

30 January 2018

MORABISI – FINAL ANALYSES FOR TURESI HAVE NOW BEEN RECEIVED

Highlights

- All analyses for grab sampling and trench sampling at Trench 10 of the Turesi Prospect have been received.
- Analyses greater than 1% Li₂O from six of the fourteen new samples.
- A total of 244 grab samples from Turesi have been collected of which 77 analysed greater than +1% Li₂O and 14 greater than +2% Li₂O.
- A total of 521 trench channel samples from Turesi have been collected.
- Significant analyses (**from very weathered clays**) were recorded from channel sampling of the following trenches:
 - Trench 4 – 46m @ 0.14% Li₂O
 - Trench 7 – 36m @ 0.13% Li₂O
 - Trench 8 – 66m @ 0.13% Li₂O
 - Trench 9 – 68m @ 0.15% Li₂O

Greenpower Energy Ltd (ASX: Greenpower, “**GPP**”, “**Company**”) is pleased to provide the following update regarding Turesi analytical results from the Morabisi Lithium Project (“**Morabisi**”).

The final batch of analyses received from the laboratories in Canada are presented in the map below and all analytical results from Turesi are summarised in the following tables. All trenching samples have now been received. The Li₂O analytical results from the trenching reflect the weathered nature of the clays that were channel sampled. Although returning low Li₂O values the channel samples confirm the extent of Li₂O mineralisation and compliment results from the fresh material of the grab samples. The locations of grab sample points and of the channel sampling points are illustrated on the following map:




+61 418 852 700 | +61 299 991 515



PO Box 1664 Fremantle WA 6959 Australia



Lvl 1, 46 Ord Street West Perth WA 6005 Australia



Greenpower Executive Chairman, Gerard King:

"Greenpower is pleased to report further confirmation that the Turesi Ridge analytical results justify Greenpower's decision to implement a diamond drilling programme at Morabisi which will allow the Joint Venture to further understand the resource prospectivity of the Turesi discovery.

ENDS

For further information:

Gerard King
Chairman of the Board

Competent Person Statement

I, John Adrian Watts on 30 January 2018 confirm that:

- I have read and understood the requirements of the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves ("2012 JORC Code").
- I am a Competent Person as defined by the 2012 JORC Code, having more than five years' experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit described in the Report, and to the activity for which I am accepting responsibility.
- I am a Fellow of *The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy* and a Fellow of the *IOMMM*.
- This statement fairly represents documentation prepared by myself on behalf of my employer, Australian Exploration Field Services Pty Ltd.
- I consent to the release of this document to the ASX.

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Sampling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> • <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> • <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> • <i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excavator-cut Trenching to 3m depth. Channel sampling varying from 1m to 4m channel sample interval; grab sampling. • In-trench hand held assaying scintillometer survey using a Radiations Solutions Inc. Super Spec RS125 scintillometer, Ser#2121 • Results logged on board the scintillometer, downloaded on completion of survey. Separate log of readings maintained. Location GPS readings recorded independently of scintillometer.
<i>Drilling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable, no drilling undertaken to date
<i>Drill sample recovery</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> • <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> • <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable, no drilling undertaken to date
<i>Logging</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable, no drilling undertaken to date. Trenching channel sample intervals described. It is too early for a mineral resource estimation to be made • All trenching descriptions are qualitative at this stage. Samples submitted to laboratory

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sections as reported in table
<i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the <i>in situ</i> material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample duplicates collected in the field All samples and duplicate samples checked to ensure they are representative Large sample size to ensure appropriate grain size Reference Samples included in the field for Laboratory submissions Blank Samples included in the field for Laboratory submissions
<i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Li analysis by Sodium Peroxide Fusion, ICP-ES.REE Analysis by Lithium Metaborate Fusion, ICP-MS External laboratory checks via submission of duplicate samples
<i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All samples submitted to MS Analytical Vancouver BC. Check samples of pulps will be submitted from MS Analytical Georgetown to Nagrom Laboratories, Perth, WA
<i>Location of data points</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Start end and intermediate points of trenches by GPS. UTM projection, Zone 21 North, PSAD56 Datum used. Topographic control by available topographic mapping, checked by GPS

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample compositing to 1m to 4m sections Data acquisition to date is insufficient for Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation at this preliminary exploration phase.
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pegmatite orientation measured from outcrop in trench TT17-01.
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples are collected at the trench sites, moved to and stored securely at base camp. Samples are shipped to Georgetown by river transport, met by a GSM representative who takes them directly to MS Analytical's Georgetown Laboratory. MS Analytical's security protocols then apply. Samples currently analysed by MS Analytical in Vancouver BC
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Too early to review. Samples include blanks, standards.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reconnaissance Geophysical and Geological Survey, Morabisi Area, Mining District#3, Region 7 Guyana. The tenement has an area of 713,109 acres (288,580 ha) Guyana Strategic Metals in Joint Venture with Greenpower Energy Ltd A two-year exploration programme has been approved by Guyana Geology and Mining Commission There are no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GGMC – Summary of Geochemistry, Geology and Structure, June 2002
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LCT type pegmatites associated with granite/basic contact zone
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> <i>hole length.</i> <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable – no previous drilling
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous Phase 1 exploration by the Joint Venturers GSM and Greenpower
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable – no previous drilling
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable - no previous drilling

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>		
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently not applicable – too early in the current exploration programme. All exploration results are being reported.
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Phase 1 exploration has been previously reported
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trenching at Turesi as indicated on accompanying plan to more accurately determine pegmatite orientation. Sufficient data from current phase to plan a drill programme

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Database integrity</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. Data validation procedures used. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently not applicable
<i>Site visits</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Competent Person overflow the area 5 July 2017 Ground access at that time not possible because of late wet season flooding. Site inspection of Turesi made during a site visit, 23-27 September 2017
<i>Geological interpretation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. The use of geology in guiding and controlling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reasonable confidence in geological model Historical data, GSM Greenpower JV data used for assumptions No Mineral Resource estimations have been made due to the early stage of exploration

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</i> 	
<i>Dimensions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable.
<i>Estimation and modelling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.</i> • <i>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.</i> • <i>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</i> • <i>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</i> • <i>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</i> • <i>Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.</i> • <i>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</i> • <i>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</i> • <i>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</i> • <i>The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the following in this section are applicable
<i>Moisture</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable
<i>Cut-off parameters</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable
<i>Mining factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.</i></p>	
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Environmental factors or assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Bulk density	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</i> <i>The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</i> <i>Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Classification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</i> <i>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</i> <i>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i> <i>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</i> <i>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None of the following in this section are applicable

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)


Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve.</i> <i>Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Site visits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i> <i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Competent Person overflew the area 5 July 2017 Ground access at that time not possible because of late wet season flooding. Competent Person visited Turesi Trenches, Banakarau Trenches, Robello Creek Old Mine, 23-27 September 2017
Study status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves.</i> <i>The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered.</p>	
<i>Cut-off parameters</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
<i>Mining factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design). The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc. The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc), grade control and pre-production drilling. The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate). The mining dilution factors used. The mining recovery factors used. Any minimum mining widths used. The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion. The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None of the following in this section are applicable
<i>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation. Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature. The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied. Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements. The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole. For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None of the following in this section are applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Environmental</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
<i>Infrastructure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable. All infrastructure relates to preliminary exploration and is supplied by the GSM/Greenpower Joint Venture
<i>Costs</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study.</i> <i>The methodology used to estimate operating costs.</i> <i>Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements.</i> <i>The source of exchange rates used in the study.</i> <i>Derivation of transportation charges.</i> <i>The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc.</i> <i>The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None of the following in this section are applicable
<i>Revenue factors</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc.</i> <i>The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
<i>Market assessment</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future.</i> <i>A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product.</i> <i>Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts.</i> <i>For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
<i>Economic</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs. 	
Social	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: • Any identified material naturally occurring risks. • The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements. • The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the following in this section are applicable
Classification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories. • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit. • The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the following in this section are applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>current study stage.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i>	



Greenpower Executive Chairman, Gerard King:

"Greenpower is pleased to report further confirmation that the Turesi Ridge analytical results justify Greenpower's decision to implement a diamond drilling programme at Morabisi which will allow the Joint Venture to further understand the resource prospectivity of the Turesi discovery.

ENDS

For further information:

Gerard King
Chairman of the Board

Competent Person Statement

I, John Adrian Watts on 30 January 2018 confirm that:

- I have read and understood the requirements of the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves ("2012 JORC Code").
- I am a Competent Person as defined by the 2012 JORC Code, having more than five years' experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit described in the Report, and to the activity for which I am accepting responsibility.
- I am a Fellow of *The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy* and a Fellow of the *IOMMM*.
- This statement fairly represents documentation prepared by myself on behalf of my employer, Australian Exploration Field Services Pty Ltd.
- I consent to the release of this document to the ASX.

Turesi Trench Samples

Cod	Dy_0.05_ppm	Er_0.03_ppm	Eu_0.03_ppm	Ga_0.2_ppm	Gd_0.05_ppm	Hf_0.2_ppm	Ho_0.01_ppm	La_0.1_ppm	Lu_0.01_ppm	Nb_0.1_ppm	Nd_0.1_ppm	Pr_0.03_ppm	Rb_0.2_ppm	Sm_0.03_ppm	Sn_5_ppm	Sr_0.1_ppm	Ta_0.1_ppm	Tb_0.01_ppm	Th_0.05_ppm	Tm_0.01_ppm	U_0.05_ppm	V_10_ppm	W_1_ppm	Y_0.5_ppm	Yb_0.03_ppm	Zr_2_ppm	
TT09-63	4.86	2.48	1.15	.21	6.12	5.8	0.95	48.7	0.51	21.3	41.3	12.2	733.3	8.06	<5	38	3.2	0.9	30.13	0.47	4.13	27	20	21.3	2.98	188	
TT09-64	4.65	2.31	1.14	.23	2.2	6.2	0.88	55.8	0.45	24.9	45	12.93	641.1	8.5	11	28.8	3	0.86	28.11	0.39	3.28	34	21	19.3	2.69	180	
TT09-65	4.74	2.49	1.3	.22	2.4	6.45	5.8	0.84	57.8	0.45	18.9	47	14.24	543.5	8.65	14	27.3	2.1	0.89	30.88	0.49	2.78	33	22	19.7	2.91	178
TT09-66	5.16	2.6	1.38	.23	7.23	6.6	1	46.7	0.48	18.8	46.6	12.26	588.8	9.22	7	27.3	2	0.99	31.44	0.42	3.46	45	22	21.4	3.11	188	
TT09-67	5.35	2.76	1.28	.20	6.58	5.3	0.99	45.8	0.49	19.3	42.7	11.44	649.2	8.58	5	35.2	2.5	0.94	23.79	0.45	4.9	30	15	23.3	3.23	181	
TT09-68	5.79	2.9	1.44	.24	7.5	5.9	1.07	51.7	0.53	19.4	47.3	12.89	651.1	9.51	6	35.2	2.4	1.01	31.49	0.51	4.87	49	17	24	3.24	188	
TT09-69	5.6	3.33	1.31	.20	6.27	5.6	1.16	59.1	0.62	18.9	48.2	13.75	703.9	8.45	5	60.8	2.6	1	26.79	0.57	3.42	28	23	31.2	3.87	160	
TT09-70	5.58	3.6	1.2	.21	21.8	6.23	5.8	1.27	53.5	0.67	19.6	44.2	12.71	698.3	7.89	5	61.9	2.5	0.99	28.93	0.6	3.53	32	34	33.7	4.07	176
TT09-71	5.18	3.26	1.25	.25	5.35	7.1	1.06	33.1	0.58	29.6	32.1	8.77	273.9	6.64	<5	22.3	2.6	0.87	20.97	0.54	27.06	206	8	28.7	3.8	231	
TT09-72	19.22	11.45	4.17	.45	4.54	16.02	12.3	4.18	96	2.11	50.4	96.8	26.03	514.2	20.41	8	45.1	6.7	3.12	37.09	1.96	54.76	354	22	104.6	12.92	360
TT09-73	17.94	11.4	2.45	.21	12.65	5.9	4.12	69.3	2.14	28.6	64	17.47	510.6	13.03	<5	54.1	5.1	2.54	20.93	2.01	19.03	93	13	127.2	12.61	152	
TT09-74	5.02	3.52	0.75	.22	6.45	6.6	1.12	57.2	0.85	32.5	11.39	609.1	5.57	6	37.4	6.8	0.76	29.54	0.64	5.24	43	15	37.5	4.81	157		
TT09-75	6.44	4.37	1.01	.24	24.3	5.64	6.5	1.5	54.2	1.01	26.4	40.6	12.63	642.8	6.83	8	43.5	8.2	0.99	24.86	0.86	4.33	23	14	49.5	5.98	127
TT09-76	1.37	0.91	0.4	.31	1.24	5.8	0.29	11.3	0.19	13.5	8.1	2.29	146.4	1.65	<5	6.2	1.4	0.22	18.76	0.14	20.52	206	9	6.5	1.09	162	
TT09-77	1.48	0.75	0.42	.34	1.62	5.2	0.29	16.8	0.15	12.1	9.5	3	150.6	1.79	5	8.5	1	0.24	15.58	0.15	15.9	264	11	6.1	0.93	163	
TT09-78	1.26	0.79	0.37	.35	9.5	1.38	6.1	0.28	14.5	0.15	13.9	9	2.7	121.6	1.61	6	6.7	1.2	0.23	18.24	0.12	16.04	252	9	6.1	0.94	186
TT09-79	1.74	0.94	0.5	.28	4.4	1.59	5.1	0.33	12.4	0.2	10.5	8.9	2.57	124.9	2.01	<5	5.6	0.9	0.25	12.83	0.17	21.19	291	6	6.9	1.29	175
TT09-80	1.54	0.9	0.4	.39	3.3	1.39	7.8	0.34	10.7	0.2	19.7	8.4	2.38	143	1.81	6	6.8	1.8	0.23	19.43	0.18	17.15	262	11	7.7	1.28	280
TT09-81	1.86	1.16	0.43	.29	1.59	5.9	0.4	12.5	0.26	15	9.4	2.69	122.8	2.04	<5	6.4	1.8	0.3	15.76	0.2	15.01	316	9	8.3	1.42	187	
TT09-82	2.13	1.2	0.47	.28	3.3	2.03	4.2	0.4	12.8	0.25	10.1	10.2	2.83	102.3	2.3	<5	4.6	0.9	0.33	13.04	0.21	29.42	289	8	7.3	1.62	137
TT09-83	2.02	1.12	0.52	.27	2.4	2.08	6.1	0.38	22	0.24	16.9	14.6	4.41	121	2.61	<5	8.1	2	0.29	17.18	0.2	17.14	183	22	8.4	1.44	213
TT09-84	2.27	1.48	0.61	.26	2.89	5.5	0.49	19.2	0.28	17.5	13.4	3.92	122.1	2.79	<5	6.8	3	0.35	18	0.27	26.23	184	24	9.3	1.97	166	
TT09-85	1.91	1.11	0.58	.34	4.4	2.2	8.8	0.38	14.1	0.25	16.5	11.9	3.48	87.5	2.6	<5	4.7	1.4	0.32	17.21	0.21	26.23	213	17	8	1.5	304
TT09-86	3.97	2.04	1.25	.28	5.68	6.2	0.77	46.7	0.41	18.2	41.2	11.56	218.6	6.98	<5	23	2.4	0.73	22.05	0.37	19.69	149	13	17.4	2.66	194	
TT09-87	4.61	2.68	1.37	.24	4.4	5.55	6.7	0.87	45.9	0.5	24.1	39.8	11.31	405.2	7.64	<5	45.4	3.8	0.85	26.77	0.44	14.27	47	7	23	2.9	199
TT09-88	3.43	2.08	1.09	.28	8.1	4.47	8.1	0.76	21.6	0.36	13.6	23.1	6.14	64.1	5.08	<5	4.2	1	0.61	15.1	0.32	11.35	188	8	17.9	2.47	292
TT09-89	4.91	2.79	1.39	.23	6.3	3	1.01	20.5	0.49	8.6	26.4	6.71	51.5	6.06	<5	7.6	1.1	0.83	8.6	0.46	6	291	8	19.8	3.41	87	
TT09-90	3.33	1.91	0.94	.26	9.6	4.13	3.5	0.7	18.5	0.35	10.2	19.5	4.93	54.9	4.09	<5	8.6	1.4	0.56	7.71	0.33	10.1	336	4	13.7	2.29	87
TT09-91	3.84	2.14	1.27	.28	8.5	5.15	3.6	0.77	20.6	0.35	11.2	25.1	6.01	60.8	5.32	8	8	1.2	0.62	11.29	0.37	4.24	299	19	17.1	2.41	110
TT09-92	3.78	2.12	1.19	.31	4.51	4.9	0.81	19	0.37	13	23.6	5.62	63.1	5.19	6	8.1	1.4	0.62	8.36	0.35	11.01	321	3	17.1	2.38	172	
TT09-93	2.15	1.27	0.71	.28	4.4	2.87	5.5	0.45	14	0.24	14.2	14.2	3.59	57.2	3.07	<5	4.9	1.8	0.37	8.55	0.23	16.42	275	3	8.7	1.64	206
TT09-94	1.98	1.2	0.61	.25	2.59	4.8	0.42	12	0.24	13.2	12.3	3.14	81.3	2.5	<5	4.2	1.8	0.34	8.81	0.22	14.89	214	5	8.7	1.48	178	
TT09-95	2.28	1.3	0.58	.27	2.37	4.2	0.47	10.8	0.28	12.2	10.9	2.83	137.6	2.7	<5	2.9	1.6	0.36	8.36	0.26	20.85	248	5	8.5	1.84	156	
TT09-96	2.26	1.22	0.77	.29	2.2	3.13	4.8	0.47	15.7	0.22	12.9	14.9	3.86	91.7	3.09	<5	10.7	1.8	0.4	7.59	0.21	15.43	265	5	8.9	1.44	190
TT09-97	2.06	1.13	0.72	.29	3.01	4	0.41	14.9	0.22	9.2	14.3	3.81	82.6	2.95	<5	3.6	1.2	0.32	6.05	0.21	12.14	292	7	6.9	1.51	155	
TT09-98	3.18	1.64	1.01	.27	2.8	3.03	4.8	0.41	13.5	0.46	20.6	20.5	4.41	120.9	2.24	<5	5.4	1	0.49	5.02	0.3	7.84	279	8	10.1	2.12	148
TT09-99	3.81	2.13	1.22	.27	2.74	4.3	0.8	42.8	0.39	10.5	27.3	7.87	81.3	5.14	<5	8.7	1.2	0.65	5.13	0.38	5.43	190	6	13.3	2.57	169	
TT09-100	1.61	0.99	0.43	.24	1.89	5.2	0.34	6.8	0.2	11.3	7.3	1.79	15.3	1.72	<5	1.4	1.4	0.26	8.39	0.18	4.93	167	6	5.9	1.29	209	
TT09-101	1.07	0.8	0.15	.26	29.9	0.93	5.6	0.27	6.2	0.19	21.5	4.4	1.17	58	0.95	<5	3	3.3	0.16	32.48	0.21	4.31	52	9	7.2	1.12	140
TT09-102	1.18	0.84	0.18	.25	2.57	0.91	5.1	0.28	9.7	0.26	17.8	4.9	1.46	57.5	0.9	<5	4.3	3.1	0.15	24.47	0.18	5.09	63	9	7.8	1.33	144
TT09-103	1.5	1.23	0.18	.25	25.6	1.19	5.2	0.38	12	0.21	35.6	7	2.07	197.9	1.25	6	5.5	6.3	0.19	37.36	0.26	4.81	76	14	10.5	2.02	149
TT09-104	1.64	1.47	0.2	.25	2.57	1.18	5.0	0.44	18.6	0.29	14.9	11.8	3.49	113.9	2.17	<5	12.5	2.1	0.32	11.79	0.28	15.06	180	6	9.8	1.77	162
TT09-105	1.26	1.74	0.23	.25	2.68	5.6	0.44	26.8	0.35	31.5	17.2	5.34	223.7	3.37	6	12.3	5.										

Turesi Trench Samples

Cod	Dy_0.05_ppm	Er_0.03_ppm	Eu_0.03_ppm	Ga_0.2_ppm	Gd_0.05_ppm	Hf_0.2_ppm	Ho_0.01_ppm	La_0.1_ppm	Lu_0.01_ppm	Nb_0.1_ppm	Nd_0.1_ppm	Pr_0.03_ppm	Rb_0.2_ppm	Sm_0.03_ppm	Sn_5_ppm	Sr_0.1_ppm	Ta_0.1_ppm	Tb_0.01_ppm	Th_0.05_ppm	Tm_0.01_ppm	U_0.05_ppm	V_10_ppm	W_1_ppm	Y_0.5_ppm	Yb_0.03_ppm	Zr_2_ppm	
TT10-23	3.41	1.47	2.24	43	6.55	14.2	0.59	73.5	23.9	59.9	17.51	195.8	9.8	6	113	1.6	0.82	27.57	0.22	6.31	272	17	12.1	1.32	486		
TT10-24	3.67	1.44	2.3	42.3	6.39	10.4	0.6	58.3	0.16	26	53.8	14.36	522.6	9.1	8	118.1	1.7	0.79	27.17	0.21	6.07	400	30	12.8	1.17	386	
TT10-25	2.25	1.05	1.4	40	3.81	12.6	0.38	50.8	0.18	15.7	37.5	11.14	114.9	5.58	<5	58.6	1.3	0.47	20.45	0.18	9	524	14	8	1.15	445	
TT10-26	1.61	0.75	0.86	30.9	2.83	8.7	0.3	37.9	0.14	21.5	24.1	7.81	91.6	3.89	<5	35.4	1.5	0.33	20.04	0.14	8.38	262	10	6.2	0.86	295	
TT10-27	1.76	0.99	0.81	28	3.01	12	0.32	56.7	0.18	28.7	29.9	9.94	35.6	4.46	5	38.3	2	0.37	23.69	0.16	10.88	117	<1	7	1.15	342	
TT10-28	1.52	0.74	0.65	28.3	2.58	9.1	0.28	45.6	0.14	25	23.1	7.7	64.4	3.3	5	30.1	1.6	0.29	26.4	0.14	9.83	82	46	6.1	1.03	276	
TT10-29	1.29	0.72	0.55	30	2.09	8.9	0.26	41.5	0.15	23.7	19.8	6.28	74.3	2.81	<5	28	1.6	0.29	26.98	0.16	8.39	86	13	6.1	0.97	296	
TT10-30	1.23	0.74	0.5	31.7	1.85	9	0.24	35.1	0.17	28.4	17	5.56	62.7	2.55	<5	25.4	1.6	0.23	29.87	0.15	7.72	69	4	5.3	0.97	280	
TT10-31	1.39	0.8	0.61	30.6	2.69	9	0.25	54.6	0.18	28.5	24.5	8.25	73.6	3.28	<5	29.8	1.8	0.3	31.06	0.16	8.01	55	2	5.8	0.98	260	
TT10-32	1.37	0.91	0.61	27.3	2.43	9.1	0.27	49.1	0.2	26.6	23.7	8.21	59	3.22	42	25.4	1.7	0.3	26.43	0.21	10.67	65	24	5.9	1.16	279	
TT10-33	1.59	0.85	0.62	32	2.58	7.9	0.29	41.7	0.19	20.3	24.7	8.1	84.2	3.48	15	27.3	1.5	0.32	22.21	0.17	10.38	58	4	6.1	1.26	230	
TT10-34	1.4	0.7	0.7	32.6	2.51	6.7	0.24	32.5	0.13	16.8	21.2	6.6	86.2	3.4	6	32	1.3	0.32	21.82	0.13	8.5	102	10	5.3	0.82	204	
TT10-35	2.74	1.37	1.24	31	5.03	7.7	0.48	70.1	0.17	22.2	46.1	14	131.4	7.33	6	68.9	2.5	0.63	20.23	0.27	4.81	96	4	10.7	1.1	238	
TT10-36	2.73	1.38	1.28	30	4.45	9	0.57	63.2	0.2	21.1	41.8	12.31	84.7	6.64	7	52.1	2.4	0.61	29.53	0.22	6.24	131	4	10.9	1.27	328	
TT10-37	3.16	1.39	1.55	28.1	6.07	5.6	0.56	83.9	0.15	17	63.1	18.85	59.7	9.41	6	66.3	2.4	0.73	20.24	0.22	5.99	90	4	10.8	1.16	199	
TT10-38	3.08	1.58	1.52	26.3	5.57	7.2	0.62	65.5	0.17	20.6	50.6	15.1	52.2	8.32	6	63	2.8	0.72	24.32	0.22	4.19	119	5	12.3	1.34	268	
TT10-39	3.59	1.85	1.37	29.3	5.25	9.7	0.7	55.5	0.22	21.9	45.7	12.47	59.9	7.72	14	69.7	3.1	0.74	21.58	0.23	3.35	159	4	14.1	1.46	360	
TT10-40	3.79	1.66	1.59	32.3	5.67	8.1	0.68	55.1	0.19	21.7	47.9	12.81	42.5	7.93	36	71.2	3.1	0.82	22.94	0.25	4.22	240	5	14.3	1.41	301	
TT10-41	3.19	1.54	1.36	27.5	5.19	8.1	0.6	50.1	0.17	20.1	41.6	11.49	36.6	6.96	6	63.3	2.5	0.71	20.88	0.19	3.72	223	7	12.8	1.31	286	
TT10-42	3.65	1.71	1.42	26	5.33	8.7	0.63	51.1	0.21	18.7	44.4	12.27	63.9	7.86	7	61.9	2.4	0.68	20.71	0.23	4.48	154	5	13.2	1.44	312	
TT10-43	4.16	1.82	1.95	23.8	6.78	7.1	0.76	59	0.2	17.4	57.7	14.82	60.1	9.81	6	77.1	2.7	0.88	18.37	0.24	3.7	97	6	14.7	1.43	262	
TT10-44	8.33	3.15	3.93	30.3	13.1	7	1.43	96.3	0.27	21.3	105.9	26.12	57	19.41	7	130.4	3	1.75	18.83	0.4	4.51	126	25	26.4	2.15	224	
TT10-45	3.27	1.66	1.39	25.6	5.11	7.1	0.6	58.2	0.21	22.7	46.3	13.07	69.5	7.91	7	46.8	3.2	0.68	21.6	0.25	6.14	85	14	11.5	1.56	238	
TT10-46	4.18	1.75	1.72	30.7	6.59	8.3	0.72	57.5	0.21	21.4	50.2	13.1	88.8	8.5	7	75.9	2.9	0.83	21.73	0.24	3.37	107	11	14.4	1.56	283	
TT10-47	5.84	2.52	2.33	33.1	9.31	8.9	0.99	74.3	0.28	24.1	67.9	18.08	85.4	12.24	7	104.7	3	1.2	26.11	0.31	3.9	112	9	20.3	1.82	313	
TT10-48	6.03	2.69	2.56	30.7	9.34	7.9	1.07	73.8	0.22	22.2	72.5	18.08	65.4	13.76	7	112.4	2.8	1.34	22.2	0.3	3.21	96	12	21.3	1.82	289	
TT10-49	3.29	1.34	1.61	31.8	5.44	7	0.56	46.8	0.17	18.9	42.8	10.85	28	7.38	6	59.3	3.3	0.71	25.99	0.21	3.86	108	9	12.1	1.26	243	
TT10-50	2.62	1.25	1.04	27	4.35	7	0.47	46.4	0.16	17.6	35.8	10.06	29.8	6.2	7	52	2.5	0.59	21.61	0.18	3.99	115	8	9.4	1.15	236	
TT10-51	3.96	1.79	1.81	30.6	6.73	8.2	0.68	64.7	0.19	21.1	58.1	15.74	26.4	10.14	7	84	2.7	0.85	16.35	0.23	3.51	127	6	13.5	1.44	273	
TT10-52	4.24	1.88	2.29	30.8	7.16	10.5	0.73	77.5	0.22	23.2	65.7	18.29	25	10.77	7	95	2.7	0.92	23.8	0.22	4.54	137	6	15.3	1.52	391	
TT10-53	3.71	1.61	1.76	41.5	5.87	9.7	0.64	61.3	0.21	21.2	50.4	13.56	34.4	8.34	6	77.2	2.1	0.77	21.14	0.21	7.22	413	14	13.5	1.39	376	
TT10-54	2.93	1.46	1.4	37.7	4.62	5.9	0.57	40.3	0.16	14.2	36.1	9.15	56	6.22	6	58.3	2.1	0.66	16.3	0.21	8.66	439	13	10.8	1.3	230	
TT10-55	4.04	1.79	1.99	39.2	6.47	10	0.75	56.8	0.24	24.1	51.4	13.72	61.4	9.64	8	66.6	3	0.89	23.14	0.27	6.78	278	25	14.5	1.65	380	
TT10-56	4.35	1.89	2.53	38.9	7.13	12	0.76	61.4	0.26	23.9	62.3	15.47	64.5	10.63	6	75.5	2.5	0.95	29.08	0.28	6.92	202	9	15	1.54	450	
TT10-57	4.11	1.73	2.59	37.9	6.95	10.3	0.7	68.9	0.24	17.5	61.5	15.52	93.8	10.34	6	77.2	2	0.92	32.48	0.21	5.8	166	8	13.3	1.33	408	
TT10-58	2.71	1.29	1.36	30.9	4.41	8	0.48	52.4	0.22	17.3	39.8	11.18	94.5	6.53	6	45.6	2.5	0.59	22.22	0.2	7.77	113	6	10.1	1.24	264	
TT10-59	3.07	1.42	1.31	32.9	4.91	7.9	0.54	57.3	0.21	28.6	43.3	12.27	75.6	7.09	11	32.9	3.2	0.66	23.33	0.22	6.13	112	5	11.1	1.51	254	
TT10-60	3.42	1.65	1.67	32.8	6.09	10.3	0.6	67.6	0.23	29.3	55.7	15.36	68.6	8.95	11	39.5	3.2	0.8	25.06	0.23	4.5	119	10	11.5	1.63	350	
TT10-61	4.43	1.89	2.2	35	7.69	10.6	0.78	90.6	0.23	29.7	71.3	20.32	91	11.74	8	52.1	3.4	0.95	27.75	0.28	4.96	135	8	13.1	1.67	366	
TT10-62	4.91	1.86	2.58	33.4	8.33	9.4	0.76	90.6	0.22	21	71.2	20.08	61.4	11.57	8	49.1	2.6	1.07	22.65	0.23	5.02	105	7	12.9	1.46	316	
TT10-63	13.49	4.19	4.19	6.37	46.4	21.24	8.4	1.99	121.6	0.3	24.3	135.6	32.72	124.9	26.19	8	117.3	2.7	2.92	22.51	0.43	6.87	275	11	30.7	2.64	294
TT10-64	6.68	2.47	3.16	44.7	10.35	8.1	1.2	49.6	0.2	15.8	62.3	14.16	55.1	7.08	7	98.8	2.1	1.46	15.56	0.29	4.09	471	12	19.9	1.61	325	
TT10-65	6.04	2.13	2.77	41.8	9.42	7	0.95	40.7	0.16	14.5	55.1	12.33	36.78	10.9	8	80	1.3	1.22	12.23	0.28	3.61	512	8	17.6	1.33	236	
TT1																											

Turesi Grab Samples

Sample_Id	Sm_0.03_ppm	Sn_5_ppm	Sr_0.1_ppm	Ta_0.1_ppm	Tb_0.01_ppm	Th_0.05_ppm	Tm_0.01_ppm	U_0.05_ppm	V_10_ppm	W_1_ppm	Y_0.5_ppm	Yb_0.03_ppm	Zr_2_ppm
A2021635	1.04	69	3.8	3	0.07	1.26	0.03	0.65	<10	8	1.6	0.22	61
A2021636	2.16	178	10.2	7.2	0.12	10.34	0.06	1.5	33	10	2.6	0.37	116
A2021637	3.46	88	11.1	6.2	0.21	14.4	0.09	1.58	62	25	4.1	0.68	198
A2021638	0.82	43	56.2	1.4	0.11	4.55	0.07	1.04	144	38	2.7	0.63	95
A2021639	1.04	49	10.4	2.2	0.14	5.93	0.1	0.86	164	46	3	0.73	156
A2021641	1.6	102	17.7	4.1	0.24	7.46	0.13	1.56	124	48	6	1.04	296
A2021642	1.77	180	20.1	4.4	0.17	6.82	0.1	2.45	139	600	4.2	0.9	109
A2021643	2.01	97	19.8	1.5	0.2	4.45	0.08	1.17	143	158	3.6	0.6	280
A2021644	3.57	86	13.1	11.8	0.26	11.66	0.1	0.86	50	58	3.7	0.72	335
A2021645	2.72	88	12.2	7.2	0.26	7.32	0.13	0.68	55	44	5.5	0.85	302
A2021646	3.05	138	19.4	9	0.19	6.97	0.09	0.84	45	45	3.4	0.54	248
A2021647	4.8	87	11	8.7	0.32	3.86	0.12	1.1	37	220	4.8	0.78	312
A2021648	1.34	71	6.4	3.5	0.15	5.02	0.11	1.65	62	62	4.2	0.8	540
A2021649	1.66	36	23.2	1.4	0.18	2.82	0.13	0.96	183	50	4.5	1.1	184
A2021701	2.76	241	8.8	5.1	0.16	13.91	0.08	1.02	71	20	2.6	0.56	611
A2021702	2.58	57	8.7	8.5	0.17	10.74	0.07	1.01	84	15	3.1	0.6	379
A2021703	3.07	61	18.5	16.5	0.28	9.05	0.14	0.68	117	2	5.8	1.13	435
A2021704	2.16	70	5.8	11.3	0.15	13.01	0.09	1.33	68	5	3	0.77	380
A2021705	1.9	78	5.4	13.1	0.12	11.22	0.09	0.91	55	4	3.7	0.69	412
A2021706	2.2	44	8.5	1.9	0.15	20.37	0.06	0.86	128	17	2.6	0.53	208
A2021707	1.9	52	16.6	1.8	0.17	7.87	0.09	1.46	211	25	3.4	0.68	167
A2021708	2.29	40	15.2	1	0.2	5.63	0.13	1.15	187	11	6.5	0.87	183
A2021709	2.48	48	7.6	6.2	0.13	7.42	0.05	0.9	46	3	2.9	0.59	154
A2021711	3.77	29	33.2	3.9	0.39	6.44	0.19	2.4	235	75	7.2	1.37	173
A2021712	1.75	39	10.9	4.2	0.14	6.75	0.08	1.04	90	33	3.1	0.69	132
A2021713	3.45	77	6.5	11.4	0.23	12.33	0.1	1.38	82	18	3.5	0.66	373
A2021714	2.21	33	15.6	1.9	0.18	7.29	0.11	1.09	108	14	4.4	0.83	164
A2021715	1.94	59	4.7	14.1	0.14	9.06	0.1	1.37	70	93	3.7	0.9	378
A2021716	8.25	83	54.1	6.6	0.46	10.17	0.09	1.49	140	71	4.4	0.67	303
A2021717	1.9	73	8.1	10	0.12	8.09	0.07	1.05	70	61	2.6	0.62	281
A2021718	1.76	42	27	5	0.11	5.8	0.05	0.84	46	15	2.3	0.52	296
A2021719	1.63	42	6.7	7.4	0.12	4.77	0.05	0.53	36	10	1.8	0.5	175
A2021721	1.74	34	16	9.9	0.16	7.63	0.12	2.39	194	71	4.2	1.15	326
A2021722	3.96	51	16.1	5.4	0.24	13.6	0.09	1.32	100	36	3.6	0.78	501
A2021723	6.34	11	9	2.6	0.71	25.56	0.43	8.55	58	14	24	2.9	221
A2021724	1.03	29	6.6	16.3	0.09	7.55	0.11	5.07	136	40	3.6	0.9	530
A2021725	8.77	7	38.9	2	0.9	24.63	0.46	3.11	36	16	22.8	3.1	238
A2021726	7.89	<5	41.5	2.5	0.87	22.11	0.52	3.31	19	15	25.4	3.29	170
A2021727	5.5	7	25.6	2.2	0.64	25.21	0.36	6.14	26	15	16.9	2.5	187
A2021728	6.17	<5	75.8	2.1	0.68	23.96	0.43	3.71	22	16	21.5	2.76	174
A2021729	8.31	<5	49.8	1.9	1.09	25.85	0.5	7.03	20	22	26.2	3.23	201
A2021731	6.58	<5	22.7	3.7	1.14	15.62	0.79	10.31	57	12	43.2	5.13	182
A2021732	13.43	6	53.2	2.9	1.75	26.14	0.96	3.58	25	18	55.7	6.29	222
A2021733	2.91	31	46.1	1.5	0.25	8.94	0.1	2.15	122	25	4.2	0.58	162
A2021734	4.64	<5	22.6	0.9	0.86	3.54	0.47	2.17	141	5	27.8	3.08	188
A2021735	3.06	8	44.8	3.9	0.4	25.79	0.41	11.89	28	5	17.5	2.74	202
A2021736	3.76	<5	52	0.6	0.63	2.39	0.4	0.68	233	2	21.3	2.52	99
A2021737	5.78	<5	81.4	0.8	0.53	8.08	0.14	7.18	32	7	9.7	0.73	94
A2021738	3.32	11	25.3	0.9	0.22	13.76	0.06	3.73	168	14	2.8	0.48	254
A2021739	5.12	29	66.2	1.7	0.36	17.14	0.1	2.44	243	36	4.6	0.62	334
A2021741	5.63	8	7.5	2	0.55	21.2	0.29	3.88	30	9	13.3	1.84	212
A2021742	2.31	8	23.1	2.3	0.16	37.87	0.12	6.08	35	8	3.9	0.86	248
A2021743	5.51	6	42.7	1.3	0.53	24.53	0.2	12.57	226	5	11.1	1.35	282
A2021744	10.53	24	87.1	1.7	1.52	16.43	0.35	2.06	257	27	25	1.96	317
A2021745	1.72	15	32.3	0.5	0.16	6.7	0.07	1.12	185	18	3.2	0.43	205
A2021746	3.27	18	39.3	0.7	0.41	4.99	0.11	0.84	209	26	8.1	0.66	98
A2021747	4.12	15	23.1	0.7	0.29	14.97	0.09	2.79	133	12	4.8	0.69	214
A2021748	6.42	17	60.9	0.8	0.76	6.24	0.14	1.26	180	22	8.5	0.79	200
A2021749	8.99	24	80.6	1.7	1.13	10.44	0.28	1.99	260	28	19.8	1.65	193
A2021695	4.69	<5	50.6	1	0.48	10.71	0.17	6.68	187	7	10.6	0.97	159
11S166916	0.89	46	24	1.9	0.09	7.12	0.07	0.98	175	12	1.6	0.41	111
11S166917	11.41	18	81	0.9	1.39	5.5	0.17	1.68	167	18	10.8	0.95	137
11S166918	1.56	71	16.3	4.4	0.28	13.56	0.18	3.04	148	17	7.3	1.35	203
11S166919	2.33	56	30.8	2.6	0.24	7.92	0.14	2.32	147	18	5.1	1.14	137
11S166921	1.92	63	30.1	1.8	0.19	8.67	0.08	1.59	271	21	3.1	0.74	137
11S166922	3.7	67	27.8	4.8	0.24	6.93	0.09	0.63	132	24	3.5	0.71	128
11S166923	2.62	423	5.6	7.4	0.17	8.14	0.06	1.38	18	15	2.7	0.47	103
11S166924	8.08	23	71.3	2.6	1.03	24.52	0.5	5.25	21	13	27.5	3.4	164
11S166925	7.32	11	23.7	3.4	0.88	41.05	0.51	3.56	55	12	25.3	3.77	211
11S166926	4.62	7	52.1	3.9	0.55	24.72	0.47	4.69	28	7	21.4	3.54	144
11S166927	6.48	54	47	8.7	0.43	31.41	0.15	5.89	156	24	6.4	1.19	131
11S166928	7.11	50	37.1	2.7	0.45	23.92	0.12	3.76	217	28	4.2	0.83	140
11S166929	15.46	57	58.9	4.8	1.19	34.94	0.26	3.59	235	39	13.3	1.59	249
11S166931	8.75	37	23.1	32.1	0.72	18.9	0.16	3.39	147	22	6.5	1.07	227

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Sampling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> • <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> • <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> • <i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excavator-cut Trenching to 3m depth. Channel sampling varying from 1m to 4m channel sample interval; grab sampling. • In-trench hand held assaying scintillometer survey using a Radiations Solutions Inc. Super Spec RS125 scintillometer, Ser#2121 • Results logged on board the scintillometer, downloaded on completion of survey. Separate log of readings maintained. Location GPS readings recorded independently of scintillometer.
<i>Drilling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable, no drilling undertaken to date
<i>Drill sample recovery</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> • <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> • <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable, no drilling undertaken to date
<i>Logging</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable, no drilling undertaken to date. Trenching channel sample intervals described. It is too early for a mineral resource estimation to be made • All trenching descriptions are qualitative at this stage. Samples submitted to laboratory

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sections as reported in table
<i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the <i>in situ</i> material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample duplicates collected in the field All samples and duplicate samples checked to ensure they are representative Large sample size to ensure appropriate grain size Reference Samples included in the field for Laboratory submissions Blank Samples included in the field for Laboratory submissions
<i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Li analysis by Sodium Peroxide Fusion, ICP-ES.REE Analysis by Lithium Metaborate Fusion, ICP-MS External laboratory checks via submission of duplicate samples
<i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All samples submitted to MS Analytical Vancouver BC. Check samples of pulps will be submitted from MS Analytical Georgetown to Nagrom Laboratories, Perth, WA
<i>Location of data points</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Start end and intermediate points of trenches by GPS. UTM projection, Zone 21 North, PSAD56 Datum used. Topographic control by available topographic mapping, checked by GPS

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample compositing to 1m to 4m sections Data acquisition to date is insufficient for Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation at this preliminary exploration phase.
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pegmatite orientation measured from outcrop in trench TT17-01.
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples are collected at the trench sites, moved to and stored securely at base camp. Samples are shipped to Georgetown by river transport, met by a GSM representative who takes them directly to MS Analytical's Georgetown Laboratory. MS Analytical's security protocols then apply. Samples currently analysed by MS Analytical in Vancouver BC
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Too early to review. Samples include blanks, standards.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reconnaissance Geophysical and Geological Survey, Morabisi Area, Mining District#3, Region 7 Guyana. The tenement has an area of 713,109 acres (288,580 ha) Guyana Strategic Metals in Joint Venture with Greenpower Energy Ltd A two-year exploration programme has been approved by Guyana Geology and Mining Commission There are no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GGMC – Summary of Geochemistry, Geology and Structure, June 2002
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LCT type pegmatites associated with granite/basic contact zone
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> <i>hole length.</i> <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable – no previous drilling
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous Phase 1 exploration by the Joint Venturers GSM and Greenpower
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable – no previous drilling
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable - no previous drilling

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>		
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently not applicable – too early in the current exploration programme. All exploration results are being reported.
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Phase 1 exploration has been previously reported
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trenching at Turesi as indicated on accompanying plan to more accurately determine pegmatite orientation. Sufficient data from current phase to plan a drill programme

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Database integrity</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. Data validation procedures used. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently not applicable
<i>Site visits</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Competent Person overflow the area 5 July 2017 Ground access at that time not possible because of late wet season flooding. Site inspection of Turesi made during a site visit, 23-27 September 2017
<i>Geological interpretation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. The use of geology in guiding and controlling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reasonable confidence in geological model Historical data, GSM Greenpower JV data used for assumptions No Mineral Resource estimations have been made due to the early stage of exploration

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</i> 	
<i>Dimensions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable.
<i>Estimation and modelling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.</i> • <i>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.</i> • <i>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</i> • <i>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</i> • <i>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</i> • <i>Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.</i> • <i>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</i> • <i>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</i> • <i>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</i> • <i>The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the following in this section are applicable
<i>Moisture</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable
<i>Cut-off parameters</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable
<i>Mining factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.</i></p>	
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Environmental factors or assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Bulk density	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</i> <i>The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</i> <i>Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Classification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</i> <i>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</i> <i>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i> <i>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</i> <i>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None of the following in this section are applicable

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve.</i> <i>Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
Site visits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i> <i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Competent Person overflew the area 5 July 2017 Ground access at that time not possible because of late wet season flooding. Competent Person visited Turesi Trenches, Banakarau Trenches, Robello Creek Old Mine, 23-27 September 2017
Study status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves.</i> <i>The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered.</p>	
<i>Cut-off parameters</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
<i>Mining factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design). The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc. The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc), grade control and pre-production drilling. The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate). The mining dilution factors used. The mining recovery factors used. Any minimum mining widths used. The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion. The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None of the following in this section are applicable
<i>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation. Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature. The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied. Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements. The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole. For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None of the following in this section are applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Environmental</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
<i>Infrastructure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable. All infrastructure relates to preliminary exploration and is supplied by the GSM/Greenpower Joint Venture
<i>Costs</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study.</i> <i>The methodology used to estimate operating costs.</i> <i>Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements.</i> <i>The source of exchange rates used in the study.</i> <i>Derivation of transportation charges.</i> <i>The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc.</i> <i>The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None of the following in this section are applicable
<i>Revenue factors</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc.</i> <i>The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
<i>Market assessment</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future.</i> <i>A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product.</i> <i>Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts.</i> <i>For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable
<i>Economic</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs. 	
Social	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: • Any identified material naturally occurring risks. • The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements. • The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the following in this section are applicable
Classification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories. • Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit. • The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not applicable
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. • The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. • Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the following in this section are applicable

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>current study stage.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i>	