



3D IP IMAGE OF MB1 COPPER GOLD ANTIMONY TARGET HERITAGE CLEARANCE SURVEY BOOKED

TechGen Metals Limited (“TechGen” or the “Company”) is pleased to provide an exploration update from its 100% owned Mt Boggola Project in Western Australia (Figure 5). The Mt Boggola Project is located 60 km south of Paraburdoo and comprises Exploration Licences E08/2996, E08/3269 and E08/3830 covering a combined area of 449 km². The project is located in the Proterozoic-aged Ashburton and Edmund Basins. The Company is targeting shear zone hosted & intrusive related copper-gold-antimony mineralisation at the project.

NEW STRATEGIC HIGHLIGHTS

- First Heritage Survey at MB1 & MB2 booked for end of September.
- New 3D Modelling of the MB1 IP target shows 4 historical Newcrest RC/Diamond holes fall short of the IP Chargeability/Resistivity target.
- Three of the four Newcrest holes have primary sulphides logged in the bottom of holes, Chalcopyrite, Pyrite & Arsenopyrite (Antimony historically not assayed for).
- Eighteen +1% Cu samples now identified in the MB1 chargeability target area with a peak value of 10.5% Cu.
- Eleven +1% Cu samples now identified in the MB2 chargeability target area with a peak value of 32.6% Cu, 48.8g/t Au & 3.92% Sb.
- Additional IP geophysical surveys commencing over other Newcrest drill targets supported by Northern Star copper/gold geochemistry and high-grade rock chips.
- Program of Work for drilling has been submitted.

PREVIOUS Mt BOGGOLA HIGHLIGHTS

- ✓ Two strong IP chargeability targets identified within the area of the Northern Star Cu-Au-Sb-Ag soil & rock chip anomaly.
- ✓ Target MB1 interpreted across two survey lines covers an area of 400m x 150m and has a chargeability of >3 times background levels and corresponds with a resistivity low. The MB1 target has not previously been drill tested with previous drill holes stopped short.
- ✓ Target MB2 is also interpreted across two survey lines and has a chargeability of just under 3 times background levels also corresponding to a resistivity low. The MB2 target also has not previously been drill tested but is closely associated with some of the highest rock chip values recorded in the project area with peak assays of 32.6% Cu, 48.8g/t Au & 3.92% Sb.




Figure 1: Target MB1 IP North-South cross section showing the recently completed PDIP inversion isosurfaces alongside planned holes 1, 2 & 3 and historical Newcrest holes PB4, PB7, PB11 & PB12.

TechGen's Managing Director, Ashley Hood, commented: "With the latest enhancement of the 3D inversion isosurfaces modelling completely upgrades the previous 2D cross section image. We had previously reported one historic Newcrest drill hole was above and missing the MB1 target and 18 rock chip samples at plus 1% copper. However, once the data is loaded into the 3D modelling software, there are four historic Newcrest drill holes from the 1990's, all missing the top of the MB1 chargeability target by only a matter of ten to twenty metres. What is most interesting and technically significant is the presence of primary copper sulphide mineralisation, chalcopyrite, along with pyrite and arsenopyrite being logged in the three deeper Newcrest drill holes.

The Company is fortunate that it can access and drill this target from existing historical drill pads. The MB2 target, roughly 300m to the northeast of MB1, will need heritage clearance as will any additional IP targets generated over the coming weeks. These new IP areas are over historically shallow drilling supported by surface soil, stream and rock chip geochemistry, while moving closer to a known magnetic intrusion."



The MB1 target has chargeability greater than three times background levels, > 34 mV/V against background levels of approximately 10mV/V (ASX announcement 3/07/2025; Figures 1 & 3). The chargeability target corresponds to a resistivity low zone and 2D and 3D inversion modelling results suggest a relatively shallow depth from surface to the top of the anomalism of 75-100 metres (Figure 1). Rock chip sampling (38 samples) by previous companies and by TechGen has been undertaken within the 400m x 150m chargeability target area and 18 of these rock chip samples returned $>1\%$ copper with a peak assay result of 10.5% copper (Photos 1 & 2; Figures 1 & 3).

Chargeability target MB2 is not quite as strong as target MB1 with chargeability of > 28 mV/V against background levels of approximately 10mV/V (Figures 2 & 3). The MB2 target also corresponds to a resistivity low zone (Figure 2). Rock chip sampling (11 samples) by previous companies and by TechGen have been undertaken in the MB2 chargeability target area and all 11 of these rock chip samples returned $>1\%$ copper with peak assay results of 32.6% Cu, 48.8g/t Au and 3.92% Sb (Photo 2; Figures 2 & 3).

The IP survey consisted of four 200m spaced north – south oriented Pole-Dipole (PDIP) lines covering a combined length of 5km. The IP survey was undertaken to cover the eastern portion of the Northern Star soil & rock chip anomaly.




Figure 2: Target MB1 & MB2 East-West IP high chargeability 3D model showing the resistivity (aqua) component.



Northern Star Resources Limited held parts of the current project area between 2015 – 2018 and undertook detailed soil sampling over an area that had malachite bearing gossans and an underlying gravity feature they interpreted might represent an intrusive body. Northern Star Resources Limited were targeting intrusion-related gold mineralisation in the project area. The soil anomaly Northern Star outlined has coincident copper and arsenic oriented in a northwest – southeast direction, gold anomalism is smaller in extent but in the same orientation whilst the lead soil anomaly is only partially coincident.

The soil anomaly is possibly related to a large-scale northeast-southwest striking fault structure that runs through the area. The Northern Star Soil Anomaly has peak values of 1,070ppm Cu, 60ppb Au, 240ppm As and 593ppm Pb. High grade rock chip results returned from the soil anomaly area include gold (48.8g/t, 34.5g/t, 7.73g/t, 4.82g/t & 3.47g/t), copper (27.8%, 20.3% & 16.75%), antimony (3.92%, 3.51% & 2.27%) and lead (3.72%, 1.38% & 1.04%; TG1 ASX announcement 26/11/2024).

The identified chargeability targets, MB1 & MB2, have not previously been drill tested with five drill holes completed by Newcrest Mining in 1991 all stopping short of the high chargeability zone at MB1 (Figures 1, 2 & 3). Newcrest drilling was testing beneath malachite bearing outcrops. Newcrest drilled a total of 15 drill holes in the current project area with 12 of these holes within or close to the Northern Star soil anomaly area. Interestingly, 7 out of the 12 holes drilled in the Northern Star soil anomaly area intersected zones of primary sulphide mineralisation (chalcopyrite).

The deepest hole drilled by Newcrest was 107.7m deep drilled at a dip of -55 degrees. An example cross section showing Newcrest drill holes PB13 & PB14 is shown as Figure 4. Encouraging previous drill intercepts from Newcrest Mining include 2m @ 1.58% Cu & 0.48g/t Au from 8m and 6m @ 1.26% Pb from 32m in hole PB04, 4m @ 1.56% Cu from 32m in hole PB09, 4m @ 2.32% Cu from 12m in hole PB13, and 4m @ 1.08% Cu from 36m in hole PB14.




Photo 1: Historic RC drill collar PB12, pad & copper sampling MB1 target. **Photo 2:** Malachite copper oxide at the MB2 area.




Figure 3: Completed IP, planned IP, chargeability targets and previous exploration.




Figure 4: Previous Newcrest drill holes PB 13 & PB14 (IP to commence).

An interpreted intrusion (magnetic high) with high grade copper-gold-silver veins in the area is present to the southwest of recent IP surveying (Figure 3). Anomalous rock chips in close proximity to the interpreted intrusion include 48.7% Cu & 119g/t Ag (MB69) and 17.8% Cu & 5.08g/t Au (MB12; ASX announcement 14/2/2022).

Additional IP surveys at Mt Boggola are due to commence shortly continuing to the west of previous surveying and will cover the area of drill holes PB13 and PB14 and the area of an interpreted magnetic intrusion (Figure 3).

The IP chargeability target zones MB1 and MB2 will be prioritised for future drill testing.




Figure 5: Mt Boggola Project on magnetics.

References

TG1 ASX Announcement "Prospectus" – 1/04/2021.

TG1 Announcement "Mt Boggola update" – 14/02/2022.

TG1 Announcement "Mt Boggola Project – Exploration Update" – 28/11/2022.

TG1 ASX Announcement "IP Geophysics Deliver Significant Anomalies at Mt Boggola" - 3/07/2025.

TG1 ASX Announcement "Progress Across WA Copper-Gold Portfolio" - 23/07/2025.


ENDS.



TECHGEN METALS
LIMITED

ASX Announcement | ASX: TG1

About TechGen Metals Limited



TechGen is an Australian registered exploration Company with a primary focus on exploring and developing its copper, gold, and antimony projects strategically located in highly prospective geological regions in WA, and one in NSW.

For more information, please visit our website: www.techgenmetals.com.au

Authorisation

For the purpose of Listing Rule 15.5, this announcement has been authorised for release by the Board of Directors of TechGen Metals Limited.

Competent Person Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on and fairly represents information compiled and reviewed by Andrew Jones, a Competent Person who is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM). Andrew Jones is employed as a Director of TechGen Metals Limited. Andrew Jones has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the Australasian Code of Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Andrew Jones consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on his work in the form and context in which it appears.



Previously Reported Information

Any information in this announcement that references previous exploration results is extracted from previous ASX Announcements made by the Company.

Cautionary statement

Certain information in this announcement may contain references to visual results. The Company draws attention to the inherent uncertainty in reporting visual results. Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Visual estimates also potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain information in this document refers to the intentions of TechGen, however these are not intended to be forecasts, forward looking statements, or statements about the future matters for the purposes of the Corporations Act or any other applicable law. Statements regarding plans with respect to TechGen's projects are forward looking statements and can generally be identified using words such as 'project', 'foresee', 'plan', 'expect', 'aim', 'intend', 'anticipate', 'believe', 'estimate', 'may', 'should', 'will' or similar expressions. There can be no assurance that the TechGen's plans for its projects will proceed as expected and there can be no assurance of future events which are subject to risk, uncertainties and other actions that may cause TechGen's actual results, performance, or achievements to differ from those referred to in this document. While the information contained in this document has been prepared in good faith, there can be given no assurance or guarantee that the occurrence of these events referred to in the document will occur as contemplated. Accordingly, to the maximum extent permitted by law, TechGen and any of its affiliates and their directors, officers, employees, agents and advisors disclaim any liability whether direct or indirect, express or limited, contractual, tortious, statutory or otherwise, in respect of, the accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information in this document, or likelihood of fulfilment of any forward-looking statement or any event or results expressed or implied in any forward-looking statement; and do not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information in this document, or likelihood of fulfilment of any forward-looking statement or any event or results expressed or implied in any forward-looking statement; and disclaim all responsibility and liability for these forward-looking statements (including, without limitation, liability for negligence).

For further information, please contact:

Mr Ashley Hood, Managing Director

P: +61 8 9481 0389

E: admin@techgenmetals.com.au

www.techgenmetals.com.au

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drilling detailed in this report is RC & diamond drilling completed by Newcrest Mining Limited between 1990 to 1993. Previous work considered to be done to industry standard.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous drilling mentioned was Reverse Circulation (RC) and Diamond drilling.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drilling mentioned is previous work and details are not in reports available.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drilling mentioned is previous work and drill logs are provided in reports available.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drilling mentioned is previous work and details are not in reports available.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The drill samples collected by Newcrest were submitted to Analabs, Perth and analysed for Au, Cu, Pb, Zn and As by method B/AAS.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For previous work the details are not in reports available.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The grid system for the Mt Boggola Project is Map Grid of Australia GDA 94, Zone 50. Topographic data was obtained for public download of the relevant 1:250,000 scale map sheets, which is deemed adequate for the current purpose and stage of exploration.
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample spacing is deemed appropriate for identifying geochemical anomalies but could not be used to establish geological and grade continuity. Data spacing is deemed insufficient to establish geological and grade continuity to establish a mineral resource estimate. No mention of sample compositing has been found in open file reports.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The orientation of the previous drilling is considered to be perpendicular to the overall strike of the regional features or outcrops being tested based on the current regional geological interpretation of the fabric and structures. The historical drilling was angled or vertical and roughly perpendicular to the trend of the geology. Orientation of the mineralised domain has been favourable for perpendicular drilling and sample widths are not considered to have added a significant sampling bias.
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For previous work the details are not in reports available.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For previous work the details are not in reports available.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Mt Boggola Project comprises Exploration Licences, namely E08/2996, E08/3269 & E08/3830. The licences cover an area of 499km² owned 100% by TechGen. The Project lies on the Pingandy (PL N050510) Pastoral Lease and Unallocated Crown Land. The Project is subject to the Nharnuwangga Wajarri and Ngarlawangga native title determination (WCD2000/001) which incorporates an Indigenous Land Use Agreements (ILUA); the Jurruru #2 claim (WC2012/012) and the Yinhawangka Gobawarra claim (WC2016/004).
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ashburton Mineral Field has a long history of gold, copper, silver, lead and zinc exploration and is among the oldest in the state. In the 1970s and 1980s, majors like BHP, Newmont Corporation and BP Minerals began to explore the Ashburton Basin. This early exploration resulted in the initial identification of some significant deposits, namely Mt Clement and Mt Olympus.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Project areas are located within the Ashburton Basin and Edmund Basin which forms the northern part of the Capricorn Orogen..
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> ○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> ○ <i>hole length.</i> • <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The location of all drillholes is shown in a diagram in the main body of the Report. All hole collar locations, depths, azimuths and dips are provided for Newcrest drilling in project area was previously reported in the Company's IPO prospectus. • No information has been excluded.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> • <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> • <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reported intersections are downhole, length-weighted averages that were calculated using a nominal >0.25g/t Au, >0.5% Cu or >0.5% Pb. • Length weighted averaging of drill results was carried out according to the following formula: • {[Sum of (all individual assay values x corresponding individual sample length for selected intersection)] divided by [total length of selected intersection]}. • No metal equivalent values are currently being used for reporting exploration results.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> • <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Widths of mineralisation have not been postulated. All mineralised intervals quoted in this Report are quoted as downhole widths only. While the geometry of the mineralisation is not known, the orientation of the drillholes in relation to the interested geology is shown in the figures of the Report.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suitable diagrams, photos and tables have been included in the body of the report.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All available new rock chip results discussed.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All meaningful and material exploration data has been discussed and no new exploration data is known.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> • <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Future work at the project is likely to include IP surveying and drilling.