MYANMAR METALS LIMITED

ABN: 48 124 943 728

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STATEMENT - 2019

This statement outlines the main corporate governance practices in place throughout the period from 1 July 2018 to 30 June 2019, which comply with the ASX Corporate Governance Council recommendations, unless otherwise stated. The Corporate Governance Statement is dated 29 August 2019. The Corporate Governance Statement was approved by the Board of Myanmar Metals Limited on 29 August 2019.

The Board of the Company is committed to implementing the highest standards of corporate governance appropriate for a Company of its size, scale and complexity. In determining what those high standards should involve, the Company has turned to the ASX Corporate Governance Council's Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations 3rd Edition.

To illustrate where the Company has addressed each of the Council's recommendations, the following summary cross-references to each recommendation. Details of all of the recommendations can be found on the ASX Corporate Governance Council's website.

Introduction

Myanmar Metals has adopted systems of control and accountability as the basis for the administration of corporate governance. Some of these policies and procedures are summarised below.

The following additional information about the Company's corporate governance practices is set out on the Company's website at www.myanmarmetals.com.au:

- Board Charter (within the Corporate Governance Plan);
- Code of Conduct;
- Securities Trading Policy;
- Performance Evaluation Policy;
- Continuous Disclosure Policy;
- Risk Management Policy;
- Policy on Selection Appointment and Rotation of External Auditors;
- Shareholder Communications Strategy;
- Diversity Policy;
- Ant-Bribery Policy:
- Nomination and Remuneration Committee Charter; and
- Audit Committee Charter

Explanations for Departures from Best Practice Recommendations

During the period, the Company has complied with the majority of the Eight Essential Corporate Governance Principles and the corresponding Best Practice Recommendations as published by the Council and as detailed below:

LAY SOLID FOUNDATIONS FOR MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT 1.

Council Principle 1:

A listed entity should establish and disclose the respective roles and responsibilities of its board and management and how their performance is monitored and evaluated.

Council Recommendation 1.1:

A listed entity should disclose;

- the respective roles and responsibilities of the board and management; and
- those matters expressly reserved to the Board and those delegated to management.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 1.1.

The board has set out the responsibilities of the Board in the Board Charter which can be accessed on the company website. Any functions not reserved for the Board and not expressly reserved for members by the Corporations Act and ASX Listing Rules are reserved for management.

Council Recommendation 1.2:

A listed entity should:

(a) undertake appropriate checks before appointing a person, or putting forward to security holders a candidate for election, as a director; and

(b) provide security holders with all material information in its possession relevant to a decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect a director

The Board complied with this Recommendation 1.2.

The Company completed background checks prior to the appointment of a new director during the period.

The Company believes it is appropriate to undertake appropriate checks before appointing a person or putting forward to security holders a candidate for election as a director. Security holders are provided with all material information in the Company's possession relevant to a decision on whether or not to elect or re-elect a director.

Council Recommendation 1.3:

A listed entity should have a written agreement with each director and senior executive setting out the terms of their appointment.

The Company complied with this Recommendation 1.3.

Recommendation 1.4

The company secretary of a listed entity should be accountable directly to the board, through the chair, on all matters to do with the proper functioning of the board.

The Company complied with this Recommendation 1.4. The company secretary is also currently a member of the Board.

Council Recommendation 1.5:

A listed entity should

- (a) have a diversity policy which includes requirements for the board or a relevant committee of the board to establish measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity and to assess annually both the objectives and the entity's progress in achieving the;
- (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it; and
- (c) disclose as at the end of each reporting period the measurable objectives for achieving gender diversity set by the board or a relevant committee of the board in accordance with the entity's diversity policy and its progress towards achieving them, and either:
 - (1) the respective proportions of men and women on the board, in senior executive positions and across the whole organisation (including how the entity has defined "senior executive" for these purposes); or
 - (2) if the entity is a "relevant employer" under the Workplace Gender Equality Act, the entity's most recent "Gender Equality Indicators", as defined in and published under that Act

The Company partly complies with this Recommendation 1.5.

The Board has adopted a Diversity Policy, a copy of which is available on the Company's website. The Company has a policy not to discriminate on the basis of age, gender, ethnicity, sexuality, physical ability or cultural or religious beliefs.

The Company recognises the benefits arising from employee and board diversity, including accessing different perspectives and ideas and benefitting from a greater pool of talent.

Factors such as gender, race, age or disability are irrelevant and are not taken into account when making employment decisions. In all cases, the person most suited to the position is selected based on their skills and qualifications without bias or prejudice.

Consistent with the Company's policy of non-discrimination, the Board has chosen not to set specific measurable targets for gender diversity.

Consistent with the Company's policy of non-discrimination, the Board has chosen not to report, specific measurable targets for gender diversity.

The Company complies with this recommendation and provides the following disclosure at the date of this statement;

No. of female board members	0	0%
No. of female employees	4	29%
No. of female employees in senior positions $\mbox{*}$	1	20%
No. of female contractors	1	25%
No. of female contractors in senior positions *	0	0%

^{*} Defined as General Manager level or above

Council Recommendation 1.6:

A listed entity should:

(a) have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of the board, its committees and individual directors; and

(b) disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether a performance evaluation was undertaken in the reporting period in accordance with that process.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 1.6 (a).

The Board has adopted an annual evaluation process to measure the performance of the Board and individual Directors of the Company.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 1.6 (b).

A performance review of individual directors who had been on the Board for one year or more was completed in January 2019. A performance review of the Board was not undertaken during the reporting period.

Council Recommendation 1.7:

A listed entity should:

- (a) have and disclose a process for periodically evaluating the performance of its senior executives; and
- (b) disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether a performance evaluation was undertaken in the reporting period in accordance with that process.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 1.7.

The Board has adopted an annual evaluation process to measure the performance of its key executives.

A performance review of senior executives who had been employed for one year or more was completed in December 2018.

2. STRUCTURE THE BOARD TO ADD VALUE

Council Principle 2:

A listed entity should have a board of an appropriate size, composition, skills and commitment to enable it to discharge its duties effectively

Recommendation 2.1

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a nomination committee which:
 - (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director, and disclose:
 - (3) the charter of the committee;
 - (4) the members of the committee; and
 - (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have a nomination committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs to address board succession issues and to ensure that the board has the appropriate balance of skills, knowledge, experience, independence and diversity to enable it to discharge its duties and responsibilities effectively

The Company did not comply with this Recommendation 2.1 for the period from 19 November 2018 to 29 April 2019. The Company complied with this Recommendation 2.1 from 1 July 2018 to 19 November 2018 and from 29 April 2019 to the end of the reporting period.

It was not previously a company policy to have a nomination committee, given the size and scale of Myanmar Metals Limited. The role of a nomination committee was carried out by the full Board. However, the Board established a nomination committee on 19 November 2018.

A Nomination and Remuneration Committee charter was adopted on 29 April 2019. A copy of the charter is available on the Company's website.

The Nomination and Remuneration Committee had only two members until Mr. Goulds was appointed in February 2019. Prior to February 2019, the members of the Committee were Mr. Moore (who was considered to be independent) and Mr. Arndt (who is not considered to be independent). The Chairman of the Committee was Mr. Moore who was an independent director.

Since February 2019, the members of the Committee are Mr. Goulds (who is considered to be independent) and Mr. Moore (who was considered to be independent during the reporting period, but who from 1 July 2019 is no longer considered to be independent) and Mr. Arndt (who is not considered to be independent). The Chairman of the Committee is Mr. Moore who was considered to be an independent director during the reporting period, but from 1 July 2019 is no longer considered to be independent.

The Committee met once during the reporting period. This meeting was attended by Mr Moore and Mr Arndt, who were the only members of the Committee at that time.

Recommendation 2.2

A listed entity should have and disclose a board skills matrix setting out the mix of skills and diversity that the board currently has or is looking to achieve in its membership.

The Company does not comply with this Recommendation 2.2.

The Board Skills Matrix is as follows:

	Capital Markets	Resource Industry	Offshore Projects	Mining/Geology	Finance/Accounting	Listed Company
John Lamb	٧	٧	٧	٧		٧
Rowan Caren	٧	٧	٧		٧	٧
Jeffrey Moore	٧	٧	٧	٧		٧
Paul Arndt	٧	٧	٧	٧		٧
Bruce Goulds	٧	٧			٧	٧

Recommendation 2.3

A listed entity should disclose:

- (a) the names of the directors considered by the board to be independent directors;
- (b) if a director has an interest, position, association or relationship of the type described in Box 2.3 but the board is of the opinion that it does not compromise the independence of the director, the nature of the interest, position, association or relationship in question and an explanation of why the board is of that opinion; and
- (c) the length of service of each director

The Company complies with Recommendation 2.3.

The skills, experience and expertise relevant to the position held by each director are disclosed in the Directors Report.

The Board has determined that individual Directors have the right in connection with their duties and responsibilities as Directors, to seek independent professional advice at the Company's expense. The engagement of an outside adviser is subject to prior approval of the Chairman and this will not be withheld unreasonably. If appropriate, any advice so received will be made available to all Board members.

The Board considers Mr. Lamb and Mr. Caren not to be independent directors as they are executives of the Company.

Mr Arndt is not considered to be an independent director as he is an officer of Perilya Limited, which holds a 19.99% interest in the Company.

The Board considered Mr. Moore to be an independent director during the reporting period, but to no longer be independent from 1 July 2019. During the reporting period Mr Moore provided services additional to those expected of a non-executive director, however these were provided under a consulting arrangement and were not considered to be material and as such he was considered to be independent during the reporting period.

The Board considers Mr. Goulds to be an independent director.

The period of office held by each director as at the date of this statement is as follows;

Mr. Lamb	Appointed 19 June 2017	2 years and 4 months
Mr. Moore	Appointed 19 June 2017	2 years and 4 months
Mr. Caren	Appointed 19 June 2017	2 years and 4 months
Mr. Arndt	Appointed 19 June 2018	1 year and 4 months
NAm Caudala	A	C

Mr. Goulds Appointed 1 February 2019 5 months

Council Recommendation 2.4:

A majority of the board of a listed entity should be independent directors.

The Company does not comply with this Recommendation 2.4.

Council Recommendation 2.5:

The chair of the board of a listed entity should be an independent director and, in particular, should not be the same person as the CEO of the entity

The Company does not comply with Recommendation 2.5.

The Company's Chairperson, Mr Lamb, is considered by the Board not to be independent as he is also an executive of the entity. However, the Board believes that the Chairman is able and does bring quality judgment to all relevant issues falling within the scope of the role of a Chairman.

The Board considers that the Company is not currently of a size, nor are its affairs of such complexity to necessitate the appointment of an independent Chairman. However, this position may change as the Company develops the Bawdwin project further.

Recommendation 2.6

A listed entity should have a program for inducting new directors and provide appropriate professional development opportunities for directors to develop and maintain the skills and knowledge needed to perform their role as directors effectively.

The Company does not comply with this Recommendation 2.6.

The Company does not have a policy to provide appropriate professional development opportunities for directors to develop and maintain the skills and knowledge needed to perform their role as directors effectively. The directors have extensive external business involvements. All directors are up to date with current business practices. It is not practical for a Company of Myanmar Metals' size and scale to initiate such a program. New directors are provided with access and directions to the Company's corporate governance manuals and policies.

3. ACT ETHICALLY AND RESPONSIBLY

Council Principle 3:

A listed entity should act ethically and responsibly

Recommendation 3.1

A listed entity should:

- (a) have a code of conduct for its directors, senior executives and employees; and
- (b) disclose that code or a summary of it.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 3.1.

The Company has adopted a Code of Conduct which can be accessed on the website.

4. SAFEGUARD INTEGRITY IN CORPORATE REPORTING

Council Principle 4:

A listed entity should have formal and rigorous processes that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its corporate reporting.

Recommendation 4.1

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have an audit committee which:
 - (1) has at least three members, all of whom are non-executive directors and a majority of whom are independent directors; and
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director, who is not the chair of the board,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the relevant qualifications and experience of the members of the committee; and
- (5) in relation to each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have an audit committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs that independently verify and safeguard the integrity of its corporate reporting, including the processes for the appointment and removal of the external auditor and the rotation of the audit engagement partner.

The Company did not comply with this Recommendation 4.1 for the period from 1 February 2019 to 29 April 2019. The Company did comply with this Recommendation 4.1 from 1 July 2018 to 1 February 2019 and from 29 April 2019 until the end of the reporting period.

It was not previously a company policy to have an audit committee, given the size and scale of Myanmar Metals Limited. The role of an audit committee was carried out by the full Board. However, the Board established an audit committee on 1 February 2019.

An Audit Committee charter was adopted on 29 April 2019. A copy of the charter is available on the Company's website.

The members of the Committee are Mr. Goulds, Mr. Moore and Mr. Arndt. At all times from when the Committee was established to the end of the reporting period it consisted of non-executive directors, the majority of whom are independent directors.

The Board considered Mr. Moore to be an independent director during the reporting period, but to no longer be independent from 1 July 2019 as a result of becoming an executive.

The Board considers Mr. Goulds to be an independent non-executive director.

Mr. Arndt is non-executive but is not considered to be independent.

The Chairman of the Committee is Mr. Goulds who is an independent director.

The skills, experience and expertise relevant to the position held by each director are disclosed in the Directors Report. A brief summary is provided below for each member of the Audit Committee:

Mr. Goulds (BBus, Grad Dip Management, LLB (Hons)) has over 30 years financial and commercial experience in listed and unlisted companies.

Mr. Moore (B.SC, MAUSIMM, MGSA) is a geologist with extensive technical, managerial and project finance experience in exploration and mining for publicly listed companies.

Mr. Arndt (BSc, MSc, Grad Dip Engineering, MBA) is a metallurgist with over 30 years' experience in managing companies.

The Committee met once during the reporting period in March 2019. This meeting was attended by all three members of the Committee.

During the period when the Company did not have an Audit Committee, the Board, as part of its usual role, undertook responsibilities that, since the formation of the Audit Committee, have been undertaken by the Audit Committee, including:

- Reviewing the annual and interim financial reports and other financial information distributed externally. This includes
 approving new accounting policies to ensure compliance with Australian Accounting Standards and generally accepted
 accounting principles, and assessing whether the financial information is adequate for shareholder needs;
- Assessing whether non-audit services provided by the external auditor are consistent with maintaining the external
 auditor's independence. The external auditor provides an annual declaration of independence which is consistent with
 Code of Conduct APES 110 Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the Accounting Professional and Ethical
 Standards Board;
- Addressing any matters outstanding with auditors, Australian Taxation Office, Australian Securities and Investments Commission, ASX and financial institutions.
- Reviewing the nomination and performance of the external auditor.
- Assessing the adequacy of the internal control framework and the Company's code of ethical standards;
- Monitoring the procedures to ensure compliance with the Corporations Act 2001 and the ASX Listing Rules and all other regulatory requirements.

The external audit engagement partner is to be rotated every five years. Grant Thornton was appointed as the external auditor in 2016.

Recommendation 4.2

The board of a listed entity should, before it approves the entity's financial statements for a financial period, receive from its CEO and CFO a declaration that, in their opinion, the financial records of the entity have been properly maintained and that the financial statements comply with the appropriate accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the financial position and performance of the entity and that the opinion has been formed on the basis of a sound system of risk management and internal control which is operating effectively.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 4.2.

The Board receives assurance from the Chief Executive Officer and the General Manager - Finance in the form of a declaration, prior to approving the financial statements. The board has received assurance from the Chief Executive Officer and the General manager - Finance that the declaration provided in accordance with section 295A of the Corporations Act is founded on a sound system of risk management and internal control and that the system is operating effectively in all material respects in relation to financial reporting risks.

Recommendation 4.3

A listed entity that has an AGM should ensure that its external auditor attends its AGM and is available to answer questions from security holders relevant to the audit.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 4.3.

The Company's auditor attended the AGM in 2018 and was available to answer questions from security holders relevant to the audit.

5. MAKE TIMELY AND BALANCED DISCLOSURE

Council Principle 5:

A listed entity should make timely and balanced disclosure of all matters concerning it that a reasonable person would expect to have a material effect on the price or value of its securities.

Recommendation 5.1

A listed entity should:

- (a) have a written policy for complying with its continuous disclosure obligations under the Listing Rules; and
- (b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 5.1.

The Company has adopted a Continuous Disclosure Policy which is available on its website.

6. RESPECT THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Council Principle 6:

A listed entity should respect the rights of its security holders by providing them with appropriate information and facilities to allow them to exercise those rights effectively.

Recommendation 6.1

A listed entity should provide information about itself and its governance to investors via its website.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 6.1.

The Company has a new website in place which is current and informative.

Recommendation 6.2

A listed entity should design and implement an investor relations program to facilitate effective two-way communication with investors.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 6.2.

The Company has adopted a Shareholder Communication Strategy which is available on its website.

Council Recommendation 6.3:

A listed entity should disclose the policies and processes it has in place to facilitate and encourage participation at meetings of security holders.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 6.3.

The Company facilitates and encourages participation at meetings of shareholders by providing a direct voting facility and encourages security holders who are not able to attend meetings to submit questions ahead of the meeting.

Recommendation 6.4

A listed entity should give security holders the option to receive communications from, and send communications to, the entity and its security registry electronically.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 6.4.

The Company gives security holders the option to receive communications (specifically annual reports and other correspondence) from the entity and its security registry electronically, insofar as is currently permitted by the Corporations Act.

7. RECOGNISE AND MANAGE RISK

Council Principle 7:

A listed entity should establish a sound risk management framework and periodically review the effectiveness of that framework.

Recommendation 7.1

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a committee or committees to oversee risk, each of which:
 - (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the members of the committee; and
- (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have a risk committee or committees that satisfy (a) above, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for overseeing the entity's risk management framework.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 7.1.

Whilst the Company's operations are assessed as higher risk due, amongst other reasons as set out in more detail in most recently in the Equity Raising presentation issued to market on 24 May 2019, to the exploration and evaluation stage of development and the foreign jurisdiction of the Company's single project.

The Board has not established a risk committee but will address the need for such a committee later in the year.

The Company has in place a framework to safeguard Company assets and ensure that business risks are identified and properly managed. It is part of the board's oversight role to oversee the establishment and implementation of the risk management system, and to review the effectiveness of the company's implementation of that system.

The Board monitors the management of risks on an ongoing basis and requires management to design and implement a risk management and internal control system to manage the entity's material business risks. Management is responsible for the identification, assessment, monitoring and management of material risk throughout the company. Management has prepared a detailed matrix of risks accordingly. This risk matrix is reviewed and updated where necessary on a quarterly basis by the full Board.

Due to the size of the company it does not have an internal audit function.

Recommendation 7.2

The board or a committee of the board should:

- (a) review the entity's risk management framework at least annually to satisfy itself that it continues to be sound; and
- (b) disclose, in relation to each reporting period, whether such a review has taken place.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 7.2.

Management reported to the Board during February 2019.

Recommendation 7.3

A listed entity should disclose:

- (a) if it has an internal audit function, how the function is structured and what role it performs; or
- (b) if it does not have an internal audit function, that fact and the processes it employs for evaluating and continually improving the effectiveness of its risk management and internal control processes.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 7.3.

The Company does not have an internal audit function.

The Company believes that the risk management framework it has in place will be effective for a company of its scale and complexity, subject to the annual review being performed. The Company has developed a risk matrix to identify and manage key risks.

Recommendation 7.4

A listed entity should disclose whether it has any material exposure to economic, environmental and social sustainability risks and, if it does, how it manages or intends to manage those risks.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 7.4.

The Company is aware of its impact on the economy, environment and the community and risks to the Company if it does not deal with these matters appropriately. The Board is responsible for determining whether the Company has a material exposure to inter alia, economic, environmental and sustainability risks and, if it does, how it manages or intends to manage those risks.

8. REMUNERATE FAIRLY AND RESPONSIBLY

Council Principle 8:

A listed entity should pay director remuneration sufficient to attract and retain high quality directors and design its executive remuneration to attract, retain and motivate high quality senior executives and to align their interests with the creation of value for security holders.

Recommendation 8.1

The board of a listed entity should:

- (a) have a remuneration committee which:
 - (1) has at least three members, a majority of whom are independent directors; and
 - (2) is chaired by an independent director,

and disclose:

- (3) the charter of the committee;
- (4) the members of the committee; and
- (5) as at the end of each reporting period, the number of times the committee met throughout the period and the individual attendances of the members at those meetings; or
- (b) if it does not have a remuneration committee, disclose that fact and the processes it employs for setting the level and composition of remuneration for directors and senior executives and ensuring that such remuneration is appropriate and not excessive.

The Company did not comply with this Recommendation 8.1 for the period from 19 November 2018 to 29 April 2019. The Company complied with this Recommendation 8.1 from 1 July 2018 to 19 November 2018 and from 29 April 2019 to the end of the reporting period.

It was not previously a company policy to have a remuneration committee, given the size and scale of Myanmar Metals Limited. The role of a remuneration committee was carried out by the full Board. However, the Board established a remuneration committee on 19 November 2018.

A Nomination and Remuneration Committee charter was adopted on 29 April 2019. A copy of the charter is available on the Company's website.

The Nomination and Remuneration Committee had only two members until Mr. Goulds was appointed. Prior to February 2019, the members of the Committee were Mr. Moore (who was considered to be independent) and Mr. Arndt (who is not considered to be independent). The Chairman of the Committee was Mr. Moore who was an independent director.

Since February 2019, the members of the Committee are Mr. Goulds (who is considered to be independent) and Mr. Moore (who was considered to be independent during the reporting period, but who from 1 July 2019 is no longer considered to be independent) and Mr. Arndt (who is not considered to be independent).

The Chairman of the Committee is Mr. Moore who was an independent director during the reporting period but is no longer considered to be independent from 1 July 2019 as a result of becoming an executive.

The Committee met once during the reporting period. This meeting was attended by Mr Moore and Mr Arndt, who were the only members of the Committee at that time.

Prior to establishment of the Nomination and Remuneration Committee, the role of a remuneration committee was carried out by the full Board.

Remuneration levels are competitively set to attract and retain appropriately qualified and experienced directors and senior executives. The board may seek independent advice on the appropriateness of remuneration packages, given trends in

comparative companies both locally and internationally. Remuneration packages are largely comprised of fixed remuneration but have also included long term incentives for directors.

The remuneration structures explained below are designed to attract suitably qualified candidates, and to affect the broader outcome of maximising the Company's profitability. The remuneration structures take into account:

- Overall level of remuneration for each director and executive;
- The executive's ability to control the performance of the relevant area; and
- The amount of incentives within each executive's remuneration.

Securities can only be issued to Company Directors under a resolution at a general meeting of shareholders.

Non-Executive Directors may receive a base fee and can be remunerated by way of security issues approved under a resolution at a general meeting of shareholders. Non-Executive Directors may also receive consulting fees for additional services provided beyond those expected of a Non-Executive.

The board has no established retirement or redundancy schemes for directors. There are no unvested entitlements under equity based remuneration schemes.

Recommendation 8.2

A listed entity should separately disclose its policies and practices regarding the remuneration of non-executive directors and the remuneration of executive directors and other senior executives.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 8.2. These details are disclosed in the Remuneration Report.

Recommendation 8.3

A listed entity which has an equity-based remuneration scheme should:

(a) have a policy on whether participants are permitted to enter into transactions (whether through the use of derivatives or otherwise) which limit the economic risk of participating in the scheme; and

(b) disclose that policy or a summary of it.

The Company complies with this Recommendation 8.3.

The Company does not have an equity-based remuneration scheme.