

30 April 2019

**Highlights** *(All figures expressed in Australian dollars unless stated otherwise)*

- Consistent Quarterly **gold production of 91,087 ounces** (Dec 18: 90,487 ounces) in line with the previous quarter and above the midpoint of FY19 guidance.
- **76,817** ounces of gold sold at an average price of **\$1,838** per ounce during the quarter.
- Pre-royalty **cash cost (CC) for the quarter of \$767** per ounce and **all in sustaining cost (AISC) of \$1,019 per ounce** (Dec 18: CC \$765/oz & AISC \$985/oz) in line with expectations and at the mid-point of FY2019 guidance.
- **Year to date production of 272,453 ounces** is at the top end of annual guidance with AISC of **\$976 per ounce** below the lower end of cost guidance.
- Paid **fully franked interim dividend of \$40.6 million (8 cents per share)** in the March 2018 quarter.
- **Cash flow from operations of \$89.3 million** for the March 2019 quarter (Dec 18: \$76.4m). Cash and bullion of **\$186.6 million** at the end of the quarter (Dec 18: \$206.7m).
- Effective cash build of **\$29.6 million** after the payment of dividends (\$40.6m) and land acquisitions (\$9.1m) during the quarter.
- **Commencement of underground mine development** at Rosemont decline with first ore from development expected in September quarter.
- Rosemont Underground **pre-feasibility study completed** and updated **Mineral Resource<sup>1</sup> increases by 37%** to 1.7Mt at a grade of 5.6 g/t Au for 314,000 ounces inclusive of a **maiden Ore Reserve** estimate of 0.6Mt @ 6.4 g/t Au for 123,000 ounces that underpins the first years of production.
- Continued strong drilling results confirms continuity over strike length of at least 2km at **Rosemont underground** with results from the targeted **Central zone** including **3m @ 16.9 g/t, 3m @ 14.6 g/t, and 1m @ 32.5 g/t** all of which are outside the current Underground Resource envelope. The Deposit remains open down plunge.
- **Baneygo underground** exploration drilling continues to excite with results supporting the view that underground potential is similar to that of Rosemont. Results include **8m @ 12.3 g/t, 3m @ 7.6 g/t and 10m @ 6.9 g/t**.
- Drilling results at **Garden Well underground** target also showing pleasing results including **6m @ 6.2 g/t and 14m @ 4.3 g/t**.
- **McPhillamys** Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Definitive Feasibility Study (DFS) work streams continue to target June 2019 submission for Development Application.
- **Guidance** for the FY19 year remains unchanged with gold production in the mid to upper range of **340,000-370,000 oz** and AISC at the lower end of guidance between **\$985-1055/oz**.

## Comment

Regis Resources Managing Director, Jim Beyer, said: "The Regis team has again produced a strong operating performance from the Duketon Project. Gold production in the March quarter of 91,087 ounces puts the Company on track to deliver full year production at the mid to upper end of our annual production guidance range of 340,000-370,000 ounces.

The Company reached a major milestone during the quarter with the commencement of development of our first underground operation at Duketon. Portal development at the southern end of the Rosemont Main open pit began in February 2019 and represents a significant step in delivering on the underground growth strategy that Regis has been pursuing at Duketon. We are expecting to see our first development ore during the upcoming September quarter.

"Our exploration team delivered more exciting high-grade results at Baneygo underneath the planned open pit. With the success of their work, the Baneygo underground target is moving up the priority list of high potential underground mining areas across the Duketon Greenstone Belt.

"In summary a solid production quarter that continues the excellent start in the first half of the year while we also continued to work on our McPhillamys project and the execution of our exploration strategy to increase our mine life and raise our production profile."

<sup>1</sup> See ASX Release 15 April 2019

## DUKETON OPERATIONS

The Duketon Gold Project, located in Western Australia, continued to perform strongly in the March 2019 quarter with quarterly production of 91,087 ounces of gold (Dec 18: 90,487 ounces). This production is consistent with the previous quarter and above the midpoint of annual production guidance.

The cash cost before royalties for the quarter was \$767 per ounce and the all in sustaining cost (AISC) of \$1,019 per ounce was at the mid-point of the annual guidance range. The AISC is 3% (\$34/oz) higher than the previous quarter due to the purchase and delivery of additional crushing capacity at Gloster which contributed a onetime cost of \$27 per ounce to AISCs for the quarter.

Operations have achieved year to date production of 272,453 ounces at an AISC of \$976 per ounce, which is below annual cost guidance. Operating results summarised in Table 1 below.

	FY 19 March Quarter			FY19Q2
	DNO	DSO	TOTAL	Total
Ore mined (Mbcm)	0.12	0.61	<b>0.73</b>	<b>1.13</b>
Waste mined (Mbcm)	2.26	5.25	<b>7.51</b>	<b>6.80</b>
Stripping ratio (w:o)	19.3	8.6	<b>10.4</b>	<b>6.0</b>
Ore mined (Mtonnes)	0.24	1.58	<b>1.82</b>	<b>2.79</b>
Ore milled (Mtonnes)	0.66	1.58	<b>2.24</b>	<b>2.27</b>
Head grade (g/t)	0.95	1.51	<b>1.34</b>	<b>1.32</b>
Recovery (%)	93.4%	94.6%	<b>94.4%</b>	<b>94.1%</b>
Gold production (ounces)	18,784	72,303	<b>91,087</b>	<b>90,487</b>
Cash cost (A\$/oz)	944	721	<b>767</b>	<b>765</b>
Cash cost inc royalty (A\$/oz)	1,029	794	<b>842</b>	<b>851</b>
All in Sustaining Cost (A\$/oz) <sup>1</sup>	1,244	961	<b>1,019</b>	<b>985</b>

<sup>1</sup> AISC calculated on a per ounce of production basis

Table 1: Operating results for the Regis group for the March 2019 quarter

### Duketon Northern Operations (DNO)

DNO produced 18,784 ounces of gold at an AISC of \$1,244 per ounce in the March 2019 quarter. Production was down from the previous quarter as a result of a planned 15% reduction in throughput at the Moolart Well mill. Throughput is expected to increase in the next quarter as the quantity of ore from Gloster, which is currently the main ore source, is reduced and softer ore from the newly developed Anchor/Dogbolter/Coopers area is increased. Delivery and installation of a mobile crushing plant at Gloster was completed during the quarter, albeit delayed due to quarantine issues, which will also assist in DNO ore processing rates as Gloster ore transitions to predominately harder fresh rock.

Mining volumes were up on the previous quarter as the mining fleet focussed on the planned pre-production mining activities at the Dogbolter and Coopers deposits with limited ore mined during the quarter resulting in a high strip ratio of 19.3:1. Subsequently production during the quarter focussed on the milling of run of mine stockpiles from Moolart Well and Gloster.

AISCs increased from the previous quarter due to the lower production and higher stripping ratios achieved in the quarter. In addition, the cost of purchasing additional crushing capacity at Gloster contributed a onetime additional \$131 per ounce to AISCs at DNO

### Duketon Southern Operations (DSO)

DSO produced 72,303 ounces of gold at an AISC of \$961 per ounce in the March 2019 quarter. Gold production at DSO was 6% higher than the previous quarter due to an improvement in mill throughput at the Garden Well processing facility as a result of proportionally higher amount of Erlistoun ore processed.

Mining volumes were down 3% and strip ratios generally in line with to the previous quarter resulting in a reduction of AISC to \$961 per ounce.

## Half Year Result and Interim Dividend


In February 2019 Regis announced a half year net profit after tax of \$79.9 million for the six months to 31 December 2018. This represented a 6% decrease from the record first half net profit after tax of \$84.6 million reported in FY2018. As a result of this profit and continued strong cash generation, the Company announced a fully franked interim dividend of 8 cents per share. The interim dividend was paid in March 2019 and represented a payout ratio of 13% first half revenue and 51% of net profit after tax for the six months. Since 2013 the Company has paid a total of \$367 million in fully franked dividends.

## Cash Position and Gold Sales

The Duketon project generated operating cash flow of \$89.3 million in the March 2019 quarter an increase from the \$76.4 million recorded in the previous quarter due to a higher delivered gold price. During the quarter, Regis sold 76,817 ounces of gold at an average price of A\$1,838 per ounce compared to 114,966 ounces at A\$1,718 per ounce in the December 2018 quarter. Physical gold sales were lower than the previous quarter due to the timing of gold deliveries at the end of the month which resulted in a significant balance of gold on hand at the end of the March quarter. There was a total of 25,236 ounces of gold on hand at the end of the quarter which was subsequently sold in early April 2019 at an average price of \$1,842 per ounce. The gold on hand at the end of December 2018 was 10,625 ounces.

The Company delivered gold into a combination of spot deferred contracts and at the prevailing spot price during the March 2019 quarter. The total hedging position at the end of the quarter was 438,303 ounces of forward contracts with an average delivery price of A\$1,592 per ounce.

At the end of the quarter Regis had \$186.6 million in cash and bullion, a decrease of \$20.1 million from the \$206.7 million held at 31 December 2018. This was after significant expenditure items including the \$40.6 million interim dividend payment; \$26.7 million on pre-stripping and pre-production costs on new and existing satellite projects and deferred waste; \$6.7 million on exploration and feasibility projects; \$11.2 million on income tax payments; \$9.1 million on land acquisitions; \$4.0m on TSF development at Tooheys Well; \$2.5m on additional crushing capacity at Gloster and \$2.8 million on Rosemont Underground. Graph 1 illustrates the movement in Regis' cash reserves over the quarter.



Graph 1. Waterfall graph illustrating the key changes in the cash and gold from Q2 to end Q3.

## Board and Senior Management changes

As already noted in (see release 20 Feb 2019) Mark Okeby resigned from the Board during the quarter. Replacement candidates are currently being considered in the broader context of Board renewal and succession planning.

After nearly 10 years of service with Regis Resources the company CFO and Co Sec, Kim Massey, has given notice of his intention to leave the company by the end of the current financial year. The Board greatly appreciates the work that Mr Massey has done to support the Company over his time and wishes him well with his future endeavours. The Company has commenced a wide-ranging search for a replacement.

## Production and Cost Guidance

As a result of this solid ongoing performance the full year production guidance for FY2019 continues to be above the midpoint of the annual production guidance of 340,000-370,000 ounces. This production will be accompanied with a strong cost control effort that sees our AISC expected to come in well below the midpoint of our guidance for annual AISC of \$985-\$1,055 per ounce.

## ROSEMONT UNDERGROUND PROJECT

---

Underground mine development at the Rosemont Gold Project commenced in the March 2019 quarter with portal development at the southern end of the Rosemont Main open pit beginning in February 2019. The mine decline is currently advanced to over 150 metres and it is expected that first ore will be mined in the September 2019 quarter.




Figure 1 – Rosemont UG decline development face.

As announced in April 2019 (see ASX release 15 April 2019) an updated Mineral Resource estimate of 1.7Mt at a grade of 5.6 g/t Au for 314,000 ounces of gold has been completed. This is a 37% increase in contained ounces from the previous underground Mineral Resource estimate completed in March 2018 (1.4Mt @ 5.1 g/t Au for 230koz) and includes an Indicated Mineral Resource estimate of 0.9Mt @ 5.5 g/t Au for 169,000 ounces which is the subject of the maiden ore reserve.

The increase in total resources and confidence is the result of further extensional and infill RC and diamond drilling completed subsequent to the original resource estimate. Significantly, the Rosemont Central zone drilling has defined an Inferred Mineral Resource of 0.2Mt @ 7.5 g/t Au for 50koz and is consistent with the long-held view that the full extent of the Rosemont underground has considerable way to go before meeting its hoped-for full potential.

This expected improvement in the update of the Mineral Resource estimate was used as the basis for a Pre-Feasibility Study (“PFS”), which highlights three separate zones to be extracted, being Rosemont South, Rosemont Central and Rosemont Main for which mine development has commenced (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Underground mine design showing location of new Central Zone.

The Maiden Ore Reserve estimate for Rosemont Underground is 0.6Mt @ 6.4 g/t Au for 123,000 ounces and was based on an economic evaluation of Indicated resource material only. Inferred resources have been used in the PFS mine plan, however, have not been used in supporting the viability of the Ore Reserve.

Following the completion of further extensional and infill RC and diamond drilling, including the resource definition drilling at Rosemont Central a PFS was completed by independent mining consultants, Mining Plus. The PFS utilised mining rates as defined in the recently awarded Barmenco mining contract, modified mine designs and schedules to reflect the changes to the resource estimate and updated metallurgical recovery estimates following the completion of additional testwork.

There are two mining methods proposed to extract ore from the Rosemont Underground deposit. Rosemont South and Rosemont Central are planned to be extracted using a top-down long-hole open stoping method with no backfill, and with pillars to be left for support. Rosemont Main is also planned to be extracted using a long-hole open stoping method, however sequenced as bottom-up and to be filled using a combination of cemented rock fill and waste rock fill to allow a full extraction of the higher-grade orebody. Table 1 shows the key physicals from the PFS life of mine plan by zone.

	Rosemont South	Rosemont Central	Rosemont Main	Combined
Mined Tonnes	984kt	405kt	580kt	<b>1,969kt</b>
Mined Grade	3.1g/t	3.7g/t	5.4g/t	<b>3.9g/t</b>
Mined Ounces	98koz	48koz	100koz	<b>246koz</b>
Total Development	11.0km	6.9km	6.1km	24.0km

Table 1: PFS life of mine plan by zone

The PFS study returned increased ore tonnes, increased grade and increased ounces for a longer mine life with lower average AISC compared to the Mining Study (ASX announcement 3 August 2018). The infill drilling has resulted in a significant portion of early gold production now being in ore reserves which assists in de-risking the first years of production. Table 2 details key PFS metrics compared with the original mining study.

Mining rates of the expanded operation will continue to be around 2.1Mtpa with the underground component of this being in the range of 480-600ktpa which is estimated to contribute a 35-45kozpa uplift on Rosemont’s production.

	Pre-Feasibility Study (current)	Mining Study (August 2018)
Tonnes Mined	1,969kt	1,811kt
Diluted Mine Grade	3.9g/t	3.7g/t
In situ gold mined	246koz	214koz
Mill Recovery	93.4%	97%
Gold Produced	230koz	208koz
<b>Mining Statistics</b>		
Commence Portal	March Q 2019	March Q 2019
First Development tonnes	Sep Q 2019	Sep Q 2019
First Production tonnes	Mar Q 2020	Dec Q 2019
Mine Life	58 months	49 months
Average Mining Rate	480-600ktpa	480-600ktpa
<b>Commencement Capital</b>		
	<b>\$35.5m</b>	<b>\$39.1</b>
<b>Maximum Cash Outflow</b>		
	<b>\$41.1</b>	<b>\$38.5m</b>
<b>Operating Cost</b>		
Mine Development Capital	\$194/oz	\$158/oz
Mining	\$722/oz	\$790/oz
Milling	\$130/oz	\$132/oz
Royalty	\$74/oz	\$74/oz
<b>AISC</b>	<b>\$1,120/oz</b>	<b>\$1,154/oz</b>

Table 2: PFS compared with August 2018 Mining Study Statistics

## McPHILLAMYS GOLD PROJECT

The 100% Regis owned McPhillamys Gold Project (MGP), located in New South Wales, is one of Australia's larger undeveloped open pittable gold resources. The project is located 250 kilometres west of Sydney in a well-established mining district. In September 2017 Regis reported a reserve of 60.1 Mt @ 1.05 g/t Au for 2.03 Moz.




Figure 3. McPhillamys Gold Project location and NSW tenure.

## **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)**

As noted previously the EIS is an extensive, detailed, factual and scientifically based assessment prepared by specialist expert consultants. It details the impacts that the project may have on the area associated with it. This includes environmental, social and economic impacts. The Company continued the detailed work required to submit the Development Application, which includes the EIS. The company continues to expect that it will be in a position to submit the final EIS to the Department of Planning and Environment for assessment, late in the June 2019 quarter.

The Community Consultative Committee (CCC) was established in the December 2018 quarter and the second meeting was held in the March 2019 quarter. This forum provides the important formal and balanced communication platform for the broader community, via the independent committee, for discussion of specific issues and concerns relating to the development and operation of this State Significant Project with Company. The committee is made up of a government appointed independent Chair, nominated community members along with local Council and company representatives.

As part of the ongoing EIS work, Regis continued its extensive community consultation during the quarter through direct meetings, distribution of community information sheets and a number of events in the local and wider community.

## **Process Water Supply**

Regis continued to progress and refine the pipeline route access to utilise recycled water from the Mt Piper Power Station and Centennial Mine near Lithgow. This is one of the two long term water supply options for the project. Negotiations continued on the formal water offtake agreement with Centennial Coal Company Limited and Energy Australia Pty Ltd for Regis to utilise this water.

Regis also continues to hold approximately 4.5GLpa of ground water access licences in a zone of the Lachlan catchment, approximately 80 kilometres from MGP as an alternative water supply.

## **Development Outlook**

The Definitive Feasibility Study (DFS) is progressing and will be completed subsequent to the submission of the EIS as it needs to incorporate any additional requirements for project development emanating from the Development Application process. The DFS will further define the operating parameters, estimated capital and operating costs and a development timetable (subject to completion of permitting).

The Pre-Feasibility Study (PFS), completed in September 2017 to support the maiden reserve estimate for McPhillamys, contemplated a development capital cost in the order of \$215 million +/- 25%. As noted in previous updates, whilst the EIS and DFS work is continuing and finalisation is still subject to numerous variables, it is now expected the updated development capital costs for the McPhillamys project will have a final range around the upper end of the PFS estimate.

An additional change in scope for the DFS will be the consideration in the study of the exciting Discovery Ridge satellite project (located 32 kilometres away from McPhillamys) where recent drilling confirmed the significant potential of this project. Discovery Ridge continues to shape up as a very significant additional value proposition for the McPhillamys project and work is currently underway on a maiden reserve estimate.

Should Discovery Ridge prove to be as value enhancing as currently expected, it is anticipated that no wet processing will occur on the site with only mining and potentially primary crushing occurring there. All other crushing, processing, gold recovery and tailing disposal would occur at the McPhillamys site. For this reason, the potential additional requirements at the McPhillamys site have been taken into account in the McPhillamys EIS application. In addition, for efficiency, some of the key extra works required at McPhillamys are being considered for inclusion in the McPhillamys DFS scope.

It is expected that Discovery Ridge will be subject to a separate EIS and approvals process and it is contemplated this will likely be undertaken whilst the McPhillamys is in development.

## DUKETON EXPLORATION

Regis controls a significant tenement package across the majority of the Duketon Greenstone Belt (DGB). The tenement holding encompasses 195 granted exploration, prospecting and mining leases, across nearly 1000km<sup>2</sup>; and 6 exploration licence applications over 227km<sup>2</sup>.

During the March 2019 quarter a total of 41,253 drill metres was completed. This work focused on resource infill drilling at Moolart Well, diamond drilling at Garden Well South, ore definition drilling at Pleco, and drilling at depth for extensions to gold mineralisation at Baneygo beneath reserve pit designs. Near mine exploration drilling was also conducted across some key project areas. Figure 4 below highlights prospects and satellite deposits drilled during the March quarter.




Figure 4. Satellite deposits and gold prospects of the Duketon tenement package. Prospects marked in red were drilled this quarter.



## Rosemont Underground Resource

RC drilling continued during the quarter to infill and test for extension to gold mineralisation in the south and central zones. DD was completed in the central zone during the quarter to infill gaps in the RC drilling <100m below the pit design and provide geotechnical data for the portal access and underground development design.

Assays were received for 19 RC holes and 3 DD holes. Significant results were received for both resource infill and extension RC drilling at Rosemont during the quarter. The following results are located outside the current Underground Resource:

- 4m @ 3.8 g/t Au from 140m RRLRMRC859 (Central zone)
- 1m @ 12.2 g/t Au from 453m RRLRMRC859
- 3m @ 14.6 g/t Au from 413m RRLRMRC867 (Central zone)
- 1m @ 17.8 g/t Au from 441m RRLRMRC867
- 1m @ 11.8 g/t Au from 452m RRLRMRC867
- 1m @ 32.5 g/t Au from 527m RRLRMRC868 (Central zone)

*All hole azimuths and dips are in Appendix 2 to this report. All intercepts calculated using a 2.0 g/t Au lower cut, no upper cut, maximum 2m internal dilution. All assays determined on 1m split samples by fire assay. All Diamond drill assays determined on half core (NQ2) samples by fire assay.*

The DD and RC drilling results received during the quarter contributed to a 37% increase in the Mineral Resource estimate from 1.4Mt @ 5.1 g/t Au for 230koz to 1.7Mt @ 5.6 g/t Au for 314koz. The drilling also provided sufficient data for a Maiden Ore Reserve estimate of 0.6Mt @ 6.4 g/t Au for 123koz. The resource is contained within a quartz dolerite unit (that varies in thickness from 5 metres to >100m wide) and consists of four orebodies located over 2 strike kilometres extending from the base of the final pit design to 400m below surface as follows: Rosemont Main 0.4Mt @ 8.5 g/t Au for 110koz, Rosemont Central 0.2Mt @ 7.5 g/t Au for 50koz and Rosemont South & South Extension 1.2Mt @ 4.2 g/t Au for 153koz (ASX announcement 15<sup>th</sup> April 2019).

The drilling focused on the Central zone with the aim to extend the resources from the Main zone to the South zone, and the South zone to test for extension to gold mineralisation at depth.

Drilling to date indicates all underground orebodies are open along strike and down plunge. Drilling at Rosemont will continue from underground once an exploration drill cuddy is established, to infill gaps in drilling between development stopes and explore along strike to extend existing reserves and explore for extensions to mineralisation and new ore shoots at depth below the current Underground Resource envelopes.




Figure 5. Long section looking west shows current pit design and new drill intercepts in relation to planned UG development. Note inset as above showing the location relative to existing planned development.

## Rosemont Seismic Exploration

A two dimensional (2D) high resolution seismic reflection survey was completed at Rosemont in the December 2018 quarter. This was undertaken to determine if seismic imaging would be a suitable method for identifying the quartz dolerite and major geological features controlling gold mineralisation at depth. The 12km survey line was completed at the southern end of the Rosemont Gold deposit. The survey line was designed to image the mineralised quartz dolerite, below the south pit and underground orebody.

While a full assessment is still underway, the most immediate, significant feature identified in the imaging is a strong moderate east dipping reflector that appears to start 4km below surface and intersects the mineralised quartz dolerite at approximately 1km below surface. This reflective feature is interpreted to be a low angle fault and potentially the feeder structure that provided a pathway for gold mineralising fluids at Rosemont. The interpreted gold feeder structure and the intersection with the gold mineralised quartz dolerite will be tested with diamond drilling (Figure 6). Diamond drilling from surface will commence toward the end of the June 2019 quarter.




Figure 6. Seismic Section across Rosemont South shows the strong, moderate east dipping reflector and drill holes targeting the potential gold feeder structure.

## Garden Well Underground Project

Diamond drilling continued at the southern end of Garden Well open pit mine to test the down plunge continuity of high-grade gold mineralisation located to the south and below the final pit design on a spacing of 80m x 40m and 40m x 40m.

The southern high-grade shoot measures 4-10m true width across strike and up to 200 metres north-south along strike. The zones of mineralisation sit between 100-400m below surface, dip to the east and are open at depth to the south (Figure 7). Multiple high-grade shoots have been identified along strike further north, below the final pit design and will be drill tested during the June 2019 quarter.

Drilling during the quarter was designed to test the southern high-grade shoot between 200m and 500m below surface. A total of 7 RCD or DD holes (RRLGDDD127-131, RRLGDRCD638 and 640) were completed for 3,601m.

Results continue to show significant widths and grades of gold mineralisation, demonstrating the potential for a maiden underground resource. Significant results from diamond drilling for the March 2019 quarter include:

- 4.1m @ 2.9 g/t Au from 230m RRLGDDD126
- 4.3m @ 3.5 g/t Au from 238.2m RRLGDDD126
- 14m @ 4.3 g/t Au from 384m RRLGDDD128
- 6m @ 6.2 g/t Au from 401m RRLGDDD128
- 2.8m @ 4.3 g/t Au from 282m RRLGDDD130
- 5m @ 3.8 g/t Au from 299m RRLGDDD130
- 4.8m @ 5.5 g/t Au from 379.2m RRLGDDD131

Hole azimuths and dips for all holes are in Appendix 2 to this report. All intercepts calculated using a 2.0 g/t Au lower cut, no upper cut, maximum 2m internal dilution. All Diamond drill assays determined on half core (NQ2) samples by fire assay.




Figure 7. Long section looking west. Section showing high grade intercepts beneath the current pit design

### Moolart Well Gold Mine

An extensive AC and RC drill programme continued during the March 2019 quarter at Moolart Well aiming to:

- test for shallow oxide resources;
- test down dip extensions of gold mineralisation beneath existing pits; and
- increase the drill density in the existing resource envelopes in order to convert additional resources to reserves.

During the quarter a total of 159 AC and 165 RC holes for 12,725m were completed. Significant results were received with assay results below intersected outside the current quoted resource shell:

- 4m @ 3.2 g/t Au from 36m RRLMWAC3322
- 4m @ 2.7 g/t Au from 56m RRLMWAC3372
- 12m @ 1.4 g/t Au from 64m RRLMWAC3385
- 5m @ 2.4 g/t Au from 86m RRLMWRC1799
- 9m @ 2.6 g/t Au from 91m RRLMWRC1800

*Hole azimuths and dips for all holes are in Appendix 2 to this report. All intercepts calculated using a 0.5 g/t Au lower cut, no upper cut, maximum 2m internal dilution. All assays determined on 4m composite or 1m split samples by fire assay.*

Resource updates and pit optimisations will be completed in the June 2019 quarter with a view to adding to the Ore Reserves at the Moolart Well operation.

Exploration drilling at Moolart Well to date has been effective for defining significant shallow laterite and oxide gold deposits with the potential for hypogene gold mineralisation in fresh rock remaining largely untested. Diamond drilling beneath the existing oxide pits will commence in the June 2019 quarter, designed to provide sufficient geological data over a 3.5km strike in order to develop a better understanding of the source of the substantial oxide gold and to refine a robust geological model for Moolart Well in order to target gold mineralisation at depth and to investigate the potential for underground resources.

### **Baneygo Area Project**

The Baneygo Area Project (Baneygo) is located 15km south and along strike of the Rosemont Gold Mine and has a Mineral Resource of 11Mt @ 0.96 g/t Au for 340koz, including Ore Reserves of 4Mt @ 1.22 g/t Au for 158koz. Gold mineralisation at Baneygo extends over 5 strike kms and is hosted in quartz dolerite which has intruded a sequence of mafic-ultramafic-sedimentary units. The deposits are similar in style to the Rosemont Gold deposit, with mineralisation confined to the quartz dolerite.

Drilling to the end of 2018 tested the Baneygo area for extensions to the open pit oxide resources. Drilling during the March 2019 quarter focused on deeper drilling to test the continuity of high-grade gold mineralisation in fresh rock beneath the open pit designs.

Very encouraging results were received from the first area tested below Baneygo Central. These included:

- 12m @ 3.0 g/t Au from 169m RRLBYRC548
- 6m @ 3.9 g/t Au from 204m RRLBYRC549
- 3m @ 7.6 g/t Au from 295m RRLBYRC551
- 1m @ 27.6 g/t Au from 181m RRLBYRC552
- 2m @ 6.6 g/t Au from 180m RRLBYRC553
- 1m @ 16.7 g/t Au from 187m RRLBYRC553
- 1m @ 10.3 g/t Au from 120m RRLBYRC554
- 7m @ 3.7 g/t Au from 146m RRLBYRC555
- 8m @ 12.3 g/t Au from 138m RRLBYRC556
- 10m @ 6.9 g/t Au from 157m RRLBYRC556
- 1m @ 18.2 g/t Au from 146m RRLBYRC559
- 4m @ 8.1 g/t Au from 189m RRLBYRC559

*Hole azimuths and dips for all holes are in Appendix 2 to this report. All intercepts calculated using a 2.0 g/t Au lower cut, no upper cut, maximum 2m internal dilution. All assays determined on 1m split samples by fire assay.*




Figure 8 – Long section looking west. Baneygo Central with significant intercepts beneath current pit design

RC drilling beneath the final pit designs will continue across the entire 5km strike extent of the Baneygo gold deposit in order to assess the potential for multiple high-grade shoots with appropriate tenor for underground development.

### Other Duketon Belt Prospects

During the March 2019 quarter 120 AC holes and 55 RC holes were drilled for 15,184m across high priority regional and near mine areas to define additional gold resources close to existing infrastructure.

The most encouraging results were received for Pleco located 2km north along strike of the Garden Well Mine. The geology of Pleco is similar to Garden Well with a sequence of east dipping, tightly folded and strongly sheared mafic-ultramafic-sedimentary units overlain by 10m of palaeochannel clays (Figure 9). Gold mineralisation at Pleco is localised within a strongly sheared ultramafic up to 10m thick with associated quartz-carbonate veins, pyrite, and fuchsite-carbonate-silica alteration.

Drilling during the March 2019 quarter has reduced drill spacing to 40m x 20m across the 1.5 km mineralised strike length. A resource estimation for Pleco will be conducted during the June 2019 quarter to determine the viability for a minor satellite oxide deposit to Garden Well. Significant intercepts received from AC and RC drilling are listed below:

- |                              |            |
|------------------------------|------------|
| • 9m @ 1.0 g/t Au from 27m   | RRLPLAC056 |
| • 4m @ 12.5 g/t Au from 40m  | RRLPLAC056 |
| • 6m @ 6.7 g/t Au from 45m   | RRLPLAC058 |
| • 3m @ 24.5 g/t Au from 98m  | RRLPLRC039 |
| • 4m @ 2.1 g/t Au from 45m   | RRLPLRC042 |
| • 2m @ 10.5 g/t Au from 100m | RRLPLRC052 |
| • 1m @ 13.7 g/t Au from 61m  | RRLPLRC053 |
| • 9m @ 1.0 g/t Au from 95m   | RRLPLRC057 |
| • 3m @ 45.8 g/t Au from 66m  | RRLPLRC065 |
| • 5m @ 2.3 g/t Au from 72m   | RRLPLRC065 |
| • 2m @ 4.3 g/t Au from 146m  | RRLPLRC065 |
| • 2m @ 4.2 g/t Au from 64m   | RRLPLRC076 |
| • 16m @ 0.9 g/t Au from 69m  | RRLPLRC076 |
| • 14m @ 0.7 g/t Au from 89m  | RRLPLRC077 |

Hole azimuths and dips for all holes are in Appendix 2 to this report. All intercepts calculated using a 0.5 g/t Au lower cut, no upper cut, maximum 2m consecutive internal dilution. All assays determined on 1m split samples by fire assay.

Anomalous assays received for all gold deposits and other gold prospects drilled during the December 2018 and March 2019 quarters are included in Appendix 2.




Figure 9 – Plan of Pleco drilling with significant intercepts

## **COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT**

---

The information in this report that relates to exploration results is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation that has been compiled by Ms Tara French who is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Ms French has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which she is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australasian Code for the Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Ms French is a full-time employee of Regis Resources Ltd and consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on her information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to the Company's Resources and Ore Reserves (other than Rosemont Underground Resource and Ore Reserve) is extracted from the ASX announcement released on 27 July 2018 entitled "Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve Statement as at 31 March 2018". The information in this report that relates to the Rosemont Underground Resource and Ore Reserve is extracted from the ASX announcement released on 15 April 2019 and entitled "Rosemont Underground Mine Underway and Pre-Feasibility Study Delivers Increased Ounces and Lower AISC". Competent Person's consents were obtained for both announcements.

The reports are available to view on the ASX website and on the Company's website at [www.regisresources.com.au](http://www.regisresources.com.au). The Company confirms it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement, and, in the case of estimates of Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves, that all market assumptions and technical assumptions underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed.

The Competent Person's consents remain in place for subsequent releases by the Company of the same information in the same form and context, until the consent is withdrawn or replaced by a subsequent report and accompanying consent.

## **FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**

---

This ASX announcement may contain forward looking statements that are subject to risk factors associated with gold exploration, mining and production businesses. It is believed that the expectations reflected in these statements are reasonable but they may be affected by a variety of variables and changes in underlying assumptions which could cause actual results or trends to differ materially, including but not limited to price fluctuations, actual demand, currency fluctuations, drilling and production results, Reserve estimations, loss of market, industry competition, environmental risks, physical risks, legislative, fiscal and regulatory changes, economic and financial market conditions in various countries and regions, political risks, project delay or advancement, approvals and cost estimates.

Forward-looking statements, including projections, forecasts and estimates, are provided as a general guide only and should not be relied on as an indication or guarantee of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside the control of Regis Resources Ltd. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance and no representation or warranty is made as to the likelihood of achievement or reasonableness of any forward looking statements or other forecast.

## CORPORATE DIRECTORY

**Regis Resources Ltd** (ACN 009 174 761)

### Registered Office

Second Floor, 516 Hay Street  
Subiaco, WA Australia 6008  
Tel +618 9442 2200 Fax +618 9442 2290

**Website** [www.regisresources.com](http://www.regisresources.com)  
**Email** [enquiries@regisresources.com](mailto:enquiries@regisresources.com)

### Directors

Mr Jim Beyer (Managing Director)  
Mr James Mactier (Non-Executive Chairman)  
Mr Paul Thomas (Executive Director)  
Mr Ross Kestel (Non-Executive Director)  
Mrs Fiona Morgan (Non-Executive Director)

### Company Secretary and CFO

Mr Kim Massey

### Share Registry

Computershare Ltd  
GPO Box D182  
Perth WA 6840  
Shareholder Enquiries: 1300 557 010 (local) +613 9415 4000 (international)

### ASX Listed Securities (as at 31 March 2019)

Security	Code	No. Quoted
Ordinary Shares	RRL	507,824,460



## APPENDIX 1

### JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

#### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	<p><b>Gold Projects</b></p> <p><b>Rosemont</b> The Rosemont gold deposit was sampled using Reverse Circulation (RC), HQ, NQ2 Diamond (DD) drill holes on a nominal 40m east by 40m north, or 20m east by 20m north grid spacing angled -29° to -80° towards 257° or 074° azimuth.</p> <p><b>Garden Well</b> The Garden Well gold deposit was sampled using PQ3 and NQ2 Diamond drill (DD) holes on a nominal 20m east by 40m north grid spacing angled -55° to -63° towards 270° azimuth.</p> <p><b>Moolart Well, Tooheys Well, Baneygo, Pleco</b> The gold projects above were sampled using Air Core (AC) or Reverse Circulation (RC) drill holes on various grid spacings angled -58° to -90° to varying azimuths designed to drill perpendicular to the strike of mineralisation.</p> <p><b>Other Regional Prospects (Golden Pig, White Well)</b> The Regional Prospects were sampled using Air Core (AC) drill holes or Reverse Circulation (RC) drill holes on various grid spacings angled -60° towards varying azimuths designed to drill as close as possible to perpendicular to the strike of mineralisation.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	<p><b>Regional Prospects AC, RC</b> Regis drill hole collar locations were picked up by handheld GPS. Hole azimuths were measured at the collar using a Suunto sighting compass.</p> <p><b>All Gold Projects AC, RC, DD</b> Regis drill hole collar locations were picked up by an independent registered consulting surveyor or site-based authorised surveyors using Trimble RTK GPS. Downhole surveying was measured by using either a Reflex EZ-Shot Downhole Survey Instrument or North Seeking Gyro based tool where magnetic host rock would affect azimuth readings. The surveys were completed every 30m down each drill hole.</p> <p>Diamond drill core is aligned and measured by tape, comparing back to down hole core blocks consistent with industry practice.</p> <p>Regis drill hole sampling had certified standards and blanks inserted every 20<sup>th</sup> sample (DD only) or every 25<sup>th</sup> sample (RC and AC) to assess the accuracy and methodology of the external laboratories, and field duplicates (RC and AC only) were inserted every 20<sup>th</sup> sample to assess the repeatability and variability of the gold mineralisation. Laboratory duplicates were also completed approximately every 15<sup>th</sup> sample to assess the precision of the laboratory as well as the repeatability and variability of the gold mineralisation. Results of the QAQC sampling were considered acceptable.</p> <hr/> <p><b>All Gold Projects</b> For the Regis RC drilling, and AC drilling 1m samples were obtained by cone splitter (2.5kg – 3.0kg) and were utilised for lithology logging and assaying. The drilling samples were dried, crushed and pulverised to get 85% passing 75µm and were all Fire Assayed using a 50g charge.</p> <p><b>All Gold Projects DD</b> Diamond drilling completed to industry standard using varying sample lengths (0.3 to 1.3m) based on geological intervals, which are then dried, crushed and pulverised to get 85% passing 75µm and were all Fire Assayed using a 50g charge (Bureau Veritas).</p> <p><b>Other Regional Prospects AC</b> For AC drilling 1m spear samples were composited to 4m intervals. The drilling samples were dried, crushed and pulverised to get 85% passing 75µm and were analysed with an Aqua Regia Digest using a 10g charge. Anomalous results from 4m AC drill composites were spear sampled at 1m intervals. These drill samples were dried, crushed and pulverised to get 85% passing 75µm and were all Fire Assayed using a 50g charge.</p> <p><b>Other Regional Prospects RC</b> For the Regis RC drilling 1m samples were obtained by cone splitter (2.5kg – 3.0kg) and were utilised for lithology logging and assaying. The drilling samples were dried, crushed and pulverised to get 85% passing 75µm and were all Fire Assayed using a 50g charge.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Drilling techniques</i>	<i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is orientated and if so, by what method, etc.).</i>	<p><b>All Gold Projects/Prospects RC and AC drilling</b> RC drilling completed with a 139mm or 143mm diameter face sampling hammer. AC drilling was completed with an 89mm diameter AC blade bit.</p> <p><b>Garden Well and Rosemont DD</b> Surface diamond drilling carried out by using NQ3, HQ3, or PQ3 (triple tube) and NQ, NQ2 or HQ2 (standard tube) techniques. Core is routinely orientated by REFLEX ACT III tool.</p>
<i>Drill sample recovery</i>	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i>	<p><b>All Gold Projects/Prospects RC and AC drilling</b> RC and AC recovery was visually assessed, with recovery being excellent except in some wet intervals which are recorded on logs. 3.7% AC, 0% RC within the mineralised zones (&gt;0.5g/t) have been recorded as wet.</p> <p><b>Garden Well and Rosemont DD</b> DD core was measured and compared to the drilled intervals, and recorded as a percentage recovery. Average recovery of 96% was recorded through the mineralised zones (&gt;0.5g/t).</p>
	<i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	<p><b>All Gold Projects/Prospects RC and AC drilling</b> AC and RC samples were visually checked for recovery, moisture and contamination. The drilling contractor utilised a cone splitter to provide uniform sample size, and these were cleaned routinely (cleaned at the end of each rod and more frequently in wet conditions). A booster was also used in conjunction with the RC drill rig to ensure dry samples are achieved.</p> <p><b>Garden Well and Rosemont DD</b> The target mineralised zones are located in competent fresh rock, where the DD method provided high recovery.</p>
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	<p><b>All Gold Projects/Prospects RC and AC drilling</b> Sample recoveries for RC and AC drilling are visually estimated to be medium to high. No significant bias is expected in the mineralised zone, although no recovery and grade correlation study was completed.</p> <p><b>Garden Well and Rosemont DD</b> The DD drill sample recovery in the transitional and fresh rock zones is very high, and no significant bias is expected. Recoveries in the oxidised rock were lower.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	<p><b>All Gold Projects/Prospects RC and AC drilling</b> Lithology, alteration, veining, mineralisation and, on some holes, magnetic susceptibility were logged from the RC and AC chips and saved in the database. Chips from every interval are also placed in chip trays and stored in a designated building at site for future reference.</p> <p><b>Garden Well and Rosemont DD</b> Lithology, alteration, veining, mineralisation and geotechnical information were logged from the DD core and saved in the database. Half cores from every interval are also retained in the core trays and stored in a designated building at site for future reference.</p>
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.</i>	All logging is qualitative except for magnetic susceptibility and geotechnical measurements. Wet and dry photographs were completed on the core.
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	All drill holes are logged in full.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	<p><b>Garden Well and Rosemont DD</b> Core was half cut with an almonte diamond core saw with the same half always sampled and the surplus retained in the core trays.</p>
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	RC and AC drilling utilised a cyclone and cone splitter to consistently produce 0.5kg to 3.0kg dry samples.
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	Samples are dried, crushed to 10mm, and then pulverised to 85% passing 75µm. This is considered acceptable.
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	<p><b>All Gold Projects AC &amp; RC</b> Field duplicates (RC, AC) were inserted every 20th sample to assess the repeatability and variability of the gold mineralisation. Laboratory duplicates were also completed roughly every 15th sample to assess the repeatability and variability of the gold mineralisation.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p>	<p>Field duplicates (RC, AC) were taken at the rig from a second chute on the cone splitter allowing for the duplicate and main sample to be the same size and sampling technique. Field duplicates are taken every 20th sample. Laboratory duplicates (sample preparation split) were also completed roughly every 15th sample.</p> <p>Field duplicates on diamond core, i.e. other half of cut core, have not been routinely assayed.</p>
	<p><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></p>	<p>Sample sizes (1.0kg to 3kg) are considered to be a sufficient size to accurately represent the gold mineralisation based on the mineralisation style (hypogene associated with shearing, and supergene enrichment), the width and continuity of the intersections, the sampling methodology, the coarse gold variability and the assay ranges for the gold.</p> <p>Field duplicates have routinely been collected to ensure monitoring of the sub-sampling quality. Acceptable precision and accuracy are noted in the field duplicates albeit the precision is marginally acceptable and consistent with coarse gold deposits.</p>
<p><i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i></p>	<p><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p>	<p><b>All Gold Projects AC &amp; RC</b> All gold assaying was completed by external commercial laboratories (Bureau Veritas) using a 50g charge for fire assay analysis with AAS finish. This technique is industry standard for gold and considered appropriate.</p> <p><b>Garden Well and Rosemont DD</b> All gold assaying was completed by commercial laboratories (Bureau Veritas) using a 50g charge for fire assay analysis with AAS finish. This technique is industry standard for gold and considered appropriate.</p> <p><b>Other Regional Prospects RC/AC</b> All gold assaying was completed by commercial laboratories (Bureau Veritas) using a 10g charge for aqua regia digest for 4m composite AC samples. 1m RC samples and 1m AC re-samples are assayed by a commercial laboratory (Bureau Veritas) using a 50g charge for fire assay analysis with AAS finish.</p>
	<p><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc..</i></p>	<p>Apart from magnetic susceptibility in targeted zones, no other geophysical measurements were routinely made.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<p><b>All Gold Projects AC &amp; RC</b></p> <p>Certified Reference Material (CRM or standards) and blanks were inserted every 25th sample to assess the assaying accuracy of the external laboratories. Field duplicates (RC, AC) were inserted every 20th sample to assess the repeatability from the field and variability of the gold mineralisation. Laboratory duplicates were also completed approximately every 15th sample to assess the precision of assaying.</p> <p>Evaluation of both the Regis submitted standards, and the internal laboratory quality control data, indicates assaying to be accurate and without significant drift for significant time periods. Excluding obvious errors, the vast majority of the CRM assaying report shows no consistent positive or negative overall mean bias. Duplicate assays show high levels of correlation and no apparent bias between the duplicate pairs. Field duplicate samples show marginally acceptable levels of correlation and no relative bias.</p> <p>Results of the QAQC sampling were considered acceptable for the deposits. Substantial focus has been given to ensuring sampling procedures met industry best practise to ensure acceptable levels of accuracy and precision were achieved in a coarse gold environment.</p>
<p><i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i></p>	<p><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></p>	<p>No independent personnel have visually inspected the significant intersections in RC chips or diamond drill core. Numerous highly qualified and experienced company personnel from exploration and production positions have visually inspected the significant intersections in RC chips and diamond drill core.</p>
	<p><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></p>	<p>No twinning of holes was completed in the current quarter.</p> <p>Several DD holes were drilled at Rosemont in close proximity to RC holes. Several DD holes were drilled at Garden Well in close proximity to RC holes.</p> <p>In all cases gold grades and widths of mineralisation were considered comparable between drill sample types.</p>
	<p><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p>	<p>All geological and field data is entered into Logchief commercial software only allowing data to be entered using the Regis geological code system and sample protocol. Logchief data is validated and uploaded directly to the Datashed database.</p>
	<p><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></p>	<p>For the purpose of resource estimation any samples not assayed (i.e. destroyed in processing, listed not received) have had the assay value converted to a -9 in the database. Any samples assayed below detection limit (0.01 ppm Au) have been converted to 0.005 ppm (half detection limit) in the database.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Location of data points</i>	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	<p><b>All Gold Projects</b></p> <p>Regis drill hole collar locations were picked up by site-based authorized surveyors, or using Trimble RTK GPS, calibrated to a base station (expected accuracy of 20mm).</p> <p>Downhole surveying was measured by using either a Reflex EZ-Shot Downhole Survey Instrument or North Seeking Gyro based tool where magnetic host rock would affect azimuth readings</p> <p>The surveys were completed every 30m down each drill hole.</p>
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	<p><b>WA Gold Projects</b></p> <p>The grid system is and AMG Zone 51 (AGD 84) for surveying pickups. Modelling at Rosemont and the Baneygo Area is completed using a local grid, with conversion of digital data from AMG to local completed using macros.</p>
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	The topographic surface for all projects were derived from a combination of the primary drill hole pickups and the pre-existing photogrammetric contouring.
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	<p><b>Moolart Well</b></p> <p>Current plan has reduced sample spacing to 25m x 25m in selected parts of the deposit.</p> <p><b>All other WA Gold Projects</b></p> <p>The drilling completed this period was planned to reduce the effective spacing to 40 metres (east) by 40 metres north or 20 metres (east) by 20 metres (north).</p> <p><b>Regional Prospects</b></p> <p>Regional Prospects are generally drilled on a broad line spacing 320m to 160m with drill holes spacing from 80m to 20m depending on the style of mineralisation and width of target.</p>
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	The planned data spacing and distribution is sufficient to demonstrate spatial and grade continuity of the mineralised domains to support the definition of Inferred and Indicated Mineral Resources under the 2012 JORC code once all other modifying factors have been addressed.
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	<p><b>All Gold Projects</b></p> <p>No sample compositing has been applied in the field within the mineralised zones.</p> <p><b>Regional Prospects</b></p> <p>All first pass AC or RC drill samples were collected at 1m samples and composited to 4m intervals.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	Drilling on all projects is orientated to best suit the mineralisation to be closely perpendicular to both the strike and dip of the mineralisation. Intercepts are close to true-width in most cases. In the case of Rosemont and Baneygo drill programmes, the orientation of mineralisation is sub vertical, as such the current drilling is designed to assist in refining ore geometry and therefore a more accurate estimate of true thickness. Drill orientation at Rosemont was adjusted as required to facilitate drilling around mine site infrastructure, and in some instances drill holes are at a high angle to the dip of mineralisation.
	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	It is not believed that drilling orientation has introduced a sampling bias.
<i>Sample security</i>	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Samples are securely sealed and stored onsite, until delivery to Perth laboratories via contract freight Transport. Chain of custody consignment notes and sample submission forms are sent with the samples. Sample submission forms are also emailed to the laboratory and are used to keep track of the sample batches.
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	No external audits on sampling techniques and data have been completed.



## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Section 2 contains relevant data on projects and prospects discussed in the main body text of the March 2019 Quarterly Report, or those included in Appendix 2 and considered to be material.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<p>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</p> <p>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</p>	<p><b>Rosemont</b></p> <p>The Rosemont project is located on M38/237, M38/250 &amp; M38/343. Current registered holders of the tenements are Regis Resources Ltd &amp; Duketon Resources Pty Ltd (100% subsidiary of Regis Resources Ltd). Area = 1683.2ha. Normal Western Australian state royalties apply plus there is a 2% Royalty to Franco Nevada. There are no registered Native Title Claims.</p> <p><b>Garden Well</b></p> <p>The Garden Well gold deposit is located on M38/1249, M38/1250, M38/283. Current registered holders of the tenements are: M38/1249 Regis Resources Ltd; M38/1250 and M38/283 Regis Resources Ltd and Duketon resources Pty Ltd (100% subsidiary of Regis Resources Ltd); 2% Royalty to Franco Nevada. Area = 2,739 ha. Normal Western Australian state royalties apply. There are no registered Native Title Claims.</p> <p><b>Moolart Well</b></p> <p>The Moolart Well Gold deposit is located on M38/498, M38/499, and M38/500. Current registered holders of the tenements are Regis Resources Ltd and Duketon Resources Pty Ltd (100% subsidiary of Regis Resources Ltd); Area = 2,267 ha. Normal Western Australian state royalties apply plus a 2% Royalty to Franco Nevada. There are no registered Native Title Claims.</p> <p><b>Baneygo Area</b></p> <p>M38/344 – Reg Holders, Regis Resources Ltd &amp; Duketon Resources Pty Ltd; Area 980.45ha; granted 23 April 1993; 2% Franco Nevada Royalty; no Native Title claims</p> <p><b>Tooheys Well</b></p> <p>The Tooheys Well prospect comprises M38/1251, an area of 9.109 km<sup>2</sup> (910.90 hectares). Normal Western Australian state royalties apply and a further 2% NSR royalty exists to a third party. Current registered holders of the tenements are Regis Resources Ltd and Duketon Resources Pty Ltd (100% subsidiary of Regis Resources). There are no registered Native Title Claims.</p> <p><b>Pleco</b></p> <p>The Pleco gold prospects are located on M38/1249 and M38/1250. Current registered holders of the tenements are: M38/1249 Regis Resources Ltd, M38/1250 Regis Resources Ltd and Duketon resources Pty Ltd (100% subsidiary of Regis Resources Ltd); 2% Royalty to Franco Nevada. Normal Western Australian state royalties apply. There are no registered Native Title Claims.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	<p><b>Rosemont &amp; Baneygo Area</b> Shallow drilling (less than 100m vertical depth) completed by Aurora, Ashton and Johnsons Well Mining in the 1990's.</p> <p><b>Moolart Well</b> Discovery drill holes by Normandy in early 2000s, Resource development drilling conducted by Newmont in early 2000s.</p> <p><b>Garden Well/Tooheys Well</b> Minor amounts of drilling by Ashton and Johnsons Well Mining was completed although it was mainly shallow and not extensive enough to properly define the mineralisation.</p> <p><b>Pleco</b> No historical drilling.</p>
<i>Geology</i>	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	<p><b>Rosemont &amp; Baneygo Area</b> Gold is hosted in a steeply east dipping 345° trending quartz-dolerite unit intruding an ultramafic sequence. Gold mineralisation is associated with quartz-carbonate-chlorite-sulphide alteration and is restricted to the quartz dolerite unit which is generally approximately 80m wide. Weathering depths vary from 20m to 50m vertical depth.</p> <p><b>Garden Well &amp; Pleco</b> Gold is hosted in a moderate east dipping shear zone trending N-S. Gold mineralisation within ultramafic is associated with quartz, fuchsite, sericite, carbonate, sulphides. Gold mineralisation within chert, shale and BIF is associated with brecciated zones including elevated sulphides and quartz veins.</p> <p><b>Moolart Well</b> Primary gold mineralisation at Moolart Well is associated with moderately east dipping N-S trending shear zones. The shear zones are closely related to diorite intrusives and rheology contrasts between units within the mine sequence of basalts/sediments, ultramafics, and dolerite sills.</p> <p><b>Tooheys Well</b> The geology is similar to Garden Well with gold hosted in a moderately east dipping North-South trending chert and fine-grained sediment unit. Gold mineralisation is associated with magnetite replacement in BIF and disseminated sulphides in chert.</p>
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i>	Refer to body of announcement and Appendix 2.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></p> <p><i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></p> <p><i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></p> <p><i>down hole length and interception depth</i></p> <p><i>hole length.</i></p> <p><i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></p>	
<p><i>Data aggregation methods</i></p>	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p> <p><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p> <p><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></p>	<p><b>Rosemont, Garden Well &amp; Baneygo</b> Reported intercepts include a minimum of 2.0 g/t Au value over a minimum distance of 0.1m with a maximum 2m consecutive internal waste. No upper cuts have been applied.</p> <p>All other Gold Projects and Prospects reported intercepts include a minimum of 0.5 g/t Au value over a minimum distance of 1m with a maximum 2m consecutive internal waste. No upper cuts have been applied.</p> <p><b>Appendix 2</b> All assay results above 1 g/t gold are reported.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i></p>	<p><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></p> <p><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></p>	<p><b>Rosemont &amp; Baneygo Area</b> The Rosemont drill holes were nominally drilled at -29° to -80° toward 254° (or 074). The Baneygo drill holes were nominally drilled at -58° to -69° toward 254°. The mineralised zone at both deposits is sub-vertical. Some intercepts reported are close to true width, steep angled holes are not true width where the mineralisation is sub vertical.</p> <p><b>Garden Well, Pleco, Tooheys Well</b> The Garden Well drill holes were drilled at -55 ° to -63° towards 270° and the mineralised zone is moderately east dipping. The intercepts reported are close to true width.</p> <p><b>Moolart Well</b> The Moolart Well drill holes were drilled at -55° to -90° towards 270° and the mineralized zone if moderately east dipping. The intercepts reported are close to true width.</p>
<p><i>Diagrams</i></p>	<p><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></p>	<p>Refer to the body of the announcement.</p>
<p><i>Balanced reporting</i></p>	<p><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p>	<p>A list of all holes drilled during the quarter attached in <b>Appendix 2</b>. All assay results above 1 g/t have been reported. Assay results below 1 g/t are not considered material and are reported as such.</p>
<p><i>Other substantive exploration data</i></p>	<p><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></p>	<p><b>Rosemont</b> Results were returned for a two dimensional (2D) high resolution seismic reflection survey was completed at Rosemont during the December 2018 Quarter. The seismic survey line was completed over 12km across strike at the southern end of the Rosemont Gold Deposit. The aim of the survey was to determine if seismic imaging could discriminate the quartz dolerite and any cross structures controlling mineralisation. The interpreted results of the 2D seismic survey line are very encouraging and show a number of faults that cut across the quartz dolerite that may control gold mineralisation at Rosemont. The most significant feature identified in the 2D seismic imaging is a strong moderate east dipping reflector. The strong reflective unit is interpreted to be a low angle listric fault and extends from 4 km below surface. This reflective unit is interpreted to intersect the sub-vertical quartz dolerite unit, which hosts the Rosemont gold deposit, at 800m below surface. This low angle fault is interpreted to be the feeder structure that provided a pathway for gold mineralising fluids. <b>(Refer to ASX Announcement for details)</b></p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p><b>Baneygo Area, Garden Well, Moolart Well, Tooheys Well, Pleco, and Crown</b> No other material exploration data to report.</p>
<p><i>Further work</i></p>	<p><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p> <hr/> <p><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></p>	<p><b>Rosemont</b> The strong reflective unit identified in the 2D seismic survey and the intersection with the gold mineralised quartz dolerite will be tested between 800m to 1200m below surface with diamond drilling from surface. In addition infill and where appropriate, extensional drilling will continue in 2019.</p> <p><b>Baneygo Area, Garden Well and Pleco.</b> Infill and where appropriate, extensional drilling will continue in 2019.</p> <hr/> <p>See diagrams in main text</p>

**APPENDIX 2**

**Gold Assay Results >1 g/t Au**

Baneygo Collar Location							Intersection >1.0 ppm Au and >1g/t Au*m			
Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLBYRC547	6906870	432423	502	-60	254	222	132	133	1	2.02
RRLBYRC547							145	148	3	2.86
RRLBYRC547							155	156	1	1.81
RRLBYRC547							168	186	18	1.23
RRLBYRC547							189	190	1	1.05
RRLBYRC548	6906830	432424	502	-60	254.5	222	120	121	1	2.2
RRLBYRC548							126	127	1	5.06
RRLBYRC548							148	150	2	2.37
RRLBYRC548							164	181	17	2.78
RRLBYRC548							197	198	1	1.01
RRLBYRC549	6906810	432424	502	-62	254.5	228	124	129	5	1.37
RRLBYRC549							160	163	3	1.84
RRLBYRC549							166	167	1	1.66
RRLBYRC549							172	173	1	7.58
RRLBYRC549							176	177	1	2.25
RRLBYRC549							180	189	9	1.6
RRLBYRC549							192	198	6	1.82
RRLBYRC549							202	220	18	2.61
RRLBYRC549							227	228	1	1.02
RRLBYRC550	6906777	432445	503	-68	254.5	300	121	122	1	3.14
RRLBYRC550							205	206	1	5.94
RRLBYRC550							212	213	1	1.05
RRLBYRC550							218	222	4	1.49
RRLBYRC550							237	242	5	1.91
RRLBYRC550							247	257	10	2.02
RRLBYRC550							286	287	1	1.21
RRLBYRC550							293	294	1	1.09
RRLBYRC551	6906735	432457	502	-68	254	306	186	187	1	2.09
RRLBYRC551							190	191	1	1.06

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLBYRC551							229	230	1	5.76
RRLBYRC551							295	298	3	7.64
RRLBYRC552	6906911	432413	501	-60	254	222	162	163	1	2.18
RRLBYRC552							169	170	1	1.64
RRLBYRC552							180	182	2	14.46
RRLBYRC552							186	187	1	2.06
RRLBYRC552							198	199	1	2.92
RRLBYRC553	6906747	432450	502	-63	254	246	171	172	1	1.64
RRLBYRC553							180	182	2	6.65
RRLBYRC553							187	189	2	8.91
RRLBYRC553							192	193	1	1.17
RRLBYRC553							197	198	1	3.79
RRLBYRC553							202	204	2	4.01
RRLBYRC553							208	209	1	1.59
RRLBYRC554	6906793	432439	502	-60	255	216	120	121	1	10.3
RRLBYRC554							164	165	1	1.53
RRLBYRC554							176	180	4	1.01
RRLBYRC554							186	187	1	7.8
RRLBYRC554							197	198	1	2.14
RRLBYRC555	6906899	432385	501	-60	254	174	113	114	1	2.05
RRLBYRC555							129	133	4	1.8
RRLBYRC555							136	137	1	1.73
RRLBYRC555							140	143	3	3.42
RRLBYRC555							146	154	8	3.42
RRLBYRC556	6906911	432427	502	-60	254	228	138	147	9	11.07
RRLBYRC556							152	153	1	1.34
RRLBYRC556							157	172	15	4.99
RRLBYRC556							187	194	7	1.39
RRLBYRC556							209	210	1	1.1
RRLBYRC557	6906891	432429	503	-60	254	228	No significant Intercept			
RRLBYRC558	6906896	432447	503	-60	253	282	No significant Intercept			

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLBYRC559	6906871	432433	503	-58	252	240	132	134	2	1.62
RRLBYRC559							140	141	1	1.12
RRLBYRC559							146	147	1	18.2
RRLBYRC559							170	171	1	2.82
RRLBYRC559							178	182	4	2.18
RRLBYRC559							189	193	4	8.06
RRLBYRC559							206	207	1	1.78
RRLBYRC559							211	226	15	1.6
RRLBYRC560	6906875	432447	503	-60	252	300	205	209	4	1.12
RRLBYRC560							218	219	1	1.5
RRLBYRC560							223	224	1	2.06
RRLBYRC560							240	241	1	1.36
RRLBYRC560							260	261	1	2
RRLBYRC561	6906813	432424	502	-66	254	306	117	119	2	1.64
RRLBYRC561							165	166	1	1.08
RRLBYRC561							170	174	4	1.16
RRLBYRC561							190	191	1	2.66
RRLBYRC561							198	199	1	7.08
RRLBYRC561							208	209	1	1.08
RRLBYRC561							215	216	1	2.5
RRLBYRC561							220	222	2	1.36
RRLBYRC561							227	228	1	1.11
RRLBYRC561							258	259	1	5.74
RRLBYRC562	6906853	432436	503	-60	252	264	130	133	3	2.1
RRLBYRC562							176	177	1	2.03
RRLBYRC562							183	186	3	1.4
RRLBYRC562							188	189	1	1.02
RRLBYRC562							195	196	1	1.54
RRLBYRC562							204	205	1	1.91
RRLBYRC562							212	214	2	1.56
RRLBYRC562							228	229	1	1.21



Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)			
RRLBYRC563	6906830	432424	502	-60	252	270	Awaiting_Results			
RRLBYRC564	6906837	432436	503	-60	252	300	Awaiting_Results			
RRLBYRC565	6906914	432440	503	-60	254	300	Awaiting_Results			
RRLBYRC566	6906816	432435	502	-69	254	339	Awaiting_Results			
RRLBYRC567	6906797	432442	503	-63	254	252	Awaiting_Results			
RRLBYRC568	6906752	432448	502	-60	254	198	Awaiting_Results			
RRLBYRC569	6906738	432473	501	-64	254	297	Awaiting_Results			
RRLBYRC570	6906935	432433	501	-60	254	267	Awaiting_Results			
Garden Well Collar Location							Intersection >1.0 ppm Au and >1g/t Au*m			
Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLGDDD126	6911477	437354	493	-55	270	324.61	230	234.06	4.06	2.94
RRLGDDD126							238.2	242.5	4.3	3.52
RRLGDDD126							312	313	1	1.2
RRLGDDD126							316	318	2	1.16
RRLGDDD126							320	322	2	1.25
RRLGDDD127	6911558	437418	493	-60	270	437.3	253	254	1	2.18
RRLGDDD127							257	258	1	1.22
RRLGDDD127							272	273	1	1.1
RRLGDDD127							335.93	338	2.07	2.1
RRLGDDD127							346	347	1	2.48
RRLGDDD127							355	362	7	1.55
RRLGDDD127							383	386	3	3.88
RRLGDDD127							409	410	1	3.15
RRLGDDD127							419	420	1	1.03
RRLGDDD128	6911638	437458	493	-57	270	510.3	261.9	262.88	0.98	5.09
RRLGDDD128							283	284	1	1.39
RRLGDDD128							374	408.5	34.5	3.23
RRLGDDD128							421	422	1	1.13
RRLGDDD128							433	438	5	1.04
RRLGDDD128							491	492	1	19.4
RRLGDDD129	6911575	437550	493.53	-56	270	600.3	360	361	1	1.63
RRLGDDD129							368	369	1	1.41

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLGDDD129							384	385	1	1.54
RRLGDDD129							445	446	1	2.34
RRLGDDD129							480	481	1	1.49
RRLGDDD129							497	498	1	1.82
RRLGDDD129							505	506	1	3.13
RRLGDDD129							537	539	2	2.97
RRLGDDD130	6911479	437377	493	-60	270	495.4	280	287	7	2.07
RRLGDDD130							289.16	290	0.84	1.08
RRLGDDD130							294	305.16	11.16	2.12
RRLGDDD130							319	320	1	1.17
RRLGDDD130							348	349	1	1.64
RRLGDDD131	6911479	437458	495	-60	270	561.4	361	362	1	8.08
RRLGDDD131							372	375	3	1.18
RRLGDDD131							379.2	391.48	12.28	2.67
RRLGDDD131							403.51	404	0.49	10.4
RRLGDDD131							407.1	408.2	1.1	2.74
RRLGDDD131							437	438	1	1.18
RRLGDDD131							471	472	1	1.18
RRLGDDD132	6911394	437495	494	-60	270	571.9	Awaiting_Results			
RRLGDRC641	6911399	437537	495	-59	268	150	144	148	4	1.61
RRLGDRC642	6911399	437543	495	-60	268	126	No significant Intercept			
RRLGDRC638	6911478	437494	494	-60	270	609.1	No significant Intercept			
RRLGDRC639	6911478	437537	494	-60	268	204	56	57	1	1.88
RRLGDRC639W	6911478	437537	494	-60	270	67.7	Awaiting_Results			
RRLGDRC640	6911478	437548	494	-63	270	663.4	No significant Intercept			
RRLGDRC640W	6911478	437548	494	-63	270	663.4	Awaiting_Results			
RRLGDRC642	6911399	437537	495	-60	270	610	Awaiting_Results			
<b>Golden Pig Collar Location</b>							<b>Intersection &gt;1.0 ppm Au and &gt;1g/t Au*m</b>			
Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLGPRC006	6893559	437178	500	-60	269	114	No significant Intercept			
RRLGPRC007	6893559	437257	500	-60	270	120	No significant Intercept			

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t	
RRLGPRC008	6894439	436957	500	-60	270	102			No significant Intercept		
RRLGPRC009	6894439	437038	500	-60	270	108			No significant Intercept		
RRLGPRC010	6894439	437117	500	-60	270	102			No significant Intercept		
RRLGPRC011	6894439	437198	500	-60	270	102			No significant Intercept		
<b>Moolart Well Collar Location</b>							<b>Intersection &gt;1.0 ppm Au and &gt;1g/t Au*m</b>				
Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t	
RRLMWAC3300	6947516	435887	535	-60	271	102			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3301	6947515	435833	535	-60	270	94			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3302	6947615	435878	535	-60	269	88			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3303	6947612	435934	536	-60	269	100			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3304	6948615	434583	529	-60	272	79			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3305	6948611	434634	529	-60	272	83			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3306	6948615	434691	529	-60	270	89			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3307	6948612	434730	529	-60	272	70			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3308	6948613	434782	529	-60	270	84			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3309	6948613	434835	529	-60	270	86			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3310	6948614	434879	529	-60	270	82			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3311	6948611	434935	529	-60	269	95	48	52	4	1.26	
RRLMWAC3312	6948459	434787	530	-60	270	65			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3313	6948459	434837	530	-60	270	93			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3314	6948459	434887	530	-60	269	92			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3315	6948463	434931	530	-60	270	88			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3316	6948463	434985	530	-60	270	83			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3317	6948466	435035	530	-60	266	79			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3318	6948909	434703	529	-60	270	71			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3319	6948912	434739	529	-60	271	73			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3320	6948911	434785	529	-60	269	83	80	83	3	2.39	
RRLMWAC3321	6948908	434837	529	-60	270	70			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3322	6948910	434889	529	-60	267	85	36	40	4	3.15	
RRLMWAC3323	6948809	434859	529	-60	270	89			No significant Intercept		
RRLMWAC3324	6948809	434958	529	-60	267	113	112	113	1	1.01	

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLMWAC3325	6948710	434968	529	-60	273	101				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3326	6948609	435025	534	-60	270	87				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3327	6948811	435028	530	-60	270	87	52	56	4	1.42
RRLMWAC3328	6948706	434859	529	-90	60	81				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3329	6948609	434970	529	-90	96	72				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3330	6947707	435189	532	-60	273	71				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3331	6947659	435211	532	-60	270	64				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3332	6947614	435210	532	-60	265	68				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3333	6947510	435220	532	-60	267	86	52	56	4	1.38
RRLMWAC3334	6947511	435267	533	-60	272	78				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3335	6947409	435246	533	-60	269	77	56	60	4	1.15
RRLMWAC3336	6947410	435285	533	-60	271	73				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3337	6947364	435286	533	-60	268	71				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3338	6947311	435258	533	-60	270	70				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3339	6947308	435288	533	-60	272	66				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3340	6947210	435287	533	-60	274	82				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3341	6947659	435244	532	-90	145	65				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3342	6947699	435097	532	-90	149	72				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3343	6947608	435138	532	-60	268	75	40	44	4	1.35
RRLMWAC3344	6947511	435160	533	-60	268	84				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3345	6947460	435159	533	-60	269	79	56	60	4	1.6
RRLMWAC3346	6947409	435189	533	-60	270	69				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3347	6947359	435138	530	-60	270	77				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3348	6947359	435188	530	-60	270	74				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3349	6947308	435187	533	-60	268	77				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3350	6947209	435188	533	-60	277	95				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3351	6946660	435360	536	-60	269	86				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3352	6946358	435036	535	-60	267	69				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3353	6946360	435084	536	-60	272	88				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3354	6946360	435187	536	-60	275	90				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3355	6943308	435234	545	-60	269	20				No significant Intercept

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLMWAC3356	6943309	435264	545	-60	272	35			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3357	6943283	435212	545	-60	270	26			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3358	6943283	435235	545	-60	270	32			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3359	6943282	435260	545	-60	270	41	5	6	1	1.14
RRLMWAC3360	6943255	435213	545	-60	267	20			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3361	6943260	435260	545	-60	265	35	6	7	1	1.01
RRLMWAC3362	6943230	435214	545	-60	268	20			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3363	6943230	435237	545	-60	268	22	4	7	3	1.31
RRLMWAC3364	6943232	435257	545	-60	268	32			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3365	6943213	435238	545	-60	270	20	6	7	1	2.04
RRLMWAC3366	6943215	435261	545	-60	269	32	4	8	4	1.2
RRLMWAC3367	6943184	435239	545	-60	271	20			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3368	6943182	435259	545	-60	270	32	4	5	1	2.42
RRLMWAC3369	6943163	435259	545	-60	270	20			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3370	6943138	435242	545	-60	270	20			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3371	6943138	435262	545	-60	270	32			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3372	6945458	435836	541	-60	270	107	56	60	4	2.67
RRLMWAC3373	6945486	435724	540	-70	268	73			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3374	6945452	435779	540	-60	266	101			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3375	6945410	435883	542	-60	270	93			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3376	6945359	435888	542	-60	270	73			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3377	6945311	435920	542	-60	270	74			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3378	6945256	435937	542	-60	270	86			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3379	6945157	435979	533	-60	270	58			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3380	6945211	435201	539	-60	270	79			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3381	6945331	435208	539	-60	270	86			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3382	6945281	435279	539	-60	270	86			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3383	6945376	435222	539	-60	270	71			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3384	6945385	435265	539	-60	270	79			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3385	6945407	435163	543	-60	270	77	64	72	8	1.8
RRLMWAC3386	6945307	435160	543	-60	269	80	40	48	8	1.18

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLMWAC3387	6946759	436028	541	-60	269	82			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3388	6946813	436005	541	-60	270	77			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3389	6946856	436010	540	-60	270	81			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3390	6946813	436085	539	-60	268	76			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3391	6946761	436104	540	-60	270	45			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3392	6947310	435895	536	-60	271	93			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3393	6947759	435896	535	-60	270	123			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3394	6947910	435311	532	-60	268	75			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3395	6947961	435383	532	-60	270	78			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3396	6947960	435480	532	-60	270	104			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3397	6948013	435439	532	-60	269	85			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3398	6948011	435515	532	-60	270	105			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3399	6948012	435563	533	-60	269	104			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3444	6948017	435604	533	-60	270	92			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3445	6948009	435658	533	-60	270	95			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3446	6948063	435733	534	-60	269	83			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3447	6947863	435884	535	-60	270	97			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3448	6948661	434692	529	-60	270	83			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3449	6948663	434739	529	-60	270	86			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3450	6949110	434439	528	-60	270	65			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3451	6949109	434487	528	-60	270	70			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3452	6949108	434536	528	-60	270	77			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3453	6949114	434598	529	-60	270	41			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3454	6949113	434637	529	-60	273	85			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3455	6949107	434681	529	-60	270	88			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3456	6949099	434741	529	-60	275	76			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3457	6949093	434788	529	-60	276	71			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3458	6949084	434835	530	-55	282	77			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3459	6948258	434540	530	-60	273	81			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3460	6948256	434635	530	-60	269	83			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3461	6948259	434736	530	-60	273	76			No significant Intercept	

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLMWAC3462	6948259	434786	530	-60	268	101				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3463	6948258	434837	530	-60	270	56				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3464	6948255	434885	530	-60	270	67				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3465	6948257	434934	530	-60	267	88				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3466	6948257	434984	530	-60	269	93				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3467	6948255	435035	530	-60	270	87				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3468	6948255	435075	530	-90	86	71	40	44	4	1.34
RRLMWAC3469	6948009	434891	531	-60	268	71				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3470	6948006	434941	531	-60	270	96				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3471	6948007	434986	531	-60	270	91				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3472	6948008	435028	531	-65	270	106				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3473	6947914	434884	531	-60	270	65				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3474	6947911	434936	531	-60	270	68				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3475	6947909	434986	531	-60	270	89				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3476	6947909	435031	531	-60	270	101				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3477	6947760	434639	531	-60	274	70				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3478	6947765	434733	531	-60	270	82				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3479	6947764	434834	532	-60	270	82				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3480	6947761	434935	532	-60	270	78				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3481	6947761	435035	532	-60	270	100				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3482	6947356	434689	532	-60	270	73				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3483	6947358	434782	533	-60	268	81				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3484	6947361	434889	533	-60	269	62				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3485	6947362	434939	533	-60	270	72				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3486	6947361	434989	533	-60	270	79				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3487	6947362	435042	533	-60	269	96				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3488	6947364	435091	533	-60	270	97				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3489	6947759	434888	532	-60	268	79				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3490	6947759	434989	532	-60	269	47				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3491	6947764	435070	532	-60	270	103				No significant Intercept
RRLMWAC3492	6946954	434740	534	-60	270	73				No significant Intercept

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLMWAC3493	6946957	434836	534	-60	270	70			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3494	6946966	434939	534	-60	270	79			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3495	6946966	434987	534	-60	270	82			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3496	6946965	435042	534	-60	270	77			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3497	6946967	435092	534	-60	269	78			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3498	6946962	435173	534	-60	270	80			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3499	6946502	434736	535	-60	270	68			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3500	6946517	434837	535	-60	270	82			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3501	6946509	434939	535	-60	270	93			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3502	6946509	434989	535	-60	270	119			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3503	6946507	435038	535	-60	270	79			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3504	6946509	435089	535	-60	270	95			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3505	6946513	435133	535	-60	270	86	64	68	4	1.25
RRLMWAC3506	6946511	435185	535	-60	269	80			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3507	6946509	435226	540	-60	271	82			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3508	6944600	434479	542	-60	270	77			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3509	6944603	434523	541	-60	272	90			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3510	6944543	434501	542	-60	272	82			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3511	6944545	434555	542	-60	269	98			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3512	6944504	434535	542	-60	269	97			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3513	6944509	434585	542	-60	270	111			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3514	6944436	434551	542	-60	270	63			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3515	6944432	434597	542	-60	270	96			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3516	6947763	434986	532	-60	270	65			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3517	6948263	435137	530	-60	270	71			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3518	6947921	435089	531	-60	270	110			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWAC3519	6947914	435118	531	-60	270	98			No significant Intercept	
RRLMWRC1798	6943231	435403	545	-60	270	120	85	86	1	1.44
RRLMWRC1798							88	89	1	1.02
RRLMWRC1799	6943207	435407	545	-60	270	132	86	91	5	2.37
RRLMWRC1800	6943186	435410	545	-60	270	132	92	100	8	2.82



Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t	
RRLMWRC1800							111	112	1	4.9	
RRLMWRC1801	6943160	435411	545	-60	270	120	61	62	1	1.26	
RRLMWRC1801							67	68	1	2.62	
RRLMWRC1801							100	105	5	1.14	
RRLMWRC1802	6943163	435326	545	-60	270	84	43	50	7	1.94	
RRLMWRC1802							67	68	1	1.26	
RRLMWRC1803	6943233	435321	545	-60	270	72	28	29	1	1.46	
RRLMWRC1803							35	36	1	3.86	
<b>Pleco Collar Location</b>							<b>Intersection &gt;1.0 ppm Au and &gt;1g/t Au*m</b>				
Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t	
RRLPLAC022	6915719	436418	500	-60	270	125				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC023	6915719	436458	500	-60	270	77				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC024	6915719	436497	500	-60	270	111				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC025	6915719	436538	500	-60	269	100				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC026	6915039	436298	500	-60	269	73				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC027	6915039	436377	500	-60	270	89				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC028	6915039	436458	500	-60	270	112				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC029	6915039	436537	500	-60	270	112				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC030	6915039	436537	500	-60	269	87				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC031	6914879	436378	500	-60	269	88				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC032	6914879	436457	500	-60	270	86				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC033	6914879	436538	500	-60	269	113				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC034	6914879	436617	500	-60	271	85				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC035	6914879	436698	500	-60	270	91				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC036	6914879	436777	500	-60	271	105				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC037	6914879	436818	500	-60	270	105				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC038	6914879	436858	505	-60	270	113				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC039	6914639	436617	505	-60	268	92				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC040	6914639	436657	505	-60	270	96				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC041	6914639	436698	505	-60	271	92				No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC042	6914639	436777	505	-60	269	117				No significant Intercept	

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLPLAC043	6914639	436858	505	-60	270	20			No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC044	6914639	436898	505	-60	268	22			No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC045	6914639	436937	505	-60	270	10			No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC046	6914879	436297	505	-60	270	61			No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC047	6915039	436217	505	-60	268	93			No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC048	6916759	436072	505	-60	270	65			No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC049	6916759	436115	505	-60	270	80	57	58	1	1.28
RRLPLAC050	6916719	436078	505	-60	270	60			No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC051	6916719	436118	505	-60	270	80	26	27	1	1.77
RRLPLAC051							31	32	1	4.29
RRLPLAC052	6916679	436073	505	-60	270	60	44	45	1	1.13
RRLPLAC053	6916679	436114	505	-60	270	58	32	33	1	1.76
RRLPLAC054	6916639	436117	505	-60	270	70	34	35	1	2.11
RRLPLAC055	6916599	436073	505	-60	270	54	31	32	1	1.52
RRLPLAC056	6916599	436112	505	-60	270	63	28	32	4	1.2
RRLPLAC056							35	36	1	2.02
RRLPLAC056							40	44	4	12.55
RRLPLAC057	6916559	436097	505	-60	270	70	52	53	1	2.87
RRLPLAC058	6916559	436138	505	-60	269	77	41	42	1	1.15
RRLPLAC058							48	50	2	19.3
RRLPLAC059	6916519	436114	505	-60	270	70	35	36	1	3.51
RRLPLAC060	6916519	436154	505	-60	271	67	31	32	1	1.02
RRLPLAC060							52	55	3	1.06
RRLPLAC060							60	61	1	1.23
RRLPLAC061	6916479	436078	505	-60	269	60			No significant Intercept	
RRLPLAC062	6916479	436118	505	-60	270	86	35	36	1	4.86
RRLPLAC062							84	86	2	1.77
RRLPLAC063	6916439	436118	505	-60	270	75	36	37	1	3.56
RRLPLAC064	6916399	436117	505	-60	270	75	29	30	1	2.46
RRLPLAC065	6916359	436137	505	-60	270	80	27	28	1	1.54
RRLPLAC066	6916359	436137	505	-60	270	63	34	35	1	1.48

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLPLAC067	6916319	436117	505	-60	270	65	35	37	2	3.9
RRLPLAC067							50	52	2	1.32
RRLPLAC067							55	56	1	2.2
RRLPLAC068	6916319	436158	505	-60	270	85	83	84	1	1.29
RRLPLAC069	6916279	436111	505	-60	270	60			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC070	6916279	436151	505	-60	270	58			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC071	6916239	436118	505	-60	270	50			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC072	6916239	436158	505	-60	270	80			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC073	6916199	436113	505	-60	270	60			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC074	6916199	436153	505	-60	270	70			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC075	6916159	436133	505	-60	270	70			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC076	6916159	436153	505	-60	269	79			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC077	6916119	436117	505	-60	270	60			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC078	6916119	436158	505	-60	269	66			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC079	6916079	436138	505	-60	269	60			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC080	6916079	436178	505	-60	270	75			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC081	6916039	436158	505	-60	268	56			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC082	6916039	436197	505	-60	271	62			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC083	6915999	436197	505	-60	270	51			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC084	6915919	436198	505	-60	270	70			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC085	6915919	436235	505	-60	270	79			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC086	6915599	436457	505	-60	270	80			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC087	6915599	436478	505	-60	269	80			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC088	6915599	436498	505	-60	270	80			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC089	6915519	436395	505	-60	270	69			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC090	6915479	436398	505	-60	270	70			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC091	6915439	436357	505	-60	270	60			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC092	6915439	436395	505	-60	269	80			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC093	6915399	436378	505	-60	270	53			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC094	6915359	436358	505	-60	269	40			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC095	6915359	436398	505	-60	270	60			Awaiting_Results	

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLPLAC096	6915359	436417	505	-60	270	74			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC097	6915319	436378	505	-60	271	60			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC098	6915319	436397	505	-60	270	70			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC099	6915319	436417	505	-60	270	68			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC100	6915279	436377	505	-60	270	60			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC101	6915279	436417	505	-60	270	68			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC102	6915279	436458	505	-60	270	77			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC103	6915239	436377	505	-60	271	74			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC104	6915239	436418	505	-60	270	74			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC105	6915239	436418	505	-60	270	80			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC106	6915239	436197	505	-60	270	47			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC107	6915239	436238	505	-60	270	51			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC108	6915239	436278	505	-60	270	65			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLAC109	6915199	436218	505	-60	268	50			Awaiting_Results	
RRLPLRC039	6916678	436155	503	-60	270	102	60	61	1	1.5
RRLPLRC039							84	85	1	2.01
RRLPLRC039							98	100	2	36.25
RRLPLRC040	6916639	436156	503	-60	270	96	39	41	2	3.63
RRLPLRC040							63	64	1	1.99
RRLPLRC040							77	78	1	2.23
RRLPLRC041	6916597	436195	503	-60	270	114	57	58	1	1.82
RRLPLRC042	6916557	436173	503	-60	271	102	45	49	4	2.13
RRLPLRC042							59	62	3	1.4
RRLPLRC043	6916557	436212	504	-60	271	120	67	68	1	1.02
RRLPLRC043							86	87	1	1.43
RRLPLRC043							102	103	1	1.41
RRLPLRC044	6916520	436186	503	-60	271	102	58	59	1	1.36
RRLPLRC044							72	73	1	1.12
RRLPLRC044							85	86	1	1.26
RRLPLRC045	6916478	436153	503	-60	269	90	54	55	1	1.9

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLPLRC045							58	59	1	1.1
RRLPLRC045							85	86	1	1.05
RRLPLRC046	6916437	436155	503	-60	270	108	58	59	1	1.14
RRLPLRC047	6916398	436157	503	-60	268	96	No significant Intercept			
RRLPLRC048	6916396	436194	503	-60	268	114	105	106	1	1.05
RRLPLRC049	6916358	436175	503	-60	270	102	47	48	1	3.56
RRLPLRC050	6916357	436194	503	-60	270	120	No significant Intercept			
RRLPLRC051	6916358	436214	503	-60	270	132	90	91	1	1.46
RRLPLRC051							129	130	1	1.63
RRLPLRC052	6916318	436173	503	-60	270	102	100	102	2	10.47
RRLPLRC053	6916318	436191	503	-60	270	114	46	47	1	1.46
RRLPLRC053							50	51	1	3.38
RRLPLRC053							61	62	1	13.7
RRLPLRC054	6916319	436232	503	-60	270	132	66	67	1	3.48
RRLPLRC055	6916277	436188	503	-60	270	108	47	48	1	2.42
RRLPLRC055							54	55	1	1.31
RRLPLRC055							82	85	3	1.91
RRLPLRC056	6916278	436231	503	-60	269	120	81	82	1	1.63
RRLPLRC056							102	103	1	1.9
RRLPLRC057	6916279	436268	504	-60	270	138	82	83	1	1.93
RRLPLRC057							95	104	9	1.01
RRLPLRC058	6916599	436152	503	-60	270	108	28	29	1	1.99
RRLPLRC059	6916237	436195	503	-60	270	102	47	48	1	1.27
RRLPLRC059							83	84	1	1.68
RRLPLRC060	6916238	436236	503	-60	270	114	88	89	1	1.62
RRLPLRC061	6916238	436274	504	-60	270	138	No significant Intercept			
RRLPLRC062	6916239	436316	504	-60	270	162	128	129	1	3.8
RRLPLRC062							133	134	1	1.25
RRLPLRC063	6916199	436192	503	-60	271	102	49	50	1	3.19
RRLPLRC063							86	87	1	1.64
RRLPLRC064	6916200	436232	503	-60	270	198	66	67	1	1.13

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLPLRC065	6916198	436277	503	-60	270	150	52	53	1	1.19
RRLPLRC065							66	69	3	45.8
RRLPLRC065							75	77	2	5.48
RRLPLRC065							147	148	1	7.84
RRLPLRC066	6916157	436191	503	-60	270	90	75	76	1	1.58
RRLPLRC067	6916157	436210	503	-60	270	102	85	86	1	1.37
RRLPLRC068	6916118	436197	503	-60	270	114	68	69	1	1.29
RRLPLRC069	6916118	436237	503	-60	270	144	91	92	1	1.57
RRLPLRC070	6916077	436217	503	-60	270	102	40	41	1	1.28
RRLPLRC071	6916076	436256	503	-60	270	130	97	98	1	1.19
RRLPLRC072	6916039	436235	503	-60	270	114	No significant Intercept			
RRLPLRC073	6915996	436235	503	-60	270	108	52	53	1	1.15
RRLPLRC074	6915995	436277	503	-60	270	126	No significant Intercept			
RRLPLRC075	6915917	436276	502	-60	270	114	47	49	2	1.45
RRLPLRC076	6915561	436435	501	-60	270	108	64	66	2	4.23
RRLPLRC076							69	70	1	2.1
RRLPLRC076							74	82	8	1.06
RRLPLRC076							84	85	1	1.18
RRLPLRC077	6915558	436472	502	-60	270	120	93	95	2	1.2
RRLPLRC078	6915520	436430	501	-60	270	102	80	82	2	1.31
RRLPLRC079	6915520	436466	501	-60	270	126	63	64	1	1.38
RRLPLRC080	6915479	436435	501	-60	270	96	60	62	2	2.42
RRLPLRC080							70	71	1	1.05
RRLPLRC080							88	89	1	1.31
RRLPLRC081	6915478	436474	501	-60	270	120	86	87	1	1.63
RRLPLRC082	6915439	436434	501	-60	270	96	33	34	1	1.67
RRLPLRC082							46	48	2	1.46
RRLPLRC083	6915438	436470	501	-60	270	120	72	73	1	1.21
RRLPLRC084	6915398	436416	500	-60	270	96	52	53	1	1.03
RRLPLRC084							70	71	1	1.41
RRLPLRC085	6915398	436455	500	-60	270	114	No significant Intercept			

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLPLRC086	6915354	436436	500	-60	270	102	No significant Intercept			
RRLPLRC087	6915318	436435	500	-60	270	96	No significant Intercept			
<b>Rosemont Collar Location</b>							<b>Intersection &gt;1.0 ppm Au and &gt;1g/t Au*m</b>			
Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLRMDD037	6919663	429133	507	-32	257.1	426.7	364.9	368	3.1	16.89
RRLRMDD037							375.9	376.7	0.8	6.32
RRLRMDD038	6919801	429126	510	-31	261	468	374	376	2	4.11
RRLRMDD038							387.05	387.46	0.41	7.82
RRLRMDD038							392.5	393.2	0.7	1.03
RRLRMDD038							398	405	7	3.34
RRLRMDD039	6919506	429142	505	-29	254	316.9	280	282	2	1.2
RRLRMRC853	6919868	429002	513	-50	254	516	375	376	1	3.58
RRLRMRC854	6919871	429007	513	-52	254	450	357	358	1	3.83
RRLRMRC855	6919942	428957	513	-54	254	486	478	480	2	4.85
RRLRMRC856	6919975	428938	512	-57	254	576	124	128	4	1.42
RRLRMRC857	6920018	428936	512	-50	252	468	No significant Intercept			
RRLRMRC858	6920024	428931	512	-52	252	492	408	409	1	1.29
RRLRMRC858							425	428	3	1.89
RRLRMRC859	6920025	428935	512	-55	252	504	140	144	4	3.78
RRLRMRC859							428	429	1	1.96
RRLRMRC859							453	455	2	6.6
RRLRMRC859							458	459	1	1.02
RRLRMRC861	6920049	428586	381	-81	74	240	No significant Intercept			
RRLRMRC862	6919952	428654	380	-72	74	162	No significant Intercept			
RRLRMRC863	6919989	428631	380	-71	74	198	No significant Intercept			
RRLRMRC864	6920015	428613	381	-76	74	210	No significant Intercept			
RRLRMRC865	6920013	428609	380	-80	74	263	No significant Intercept			
RRLRMRC866	6919061	429292	502	-50	254	450	354	356	2	1.44
RRLRMRC866							366	369	3	1.23
RRLRMRC866							378	379	1	1.08
RRLRMRC866							392	393	1	4.81

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t	
RRLRMRC866							409	411	2	4.39	
RRLRMRC866							414	416	2	1.32	
RRLRMRC867	6919944	428962	513	-56	254	461	413	420	7	6.79	
RRLRMRC867							427	431	4	1.82	
RRLRMRC867							438	443	5	4.49	
RRLRMRC867							451	460	9	2.52	
RRLRMRC868	6920096	428951	512	-52	257	582	518	519	1	2.3	
RRLRMRC868							522	523	1	5.34	
RRLRMRC868							527	528	1	32.5	
RRLRMRC868							540	541	1	1.14	
RRLRMRC869	6919214	429245	502	-50	251	426	357	359	2	1.67	
RRLRMRC869							370	371	1	6.72	
RRLRMRC869							378	383	5	1.64	
RRLRMRC869							392	406	14	2.53	
RRLRMRC870	6919140	429272	502	-53	254	414	391	392	1	9.04	
RRLRMRC871	6919179	429259	502	-52	254	408	343	346	3	1.73	
RRLRMRC871							352	354	2	1.5	
RRLRMRC871							361	362	1	1.24	
RRLRMRC871							364	365	1	1.37	
RRLRMRC871							370	371	1	1.28	
RRLRMRC871							377	383	6	2.11	
RRLRMRC872	6919103	429285	502	-52	254	432	365	374	9	2.62	
<b>Tooheys Well Collar Location</b>							<b>Intersection &gt;1.0 ppm Au and &gt;1g/t Au*m</b>				
Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t	
RRLTWRC567	6909548	437910	445	-68	25	118	0	6	6	1.72	
RRLTWRC567							9	23	14	4.5	
RRLTWRC567							33	35	2	1.44	
RRLTWRC567							38	40	2	1.76	
RRLTWRC567							47	116	69	2.76	
RRLTWRC568	6909539	437913	445	-77	90	23	2	23	21	3.7	



Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLTWRC569	6909404	437948	445	-61	158	138	0	3	3	1.52
RRLTWRC569							11	19	8	4.83
RRLTWRC569							24	40	16	2.6
RRLTWRC569							61	73	12	1.45
RRLTWRC569							77	111	34	2.98
RRLTWRC569							117	138	21	2.11
RRLTWRC570	6909459	437944	445	-90	0	118	10	43	33	4.56
RRLTWRC570							49	57	8	1.28
RRLTWRC570							60	94	34	2.38
RRLTWRC570							107	118	11	1.85
RRLTWRC571	6909499	437913	445	-72	90	130	0	44	44	3.21
RRLTWRC571							55	124	69	2.68
RRLTWRC572	6909495	437937	445	-62	90	68	0	4	4	1.29
RRLTWRC572							7	23	16	2.32
RRLTWRC572							26	37	11	1.9
RRLTWRC572							41	50	9	1.01
RRLTWRC572							66	67	1	1.44
RRLTWRC573	6909419	437940	445	-79	90	120	0	3	3	1.45
RRLTWRC573							7	13	6	4
RRLTWRC573							20	29	9	1.29
RRLTWRC573							33	83	50	2.62
RRLTWRC573							86	88	2	1.8
RRLTWRC573							91	107	16	1.29
RRLTWRC573							111	112	1	1.33
RRLTWRC573							115	118	3	1.81
RRLTWRC574	6908979	437948	508	-60	268	158	No significant Intercept			
RRLTWRC575	6908059	437908	508	-60	270	133	No significant Intercept			
RRLTWRC576	6908059	437997	508	-60	270	113	No significant Intercept			
RRLTWRC577	6908059	438078	508	60	270	128	No significant Intercept			
RRLTWWE011	6909979	437923	505	-90	0	223	No significant Intercept			

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLTWWE012	6909034	437918	505	-90	0	222	No significant Intercept			
<b>Winnebago Collar Location</b>							<b>Intersection &gt;1.0 ppm Au and &gt;1g/t Au*m</b>			
Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLWHAC007	6936499	421178	510	-60	270	55	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC008	6936499	421338	510	-60	270	89	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC009	6936499	421497	510	-60	270	91	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC010	6936499	421657	510	-60	270	79	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC011	6936499	421818	510	-60	270	82	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC012	6936499	421978	510	-60	270	98	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC013	6936499	422138	510	-60	270	75	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC014	6936499	422297	510	-60	270	120	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC015	6936499	422457	510	-60	270	107	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC016	6936499	422618	510	-60	270	115	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC017	6936499	422778	510	-60	270	130	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC018	6936499	422937	510	-60	270	94	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC019	6935999	421177	510	-60	270	41	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC020	6935999	421337	510	-60	270	60	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC021	6935999	421497	510	-60	270	89	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC022	6935999	421658	510	-60	270	52	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC023	6935999	421818	510	-60	270	52	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC024	6935999	421977	510	-60	270	104	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC025	6935999	422137	510	-60	270	111	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC026	6935999	422298	510	-60	270	77	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC027	6935999	422458	510	-60	270	75	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC028	6935999	422618	510	-60	270	97	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC029	6935999	422777	510	-60	270	75	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC030	6935999	422937	510	-60	270	102	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC031	6934879	421378	510	-60	270	25	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC032	6934879	421537	510	-60	270	11	No significant Intercept			
RRLWHAC033	6934879	421858	10	-60	270	68	No significant Intercept			

Hole ID	Y	X	Z	Dip	Azimuth	Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Au g/t
RRLWHAC034	6934879	422018	510	-60	270	50			No significant Intercept	
RRLWHAC035	6934879	422178	510	-60	270	55			No significant Intercept	
RRLWHAC036	6934879	422337	510	-60	270	109			No significant Intercept	
RRLWHAC037	6934879	422497	510	-60	270	68			No significant Intercept	
RRLWHAC038	6934879	421697	510	-60	270	20			No significant Intercept	