

The Manager - Company's Announcements  
Australian Securities Exchange

## **MASSIVE SULPHIDES INTERSECTED IN LYNN LAKE DRILLING**

### **Potential New Nickel-Copper Camp**

- ) Maiden drill programme discovers Massive Sulphides at Fraser Lake Complex**
- ) All holes completed have intersected substantial widths of sulphide mineralisation**
- ) Drilling proves large geophysical target at Fraser Lake outlines a nickel-copper bearing magmatic system – similar to the prolific Lynn Lake Mining Centre**
- ) Drilling on-going – Targeting of Phase II programme underway**
- ) Downhole EM to be completed this week**
- ) Assay results anticipated by end of March**

Corazon Mining Limited (ASX: CZN) (“Corazon” or “the Company”) is pleased to announce its drilling programme at the Fraser Lake intrusive complex (“FLC”) has intersected massive sulphides, which, on the basis of visual observation, is indicative of the high-grade nickel-copper mineralisation found within the Lynn Lake Mining Centre in the province of Manitoba, Canada.

The FLC discovery is located just 5km south of the prolific Lynn Lake nickel-copper-cobalt camp (Figure 2), which, despite closing in 1976, remains Canada’s fourth largest nickel mining region. Lynn Lake was mined for 24 years and produced approximately 205,420t of nickel and 108,750t of copper (cobalt recovery not reported) and, despite there being large remnant resources within the mine area, there has been minimal exploration in the region since mining.

Initial drilling results at FLC have proven that the main target, highlighted by an Induced Polarization (IP) chargeability anomaly, represents a classic, large, Lynn Lake type mineralised magmatic system. This anomaly is defined over an area of 600 by 150 metres (Figure 3), and remains open to the southwest with a potential strike of at least one and a half (1.5) kilometres.

Two holes testing this target, FLC-2017-002 (depth 607m) and FLC-2017-003 (depth 520m and continuing) are mineralised for their entire lengths.

#### CAPITAL STRUCTURE


Market cap. @ A\$0.016	A\$13M
Ordinary shares	813M
Options	20M
ASX: CZN	

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Clive Jones	Non-executive Chairman
Brett Smith	Managing Director
Jonathan Downes	Director
Adrian Byass	Director

#### CONTACT US

P: +61 (8) 6142 6366  
M: PO Box 8187, Subiaco East WA 6008  
E: [info@corazon.com.au](mailto:info@corazon.com.au)  
W: [www.corazon.com.au](http://www.corazon.com.au)



**Figure 1** – Photos of drill core sulphide mineralisation (scale = core diameter 47.6 mm)

### **Status of Drill Programme**

The Company's drill programme is designed to comprise five (5) holes for approximately 1,500 metres of core drilling over its highest priority geophysical anomalies within the FLC. Drill holes FLC-2017-001, FLC-2017-002 and FLC-2017-004 have been completed. Drill hole FLC-2017-003 is currently in progress and FLC-2017-005 is expected to commence in the next few days.

**All holes drilled have intersected extensive sulphide mineralisation.** Sulphide mineralisation is dominated by pyrrhotite (iron sulphide), with chalcopyrite (copper) and pentlandite (nickel) - typical of the Lynn Lake style of mineralisation –, which has been visually observed and validated with a hand-held XRF.

Sulphide content throughout holes FLC-2017-002 and FLC-2017-003 grades from weakly disseminated to strongly disseminated, interstitial and matrix style mineralisation. The best mineralisation intersected to date is within hole FLC-2017-003 which returned massive to semi-massive sulphide over 4.5 metres (388 to 392.5 metres downhole), within a larger zone of approximately 25 metres of strong sulphide mineralisation.

The significant and most important feature of the massive to semi-massive sulphide intersection within hole FLC-2017-003 is that this style of mineralisation is typical of the EL Deposit, which hosted the highest-grade mine within the historic Lynn Lake Mining Centre.

**Drill hole FLC-2017-001** (Figure 3) tested an EM conductor (VTEM anomaly) situated outside the area tested by the IP survey, but within the interpreted neck of the intrusion. The plate is interpreted to start at surface (below shallow cover) and is coincident with a magnetic high of 140m by 120m in area (generated from detailed low-level aeromagnetics).

FLC-2017-001 was drilled to a depth of 83 metres. The hole intersected sulphide mineralisation from surface, including two volcanogenic massive sulphide lodes ("VMS") approximately two to three (2-3) metres thick.

Extensive copper sulphides (chalcopyrite) were observed as both disseminated throughout the mafic intrusive and as veinlets within the VMS lodes. Disseminated sulphides within the mafic rocks average about 2% of total volume.

**Drill holes FLC-2017-002 and FLC-2017-003** (Figure 3) are within the main IP chargeable anomaly trend and exhibit strong chargeability of between 20 and 45 m/s. Both targets originate close to surface and extend to depths of 700m.


These IP anomalies identified by Corazon (ASX 27 July, 2016) are more typical of those within the main Lynn Lake mine area. The depth of the better IP anomalism is beyond the effective testing depth for VTEM and, as such, any massive sulphide mineralisation would not be detected.

Drilling has intersected mafic lithologies ranging from gabbros, gabbro-norites, norites and melanorites. Sulphide mineralisation is apparent from surface to the end of hole, dominated by pyrrhotite (iron sulphide), with chalcopyrite (copper) and pentlandite (nickel).


Sulphide content throughout holes FLC-2017-002 and FLC-2017-003 grades from weakly disseminated to strongly disseminated, interstitial and matrix style mineralisation. The best mineralisation intersected to date is within hole FLC-2017-003 which returned massive to semi-massive sulphide over four and a half (4.5) metres (388 to 392.5 metres downhole), within a larger zone of approximately 25 metres of strong sulphide mineralisation.

**Drill hole FLC-2017-004** (Figure 3) was drilled to 107 metres and terminated, but left open for possible re-entry at a later date. The gabbro lithologies intersected were not as favourable as in the previous holes and a decision was made to conserve drill metres for other targets. Disseminated sulphide mineralisation (in trace amounts) was apparent. Remobilised massive sulphide (<100 mm wide) was observed in relation to a small fault.

**Drill hole FLC-2017-005** has yet to be drilled, and will test a coincident IP/magnetic anomaly within the Eastern Magnetic Domain on the southern side of the FLC.



**Figure 2 – Project Location and Geology.** Interpreted Geology – Emslie, R.R. and Moore, J.M. 1961. Manitoba Mines Branch, Publication 57-4. Datum UTM Zone 14 (NAD83). Lynn Lake is considered an historically significant nickel mine and remains the fourth largest nickel producing districts in Canada, despite the mine closing in 1976. The Fraser Lake Complex is twice as large as Lynn Lake and in many facets is geologically identical to Lynn Lake.



**Figure 3 – Geophysical Features and Targets.** Aeromagnetic Total Field image, showing the main IP anomaly, IP Survey outline and drill hole locations. A gravity high anomaly to the south of the FLC intrusion is believed to be the source of mantle material that feed the intrusion. The main IP anomaly trends off the IP surveyed area and is in alignment with the interpreted neck/feeder zone of the intrusion.

END.

For further information visit [www.corazon.com.au](http://www.corazon.com.au) or contact:

Brett Smith  
Managing Director  
Corazon Mining Limited  
P: +61 (8) 6142 6366  
E: [info@corazon.com.au](mailto:info@corazon.com.au)

James Moses  
Media and Investor Relations  
Mandate Corporate  
M: +61 (0) 420 991 574  
E: [james@mandatecorporate.com.au](mailto:james@mandatecorporate.com.au)

**Competent Persons Statement**

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results and Targets is based on information compiled by Mr Brett Smith, B.Sc Hons (Geol), Member AusIMM, Member AIG and an employee of Corazon Mining Limited. Mr Smith has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralization and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr Smith consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

Canadian geologist Dr Larry Hulbert has been engaged by Corazon to manage the collation of past exploration information and the definition of new targets at Lynn Lake. Dr Hulbert has extensive knowledge of the Lynn Lake district and over 40 years' experience in Ni-Cu-PGM exploration and research. Dr Hulbert is one of North America's foremost experts on magmatic sulphide deposits and would qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves".

Dr. Hulbert has authored numerous professional papers, was the recipient of the Barlow Medal from CIM in 1993, a Robinson Distinguished Lecturer for the Geological and Mineralogical Association of Canada for 2001-2002, and in 2003 received the Earth Sciences Sector Merit Award from Natural Resources Canada.

**Forward Looking Statements**

This announcement contains certain statements that may constitute "forward looking statement". Such statements are only predictions and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual values, results, performance achievements to differ materially from those expressed, implied or projected in any forward-looking statements.

# Table 1: Checklist of Assessment and Reporting Criteria

6<sup>th</sup> February, 2017

## Core Drilling - Fraser Lake Complex - Lynn Lake Project, Canada.

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Drill Core Sampling</b></p> <p>Half core is sampled on the basis of geology. Minimum interval 200mm, maximum interval sampled is 1.5m.</p> <p>The drill core is cut using an industry standard core saw. Individual samples are collected in labelled calico bags. Sample weights are typically between 2kg and 5kg.</p> <p>To date, no assay results have been received.</p>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<p>NQ drill core is being undertaken by Vital Drilling Services using an Atlas Capco CS 1000. Rod lengths are 3m (NM – Atlas Capco), with core run lengths also of 3m.</p> <p>Depth capacity of this drill rig is approximately 700 metres.</p>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<p>Recovery of the core drilling is excellent (+99%).</p>

## Table 1: Checklist of Assessment and Reporting Criteria

6<sup>th</sup> February, 2017

### Core Drilling - Fraser Lake Complex - Lynn Lake Project, Canada.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>• The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<p>Core is geologically logged and tested for magnetic susceptibility &amp; conductivity.</p> <p>A hand-held XRF (Niton) is used for the purposes of assisting with mineral identification and metal content.</p>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>• If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>• For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>• Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>• Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>• Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<p>Drill core is cut and typically half core is taken as a sample for analysis.</p> <p>Quality control measures include core duplicates (1/4 core), CANMET certified reference materials (standards) and silica blanks.</p> <p>Samples are transported to TSL Laboratories in Saskatoon for sample preparation, including total sample crushing and pulverising to 80% passing 75 microns.</p> <p>Sample analysis is completed by ACME Laboratories in Vancouver.</p> <p>Sample security is overseen by Aurora Geosciences personnel until shipment from site to the Laboratory. Shipment and transport is overseen by Corazon's Lynn Lake site manager.</p>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>• For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>• Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<p>Once sample preparation was completed by TSL Laboratories, they are transported to ACME Laboratories in Vancouver for analysis. A multi-element analysis is completed using ICP-MS with a 4 acid digest (30 gram samples). A total of 37 elements are tested for (ACME method code AQ525).</p> <p>Both TSL and ACME are accredited Canadian laboratories.</p> <p>To date, no assay results have been received.</p>



## Table 1: Checklist of Assessment and Reporting Criteria

6<sup>th</sup> February, 2017

### Core Drilling - Fraser Lake Complex - Lynn Lake Project, Canada.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>• The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>• Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>• Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<p>Drilling is being managed by experienced geological personnel from Aurora Geosciences and overseen by Corazon's consultant and nickel sulphide expert Dr Larry Hulbert.</p> <p>To date, no assay results have been received.</p>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>• Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>• Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<p>Drill holes were positioned using a hand-held Trimble GEOXH GPS and Reflex Northfinder APS.</p> <p>The survey data is recorded in real-world grid system NAD 83 Zone 14.</p>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>• Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>• Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<p>Drill holes are widely space and targeted at individual geophysical anomalies.</p> <p>This exploration is reconnaissance in nature and as such will not result in the immediate definition of a mineral resource estimation.</p>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>• If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<p>Drill holes are widely space and targeted at individual geophysical anomalies.</p> <p>Azimuths and dips are variable, dependent on the targets being tested.</p> <p>No bias for the sampling has been established.</p>
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<p>Sample security is overseen by Aurora Geosciences personnel until shipment to the Laboratory.</p> <p>Individual samples are collected in calico bags, before being bundled together into sealed in large PVC bags and sealed with security tags for transport to the laboratory.</p> <p>Shipment and transport of the samples to TSL Laboratories is overseen by</p>

## Table 1: Checklist of Assessment and Reporting Criteria

6<sup>th</sup> February, 2017

### Core Drilling - Fraser Lake Complex - Lynn Lake Project, Canada.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Corazon's Lynn Lake site manager.
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	To date, no assay results have been received. As such no audits or reviews have been conducted.

### Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<p>The Fraser Lake Complex (FLC) is predominantly covered in an agreement between Mr Peter Dunlop and Corazon Mining Limited whereby Corazon has the option to acquire 100% of the project by meeting certain conditions. This agreement was originally announced within a Company ASX announcement dated 18 May 2010, with the most recent amendments to this agreement presented in a Company ASX announcement dated 29 July 2015.</p> <p>The tenure includes multiple Mineral Claims as defined by the Provincial Government of Manitoba. All claims are currently in good standing.</p> <p>Corazon Mining works closely with First Nation groups and several government organizations responsible for mining and the environment. Work Permits are currently in place for the FLC and covers activities such as ground geophysics and land-based drilling.</p>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	Where exploration has been completed by other parties, those parties have been referenced in this document or within previous ASX announcements by the Company. In particular refer to CZN ASX announcement dated 11 April 2016.
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<p>Magmatic nickel-copper-cobalt sulphide deposits associated within mafic/ultramafic intrusive rock (gabbro related).</p> <p>Volcanogenic massive sulphide (VMS) deposits. Zinc dominant +/- lead, copper, silver and gold.</p>

# Table 1: Checklist of Assessment and Reporting Criteria

6<sup>th</sup> February, 2017

## Core Drilling - Fraser Lake Complex - Lynn Lake Project, Canada.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary																																			
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<p>Drill Hole Survey Data</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Hole ID</th> <th>East</th> <th>North</th> <th>RL</th> <th>Dip</th> <th>Azim</th> <th>Depth (m)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FLC-2017-01</td> <td>370646</td> <td>6295799</td> <td>354</td> <td>-60</td> <td>020</td> <td>83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FLC-2017-02</td> <td>370915</td> <td>6296179</td> <td>359</td> <td>-86</td> <td>334</td> <td>605</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FLC-2017-03</td> <td>370735</td> <td>6296079</td> <td>348</td> <td>-87</td> <td>334</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FLC-2017-04</td> <td>371423</td> <td>6295986</td> <td>351</td> <td>-86</td> <td>156</td> <td>107</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Survey data presented in real-world grid system NAD 83 Zone 14</p>	Hole ID	East	North	RL	Dip	Azim	Depth (m)	FLC-2017-01	370646	6295799	354	-60	020	83	FLC-2017-02	370915	6296179	359	-86	334	605	FLC-2017-03	370735	6296079	348	-87	334	TBD	FLC-2017-04	371423	6295986	351	-86	156	107
Hole ID	East	North	RL	Dip	Azim	Depth (m)																															
FLC-2017-01	370646	6295799	354	-60	020	83																															
FLC-2017-02	370915	6296179	359	-86	334	605																															
FLC-2017-03	370735	6296079	348	-87	334	TBD																															
FLC-2017-04	371423	6295986	351	-86	156	107																															
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	No data aggregation has been reported in this announcement.																																			
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<p><b>Typical Lynn Lake Ni-Cu-Co Magmatic Sulphide Deposits</b></p> <p>Known nickel-copper-cobalt magmatic sulphide deposits in the Lynn Lake Mining Centre are typically “pipe-like” in form, averaging between 80m and 120m in strike, 30m to 60m in width and with vertical extents of 100’s of metres. The historically mined deposits in the Lynn Lake area have been developed to a maximum depth of approximately 1,100 metres.</p> <p>Multiple sulphide pipe-like deposits have been identified and mined in the Lynn Lake area.</p>																																			

## Table 1: Checklist of Assessment and Reporting Criteria

6<sup>th</sup> February, 2017

### Core Drilling - Fraser Lake Complex - Lynn Lake Project, Canada.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	Appropriate diagrams have been included in the announcement.
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	This report tables early findings with respect to core drilling currently being undertaken within the FLC at Lynn Lake.
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<p>The announcement contains results of current and past exploration programs including surface sampling, drilling, geophysics and geological mapping.</p> <p>Information regarding this work has been referenced in this document or within previous ASX announcements by the Company.</p>
<b>Further work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	Drilling is currently on-going at Lynn Lake within the FLC prospect.