

17 October 2016

## Drill Program Extended to Berjaya

ASX Code: PMY

ABN 43 107 159 713

### CORPORATE DIRECTORY

#### Managing Director

Simon Noon

#### Directors

Richard Monti (Chairman)

Peter Harold (Non-exec.)

Andrew Parker (Non-exec.)

#### Company Secretary

Amanda Wilton-Heald

#### Registered office

Level 10, 553 Hay St  
Perth WA 6000

#### Telephone:

+61 8 6266 8642

#### Facsimile:

+61 8 9421 1008

#### Email:

info@pacificominerals.com.au

### Highlights

- Recently completed heritage clearance survey over Pacífico's Berjaya tenement allows current RC drill program to be extended.
- The Berjaya tenement covers sub-outcropping Barney Creek Formation that has potential for a McArthur style sediment hosted zinc-lead-silver massive sulphide deposit.

Pacífico Minerals Limited ("Pacífico") (ASX: PMY) has completed 14 reverse circulation ("RC") drill holes for 1,967m on the Four Mile, Coppermine Creek, Johnstons and Mariner prospects within the Borrooloola West Joint Venture ("BWJV") (Pacífico 51% interest, Sandfire Resources NL (ASX: SFR) 49% interest).


All holes have reached target depths. However, the drilling has been slower than anticipated due to difficult ground conditions and weather related delays.

Indications of copper, lead and zinc mineralisation are observed in several holes. Assay results are expected in early November.

Heritage clearances have recently been obtained for Pacífico's Berjaya tenement and three holes (450m) will be added to the current RC drill program to test the Barney Creek Formation.

The Berjaya tenement area lies 24km west and along strike from the world class McArthur River zinc-lead mine and 16km west of Rox Resources' Teena zinc-lead deposit (figure 1).

The additional RC holes (figure 2) at Berjaya are planned to test the Barney Creek Formation for significant zinc mineralisation, either where mapping has indicated an increase in thickness of the unit, or adjacent to major faults.






Figure 1: Borroloola West Project Tenements and Location of prospects




Figure 2: Berjaya EL28508 – planned RC collars

**For further information or to be added to our electronic mailing list please contact:**

Simon Noon (Managing Director)  
 Phone: +61 (0)8 6266 8642  
 Email: [info@pacificominerals.com.au](mailto:info@pacificominerals.com.au)

**About Pacífico Minerals Ltd**

Pacífico Minerals Ltd (“Pacífico”) (ASX: PMY) is a Western Australian based exploration company with interests Australia and Colombia. In Australia the company is focussed on advancing the Borroloola West project in the Northern Territory. The Borroloola West Project is a Joint Venture with Sandfire Resources NL (ASX: SFR). Pacífico holds 51% and is operator of the Joint Venture and Sandfire holds 49%. The Borroloola West project covers an outstanding package of ground north-west of the McArthur River Mine (one of the world’s largest zinc and lead deposits) with high potential for the discovery of world class base metal deposits. In Colombia the company is focussed on advancing its Berrío Gold Project. Berrío is situated in the southern part of the prolific Segovia Gold Belt and is characterised by a number of operational, artisanal-scale mines. The project is 35km from the Magdalena River which is navigable to the Caribbean Sea and has excellent infrastructure in place including hydro power, sealed roads, a water supply and telecommunications coverage.

**Competent Person Statement**

The information in this announcement that relates to the Borroloola West Project is based on information compiled by Mr David Pascoe, who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Pascoe is contracted exclusively to Pacífico Minerals Limited. Mr Pascoe has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the “Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves”. Mr Pascoe consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on information in the form and context in which it appears.

# JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No analyses reported</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling reported</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling reported</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling reported</li> </ul>
Sub-sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No analyses reported</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
and sample preparation	<p><i>split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></li> <li>• <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul>	
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></li> <li>• <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></li> <li>• <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No analyses reported</li> </ul>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li>• <i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li>• <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li>• <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No drilling reported</li> </ul>
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No drilling reported</li> </ul>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No analyses reported</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias,</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No analyses reported</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No samples taken</li> </ul>
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No analyses reported</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Borrooloola West Project consists of EL's 26837, 26587, 31057, 26939, 30305, 26938, 28659, 28540, 28541, 28534, 28658, 30302, 28657, 28508, 24401, MLN 624 and ELA 26599. The Borrooloola West Project is a joint venture with Sandfire. Pacifco is the operator. Some of the licence areas are covered by the Limmen National Park and permissions for exploration have been obtained from the Parks and Wildlife Commission. The Berjaya licence EL28508 lies on McArthur River Station and permission has been obtained from the owner Glencore.</li> <li>Granted licences. Pacifco knows of no security of tenure issues or anticipated impediments to operating in the area.</li> </ul>
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Various companies have explored the area now covered by the Borrooloola West Project including Sandfire Resources NL, Mount Isa Mines Ltd and BHP Exploration Pty Ltd.</li> </ul>
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Borrooloola West Project is considered prospective for sediment hosted massive sulphide zinc lead silver deposits and structurally controlled copper deposits in the Proterozoic sedimentary sequence. Manganese deposits may be present in Cretaceous sediments. Diamonds may occur in concealed kimberlitic pipes.</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling reported</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>○ dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>○ down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>○ hole length.</li> <li>● If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	
<p>Data aggregation methods</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>● Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>● The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● No drilling results reported</li> </ul>
<p>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>● If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>● If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● No drilling reported</li> </ul>
<p>Diagrams</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● No drilling reported</li> </ul>
<p>Balanced reporting</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● No drilling reported</li> </ul>
<p>Other substantive exploration data</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● No other substantive exploration data</li> </ul>
<p>Further work</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>● Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Further exploratory drilling</li> <li>● Maps as presented in ASX announcement of 9/8/2016 (Borroloola West Joint Venture RC program) illustrate current</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	understanding