

## NEW HIGH-GRADE GOLD RESULTS EXTEND EARLY MINING TARGET

- **New South West Breccia Lode intersections extend high-grade early mining target:**
  - **4.5m @ 14.8 g/t Au including 1.0m @ 44.9 g/t Au from SWB Lode, true width**
  - **6.0m @ 13.03 g/t Au from colluvium above SWB hangingwall lode**
  - **boulder results to 33.5 g/t Au; 27.7 g/t Au; 11.5 g/t Au from extension of SWB Lode**
- **Bulk sampling in progress for metallurgical testing**

Perth, Western Australia: Red Mountain Mining Limited (ASX:RMX) has received new, high grade, gold results from projected extensions to the South West Breccia (SWB) lode and related colluvial gold deposits. These new intersections have the potential to extend and increase the size of the high-grade early mining target at the Lobo Prospect, part of the Batangas Gold Project, located 120 kilometres south of Manila in the Philippines.

The new intersections include a true width intersection in **Trench 36 of 4.5 metres (m) grading 14.8 g/t gold (Au) including 1.0m @ 44.9 g/t Au** across southwest extensions of the main SWB lode, and to the northwest of this lode intersection, a 6 metre wide zone of colluvial gravel/cobble material, that produced an intersection of **6.0m @ 13.03 g/t Au**. The colluvial gravel/cobble zone is interpreted to lie above a hangingwall “wedge” of lode material that has been previously sampled to the southwest at SWB Extension, including previous trenching intersections of Trench 21: **6m @ 31.2 g/t Au** and Trench 19: **8.35m @ 18.3 g/t Au** (both released to ASX 12 February 2014).

In addition to the trenching intersections, a series of lode/breccia boulders have been located along strike to the southwest of Trench 36. Sampling of these boulders included results of MGI 33295: **27.7 g/t Au**; MGI 33294: **11.5 g/t Au** and MGI 33297: **33.5 g/t Au**. These high grade boulders are located along strike from the main lode intersections, including in Trench 36 above, and lie above an extension to the SWB main lode. Further excavation and potentially drilling will be required to confirm the extent of surface colluvium mineralisation and the potential underlying lode.

Figure 1 shows the location of the new trenching and boulder sample results in plan view and Table 1 lists all locations and trench and boulder sampling results.

The new results have the potential to increase, and upgrade, the high grade, at surface, mineral resource in this area. Part of this resource, currently lying outside the Definitive Feasibility Study mining inventory, will be available for early mining and potential ore sale / toll milling. A series of 1,000 kilogram bulk samples are being collected from extensions of the SWB main lode structure and the colluvial gravel/cobble zones, for metallurgical testing by milling operators. The Company is in discussions with a toll milling operator based in Shandong Province, China (see ASX release 23 February 2015) and Philippines based operators are also being approached.

Red Mountain Managing Director Jon Dugdale said: *“We continue to find extensions to these very high grade gold surface zones at Lobo, which present the opportunity for early trial mining and ore sale or toll processing. It is rare to have such high grade gold at surface and we have the opportunity to give the project a great head start.”*




Figure 1: Plan of South West Breccia with new trenching results, early mining targets and bulk sampling

Trenching	North	East	Dip	Azi	From	To	Length	Au g/t
SWB TR35-15 El	10,099	10,041	20°	139°	2.0	4.0	2.0	<b>1.83</b>
SWB TR36-15 El	10,149	10,047	32°	145°	0.0	6.0	6.0	<b>13.03</b>
SWB TR36-15	10,148	10,054	15°	143°	10.0	14.5	4.5	<b>14.8</b>
including					10.5	11.5	1.0	<b>44.9</b>
<b>Boulders</b>								
LB02 BLDR1-15	10,105	10,052						0.01
LB02 BLDR2-15	10,104	10,052						<0.01
LB02 BLDR3-15	10,102	10,050						0.96
LB02 BLDR4-15	10,099	10,053						<b>11.5</b>
LB02 BLDR5-15	10,104	10,055						<b>27.7</b>
LB02 BLDR6-15	10,106	10,056						0.01
LB02 BLDR7-15	10,096	10,046						<b>33.5</b>
LB02 BLDR8-15	10,101	10,042						0.17
LB02 BLDR9-15	10,101	10,041						<b>8.47</b>
LB02 BLDR10-	10,099	10,041						1.89

Table 1: New Trenching and boulder sample results, South West Breccia Lode, Lobo.

---

For further information about Red Mountain please visit [www.redmm.com.au](http://www.redmm.com.au) or contact:

**Company Investors:**

Jon Dugdale  
Managing Director  
(+61) 402 298 026  
(+61) 8 9226 5668  
E : [jon.dugdale@redmm.com.au](mailto:jon.dugdale@redmm.com.au)

**Media**

Matt Birney  
Birney Corporate  
(+61) 419 217 090  
(+61)8 9226 5668  
E : [matt@birneycorporate.com.au](mailto:matt@birneycorporate.com.au)

---

**About Red Mountain Mining Limited and the Batangas Gold Project**

Red Mountain Mining (ASX: RMX) is a gold exploration and development company which listed on the ASX in September 2011. The Company's strategy is to unlock the potential of 'under-developed' gold and polymetallic projects in the greater Asian region by introducing Australian exploration and mining methods and improving efficiencies to gain significant exploration and production upside.

The Company holds a 100% direct and indirect contractual right interest in tenements in the Philippines that contain significant gold resources. Total Mineral Resources at Batangas include Indicated Resources of 2.97 million tonnes @ 2.4 g/t Au, 227,000 oz Au and Inferred Resources of 3.22 million tonnes @ 2.1 g/t Au, 218,000oz Au for a total of 6.19 million tonnes at 2.2 g/t Au, 444,000oz Au (ASX announcement 30 June 2014, JORC 2012). The Company is continuing exploration with the objectives of upgrading mineral resources at Batangas.

The Company announced Agreement with London backed, BVI registered, Bluebird Merchant Ventures (BMVL) on 23 December 2014 which includes a two stage funding arrangement totalling US\$5.5 million (~A\$6.7 million), earning BMVL up to 50% of the currently wholly owned subsidiary, Red Mountain Mining Singapore Ltd, which is the holder of the Philippines based Batangas Gold Project assets.

Under the Agreement with BMVL, Stage 1 funding of US\$1 million will allow the Company to complete a DFS on the project, with initial results confirming low capital and operating costs and recovery of over 100,000 ounces of gold during the initial 5 years of the total 10 year production plan (ASX announcement 20 November 2014 and updated 23 January 2015).

Final mine development and environmental permitting submissions have been lodged with the Philippines Government (ASX announcement 3 April 2014) and are proceeding towards approval.

Other gold opportunities will be reviewed on a continuous basis.

## Cautionary Statement

*The production targets referred to in this announcement were first released to ASX on 20 November 2014 and updated on 23 January 2015. They are preliminary and there is no certainty that the production targets or the forecast financial information derived from the production targets, will be realised. All material assumptions underpinning production targets or forecast financial information derived from production targets continue to apply and have not materially changed.*

## Competent Person Statement

*The historic information in this report relating to Mineral Resources and post 1 December 2013 Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Jon Dugdale who is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and has sufficient exploration experience which is relevant to the various styles of mineralisation under consideration to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Dugdale is a full time employee and Managing Director of Red Mountain Mining Ltd. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the information is presented has not been materially modified and it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the relevant market announcements, as detailed in the body of this announcement. All material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the Mineral Resource estimates continue to apply and have not materially changed.*

*The new Exploration Results and historic information in this report relating to pre December 2013 Exploration Results is also based on information compiled by Mr Jon Dugdale who has sufficient exploration experience which is relevant to the various styles of mineralisation under consideration to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Dugdale takes responsibility for and consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.*

## Forward Looking Statements

*This announcement contains certain forward looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not historical facts but rather are based on Red Mountain Mining's current expectations, estimates and projections about the industry in which Red Mountain Mining operates, and beliefs and assumptions regarding Red Mountain Mining's future performance. Words such as "anticipates", "expects", "intends", "plans", "believes", "seeks", "estimates" "potential" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond the control of Red Mountain Mining, are difficult to predict and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or forecasted in the forward-looking statements. Red Mountain Mining cautions shareholders and prospective shareholders not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect the view of Red Mountain Mining only as of the date of this presentation. The forward-looking statements made in this release relate only to events as of the date on which the statements are made. Red Mountain Mining will not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions or updates to these forward-looking statements to reflect events, circumstances or unanticipated events occurring after the date of this presentation except as required by law or by any appropriate regulatory authority.*

**APPENDIX 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA**

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Nature and quality of sampling and Assaying</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></li> <li><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trenches (Costeans) through colluvial cover excavated to bedrock have been sampled at the base of the trench in continuous cut channels with samples aggregated over measured 0.5m to 1.0m intervals.</li> <li>Trenching samples obtained from cut channels at 0.5m to 1.0m intervals weighing less than 3kg were transported to Intertek Laboratories in Manila, the Philippines, for fire assay.</li> <li>At least 2kg sample was pulverised and a 50 gram charge fire assayed with AAS finish for Gold (Au) and a range of 37 elements via Multiple determination by ICP-OES (following four acid digest (HCl/HNO<sub>3</sub>/HClO<sub>4</sub>/HF) with volumetric finish) assay including Silver (Ag), Copper (Cu), Lead (Pb) and Zinc (Zn).</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Drill type and details</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diamond core drilling, Triple tube, HQ core size</li> </ul>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></li> <li><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core sample recoveries routinely measured and recorded in spreadsheet database</li> <li>Triple tube drilling maximising core recovery. Samples split half core perpendicular to strike of structures</li> <li>Recovery maximised (100%). No bias generated.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></li> <li><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc)</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Logging of geology, alteration and geotechnical aspects have been recorded in drilling logs for diamond core drilling.</li> <li>Logging is qualitative. All drill core photographed.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>photography.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The entire interval drilled / trenched has been logged.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Half core taken, sawn.</li> <li>Non core Trench (Costean) channel samples entire sample aggregated, not riffled or split.</li> <li>Aggregated half core . Entire ~2kg sample pulverised at Laboratory prior to fire assay. This is an appropriate sample preparation technique that minimises bias.</li> <li>Drilling and Channel sampling orthogonal to dip and strike of the lode provides continuous sample with even weights that maximises representivity.</li> <li>Field duplicates regularly sampled.</li> <li>Sample sizes at &gt;2kg are well in excess of requirements appropriate to the grain size of gold that has been shown by mineragraphy to be generally less than 50 micron.</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fire assay is appropriate for the nature of the gold mineralisation being assayed.</li> <li>No geophysical tools used in generating exploration results.</li> <li>Registered standards have been inserted every 20 samples.</li> <li>Levels of accuracy and precision (detection limit) for gold is + or minus 0.005 ppm gold, which is well in excess of the precision required for the level of</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>precision have been established.</i>	assays reported.
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li>• <i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li>• <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li>• <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Significant intersections reported by field personnel, verified by competent person.</li> <li>• No twinning of drillholes at this stage.</li> <li>• Primary data logged on paper then data entry into database, verified by Chief Geologist and stored in electronic database, regularly backed up. output in spreadsheet form. Data is verified and compared with standard assays using established company protocols.</li> <li>• No adjustments have been made to assay data.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drillholes and Trenches (Costeans) accurately surveyed using Nikon Total Station DTM-332 survey equipment.</li> <li>• Drillhole and Trench (Costean) locations surveyed in UTM WGS84 51N grid, converted to local Lobo grid.</li> <li>• Topographic surveys were done using the Total Station. Control stations were set by an independent surveyor (McDonald Consultant, Inc.) using 2 DGPS (one as a base station for correcting diurnal variations) and a total station for where they could not survey with GPS under thick cover. These were tied to known government control stations.</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling assayed on no more than 1m intervals down hole. Drilling testing Trenches (Costeans) excavated every 10m along the strike of identified mineralisation. Data spacing sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s)</li> <li>• Samples have not been composited.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>• If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling and Trenching (Costeans) established orthogonal to the interpreted strike and dip of the mapped mineralised structures.</li> <li>• No sampling bias interpreted.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples secured by senior personnel on site and transported directly by company vehicle to Intertek Laboratories, Manila, the Philippines.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits/Reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Internal reviews regularly completed but no external audits carried out to date.</li> </ul>



**APPENDIX 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS**

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Batangas Gold Project comprises 2 Mineral Production Sharing Agreements (MPSA's), 8 Exploration Permits (EP's) and four Exploration Permit Applications (EPA's).</li> <li>Red Mountain Mining Ltd has a 100% interest in Philippines subsidiary MRL Gold Inc. which in turn has a 100% direct and contractual right interest in the Batangas gold Project tenements.</li> <li>The Lobo and Archangel MPSA's contain all identified (JORC 2004) resources. Declaration of Mining Feasibility and Environmental Compliance Certificate will be required to be approved by the Philippines Mines and Geosciences Bureau of the Department of Energy and Natural Resources of the Philippines Government before the company has a licence to operate.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous exploration was conducted by Mindoro Resources Ltd including extensive drilling, surface geochemistry, geophysics, mapping and mineral resource estimation to JORC 2004 and NI 43-101 standards.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The gold mineralisation that is the target of the exploration program is porphyry related epithermal gold mineralisation hosted by intermediate to felsic volcanic rocks and intrusions.</li> <li>Two styles of intermediate sulphidation epithermal gold mineralisation identified – i) andesite hosted stockwork mineralisation at e.g. Archangel MPSA and Quartz-Barite-Sulphide vein/lode style mineralisation at e.g. the Lobo MPSA.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Table 1, Drillhole/Trenching/Sampling locations, RL, dip and azimuth, length.</li> <li>Also significant intersections, from, to, interval, grade for relevant economic targets gold (Au), Silver (Ag) and copper (Cu).</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li>○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i></li> <li>○ <i>hole length.</i></li> </ul>	
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></li> <li>• <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></li> <li>• <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exploration results from drilling and trench (Costean) sampling have been weighted by interval.</li> <li>• No high-grade cuts have been applied as maximum grade (12 g/t Au) is less than five times the approximate mean grade.</li> <li>• Lower cut-off grade of 1 g/t Au has generally been applied to significant intersections.</li> <li>• Aggregate drilling and trenching intercepts do not incorporate longer lengths of low grade results.</li> <li>• No metal equivalent reported.</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li>• <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg ‘down hole length, true width not known’).</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling and trenches (Costeans) have been drilled/excavated and sampled as close to orthogonal to the strike and dip of the lode structures as possible and, as such, the intersection lengths are a close approximation of true width.</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• See Figures 1 and Table 1.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></p>	
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All significant drilling and trench exploration results reported</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mineragraphy on SWB and Trench 7 samples indicates that gold is “free” or associated with sulphide minerals indicating that there is no significant supergene enrichment</li> <li>• Metallurgical leaching results (release, 24/01/2014) for drillcore from both South West Breccia resources on the Lobo Prospect and the oxide and transitional oxide resources at Kay Tanda on the Archangel Prospect, indicate that a fine grind of the resource material to 37 micron and 75 micron respectively exhibit high total gold recoveries of up to 97% (48 hours leaching).</li> </ul>
<b>Further work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li>• <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling to be continued to test identified mineralised zones on a 20m x 20m spacing as detailed in the release.</li> <li>• See Figure 1</li> </ul>