

Level 9, Waterfront Place, 1 Eagle St, Brisbane QLD 4000 GPO Box 1164, Brisbane QLD 4001 Telephone: 07 3108 3500 Fax: 07 3108 3501

mail: admin@lanewayresources.com.au www.lanewayresources.com.au

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

2 March 2015

Progress continues at Agate Creek Gold project with further Shallow High Grade Gold intercepts.

Highlights

- Assay results for the final 23 drill holes of the recently completed 69 hole program at the Sherwood and Sherwood West prospects, which form part of the Agate Creek Gold Project have been received, showing continuing shallow high grade mineralisation, including in a second area at Sherwood West.
- Significant intercepts from the final 23 holes include:

Sherwood

- 1m @ 16.1 g/t gold from 51m and 1m @ 10.95 g/t gold from 93m (HG15)
- 1m @ 4.75 g/t gold from 48m and 1m @ 4.17 g/t gold from 50m (HG11)
- 1m @ 4.79 g/t 65m; 1m @ 3.61g/t gold from 95m; 1m @ 4.81 g/t gold from 121m and 1m @ 3.14 g/t gold from 128m (HG045)
- 2m @ 4.6 g/t gold from 32m; 2m @ 3.8 g/t gold from 38m; 5m @ 6.9 g/t gold from 64m including 2m @ 12.2 g/t gold from 67m (HG046)

Sherwood West

- 6m @ 8.2 g/t gold from 9m including 1m @ 21.6 g/t gold from 9m and 2m @ 11.3 g/t gold from 11m (CCRC507)
- 1m @ 3.55 g/t gold from 4m (CCRC502)
- 1m @ 6.14 g/t gold from 15m (CCRC506)
- The results of the drilling program achieved the objective of demonstrating a material extension at Sherwood of shallow high grade mineralisation beyond the area of the Metallurgical Sample that was extracted in 2014 and yielded 5,472 tonnes @ 11.2 g/t gold supporting the potential for near term production via the Georgetown processing plant.
- Assays received from drilling at Sherwood West (located approximately 1km west of Sherwood) show that Sherwood West also contains near surface high grade mineralisation, similar to that at Sherwood and it is possible that further drilling may delineate further zones of mineralisation.
- Laneway will soon commence another drilling program aimed at defining the shallow high grade Resource further. This will be done in parallel with the progression of the Mining Lease Application over the Sherwood and Sherwood West prospects (ASX release 25 February 2015) and following completion of this next drill program the Company intends to table an updated JORC Resource.

Laneway Resource (ASX:LNY) ("Laneway" or the "Company") is pleased to announce further assay results from the recently completed drill program at the Sherwood and Sherwood West prospects, of the Company's 100% owned Agate Creek Gold Project in North Queensland (the "Project"). The drill program targeted a substantial extension to high grade near surface and outcropping gold mineralisation extending from the Metallurgical Sample that was extracted in 2014 and delivered 5,472 tonnes at 11.2 g/t gold at Sherwood.



Introduction

Laneway completed 4,257m of the previously announced (ASX announcement 28 November 2014) 5,000m reverse circulation (RC) drill program (the "Program") at Agate Creek that was aimed at:

- A more thorough definition of the near surface (less than 50m) high grade gold zones at Sherwood with a view to establishing a Resource of sufficient robust grade and size to sustain a near term high grade open cut mining operation.
- A material increase in the existing Resource Inventory at both Sherwood and Sherwood West with successful infill drilling potentially increasing scope of overall high grade shallow resource and identifying additional targets.
- Testing the southern extension of Sherwood West where the target mineralised zone remains open and has been continuously mapped some 700m to the south of current drilling.
- o Drilling of several highly prospective regional targets.

Continuity of Shallow High Grade Gold Mineralisation Confirmed at Sherwood

All assay results from the drill program have now been received and confirm excellent continuity of the near surface gold mineralisation extending from the previously extracted Metallurgical Sample. Mineralisation extends for over 200m down dip and 100m along strike and remains open in 3 directions, with results from the program confirming the high grade nature of the target zone including **1m @ 90.4 g/t** (HG28). A table of significant results received is contained within Attachment A.

As previously announced, the Company plans to shortly undertake a further 2,500 m drilling program including extending a further 15 holes to delineate the two lower zones in the coming program.

With the receipt of these final assays and further drilling as planned, Laneway is targeting the tabling of an updated Resource estimate in the coming months. Significant results received from the entire program include:

- o 6m @ 24.3 g/t gold from 6m (HG28), including 2m @ 59.0 g/t from 7m
- o 1m @ 61.9 g/t gold from 9m (HG27)
- o 2m @ 13.2 g/t gold from 11m (HG24)
- o 3m @ 9.8 g/t gold from 6m (HG29)
- o 3m @ 8.7g/t gold from 16m (HG14)
- o 1m @ 14.5 g/t gold from 17m; 2m @ 12g/t golf from 47m and 3m @ 4.2g/t gold from 65m (HG10)
- o 3m @ 7.7 g/t gold from 6m as well as 3m @10.7g/t gold from 14m (HG26)
- o 3m @ 9.6g/t gold from 13m (HG06)
- o 1m @12.8 g/t gold from 4m, 2m @ 12.8 g/t gold from 11m and 2m @ 5.5g/t gold from 15m (HG41)
- o 1m @ 15.8 g/t gold from 25m (HG34)
- o 3m @ 10.3 g/t gold from 17m and 2m @ 12.4 g/t gold from 68m (HG35)
- 2m @ 4.6 g/t gold from 32m; 2m @ 3.8 g/t gold from 38m; 5m @ 6.9 g/t gold from 64m including 2m @ 12.2 g/t gold from 67m (HG46)






Figure 1 - Sherwood drill location plan within the High Grade zone.

Sherwood West

All assay results have now been received for drilling at Sherwood West. This drilling is over a 60m portion of the currently delineated 800m Resource envelope. Drilling has confirmed that Sherwood West contains near surface high grade zones similar to Sherwood. Follow up infill drilling will be completed at a later date to better define the high grade zones and allow further mine planning works that may result in the extension of the Agate Creek mine life.




Figure 2 - Sherwood West plan of drill collar locations






Figure 3 - Sherwood West cross-section looking north showing shallow high grade mineralisation.

As previously reported (ASX release 22 January 2015) step out drilling completed at Sherwood West intercepted the target zone structure, thus confirming geological continuity, however only anomalous gold assays were returned. These results are only contained within 50m of the 700m of the previously mapped extension to the south of the known mineralisation. Future drilling will look to better define the mineralised zone and extend the known high grade portions of Sherwood West as well as further test the mapped target structure.

For and on behalf of the Board JPK Marshall Company Secretary

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Scott Hall who is a member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Hall is a full-time employee of Laneway Resources Limited and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Hall consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.



Attachment A
Complete collar list of drilling to date (4257m) with gold intercepts if known.

	She	rwood H	ligh G	rade			Inter	secti	on	
Hole_ID	GDA94 East*	GDA94 North*	RL(m)	Azimuth	Dip	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au Gold (g/t)
HG02	768,194	7,897,752	509.5	360	-90	11		NSI		
HG03	768,408	7,897,773	509	360	-90	47		NSI		
HG04	768,207	7,897,755	509	360	-90	17		NSI		
HG05	768,327	7,897,747	504	360	-90	17	NSI			
HG06	768,228	7,897,762	509	360	-90	47	13	14	1	8.43
							14	15	1	17.85
							15	16	1	2.62
							18	19	1	2.24
							29	30	1	1.04
							30	31	1	2.24
HG07	768,237	7,897,769	507	360	-90	47	37	38	1	1.52
HG08	768,238	7,897,782	507.2	360	-90	59	40	41	1	1.1
HG09	768,293	7,897,753	502	360	-90	23	7	8	1	2.69
							15	16	1	3.19
HG10	768,270	7,897,789	506.7	360	-90	77	17	18	1	14.45
							47	48	1	2.78
							48	49	1	21.2
							65	66	1	2.32
							66	67	1	3.2
							67	68	1	7.1
HG11	768,277	7,897,770	506	360	-90	70	15	16	1	2.45
							16	17	1	1.57
							48	49	1	4.75
							50	51	1	4.17
							56	57	1	1.63
							57	58	1	1.2
HG12	768,332	7,897,727	505	360	-90	17	10	11	1	1.71
HG13	768,315	7,897,815	504	360	-90	35	19	20	1	14.55
HG14	768,313	7,897,798	503.5	360	-90	29	16	17	1	3.47
							17	18	1	9.5
							18	19	1	13
HG15	768,343	7,897,854	515	360	-90	131	28	29	1	1.72
							32	33	1	1.23
							33	34	1	1.18
							51	52	1	16.1
							93	94	1	10.95
							94	95	1	2.77
HG16	768,338	7,897,793	502.5	360	-90	113	57	58	1	6.4
							90	91	1	1.52



Hole_ID	GDA94 East*	GDA94 North*	RL(m)	Azimuth	Dip	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au Gold (g/t)	
HG17	768,353	7,897,810	505	360	-90	95	53	54	1	2.66	
							85	86	1	1.62	
HG18	768,351	7,897,786	503.9	360	-90	119	56	57	1	1.23	
							59	60	1	1.19	
HG19	768,324	7,897,769	504.2	360	-90	23	NSI				
HG20	768,430	7,897,794	508	360	-90	137	11	12	1	1.07	
							30	31	1	1.62	
							80	81	1	1.88	
							107	108	1	1.28	
							109	110	1	2.94	
							110	111	1	0.98	
							116	117	1	1.87	
							126	127	1	1.03	
							127	128	1	1.26	
HG23	768,316	7,897,723	505.7	360	-90	23	12	13	1	4.12	
							13	14	1	0.3	
							14	15	1	3.02	
HG24	768,303	7,897,736	506	360	-90	23	11	12	1	20.5	
							12	13	1	5.84	
							18	19	1	2.1	
							21	22	1	2.76	
HG25	768,281	7,897,744	506	360	-90	23	12	13	1	61.9	
							13	14	1	1.7	
							14	15	1	2.63	
							17	18	1	2.29	
HG26	768,268	7,897,747	507	360	-90	35	6	7	1	4.98	
							7	8	1	14.15	
							8	9	1	4.06	
							14	15	1	1.11	
							15	16	1	16.45	
							16	17	1	14.6	
HG27	768,307	7,897,751	505.5	360	-90	23	8	9	1	1.26	
							9	10	1	2.45	
							10	11	1	1.54	
							11	12	1	1.91	
							12	13	1	1.59	
							13	14	1	1.02	



Hole_ID	GDA94 East*	GDA94 North*	RL(m)	Azimuth	Dip	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au Gold (g/t)	
HG28	768,241	7,897,744	508	360	-90	17	6	7	1	16.6	
	•				1		7	8	1	90.4	
							8	9	1	27.5	
							9	10	1	7.2	
							10	11	1	2.66	
							11	12	1	1.39	
							15	16	1	1.38	
HG29	768,228	7,897,752	507	360	-90	23	6	7	1	9.47	
							7	8	1	18.35	
							8	9	1	1.75	
HG30	768,215	7,897,747	509	360	-90	11	NSI				
HG32	768,277	7,897,806	506.7	360	-90	5	Abandoned Lo	ss of Re	eturn & N	SI	
HG32A	768,281	7,897,809	506.6	360	-90	83	72	73	1	2.45	
HG33	768,280	7,897,819	508	360	-90	41		NSI			
HG34	768,324	7,897,840	502	360	-90	89	21	22	1	1.17	
					I		22	23	1	1.8	
							25	26	1	15.8	
							72	73	1	1.9	
							75	76	1	1.55	
HG35	768,328	7,897,823	502	360	-90	125	17	18	1	3.91	
		, ,			<u>I</u>		18	19	1	11.7	
							19	20	1	15.3	
							68	69	1	7.33	
							69	70	1	17.4	
HG36	768,321	7,897,809	502.2	360	-90	83	18	19	1	2.75	
		, ,			<u>I</u>		59	60	1	9.2	
HG37	768,371	7,897,775	504	360	-90	113	95	96	1	1.1	
HG39	768,268	7,897,730	505.7	360	-90	23	11	12	1	2.1	
		, ,			<u>I</u>		20	21	1	6.18	
							21	22	1	4.52	
							22	23	1	1.84	
HG40	768,258	7,897,718	506	360	-90	23	10	11	1	1.34	
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u> </u>					11	12	1	2.83	
							15	16	1	3.02	
HG41	768,245	7,897,731	507.5	360	-90	23	4	5	1	12.7	
							5	6	1	0.93	
							6	7	1	1.8	
							8	9	1	1.5	
							9	10	1	0.9	
							11	12	1	22.2	
							12	13	1	3.56	
							15	16	1	4.5	



							16	17	1	6.42
Hole_ID	GDA94 East*	GDA94 North*	RL(m)	Azimuth	Dip	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au Gold (g/t)
HG43	768,361	7,897,821	506.7	360	-90	83	26	27	1	1.07
					•		27	28	1	2.34
							78	79	1	2.61
HG44	768,373	7,897,797	505	360	-90	143	94	95	1	1.2
HG45	768,445	7,897,802	508	360	-90	155	15	16	1	2.14
							37	38	1	1.28
							49	50	1	1.7
							64	65	1	1.27
							65	66	1	4.79
							95	96	1	3.61
							113	114	1	1.01
							115	116	1	2.94
							121	122	1	4.81
							127	128	1	1.45
							128	129	1	3.14
HG46	768,452	7,897,787	513	360	-90	143	11	12	1	2.9
	-						13	14	1	2.11
							15	16	1	2.01
							17	18	1	1.31
							27	28	1	1.02
							32	33	1	3.84
							33	34	1	5.31
							38	39	1	4.67
							39	40	1	2.87
							52	53	1	1.35
							64	65	1	4.37
							65	66	1	3
							66	67	1	3.05
							67	68	1	16.3
							68	69	1	8.02
							69	70	1	1.52
							102	103	1	1.12
							109	110	1	1.23
							115	116	1	2.51
							127	128	1	2.77
							133	134	1	2.18
HG47	768,451	7,897,765	510	360	-90	53	0	1	1	1.04
	•	•					3	4	1	2.46
							42	43	1	1.08
HG48	768,265	7,897,802	504	360	-90	47		NSI		



Hole_ID	GDA94 East*	GDA94 North*	RL(m)	Azimuth	Dip	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au Gold (g/t)	
HG50	768,387	7,897,814	507.5	360	-90	113	18	19	1	1.32	
							23	24	1	2.81	
							29	30	1	2.95	
							32	33	1	2.53	
							101	102	1	2.1	
							110	111	1	1.09	
	She	rwood I	Inter	secti	on						
Hole_ID	GDA94 East*	GDA94 North*	RL(m)	Azimuth	Dip	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Au Gold (g/t)		
CCRC490	768,172	7,897,660	502	270	-60	149	NSI				
CCRC491	768,178	7,897,657	500	360	-90	179	4	5	1	2.07	
CCRC492	768,248	7,897,534	437	360	-90	131	107	108	1	1.22	
CCRC493	768,189	7,897,463	468	270	-60	65	52	53	1	4.53	
CCRC494	768,237	7,897,495	452	360	-90	161	56	57	1	1.55	
							68	69	1	2.04	
	Sherw	ood We	st Ext	ension	S		Intersection				
Hole_ID	GDA94 East*	GDA94 North*	RL (m)	Azimuth	Dip	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au Gold (g/t)	
CCRC483	767,894	7,897,227	435	270	-60	71	18	19	1	2.92	
CCRC484	767,837	7,897,460	453	45	-60	112	21	23	2	0.55	
CCRC485	767,728	7,897,540	458	270	-60	88		NSI			
CCRC486	767,605	7,897,192	476	270	-60	136	89	90	1	1.39	
CCRC487	767,551	7,897,093	492	360	-90	83	NSI				
CCRC488	767,556	7,897,024	503	270	-60	46	NSI				
CCRC489	767,688	7,897,130	450	270	-60	136	NSI				
CCRC495	767,899	7,897,183	440	270	-60	95		NSI			



	Sherw	ood We	st Hig	h Grad	е		Intersection			
Hole_ID	GDA94 East*	GDA94 North*	RL(m)	Azimuth	Dip	Total Depth (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au Gold (g/t)
CCRC496	767,383	7,897,865	523	360	-90	17	9	10	1	1.11
CCRC497	767,385	7,897,857	522	360	-90	17	9	10	1	1.04
							10	11	1	2.02
CCRC498	767,380	7,897,848	534	360	-90	17	6	7	1	1.43
CCRC499	767,377	7,897,839	524	360	-90	17	6	7	1	1.34
							8	9	1	2.06
							9	10	1	2.77
CCRC500	767,368	7,897,826	525	360	-90	11	4	5	1	1.3
							5	6	1	2.79
CCRC501	767,358	7,897,865	514	360	-90	5	2	3	1	1.87
CCRC502	767,362	7,897,853	512	360	-90	11	4	5	1	3.55
CCRC503	767,363	7,897,844	522	360	-90	5	2	3	1	1.12
							3	4	1	1.79
CCRC504	767,364	7,897,840	522	360	-90	17	1	2	1	1.76
							2	3	1	1.75
							3	4	1	1.75
							5	6	1	2.25
CCRC505	767,364	7,897,830	525	360	-90	11	0	1	1	1.08
							2	3	1	1.96
CCRC506	767,390	7,897,838	516	360	-90	23	10	11	1	2.08
							12	13	1	1.54
							13	14	1	4
							14	15	1	1.41
							15	16	1	6.14
							16	17	1	1.5
CCRC507	767,390	7,897,854	519	360	-90	23	9	10	1	21.6
							10	11	1	0.5
							11	12	1	11.45
							12	13	1	11.2
							13	14	1	0.22
							14	15	1	4.09
	NS	I = No Signifi	cant Inte	ercept			Previously Reported			
				* GPS loca		-	nor location changes			



Agate Creek Project JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	 Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. 	Reverse Circulation (RC) Drill samples are submitted as 1 m intervals, after cone splitting.
	 Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. 	Duplicates, blanks, and standards are submitted to ensure results are repeatable and accurate. Laboratory comparison checks will be completed, at the end on the drilling program, to determine any bias
	 In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types 	RC drilling was used to collect 1 metre samples from which a representative 2-4kg sample is sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis. Samples are pulverised to -75 microns and analysed for gold by fire assay and as required a multi-element suite by mixed-acid digest – ICPMS/OES.
Drilling techniques	 Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	RC hammer size is 5 inch or larger. Drill samples are homogenised by riffle or cone splitting prior to sampling and a 2-4kg split sample is submitted for assay.
Drill sample recovery	 Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. 	RC samples are split on 1m intervals using a cone splitter with the following data recorded at the time of sampling: O Sample recovery was visually estimated and documented; and O Any biases in sample recovery were observed and recorded; and O Samples were documented as being dry, moist or wet (in excess of 99% of samples recovered were dry).
	 Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. 	If poor RC sample recovery is encountered during drilling, the geologist and driller endeavour to rectify the problem to ensure maximum sample recovery. Visual assessment is made for moisture and contamination. The cyclone and splitter were used to ensure representative samples were taken, with both being routinely cleaned and inspected for damage.
	 Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential 	No obvious sample bias has been identified or is expected given the nature of the mineralisation and the sampling methods employed.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary					
	loss/gain of fine/coarse material.						
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	All drill holes have been logged as appropriate for major and minor lithologies, alteration, vein minerals, vein percentage, sulphide type and percentage, colour, weathering, hardness, grain size, core to bedding angle, recovery, vein angles, fractures, joints and RQD.					
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	All drilling is qualitatively and quantitatively logged for a combination of geological and geotechnical attributes in their entirety. RC chip trays have been photographed. Representative samples of the individual metres from RC chips have been retained in 20 metre chip trays.					
Sub-sampling techniques	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.	N/A					
and sample —	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.	Drill samples are homogenised by cone splitting prior to sampling and a 2-4kg split sample is submitted for assay.					
	•	Wet samples are spear sampled after drying. These are of a very limited number, and checks are in place to monitor wet sample biasing.					
	For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	Typically a representative 2-4kg sample has been sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis. Samples are pulverised to -75 microns and analysed for gold by fire - assay, and as required for a multi-element suite by mixed-acid digest – ICPMS/OES as determined by the onsite geologist. The sample preparation technique is appropriate for the style of mineralisation being analysed.					
	Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.	Drill samples are homogenised by r cone splitting prior to sampling and a 2-4kg split sample is submitted for assay.					
	 Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. 	Sampling is supervised by experienced geologists.					
	Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	The sample size is appropriate taking into account the grain size of the material, as well as the style of mineralisation being analysed.					
Quality of assay data and	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	The method employed is industry standard and considered appropriate for the style of deposit and elements being assayed.					
laboratory tests	For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument	Not Applicable					



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	
	 Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established 	Sample batches have Certified Standard Reference Material and/or blanks inserted at start and end of every lab submission. Standards and/or blanks are inserted at least every 30m and sample duplicates are taken every 20m. Lab umpire testing of samples is also undertaken at the end of each program from already analysed pulps for comparison.
		Drilling was supervised by experienced geologists QA/QC data analysis of the control procedures outlined above will be completed.
Verification of sampling and assaying	 The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. 	All assay data received including significant intercepts are reviewed by at least 2 appropriately qualified persons for validation purposes. All reported significant intercepts are verified by at least 2 appropriately qualified persons.
assaying •	The use of twinned holes.	Twinned holes are used to verify historic drilling and have shown reasonable correlation.
	 Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. 	All historical data was manually checked and validated from original documents during a database audit undertaken in 2008. Procedures are in place for data storage, manipulation, data entry, validation and verification which are considered industry standard.
		Samples are collected into pre-numbered bags at the place of sampling (either the drill rig or core yard). A geologist or field assistant cross checks the bag numbers against the sample interval before recording them in duplicate into a sample submission book, including: certified standards, blanks and field duplicates.
		The sample submission form is signed by the geologist or field technician prior to delivery to the accredited laboratory. The laboratory validates the number of samples and sample identification codes against the submission form, with any errors being reported and rectified.
		Data is transferred to excel spreadsheets utilising data validation to improve data quality, prior to loading into Microsoft Access. Validation against assay, lithological and drill meta-data is completed by the software prior to consolidation within the main database.
		Hard copy field data is collated into a file for each drill program and is stored in the Brisbane office. Electronic data is stored on the Company server, with appropriate security controls being in place.
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	No adjustment of assay data was considered necessary.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		The primary returned assay result is used for reporting of all intersections and in mineral resource estimation, no averaging with field duplicates or laboratory repeats was undertaken so as not to introduce volume bias.
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations	All drill hole collar surveys are completed by a licensed surveyor utilising industry standard survey equipment.
	used in Mineral Resource estimation.	The majority of drill holes have been down hole surveyed at 30 to 50m intervals utilizing best practice instruments available at the time. Vertical holes less than 60m have not been downhole surveyed historically.
	Specification of the grid system used.	All data is MGA 94 (Zone 54). Elevation values are in AHD RL.
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	Elevation control is based on topographic contours extracted from the 100,000 mapsheet data.
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	Step out exploration drilling is generally conducted on 40m sections along strike and 40m down dip, this is considered sufficient to establish continuity of the mineralisation.
_		Drilling density to define the Exploration Target will average less than 20m x 20m. The drill spacing is considered geologically sufficient for the high grade vein system which is being targeted.
	Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	Drill hole spacing on average is less than 40m x 40m within the known mineralisation areas. This drilling density is considered appropriate to establish the continuity of the mineralisation. Infill drilling is undertaken where necessary to define higher grade zones as deemed geologically necessary.
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	Sample compositing has and is not expected be undertaken.
Orientation of data in relation to	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	Wherever possible drill holes have been planned to intersect the interpreted mineralised structure as near to perpendicular as possible (subject to dill collar access constraints).
geological structure		No sample biasing due to drill orientation has been observed.
Structure	 If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	Drilling orientations are considered appropriate to the mineralisation type with no bias observed as a result of the drill orientation.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	The chain of custody is managed by the project geologist who generally dispatches the sample bags directly from site to the lab by an authorised company representative. Sample dispatches by others have historically been similar in nature.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Audits or reviews		In 2008 a complete data review was completed up to hole 333, including a thorough QA/QC audit. Relogging and checking of all historical data was completed during the same period
		The results of the 2008 review included updated geological logging and additional QA/QC procedures as part of the continuous improvement process.
		A database audit will be undertaken prior to compiling a new JORC Resource

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Со	mme	entary				
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	 Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interest historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental 	Mine 50 k	eral Develor km South of	ment License Forsayth (QLI	urce and current 402 (MDL 402) D). MDL 402 is h Royalty Agreem	which is located eld 100% by La	l approximately neway	
	settings.		MDL 402 has a current ILUA and CHMA for exploration activities with the determined Native Title group. Current Conduct and Compensation Agreements are in place with the underlying land holders.					
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along w known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the are	MDL 402 was granted for an original 5 year term which expires during 2016, at this time the Company will apply for a 5 year term extension.						
			area		sation. Upon g	currently being prant of a Mining		
Exploration done by other	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Company		Date	Hole Type	Hole #	Metreage (m)	# Samples
parties		Rio Tinto		1996-7	25 RC 15DD (2 programs)	14-21, 23-39 1-13, 22, 40	RC 2,668 DD 3,271.3	2,957
		Plutonic Homestake	/	11/98	22 RC	41-62	RC 3, 576	3,576
				11-12/99	27 RC	63-89	RC 4, 309	4,308
				2000	19 RC	90-108	RC 3, 330	3,324
		Normandy/ Leyshon		2001	6 DD	109-113	RC 286 DD 1, 066.1	879
						as necessary re ogical logs and d		



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	Gold mineralisation at Sherwood is a low-sulphidation, adularia-sericite type epithermal system genetically related to the emplacement of Permo-Carboniferous porphyritic rhyolite and andesite extrusives and intrusives. Most mineralisation occurs within the Robertson Fault Zone, at the intersection of the Robin Hood Fault and is spatially associated with (and often within) rhyolite. The mineralised zones are interpreted as boiling outflow zones, likely fossil geysers. The Agate Creek Fault forms the eastern boundary to mineralisation but remains open in all other directions and at depth.
Drill hole Information	 A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. 	Location of the data in relation to the exploration results are located in figure 1 and attachment A.
	 If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	All intervals are reported in attachment A. Data shown are drilled intervals not true widths and all grades are reported as received from laboratory.
Data aggregation methods	 In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. 	All intervals are reported in attachment A. Data shown are drilled intervals not true widths and all grades are reported as received from laboratory.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	All intervals are reported in attachment A. Data shown are drilled intervals not true widths and all grades are reported as received from laboratory.
	 The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	No metal equivalents have been calculated.
Relationship between	 These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. 	All intervals are reported in attachment A. Data shown are drilled intervals not true widths and all grades are reported as received from laboratory.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
mineralisation widths and		
intercept lengths	If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.	All intervals are reported in attachment A. Data shown are drilled intervals, not true widths and all grades are reported as received from laboratory. The apparent dip of the exploration target vein is 10 degrees, accordingly there is only minor variation between true widths and drill intervals.
	 If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	All intervals are reported in attachment A. Data shown are drilled intervals not true widths and all grades are reported as received from laboratory.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	A plan of the majority of the intervals displayed in Attachment A can be seen in figure 1.
Balanced reporting	 Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	Attachment A only shows the geological interval that is being targeted which hosts the high grade mineralization. All drill hole information presented has been previously released and has been incorporated within the global Resource on a bulk mining scenario, rather than a selective high grade mining scenario which is the current target.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	The metallurgical sample (5,472 tonnes at 11.2g/t gold) which was mined and processed during December 2013 is adjacent to the Exploration Target area (see figure 1). The results of the metallurgical sample can be seen on page 5 under heading Metallurgical Sample Summary.
Further work	The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).	Assays from this program are still outstanding a follow up program to further delineate the mineralisation is expected to be completed in the next 4-6 weeks. Approximately 2000m (20 RC holes) will be drilled to better define the mineralisation. Progressive announcements will be made as results become available.
	Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	Figure 1 shows the approximate drill collar locations from the current program and also planned follow up holes.