in accordance with the JORC code (2012 Edition), incorporating the Paris, HHH, Observation deposits (see tables 1 and 2 below).

*Table 1 Paris Gold Project, Global Mineral Resource Estimate*

Potential Mining Scenario	Indicated			Inferred			Total		
	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces
	(Kt)	(g/t)	('000 Oz)	(Kt)	(g/t)	('000 Oz)	(Kt)	(g/t)	('000 Oz)
Open Pit	601	3.2	62	1,428	2.8	128	2,029	2.9	190
Underground	5	5.4	1	484	3.8	59	489	3.8	60
<b>Total</b>	<b>606</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>1,912</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>2,518</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>250</b>

*Table 2 Paris, HHH and Observation Mineral Resource Estimate*

Deposit	Indicated			Inferred			Total		
	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces
	(Kt)	(g/t)	('000 Oz)	(Kt)	(g/t)	('000 Oz)	(Kt)	(g/t)	('000 Oz)
Paris	284	3.7	34	810	4.5	118	1,094	4.3	152
HHH	97	3.3	10	1,048	1.9	63	1,145	2.0	73
Observation	225	2.7	19	54	3.5	6	279	2.8	25
<b>Total</b>	<b>606</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>1,912</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>2,518</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>250</b>

## COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

Information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Cristian Moreno, who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Australian Institute of Management and Member of the Australian Institute of Company Directors. Mr Moreno is an employee of Torque Metals Limited, is eligible to participate in short and long-term incentive plans in the Company. Mr Moreno has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves ('the JORC code'). Mr Moreno consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Information in this announcement that relates to the Mineral Resource Estimate and classification of the Paris Gold Project is based on information compiled by Kate Kitchen, who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Kate Kitchen is an independent consultant employed full time by Mining Plus Pty Ltd. Kate Kitchen has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which she is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves ('the JORC code'). Kate Kitchen consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

## PREVIOUSLY REPORTED RESULTS

There is information in this announcement relating to exploration results which were previously announced on the ASX before 18 August 2025. Other than as disclosed in this announcement, the Company states that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements. All material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the MRE continue to apply and have not materially changed since previously released on 18 September 2024.



## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This announcement contains certain forward-looking statements which may be identified by words such as "believes", "estimates", "expects", "intends", "may", "will", "would", "could", or "should" and other similar words that involve risks and uncertainties. These statements are based on an assessment of present economic and operating conditions, and on several assumptions regarding future events and actions that, as at the date of this announcement, are expected to take place. Where the Company expresses or implies an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such an expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis.

Such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other important factors, many of which are beyond the control of the Company, the Directors and management of the Company. These and other factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements.

The Company cannot and does not give assurances that the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements contained in this announcement will occur and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

This announcement has been authorised by the Board of Directors of Torque.

For more information contact:

**Cristian Moreno**

Managing Director

Torque Metals Limited

[Cristian@torquemetals.com](mailto:Cristian@torquemetals.com)





## APPENDIX 1: LABORATORY ASSAY RESULTS: PHOTON ASSAY

Only gold assays  $\geq 0.3$  ppm (0.3 g/t) are recorded in the following table, except where relevant as part of a longer intercept. All intercepts are presented as down-hole lengths.

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au (ppm)
2025PRC162	95	96	1	1.03
2025PRC162	96	97	1	0.09
2025PRC162	102	103	1	2.55
2025PRC162	200	201	1	0.9
2025PRC162	201	202	1	0.64
2025PRC162	202	203	1	0.06
2025PRC162	203	204	1	0.21
2025PRC162	225	226	1	0.64
2025PRC162	226	227	1	0.2
2025PRC163	199	200	1	0.52
2025PRC163	200	201	1	0.03
2025PRC163	215	216	1	4.19
2025PRC163	216	217	1	0.04
2025PRC163	253	254	1	0.9
2025PRC163	254	255	1	13.21
2025PRC163	255	256	1	12.58
2025PRC163	256	257	1	8.34
2025PRC163	257	258	1	18.75
2025PRC163	258	259	1	4.44
2025PRC163	259	260	1	12.7
2025PRC163	260	261	1	0.81
2025PRC163	261	262	1	1.1
2025PRC163	262	263	1	1.53
2025PRC163	263	264	1	0.15
2025PRC163	264	265	1	0.11
2025PRC163	265	266	1	0.16
2025PRC163	266	267	1	0.08
2025PRC163	267	268	1	0.1
2025PRC163	268	269	1	0.09



## APPENDIX 2: COLLAR AND DOWN HOLE SURVEY OF DIAMOND AND RC DRILLHOLES RELEASED IN THIS ANNOUNCEMENT.

Downhole surveys were completed on all the DD and RC drill holes by the drillers. They used a True North seeking Gyro downhole tool to collect the surveys approximately every 5m down the hole. The azimuth shown is the magnetic true north azimuth of the drilling direction. All locations on Australian Geodetic Grid MGA\_GDA94-51.

Hole ID	Coordinates			Depth (m)	Survey method	Azimuth	Dip	Type	Drilling status	Assay status
	Easting	Northing	RL (m)							
2025PRC162	402493.119	6504633.950	300.060	312	RTK GPS	40	-60	RC	Drilled	Received
2025PRC164	402221.845	6504634.666	301.071	402	RTK GPS	50	-60	RC	Drilled	Pending
2025PRC186	402856.442	6504535.662	296.670	276	RTK GPS	10	-60	RC	Drilled	Pending
2025PRC187	403074.416	6504462.812	292.857	312	RTK GPS	40	-60	RC	Drilled	Pending
2025PRC191	402487.832	6504768.212	299.537	348	RTK GPS	45	-60	RC	Drilled	Pending
2025PRC206	402494.883	6504868.929	299.807	507	RTK GPS	230	-55	RC	Drilled	Received
2025PRC209	402452.395	6504913.613	300.180	342	RTK GPS	90	-70	RC	Drilled	Pending
2025PRC163	402492.312	6504593.004	300.269	420	RTK GPS	40	-60	RC	Drilled	Received
2025PRC184	402716.5404	6504567.034	300.3107	300	RTK GPS	90	-70	RC	Drilled	Pending
2025PRCDD206A	402494.883	6504868.929	299.807	507-549	RTK GPS	230	-55	DD	Drilled	Received
2025PRCDD210	402451.08	6504920.379	300.543	-	RTK GPS	225	-62	RC/DD	Ongoing	Pending



## APPENDIX 3: JORC CODE, 2012 EDITION – TABLE 1 EXPLORATION RESULTS

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g., cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g., 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g., submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Industry-standard drilling methods, such as diamond drilling (DD) and reverse circulation drilling (RC) were used to sample the project.</li> <li>The RC drilling was to generally accepted industry standards producing 1.0m samples which were collected beneath the cyclone and then passed through a cone splitter.</li> <li>The splitter reject sample was collected into green plastic bags or plastic buckets and laid out on the ground in 20-50m rows.</li> <li>The holes were sampled as initial 1m composites for all prospects using a PVC spear to produce an approximate representative 3kg sample into pre-numbered calico sample bags.</li> <li>The full length of each hole drilled was sampled.</li> <li>All samples collected are submitted to a certified commercial laboratory in Kalgoorlie and (or) Perth. The samples were analysed using the photon assay method which uses a 0.5kg sample and requires minimal handling. Samples are dried, crushed and homogenised to ensure homogeneity as uniform sample distribution is important to a quality analysis.</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g., core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit, or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RC holes were drilled with a truck-mounted Schramm T685 fitted with a hands-free Sandvik DA554 rod-handler. The diamond rig was an 8x8 truck-mounted Sandvik DE-880 fitted with a hands-free rod handling system. Rod and air trucks are Mercedes 8 x 8 trucks with a 2400cfm 1000psi Hurricane booster and a 350psi/1270cfm auxiliary compressor. All equipment supplied by Top Drill.</li> <li>Diamond drilling was cored using HQ and NQ2 diamond bits</li> <li>Relevant support vehicles were provided.</li> <li>RC holes were drilled using a 145mm (5.5in) face-sampling drilling bit.</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diamond drilling gathers uncontaminated fresh core samples that are processed on the drill site to eliminate drilling fluids and cuttings, resulting in clean core for logging and analysis.</li> <li>The RC samples were individually weighed to ensure control on recovery and sufficient sample material to be collected for the Photon analysis method. This was governed by field Geologists and drillers.</li> <li>To ensure maximum sample recovery and the representivity of the samples, an experienced Company geologist was present during drilling to monitor the sampling process. Any issues were immediately rectified.</li> <li>Sample recovery was recorded by the Company Field Assistant based on how much of the sample is returned from the cyclone and cone splitter. This is recorded as good, fair, poor or no sample.</li> <li>Torque is satisfied that the RC holes have taken a sufficiently representative sample of the interval and</li> </ul>



		<p>minimal loss of fines has occurred in the RC drilling resulting in minimal sample bias.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No twin RC drill holes have been completed to assess sample bias.</li> <li>• At this stage no investigations have been made into whether there is a relationship between sample recovery and grade.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></li> <li>• <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Torque geologists logged all RC chips using current company logging methodology. Lithological logging is conducted on site and capturing occurs directly into a cloud hosted database (MX deposit).</li> <li>• The qualitative component of the logging describes oxidation state, grain size, lithology code assignment, and stratigraphy code assignment.</li> <li>• All 1m RC samples were sieved and chips collected into 20m chip trays for geological logging of colour, weathering, lithology, alteration and mineralisation for potential Mineral Resource estimation and mining studies.</li> <li>• RC logging is both qualitative and quantitative in nature.</li> <li>• The total length of the RC holes was logged. Where no sample was returned due to cavities/voids it was recorded as such.</li> </ul>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all cores taken.</i></li> <li>• <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></li> <li>• <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></li> <li>• <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sampling technique: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All RC samples were collected from the RC rig and were collected beneath the cyclone and then passed through the cone splitter.</li> <li>• The samples were generally dry, and all attempts were made to ensure the collected samples were dry. However, on deeper portions of some of the drillholes some samples were logged as moist and/or wet.</li> <li>• The cyclone and cone splitter were cleaned with compressed air at the end of every completed hole.</li> <li>• The sample sizes were appropriate to correctly represent the mineralisation based on the style of mineralisation, the thickness and consistency of intersections, and the sampling methodology for the primary elements.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Quality Control Procedures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least one duplicate sample was collected every hole.</li> <li>• Certified Reference Material (CRM) samples were inserted, approximately every 50 samples</li> <li>• Blank washed sand material was inserted in the field approximately every 50 samples.</li> <li>• Overall QAQC insertion rate of 1:10 samples.</li> <li>• Laboratory repeats taken and standards inserted at pre-determined level specified by the laboratory.</li> <li>• The sample sizes are considered appropriate to correctly represent the mineralisation based on the style of mineralisation, the thickness and consistency of intersections, the sampling methodology and the assay value ranges expected for gold.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g., standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All samples were sent to Intertek or SGS laboratory in Kalgoorlie or Perth. Photon Assay method has shown to provide quick turnaround times and high accuracy.</li> <li>Duplicates and samples containing standards are included in the samples submitted for analysis, as described above.</li> <li>The quality control procedures employed and described above are considered to provide acceptable levels of accuracy and precision.</li> </ul>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant intersections have been independently verified by alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The Competent Person has visited the site and supervised the drilling and sampling processes used in the field.</li> <li>All primary data related to logging and sampling are captured into Excel templates on palmtops or laptops and subsequently loaded up to a secure cloud platform database (MX deposit)The database is managed by a qualified database geologist.</li> <li>All paper copies of data have been stored.</li> <li>No adjustments or calibrations have been made to any assay data, apart from resetting below detection values to half positive detection.</li> </ul>
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All collars were initially located by a Geologist using differential RTK-GPS</li> <li>Downhole surveys are being completed on all the RC/DD drill holes by the drillers. They used a True North seeking Gyro downhole tool to collect the surveys approximately every 5 -10m down the hole.</li> <li>The grid system for the Paris Project is MGA_GDA94 Zone 51.</li> <li>Topographic data is collected by differential RTK-GPS</li> </ul>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This programme is the ninth follow-up drilling programme across several different prospects.</li> <li>There may still be variation in the drill spacing and drillhole orientation until geological orientations and attitude of mineralisation can be established with a suitable degree of certainty.</li> <li>The spacing and distribution of the data points is generally sufficiently consistent to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity applied under the 2012 JORC code for the estimation of Mineral Resources.</li> <li>No Sample compositing have been applied to the reported drill holes. Samples were collected in 1m intervals, dispatched and assayed as they were collected as the sub-sample from the shoot.</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The main lithological units are in predominantly north-south orientation and dipping sub-vertical. Mineralised structures at Paris are often oriented at approximately 290°. The possible presence of Riedel structures has led to several different drillhole azimuth orientations being used to generate further technical information and to intersect specific mineralised structures, but always with an attempt to drill orthogonal to the strike of the interpreted structure. Due to locally varying intersection angles between drillholes and lithological units, all results are defined as downhole widths. True widths are not yet known.</li> <li>No drilling orientation and sampling bias has been</li> </ul>

		recognised at this time and drilling is not considered to have introduced a sampling bias.
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples collected are placed in calico bags at site and transported to the relevant Perth or Kalgoorlie laboratory by courier or company field personnel.</li> <li>Sample security is not considered a significant risk.</li> </ul>
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Company database was originally compiled from primary data by independent database consultants based on original assay data and historical database compilations. Data is now managed by suitably qualified in-house personnel.</li> <li>Prior to this drilling program (2024) there has been reviews and audits on Torque's database and sampling techniques by two external consultants (SRK and MiningPlus). The outcomes of the reviews deemed Torque's database management, sampling techniques and QC to be on industry standard and adequate for the style of mineralisation.</li> <li>No new external reviews have been conducted on the current reported drilling results; however internal reviews of the database and sampling techniques are ongoingly managed by qualified Torque staff.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The relevant tenements (M15/498, M15/497, M15/496) are 100% owned by and registered to Torque Metals Limited.</li> <li>At the time of reporting, there are no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area and the tenements are in good standing.</li> </ul>
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In 1920, Paris Gold Mine Company was floated in Adelaide to take up a 12-month option over the mine area. Just to the south, another company had an option over the Paris South Gold Mine, but soon abandoned it to focus attention on the Observation Gold Mine, 1 km to the north, which it abandoned in turn after only one month. The Paris Mine at the time contained 5 shafts and 2 costeans. Gold was said to be erratic in a quartz, schist, jasper lode jumbled by faults. At some point it was excavated as an open pit.</li> <li>Western Mining Corporation (WMC) started to explore the Paris area in the 1960s and relied on aerial magnetics supported by geological mapping to assess mineralisation potential. This work identified the basalt/gabbro contact as the major control for Paris style gold-copper mineralisation and extensions to the ultramafic units that host the nickel mineralisation around the Kambalda Dome. In the early 1970s the area was the focus of both nickel and copper-zinc exploration. Reconnaissance diamond drilling for nickel was undertaken by WMC that drilled on 5 lines spaced at 800m across the interpreted basal contact position of the Democrat Hill Ultramafic and the BLF. The basal contact of the Kambalda Komatiite (and equivalents)</li> </ul>



		<p>is host to all the nickel mines in the Kambalda district and is the primary exploration area of interest for nickel mineralisation. Base metal exploration involved reconnaissance mapping, gossan search, soil, and stream sediment sampling. In 1973, DHD 101 was drilled to follow up a copper anomaly on the Democratic Shale. Results showed the anomalous gossan values to be associated with a sulphidic shale with values in the range 0.1 to 0.2% Cu and 0.8-1.0% Zn. During the early 1980s, Esso Exploration Australia and Aztec Exploration Limited conducted exploration programs along strike from the Paris Mine. Primary area of interest was copper-zinc-(gold) mineralisation in the felsic volcanics. Work included geochemistry, geophysics, and drilling. The Boundary gossan was discovered, and later drill tested with a single diamond hole in 1984. This hole failed to locate the primary source of the anomalous surface geochemistry.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In 1988, Julia Mines conducted an intensive drilling program comprising air core, RC and diamond holes concentrated around the Paris Mine. This work was successful in delineating extensions and parallel lodes to the known Paris mineralisation. both along strike and down plunge. Paris Gold Mine was developed and worked in 1989 by Julia Mines and produced 24koz gold, 17koz silver and 245t copper. Estimated recovered gold grade was 11.2g/t.</li> <li>• In 1989/90, WMC completed a six-hole diamond drilling program to test for depth extensions to the Paris mineralisation below the 180m depth. Results defined a narrow (1-2m) high-grade zone over 70m of strike and intersected hanging wall lodes 10m and 30m stratigraphically above the interpreted main lode. This was the last drilling program to be carried out on the Paris Mine by WMC. From 1994 to 1999, WMC focused their gold resource definition drilling on the HHH deposit and conducted a series of RC drilling campaigns resulting in 30m drill line spacings with holes every 10m to 20m along the lines. Elsewhere, exploration by WMC and later by St Ives Gold Mining Company identified several areas of interest based on favourable structural and geochemistry evaluations. The 7km x 1km long N-S trending soil anomaly at Strauss was systematically drill tested in 2000 and yielded encouraging results associated with the Butcher's Well Dolerite. Air core drilling in 2005 focussed on the southern strike extensions of the mineralisation discovered in the 2000 program with limited success.</li> <li>• Gold Fields Australia (SIGMC - St Ives Gold Mining Company) explored the area in 2008. The Paris and HHH deposits were tested as part of SIGMC's air core programme. Drilling (148 holes, 640m x 80m) focused on poorly exposed differentiated dolerite proximal to interpreted intrusives. The exploration potential was supported by a structural interpretation which highlighted strong NNW trending magnetic features with the apparent intersection of crustal-scale lineaments observed in the regional gravity images. Anomalous values are associated with a felsic intrusive in sediments on the western margin of the area of interest.</li> <li>• Austral Pacific Pty Ltd acquired the Paris Gold Project from SIGMC in July 2015. Mineral Resource and Reserve estimates were compiled in-house and exploitation of the Paris and HHH deposits focused on a staged approach</li> </ul>
--	--	---

		with gold production as a priority and near mine exploration to follow.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Deposit type, geological setting, and style of mineralisation.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Paris Gold Project covers a north-south trending belt of Archaean granite-greenstone terrain, and most of the package is currently situated to the east of the Boulder Lefroy Structural Zone (BLSZ). Consequently, the Parker Domain dominates the project geology, defined as existing east of the BLFZ and bounded to the east by the Mount Monger Fault. The Parker Domain comprises a series of ultramafic and mafic units interlayered with felsic volcanoclastic and sediments. The stratigraphic sequence is like the Kambalda Domain.</li> <li>• Gold mineralisation is widespread, occurring in almost all parts of the craton, but almost entirely restricted to the supracrustal belts. Gold occurs as structurally and host-rock controlled lodes, sharply bounded high-grade quartz veins and associated lower-grade haloes of sulphide-altered wall rock. Mineralisation occurs in all rock types, although Fe-rich dolerite and basalt are the most common, and large granitic bodies are the least common hosts. Most deposits are accompanied by significant alteration, generally comprising an outer carbonate halo, intermediate to proximal potassic-mica and inner sulphide zones. The principal control on gold mineralisation is structure, at different scales, constraining both fluid flow and deposition positions.</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li>○ <i>down hole length and interception depth AND hole length.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All relevant information for the drillholes reported in this announcement can be found in the relevant tables and appendices included herein. Only gold assays <math>\geq 0.03</math> ppm (0.03 g/t) are recorded in the assay data table, except where relevant as part of a longer intercept. All intercepts are presented as down-hole lengths.</li> </ul>
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></li> <li>• <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></li> <li>• <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No high-grade cuts have been applied to the assay results reported in this announcement.</li> <li>• Arithmetic weighted averages are used: example 254m to 260m in hole 25PRC163 is reported as 6m @ 11.7 g/t gold, of contiguous samples, calculated as follows:  <math display="block">[(1\text{m} \times 13.21\text{gpt}) + (1\text{m} \times 12.58\text{gpt}) + (1\text{m} \times 8.34\text{gpt}) + (1\text{m} \times 18.75\text{gpt}) + (1\text{m} \times 4.44\text{gpt}) + (1\text{m} \times 12.7\text{gpt})] / [6] = 70.02/6\text{m} = 11.7 \text{ g/t gold over 6m.}</math> </li> <li>• No metal equivalent values have been used.</li> </ul>

<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li>• <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All results are reported as downhole widths. Insufficient knowledge of the structural controls on the mineralisation and attitude of the mineralised horizons is known yet to allow true widths to be established.</li> </ul>
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appropriate maps and summary intercept tables are included in this report. Where sufficient structural data have been gathered to allow meaningful interpretation of the structural setting controlling the mineralisation, appropriate sections for significant discoveries are also included. Where structural data is as yet insufficient to allow meaningful interpretation, sections are not provided as to do so could be considered misleading.</li> </ul>
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced avoiding misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The individual assays for all drill hole intercepts mentioned herein are reported in Appendix 1, with the qualification that only gold assays <math>\geq 0.03</math> ppm (0.03 g/t) are shown, except where relevant as part of a longer intercept. All intercepts are presented as down-hole widths.</li> </ul>
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All meaningful and material information has been included in the body of this announcement.</li> <li>• Torque's main exploration aim is to establish if any gold mineralisation present is significant enough to warrant advancement to resource definition. Torque continues to explore with the objective of compiling appropriate data to enable a resource to be defined. Previous announcements have reported the outcome of metallurgical testwork conducted to investigate the possible presence, and impact, of any other elements that might also be present within mineralised zones and which could be viewed by some to be deleterious. The metallurgical test work and characterisation studies clearly demonstrated that the presence of elements such as copper did not in any way adversely impact the gold recoveries from mineralised zones which remained more than 96% (see announcements including full technical reports as appendix, 27-Sep-2023 and 17-Dec-2024).</li> </ul>
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li>• <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Plans for future work are discussed in the body of this announcement.</li> <li>• The possible locations, and extent, of follow-up drilling has not yet been confirmed but will likely include further RC and possibly diamond drilling.</li> </ul>