

22 June 2017

Australian Securities Exchange Level 40, Central Park 152 – 158 St George's Terrace Perth WA 6000

By electronic lodgement

Dear Sir/ Madam,

EM IDENTIFIES TARGET AT JIMBERLANA - TABLE 1

Further to the announcement titled "EM Identifies Target at Jimberlana" on 22 June 2017, below please see the information required under Listing Rule 5.7.1 (Table 1).

Yours sincerely,

Henko Vos Company Secretary

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report, Jimberlana Electromagnetic Survey Results

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| Sampling techniques | Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. | Electromagnetic Surveys using both moving and fixed loop systems powered by a ZT-30HPM TEM transmitter, and using a JESSY DEEP HTS three component Squid sensor and a SMARTem24 receiver. Moving loop surveys used 300m x 300m Tx loop. Fixed loop surveys used 700m x 500m Tx loop. |
| Drilling techniques | Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, openhole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, facesampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). | Not applicable |
| Drill sample recovery | Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. | Not applicable |
| Logging | Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. | Not applicable Not applicable |
| Sub-sampling techniques | If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. | Not applicable |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|--|
| and sample preparation | If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all subsampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. | |
| Quality of assay data and laboratory tests | grain size of the material being sampled. The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established. | Not applicable |
| Verification of sampling and assaying | The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. | Not applicable |
| Location of data points | Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. | All stations and transmitter loop positions are located by hand held GPS to an accuracy of approximately 5m. All station location data are recorded in GDA94 datum, UTM zone 51. |
| Data spacing and distribution | Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. | Reconnaissance moving loop EM data were collected in slingram mode at 300m station intervals. Infill moving loop EM data were collected at 150 metre line spacing and 100 metre stations. Fixed loop EM stations were recorded at 100 metre spacings |
| Orientation of data in relation | Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering | The reconnaissance moving loop EM was surveyed parallel to the strike of the host rock. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| to geological structure | the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. | Infill moving loop EM surveys were run orthogonal to strike of the host rock. Fixed Loop EM surveys were run orthogonal to the inferred target strike. |
| Sample security | The measures taken to ensure sample security. | Results were transmitted electronically from the contractor to the Company's consultant. |
| Audits or reviews | The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. | Data quality was reviewed on an ongoing basis by the Company's consultant. |

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|--|---|
| Mineral tenement and land tenure status | Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. | The Jimberlana Project is located 130km west of Norseman in Western Australia. Tenement holdings include one granted exploration licence (E63/1742) held 100% by AusQuest Limited. The Jimberlana Project is subject to the Strategic Alliance with South32 as reported to the ASX on February 20 - 2017. Aboriginal heritage and flora surveys are routinely completed ahead of ground disturbing activities. |
| Exploration done by other parties | Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. | Only limited exploration has been conducted within the tenement with the main focus of this work on shallow nickel either in laterite or as sulphides, along the margins of the dyke. No deep drilling has been completed and the potential for nickel-copper sulphides near the base of the intrusion has not been tested |
| Geology | Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. | The exploration model is based upon copper and nickel sulphides hosted within mafic dyke-like intrusions close to their basal section or major constriction point. |
| Drill hole Information | A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar | Not applicable |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|--|---|--|
| | dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. | |
| Data aggregation methods | In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. | Not applicable |
| Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths | These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known'). | Not applicable |
| Diagrams | Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. | Relevant EM data are shown on appropriate plans and included in the ASX release. |
| Balanced reporting | Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. | All significant results are reported. |
| Other substantive exploration data | Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, | The relationship between the EM results and previously reported exploration data is discussed in the report. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|--------------|---|--|
| | groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. | |
| Further work | The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. | An extension of the reconnaissance EM survey is planned to identify additional targets before target drilling is planned. . |