ASX Release

segue RESOURCES LTD

12 April 2017

ASX Code: SEG

Market Announcements Platform
ASX Limited
Exchange Centre,
20 Bridge Street
Sydney NSW 2000

1.3KM x 1.0KM SOIL ANOMALY DEFINED AT GASCOYNE LITHIUM PROJECT

Segue Resources Limited (**Segue** or the **Company**) is pleased to announce the results of the recently completed soils survey at its Reid Well lithium-caesium-tantalum (**LCT**) Prospect at the Gascoyne Lithium Project in Western Australia (**Figure 2**). The programme has successfully delineated a 1.3km x 1.0km lithium and tantalum anomaly, with soil samples up to 0.8% Li_2O. The soil anomaly is consistent with high grade lithium and tantalum rock chip samples previously announced (*see announcement on 16 March 2017*) and appear to be contained within a previously unidentified zoned LCT pegmatite.

The soil sampling programme consisted of ~1,500 samples collected on a 50x50m and 100x100m grid spacing within Segue's 100% owned tenement E09/2169. In addition to the soil sampling, a further 64 rock chips have been received with assay results including 0.65% Li_2O and 554ppm Ta_2O_5.

Figure 1: Project location map



Figure 2: Gascoyne Lithium Project tenement map

The soil samples and rock chips were collected as part of a detailed soil sampling programme which was designed to follow up on the high priority Reid Well stream sediment anomaly. The Reid Well area consists of the eastern margin of the Thirty Three Supersuite which has intruded into Proterozoic metasediments. Previous exploration work by Segue identified the Thirty Three Supersuite as being highly fractionated and fertile for LCT pegmatites.

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010

PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910

T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Within the metasediments, a number of small pegmatite dykes occur within schists and an extensive pegmatite body was identified in topographic lows. The pegmatite contained small outcrops of fine grained (aplitic) and medium to coarse grained pegmatite. The soil sampling results highlighted several anomalous areas within the interpreted LCT pegmatite zone with a peak value of 0.8% Li_2O (**Figure 3**).



Figure 3: Interpreted geological map showing multivariate Z-score for soil samples and mineralised pegmatites

The assays for an additional 64 rock chips have been received which have expanded the areas of confirmed mineralisation with 14 samples returning values greater than 0.3% Li_2O (up to 1.03% Li_2O) and 63 samples returning values greater than 100ppm Ta_2O_5 (up to 554ppm Ta_2O_5) (**Figure 4**).



Figure 4: Interpreted geological map with rock chip sample locations and mineralised Li and Ta results at Reid Well

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

The Reid Well Prospect is located within exploration licence application E09/2169, which is currently pending grant. Segue is currently working with Native Title Claimant groups to finalise Heritage Agreements. Once the tenement has been granted, Segue will submit a Programme of Works for approval from the DMP. Segue plans on commencing drilling at the Reid Well Prospect as soon as all necessary approvals have been received.

For further information visit www.segueresources.com or contact:

Segue Resources Limited
Mr Steven Michael
Managing Director
E: info@segueresources.com

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Dean Tuck who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Tuck has more than five years' experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Minerals Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr Tuck consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Appendix A – Rock Chip Assays

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Li_2O %	Ta_2O_5 ppm
GAS00501	425663	7289134	<0.01%	0
GAS00502	425668	7289125	0.01%	27
GAS00503	425665	7289116	<0.01%	2
GAS00504	425664	7289094	<0.01%	23
GAS00505	425657	7289087	<0.01%	20
GAS00506	425653	7289077	0.01%	72
GAS00507	425664	7289076	<0.01%	115
GAS00508	425670	7289079	<0.01%	62
GAS00509	425674	7289073	<0.01%	39
GAS00510	425665	7289129	<0.01%	10
GAS00511	425669	7289156	0.01%	32
GAS00512	425657	7289168	0.01%	61
GAS00513	426232	7289202	0.01%	103
GAS00514	426223	7289218	0.04%	69
GAS00515	426239	7289214	0.02%	193
GAS00516	426263	7289222	0.00%	1
GAS00517	426100	7289370	0.07%	194
GAS00518	426128	7289544	0.02%	241
GAS00519	425924	7289404	0.04%	235
GAS00520	425902	7289404	0.02%	222
GAS00521	425988	7289547	0.02%	117
GAS00522	425987	7289561	0.01%	244
GAS00523	426004	7289581	0.02%	454
GAS00524	425995	7289558	0.02%	170
GAS00525	426131	7289545	0.02%	200
GAS00526	426139	7289541	0.01%	310
GAS00528	426131	7289567	0.02%	412
GAS00529	425988	7289547	0.01%	79
GAS00530	425864	7289549	0.01%	331
GAS00531	425843	7289610	0.69%	105
GAS00532	426102	7289544	0.05%	386
GAS00533	426126	7289637	0.01%	333
GAS00534	426130	7289565	0.01%	342
GAS00535	425857	7289606	1.03%	62
GAS00536	425865	7289605	0.56%	154
GAS00537	425873	7289618	0.77%	186
GAS00538	425855	7289624	0.33%	192
GAS00539	425787	7289615	0.75%	128

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Li_2O %	Ta_2O_5 ppm
GAS00540	425790	7289622	0.67%	55
GAS00541	425696	7289621	0.90%	87
GAS00542	425605	7289623	0.12%	122
GAS00543	425629	7289475	0.03%	377
GAS00544	425643	7289475	0.13%	110
GAS00545	425652	7289480	0.04%	54
GAS00546	425658	7289486	0.01%	244
GAS00547	425661	7289496	0.04%	26
GAS00548	425650	7289499	0.03%	26
GAS00549	425678	7289436	0.02%	28
GAS00550	425729	7289460	0.42%	19
GAS00551	425735	7289487	0.05%	300
GAS00552	425788	7289476	0.52%	84
GAS00553	425813	7289407	0.01%	165
GAS00554	425812	7289371	0.01%	530
GAS00555	426166	7289559	0.04%	167
GAS00556	426161	7289571	0.02%	256
GAS00557	426214	7289593	0.01%	190
GAS00558	426246	7289580	0.39%	254
GAS00559	426304	7289575	0.84%	230
GAS00560	426312	7289589	0.10%	354
GAS00561	426313	7289593	0.02%	205
GAS00562	426301	7289591	0.03%	503
GAS00563	426319	7289639	0.03%	346
GAS00564	426394	7289581	0.01%	59
GAS00565	426601	7289681	0.01%	430
GAS00566	426704	7289731	0.01%	403
GAS00568	425670	7288903	<0.01%	65
GAS00592	425611	7289161	<0.01%	6
GAS00576	426235	7289203	<0.01%	93
GAS00577	426606	7288451	<0.01%	0
GAS00578	426249	7289250	<0.01%	53
GAS00579	426244	7289314	0.02%	198
GAS00580	426254	7289313	<0.01%	261
GAS00581	426269	7289316	0.09%	94
GAS00582	426239	7289320	0.02%	475
GAS00583	426117	7289277	0.04%	43
GAS00584	426064	7289161	0.02%	44

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010

PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910

T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Li_2O %	Ta_2O_5 ppm
GAS00585	426018	7289244	0.02%	442
GAS00586	425896	7289286	0.65%	2
GAS00587	425667	7289170	<0.01%	64
GAS00588	425660	7289178	<0.01%	35
GAS00589	425647	7288975	<0.01%	90
GAS00590	425662	7288944	<0.01%	47
GAS00591	425664	7288838	<0.01%	26
GAS00593	426552	7288983	<0.01%	41
GAS00594	426560	7288940	<0.01%	65
GAS00595	426185	7288568	<0.01%	47
GAS00596	426243	7288537	<0.01%	35
GAS00597	426165	7288421	<0.01%	29
GAS00598	426272	7288745	<0.01%	18
GAS00599	426430	7288721	<0.01%	256
GAS00600	426451	7288788	<0.01%	74
GAS00603	426620	7288906	<0.01%	147
GAS00604	426248	7288539	<0.01%	58
GAS00608	426695	7288820	<0.01%	106
GAS00613	426409	7288709	<0.01%	176
GAS00618	425971	7289765	<0.01%	265
GAS00619	426228	7289335	0.02%	401
GAS00620	426196	7289350	0.06%	90
GAS00621	425954	7289772	0.02%	554
GAS00622	425895	7289645	<0.01%	524
GAS00623	425831	7289692	0.04%	304
GAS00624	425855	7289693	<0.01%	187
GAS00625	425810	7289780	<0.01%	306

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Li_2O %	Ta_2O_5 ppm
GAS00626	425950	7289772	0.04%	531
GAS00627	426178	7289345	0.06%	205
GAS00628	426239	7289330	<0.01%	73
GAS00629	425733	7289790	0.02%	291
GAS00631	425788	7289688	0.02%	219
GAS00632	425775	7289683	<0.01%	148
GAS00649	426552	7289002	0.02%	26
GAS00650	426556	7288993	0.02%	34
GAS00655	426516	7288799	<0.01%	64
GAS00656	426552	7288920	<0.01%	39
GAS00657	426592	7288792	<0.01%	85
GAS00658	426571	7288792	<0.01%	35
GAS00662	426025	7289246	<0.01%	35
GAS00663	425996	7289238	0.06%	167
GAS00664	426008	7289262	0.04%	78
GAS00665	426044	7289293	0.58%	32
GAS00666	426194	7288550	<0.01%	91
GAS00667	426274	7288588	<0.01%	38
GAS00668	426269	7288578	<0.01%	99
GAS00672	426151	7288413	<0.01%	11
GAS00673	426164	7288421	<0.01%	32
GAS00674	426431	7288828	0.02%	50
GAS00675	426503	7288920	<0.01%	127
GAS00684	426187	7288540	<0.01%	97
GAS00685	426196	7288583	<0.01%	56
GAS00692	426691	7288837	<0.01%	34

Note: Highlighted values are >0.3% Li_2O or >100ppm Ta_2O_5

Samples GAS00501 – GAS00566 previously reported (see announcement on 16 Mach 2017).

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010

PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910

T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• *Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.*	• Soils: Soil samples have been collected on a grid spacing of either 50x50m or 100x100m, some sample locations have been collected off the grid to avoid sampling on outcrop or in streams. • Rock Chips: Random rock chips have been collected as a first pass assessment and orientation of the subcropping and outcropping pegmatites in the prospect area. The samples have an irregular spacing reflecting the reconnaissance nature of the assessment and the availability of suitable (in-situ outcropping – subcropping) material for sampling.
	• *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.*	• Soils: 50-100g of -80 mesh (-177 micron) material were collected in the field from 1 – 2 pits roughly 50x50cm in size dug down to 20cm. Additionally a field duplicate was taken on a 1:50 ratio which consisted of a second sample from the same location but from different pits. • Rock Chips: Where possible, 3-7kg samples were collected in the field to properly represent and characterize the material targeted. • Sample weights have been recorded and reported by the lab.
	• *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.*	• Soils: 50-100g of -80 mesh material was collected in the field and then sent to ALS laboratories where it was pulverised to >85% passing 75 microns for a 4 acid digest of an 0.25g aliquot followed

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	by ICP-MS for 6 elements (ALS Laboratories technique ME-MS62s, elements Li, Cs, Ta, Be, Rb, Nb). • Rock Chips: 3-7kg of material was collected from each sample location, this material was then crushed to >70% passing -6mm, split and then pulverised to >85% passing 75 micron for a sodium peroxide fusion of an 0.2g aliquot followed by ICP-MS for 25 elements (ALS Laboratories technique MS91-PKG).
Drilling techniques	• *Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	• Not applicable, no drilling has been carried out.
Drill sample recovery	• *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.*	• Not applicable, no drilling has been carried out.
	• *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.*	• Not applicable, no drilling has been carried out.
	• *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.*	• Not applicable, no drilling has been carried out.
Logging	• *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.*	• Basic description of hand specimen recorded in the field.
	• *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.*	• All field descriptions are qualitative in nature.

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• *The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.*	• Not applicable, no drilling has been carried out.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.*	• No core reported.
	• *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.*	• All samples were dry and presented to the laboratory "as is"
	• *For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.*	• All samples were sent to ALS Laboratories in Perth for sample preparation and analysis using standard codes and practices.
	• *Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.*	• No subsampling undertaken
	• *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.*	• Soils: Field duplicates were taken on a 1:50 ratio which consisted of a second sample from the same location but from different pits. • Rock Chips: No field duplicates were taken.
	• *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.*	• Soils: 50-100g of -80 mesh (-177 micron) material is considered representative for the material sampled. • Rock Chips: 3-7kg of sample is considered representative for the material sampled.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.*	• All samples: were submitted to ALS laboratories in Perth • All samples: Sample Preparation included: Initial crush of large samples so that >70% of material passes -6mm. Then sample was riffle split to a maximum of 3kg and pulverized to 85% passing 75 micron. • Soils: A 0.25 gram aliquot was then digested in a four acid solution for a "near" total digestion and analysed by ICP-MS. • Soils: Four acid digest is considered a near total digestion.

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		• Rock Chips: Sodium peroxide fusion of a 0.2g aliquot followed by ICP-MS for 25 elements • Rock Chips: Sodium peroxide fusion is considered a total digest. • This procedure is considered appropriate for LCT pegmatite analysis.
	• *For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.*	• No geophysical results discussed
	• *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.*	• Soils: OREAS standards were inserted on a 1:50 ratio. All standards were within acceptable levels of accuracy and precision. • The laboratory analysed a range of internal and industry standards, blanks and duplicates as part of the analysis. All standards, blanks and duplicates were within acceptable levels of accuracy and precision.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• *The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.*	• No verification of significant results has taken place at this time. • Mineralised sampled have been submitted to Townend Mineralogy Laboratory and ALS Laboratories for confirmation of sample mineralogy.
	• *The use of twinned holes.*	• No twin holes have been drilled.
	• *Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.*	• Primary data is recorded in the field in geological log books. This data is then recorded in a spreadsheet and imported to a digital database software package.
	• *Discuss any adjustment to assay data.*	• Data from the lab is reported as ppm for Li, Cs and Ta.

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		• Li has been converted to Li2O by multiplying the Li (ppm) by 2.153 to get Li2O (ppm) and then multiplied by 10,000 to convert to Li2O (%) • Ta has been converted to Ta2O5 (ppm) by multiplying the Ta (ppm) by 1.2211. • No other adjustments to assay data has been undertaken. • Equation for Z-Score: $Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma$ Z = Z value, X = Sample value, μ = Mean of the dataset, σ = standard deviation of the dataset • Equation of additive multivariate Z-Score: LCT_Z = Z(Li) + Z(Cs) + Z(Ta) + Z(Be) + Z(Nb)
Location of data points	• *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.*	• Sample locations were recorded with a Garmin handheld GPS which has an accuracy of +/-5m.
	• *Specification of the grid system used.*	• GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
	• *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*	• The level of topographic control offered by the handheld GPS is considered sufficient for the work undertaken.
Data spacing and distribution	• *Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results*	• Soils: Samples were collected on a 50x50m or 100x100m grid spacing. • Rock Chips: There was no predetermined grid spacing to the programme.
	• *Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish*	• The data spacing and distribution is not sufficient to establish the

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.
	• *Whether sample compositing has been applied.*	• Samples have not been composited.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• *Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.*	• Soils: Gridded samples potentially provide an indication of strike direction, however the orientation (flat or steeply dipping) of the pegmatite is unknown. • Rock Chips: Sampling was carried out over small areas of the project and interpreted pegmatite and are not conserved representative of the pegmatite body.
	• *If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.*	• Not applicable, no drilling has been carried out.
Sample security	• *The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	• Samples were collected, stored and delivered to the lab by field personnel.
Audits or reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	• No audits or reviews have been undertaken.

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• *Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.*	• The sampling reported herein is within tenement E09/2169. • E09/2169 is held by Next Advancements Pty Ltd which is a 100% owned subsidiary of Segue Resources Limited. • At the time of this Statement, the exploration license is pending and in good standing. To the best of the Company's knowledge there are no impediments to Segue's operations within the tenement.
	• *The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.*	• The tenement is currently pending but in good standing and no known impediments exist.
Exploration done by other parties	• *Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.*	• This report refers to data generated by Segue Resources. • No previous LCT pegmatite exploration has been carried out over the project area.
Geology	• *Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.*	• Pegmatites that are prospective for lithium, caesium and tantalum (LCT).
Drill hole Information	• *A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:* o *easting and northing of the drill hole collar*	• Refer to Appendix A

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	o *elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar* o *dip and azimuth of the hole* o *down hole length and interception depth* o *hole length.* • *If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.*	
Data aggregation methods	• *In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.*	• No weighted averaging techniques used.
	• *Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.*	• No aggregate intercepts reported.
	• *The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.*	• No metal equivalent values reported.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and	• *These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.* • *If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.* • *If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').*	• No drilling has been carried out.

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

<table>
<tr><th>Criteria</th><th>JORC Code explanation</th><th>Commentary</th></tr>
<tr><td>intercept lengths</td><td></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>Diagrams</td><td>• Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</td><td>• Refer to figures within the announcement.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Balanced reporting</td><td>• Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</td><td>• Rock Chips: All relevant assay results are reported.
• Soils: Summary Statistics reported below.
<table>
<tr><td></td><td>Li</td><td>Cs</td><td>Ta</td><td>Be</td><td>Nb</td><td>Rb</td></tr>
<tr><td>Mean</td><td>76.96</td><td>27.63</td><td>6.61</td><td>3.61</td><td>20.77</td><td>141.83</td></tr>
<tr><td>Median</td><td>58.00</td><td>18.10</td><td>3.94</td><td>2.85</td><td>19.80</td><td>128.50</td></tr>
<tr><td>Mode</td><td>34.90</td><td>21.10</td><td>2.39</td><td>2.31</td><td>20.10</td><td>115.00</td></tr>
<tr><td>Standard Deviation</td><td>113.48</td><td>31.71</td><td>8.27</td><td>2.66</td><td>6.20</td><td>56.42</td></tr>
<tr><td>Min</td><td>15.40</td><td>3.93</td><td>0.97</td><td>0.80</td><td>9.40</td><td>48.60</td></tr>
<tr><td>Max</td><td>3700</td><td>500</td><td>100</td><td>31.6</td><td>100</td><td>500</td></tr>
</table>
• 500ppm was the upper detection limit for Cs and Rb, 100ppm was the upper detection limit for Ta and Nb.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Other substantive</td><td>• Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk</td><td>• All meaningful and material exploration data has been reported.</td></tr>
</table>

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
exploration data	*samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.*	
Further work	• *The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).*	• Planned future work includes detailed mapping and additional rock chipping which in combination with the results of soil sampling will define the area for RC drill testing once the tenement has been granted.
	• *Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.*	• Refer to figures within the announcement.

Unit 16, 40 St Quentin Ave
Claremont WA 6010
PO Box 886
Claremont WA 6910
T +61 (8) 9383 3330
F +61 (8) 9486 4799