



19 August 2015

ASX Code: WCN

Trench Assays reveal new Mineralised Zones at Aucu Gold Deposit

Highlights

- Visible Gold panned from new Trench
 - 1 metres at 35 g/t gold
 - 2 metres at 5.2 g/t gold
- Upper Gold Zone extended 900 metres
- High Grade copper zones identified

White Cliff Minerals Limited ("White Cliff" or the "Company") is pleased to report that visible gold has been identified in a trench 900 metres east along strike from the Aucu gold deposit which contains an inferred gold resource of 156, 000 ounces at 4.2 g/t.

The mineralised zones within the trench occur along a structural trend extending 3 kilometres from the Upper Gold Zone eastwards to the Chanach copper deposit (Figure 2). In addition, a seven and a twelve metre wide mineralised zone have been identified midway between the Aucu Gold deposit and the trench and have been submitted for assay. Further results are expected at the end of August.




Figure 1 Visible gold panned from 1 kilogram sample in Trench 21




Figure 2 Aucu Gold deposit (red) showing new trench assays 900 metres along strike. Yellow and orange traces are mineralised zones

White Cliff's Managing Director, Mr Todd Hibberd, commented that, "The new mineralised zones identified provide great encouragement that the mineralisation extends over significant distances and as several distinct structures. We hope to extend the grade and width of the high grade mineralisation identified last year to adjacent hills which will provide a significant increase to the initial resource of 156,000 Ounces identified last year."

Further High Grade Gold and Copper Zones identified

The Company is also pleased to report that mapping and sampling testing for extensions of the Aucu gold deposit to the West has identified several mineralised zones up to 900 metres along strike containing gold and copper values up to **19 g/t gold** and **13.3% copper**.




Figure 3 Outcropping mineralised zones west of the Aucu gold deposit. Red lines indicate the interpreted trend and orientation of extensions to the Aucu gold deposit.

The mineralised outcrops appear to have the same strike and dip as the major zones within the Aucu Gold deposit and are interpreted as extensions of that deposit. The Company is undertaking trenching of these zones to confirm the rock chip sampling. Results include:

Sample ID	Lode	Gold (g/t)	Copper %
Upper Gold Zone			
CHPT15-1-01	UGZ 2	0.32	0.05
CHPT15-1-02	UGZ 2	1.29	0.37
CHPT15-1-03	UGZ 2	-0.05	1.03
CHPT15-1-04	UGZ 2	4.13	0.94
CHPT15-1-05	UGZ 2	19.63	1.13
CHPT15-1-06	UGZ 2	1.35	0.58
CHPT15-2-01	UGZ 1	0.26	2.32
CHPT15-2-02	UGZ 1	4.44	13.23
CHPT15-2-03	UGZ 1	-0.05	0.05

Sample ID	Lode	Gold (g/t)	Copper %
CHPT15-2-04	UGZ 1	0.66	2.00
CHPT15-2-05	UGZ 2	-0.05	0.70
CHPT15-2-06	UGZ 2	3.66	2.79
CHPT15-2-07	UGZ 2	0.27	0.75
Lower Gold Zone			
CHPT15-1a-01	LGZ 1	1.27	0.57
CHPT15-1a-02	LGZ 1	1.54	1.02
CHPT15-1a-03	LGZ 1	8.12	0.51
CHPT15-1a-04	LGZ 1	0.99	0.13
CHT15-17a-01	LGZ 1	0.59	0.00
CHT15-17a-02	LGZ 1	0.28	0.01
CHT15-17a-03	LGZ 1	2.82	0.53
CHT15-17B-04	LGZ 2	0.40	0.15
CHT15-17B-05	LGZ 2	2.16	0.75




Figure 4 Map showing Chanach license outline and location of the Aucu copper-gold discovery 2.5 km to the NNW of the existing Chanach copper-gold deposit.

For further information please contact:

www.wcminerals.com.au

info@wcminerals.com.au

Todd Hibberd
Managing Director
+61 89321 2233
Suite 2, Level 1,
47 Havelock Street, West Perth WA 6872

About White Cliff Minerals Limited

White Cliff Minerals Limited is a Western Australian based exploration company with the following main projects:

Chanach Copper-Gold Project (88.7%): The Project contains extensive porphyry related gold and copper mineralisation starting at the surface and extending over several kilometres. Drilling during 2014 has defined a major **gold discovery** with an initial inferred resource of 1.15Mt at 4.2 g/t containing 156,000 ounces of gold. Drilling has also defined a significant **copper deposit** at surface consisting of 10Mt at 0.41% copper containing 40,000 tonnes of copper. Extensive mineralisation occurs around both deposits demonstrating significant expansion potential. The project is located in the Kyrgyz Republic, 350km west-southwest of the capital city of Bishkek and covers 83 square kilometres. The Chanach project is located in the western part of the Tien Shan Belt, a highly mineralised zone that extending for over 2500 km, from western Uzbekistan, through Tajikistan, Kyrgyz Republic and southern Kazakhstan to western China.

Merolia Project (100%): The project consists of 771 square kilometres of the Merolia Greenstone belt and contains extensive ultramafic sequences including the Diorite Hill layered ultramafic complex, the Rotorua ultramafic complex, the Coglia ultramafic complex and a 51 kilometre long zone of extrusive ultramafic lava's. The Intrusive complexes are prospective for nickel-copper sulphide accumulations possibly with platinum group elements, and the extrusive ultramafic rocks are prospective for nickel sulphide and nickel-cobalt accumulations. The project also contains extensive basalt sequences that are prospective for gold mineralisation including the Ironstone prospect where historical drilling has identified 24m at 8.6g/t gold.

Lake Johnston Project (100%): The project covers over 127 square kilometres in the Lake Johnson Greenstone Belt, which contains the Emily Ann and Maggie Hayes nickel sulphide deposits. These mines have a total resource of approximately 140,000 tonnes of contained nickel. The project area has excellent prospectivity for both komatiite associated nickel sulphides and amphibolite facies high-grade gold mineralisation.


Laverton Gold Project (100%): The project consists of 136 square kilometres of tenement applications in the Laverton Greenstone belt. The core prospects are Kelly Well and Eight Mile Well located 20km southwest of Laverton in the core of the structurally complex Laverton Tectonic zone immediately north of the Granny Smith Gold Mine (3 MOz) and 7 kilometres north of the Wallaby Gold Mine (7MOz).

Mount Remarkable Project (100%): The project covers 185 square kilometres and is located approximately 170 km N-NE of Kalgoorlie and about 25 km SE of Kookynie in the Northern Goldfields. Included in the project area are the historic gold mining centres of Mt Remarkable and Yerilla which consists of several old workings. Major gold mines in the surrounding area include Sons of Gwalia, Tarmoola, Carosue Dam, Granny Smith, Wallaby and Sunrise Dam. The project includes several areas adjacent to and along strike from existing nickel deposits at Aublis, Yerilla and Boyce Creek. These deposits form Heron Resources' Yerilla Nickel Project which contains 135 Mt @ 0.77% Nickel and 0.05% Cobalt.

JORC Compliance

The Information in this report that relates to exploration results, mineral resources or ore reserves is based on information compiled by Mr Todd Hibberd, who is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Hibberd is a full time employee of the Company. Mr Hibberd has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity that he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the JORC Code)'. Mr Hibberd consents to the inclusion of this information in the form and context in which it appears in this report.

The Information in this report that relates to mineral resources is based on information compiled by Mr Ian Glacken, who is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Glacken is a full time employee of Optiro Pty Ltd. Mr Glacken has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity that he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the JORC Code)'. Mr Glacken consents to the inclusion of this information in the form and context in which it appears in this report.



Project Map. Kyrgyz Republic. Location of the Chanach Copper-Gold Project

Appendix 1

The following information is provided to comply with the JORC Code (2012) requirements for the reporting of the Exploration Results and Mineral Resources on tenement AP590.

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling Techniques	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	Drill samples were collected using a face sampling hammer with each metre of drilling deposited in a plastic bag that is fed through a three tier riffle splitter to obtain a 2.5-3kg sample. Magnetic survey parameters: GEM potassium magnetometers with GEM proton precession magnetometer as a base station. North south line spaced 25-50 and 100 metres apart. Magnetic readings are taken every second along the traverse lines using an active potassium sensor. GEMS GMSP- 35 Specifications: Sensitivity: 0.0003 nT @ 1 Hz Resolution: 0.0001 nT Absolute Accuracy: +/- 0.05 nT Dynamic Range: 15,000 to 120,000 nT, (250,000 optional) Gradient Tolerance: 50,000 nT/m Sampling Rate: 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 Hz Operating Temperature: -40°C to +55°C Sample bags were visually inspected for volume to ensure minimal size variation. Were variability was observed, sample bags were weighed. Sampling was carried out under standard industry protocols and QAQC procedures Reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain one metre samples from which 3 kg was crushed to 1mm A 200 gram subsample was extracted using a Jones Divider and pulverized to 200 mesh (80 micron). A 2-10 gram sample is digested for gold analysis by Aqua Regia digest and Atomic Adsorption Spectrophotometry (AAS), and for copper analysis via pressed pellet X-ray fluorescence (XRF).
Drilling Techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).	Reverse Circulation Drilling, 900CFM/350PSI compressor, with 133mm (5.25 inch) diameter face sampling hammer bit. Industry standard processes.
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	Calculated volume of 1m RC sample is 36kg based on rock density of 2.6 g/cm3. Sample bags were visually inspected for volume to ensure minimal size variation. Were variability was observed, sample bags were weighed. Sampling was carried out under standard industry protocols and QAQC procedures No measures have been deemed necessary No studies have been carried out
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) Photography The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	Drill samples have been geologically logged and have been submitted for petrological studies. Samples have been retained and stored. The logging is considered sufficient for JORC compliant resource estimations Logging is considered qualitative Refer to text in the main body of the announcement
Sub-sampling	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half	NQ2 (55mm diameter) core samples were collected

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
techniques and sample preparation	or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled	from some holes. The core is logged, orientated where possible and cut in half. half core is used for laboratory analysis Samples were riffle split from 35kg down to 3kg. Where samples were too wet to riffle split, samples were tube sampled. Samples were collected using a face sampling hammer which pulverises the rock to chips. The chips are transported up the inside of the drill rod to the surface cyclone where they are collected in one metre intervals. The one metres sample is riffle split to provide a 2.5-3kg sample for analysis Similarly for core samples the core is orientated, cut and half the core is collected for analysis. Industry standard protocols are used and deemed appropriate. At this stage of the exploration no sub sampling is undertaken during the collection stage The whole sample collected is crushed to 2mm and a 200g sub-sample pulverised. A 2-10 gram sub sample of the pulverised sample is analysed. Field duplicates are not routinely collected. The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to correctly represent the sought after mineralisation style
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established	The analytical techniques used Aqua Regia digest, Atomic adsorption Spectrophotometry for gold analysis and XRF for copper analysis are considered suitable for the reconnaissance style sampling undertaken. Copper analysis was carried out by X-Ray Fluorescent Spectrometer Quant'X (Thermo Scientific (Austria-USA)). Analysis is performed at a rhodium tube with 30...50 kV voltage and 0, 13 mm palladium filter. Gold analysis was carried out using a Thermo Scientific Solar S2 AA-Spectrometer with Atom Trap STAT (Slotted Tube Atom Trap), gaseous hydride generation system (VP100 Continuous Flow Vapour System) Laboratory QAQC involves the use of internal lab standards using certified reference material, blanks, splits and replicates as part of the in house procedures.
Verification of sampling and assaying	The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols Discuss any adjustment to assay data	An executive director has visually verified significant intersections in rock samples from the Chanach project. Not Applicable Primary data was collected using a set of standard Excel templates on paper and re-entered into laptop computers. The information was sent to WCN in-house database manager for validation and compilation into an Access database. Assay data is received in digital and hard copy directly from the laboratory and imported into the database No adjustments or calibrations were made to any assay data used in this report.
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	Sample locations were recorded using handheld Garmin GPS60s. Elevation values were in AHD RL and values recorded within the database. Expected accuracy is + or – 5 m for easting, northing and 10m for elevation coordinates. No down hole surveying techniques were used due to the sampling methods used. The grid system is WGS84 UTM (zone 42 north) Topographic surface uses handheld GPS elevation data, which is adequate at the current stage of the project.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied.	The nominal sample spacing is 1 metre intervals down the hole. The mineralised domains have demonstrated sufficient continuity in both geological and grade continuity to support the definition of Mineral Resource and Reserves, and the classifications applied under the 2012 JORC Code. Samples have not been composited
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material	The sampling orientation for drilling is designed to be as perpendicular as possible to the known orientation of the structure No orientation based sampling bias has been identified in the data at this point.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Sample security is managed by the Company. Since at this stage these are field analyses, no sample transit security has been necessary.
Audits of reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	The Company carries out its own internal data audits. No problems have been detected.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	The mineralisation is located within Exploration License AP590 which is a Joint Venture between White Cliff Minerals Limited (90%) and BW3 Pty Ltd (10%) There are no other material issues The tenement is in good standing and no known impediments exist.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	None
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The geological setting is of Cambrian to Permian aged intrusive porphyry systems, bounded by overlying basaltic, and sedimentary rocks. Mineralisation is mostly situated within granitic porphyry units as broad alteration containing copper sulphides and within narrow quartz veins and faults.
Drill Hole Information	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not	No drilling results are presented in this release.
Data Aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated	No length weighting has been applied due to the nature of the sampling technique. No top-cuts have been applied as the data variability is low. Not applicable for the sampling methods used. No metal equivalent values are used for reporting exploration results.
Relationship	These relationships are particularly important in the	The length of mineralised intercepts in the drill holes will

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	reporting of Exploration Results: If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').	be longer than the true width of the mineralised zones due to the angle between the orientation of the structure and the drill hole. In general the length relationship between true width and down hole length is 0.5
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views`	Refer to figures in the body of text.
Balanced Reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results	All results within the mineralised zones are reported.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	NIL
Further Work	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	Reverse circulation and diamond drilling will be used to further define the nature and extent of the geochemical anomalism, and to gain lithological information.

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. Data validation procedures used.	Assay data digitally received directly from the laboratory and electronically transferred into an access database. Geological and survey data is received in excel spreadsheets and imported electronically into the database. Once in the database, the data is exported to a Map-info drill hole file where it is validated for consistency. The drill-holes are displayed in sections and the geology visually validated for consistency
Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	The Competent Person has been with White Cliff for 6.5 years and has managed the Chanach project since acquisition in 2009. He is intimately involved in the Chanach and Aucu deposits, with 15 site visits being undertaken including managing drilling programs on site, field mapping, drill hole logging and geological interpretation.
Geological Interpretation	Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.	There is a moderate level of confidence in the geological interpretation due to the presence of outcropping mineralisation at surface. Wireframes used to constrain the estimation are based on drill hole intercepts and geological boundaries. All wireframes at the Chanach deposit have been constructed to 0.25% Cu cut-off grade and at the Aucu deposit have been constructed to a 0.5 ppm Au cut-off grade for shape consistency. The mineralisation is generally quite consistent and drill intercepts clearly define the shape of the mineralised zones with limited options for large scale alternate interpretations. The controls on and interpretation of mineralisation is relatively straightforward and no alternative interpretations have been considered. Wireframes are used to constrain the estimation and are based on drill hole intercepts and geological boundaries.

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	Wireframes are constructed to a 0.5 ppm Au cut-off grade at Aucu and a 0.25% Cu cut-off grade at Chanach for shape consistency.
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource	The mineral resource at AuCu comprises two main zones, LGZ and UGZ, which have a strike length of 300 m and extend vertically for approximately 150 m below surface. Chanach has one zone with a total strike length of 600 m and which extends vertically for approximately 350 m below surface.
Estimation and modelling techniques	The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. Any assumptions about correlation between variables. Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates. Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drillhole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	Grade estimation using Ordinary Kriging (OK) was completed using Datamine software for Au at Aucu deposit and Cu at the Chanach deposit. Drill grid spacing at Aucu is 50 m and 100 m at Chanach. Variogram orientations were largely controlled by the strike of mineralization and downhole variography. Variograms for estimation purposes were determined for each deposit. Other estimation parameters, such as search distance, minimum and maximum sample numbers were derived from KNA. Search distances varied depending on the element being estimated. There has been no previous resource estimation on the Chanach and Aucu deposits, hence no comparisons are available. The resource model has not been compared to any reconciliation data. No assumptions have been made regarding recovery of any by-products. No deleterious elements were estimated. The block model dimensions and parameters were based on the geological boundaries and average drill grid spacing. Sub-blocks were used to ensure that the block model honoured the domain geometries and volume. Block estimates were controlled by the original parent block dimensions. The individual parent block dimensions were 25 mE by 5 mN by 25 mRL, with sub-blocking allowed. Estimation into parent blocks used a discretisation of 10 (X points) by 5 (Y points) by 10 (Z points) to better represent estimated block volumes. No selective mining units were modelled in this estimate. It is assumed that the SMU is equal to the block model parent cell or smaller. There was only one element estimated per deposit. Drillhole sample data was flagged using domain codes generated from three dimensional mineralisation domains. All drilling was sampled at 1 m intervals so no compositing was required. Mineralisation domains were treated as hard boundaries in the estimation process. Top cuts were established by investigating univariate statistics and histograms of sample values. A top cut level was selected if it affected outliers, reduced the sample variance and did not materially change the mean value. Model validation was carried out using visual comparisons between composites and estimated blocks, checks for negative or absent grades, and statistical comparison against the input drillhole data and graphical profile (swath) plots.
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	Tonnages are estimated on a dry basis.
Mining factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic	No minimum mining assumptions were made for Chanach deposit during the resource wire framing or estimation process. The wireframing at AuCu required a minimum of 2 samples to be included in the wireframe. Mining parameters, including minimum

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	width assumptions, will be applied during the conversion to Ore Reserves.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	No metallurgical factors or assumptions are made during the resource estimation process as this will be addressed during conversion to Ore Reserve.
Environmental factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made	No environmental factors or assumptions are made during the resource estimation process.
Bulk density	Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit, Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.	No bulk density measurements were taken A bulk density of 2.64 was used for the Aucu deposit and a density of 2.74 was used for the fresh material in the Chanach deposit and 2.50 for the oxide material. These measurements were based on the host rock types and experience from similar deposits.
Classification	The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e. relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	Classification of the resource models is based primarily on drill density and geological understanding, in conjunction with limited QAQC data and the lack of bulk density measurements. The classification takes into account the relative contributions of geological and data quality and confidence, as well as grade confidence and continuity. The classification reflects the view of the Competent Person.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	This is the maiden Mineral Resource estimate, therefore no audits or reviews have been carried out.
Discussion of relative accuracy/confidence	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate	The relative accuracy of the Mineral Resource estimate is reflected in the reporting of the Mineral Resource as per the guidelines of the 2012 JORC Code. The statement relates to global estimates of tonnes and grade.
	The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the	The estimate is considered to be relevant to a global report of tonnage and grade.

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	procedures used	
	These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available	