



MONSOON DRILLING UPDATE 2

Key points

- **Second batch of assays from initial follow up drilling at Monsoon received**
- **Includes a high grade intercept of 3m@32.1g/t gold**
- **Other narrow zones of +1g/t gold mineralisation intersected**
- **A third batch of assays from the final two diamond holes of this initial follow up program is expected within a week**
- **Preliminary cyanide leach recovery tests on initial high grade RC intercept in SPBC0313 indicate a wide range of recoveries, with both refractory and nuggety gold**
- **A new diamond drilling program starts tomorrow, including twin diamond drillholes to verify the original high grade Monsoon RC holes**

S2 Resources Ltd (“S2” or the “Company”) advises that results have been received for a further seven RC holes and one diamond hole drilled to follow up the original high grade gold intercepts in RC holes SPBC0313 and SPBC0320 previously reported in the Company’s ASX announcement of 21st July 2016.

The diamond hole (SPBD0325) was drilled on the original RC drill section and further down dip of the first diamond hole reported in the ASX announcement of 19th September 2016 (SPBD0324), and, as expected based on the revised interpretation of the mineralization described in that announcement, did not intersect any material mineralization.

The seven RC holes were drilled on lines which are located 60 and 100 metres north of SPBC0313 (the high grade RC intercept of 66 metres @ 11.4g/t gold). These holes are located 20 and 60 metres north of hole SPBC0320 (the second high grade RC intercept of 38 metres @ 6.41 g/t gold) which were both reported in the ASX announcement of 21st July 2016. Like the previously reported follow up holes, these follow up holes were also drilled towards the west in the opposite direction to the original RC

intercepts, which as described in the ASX announcement of 19th September 2016 may not be the optimal orientation.

Results include the following and are summarized in Annexure 1:

- 3 metres @ 32.1g/t gold (or 21.8g/t gold when top cut to 30g/t), from 88 metres in SPBC0337, drilled 20 metres north along strike of SPBC0320
- 2 metres @ 1.42g/t gold from 58 metres in SPBC0338, drilled 20 metres east of SPBC0337
- 1 metre @ 3.0g/t from 119 metres to end of hole in SPBC0339, drilled 20 metres east of SPBC0338

These intercepts are from three RC holes drilled on one section. At this stage it is not possible to predict orientation or continuity, but the results suggest that the gold mineralization at Monsoon may be related to discrete, narrow structures with highly variable grade in an as yet unknown orientation (see Figure 2).

Results have also been received from cyanide leach testwork undertaken on the original high grade intercept in SPBC0313. Table 1 compares the original fire assay results and the cyanide leach results for individual samples, with cyanide leachable grades of up to 164g/t gold, calculated head grades of up to 242g/t gold, and a wide range of calculated recoveries.

Depth from	Depth to	Original fire assay (g/t Au, uncut)	Cyanide leachable gold (g/t)	Calculated head grade (g/t Au)	Recovery vs original fire assay	Recovery vs calculated head grade
76	77	0.45	0.42	0.55	94%	76%
77	78	474	164	242	35%	68%
78	79	13.9	13.5	156	97%	9%
79	80	5.94	1.64	2.51	28%	65%
80	81	61.8	59.4	105	96%	57%
81	82	4.74	3.63	6.29	77%	58%
82	83	0.81	0.65	0.75	80%	87%
83	84	1.10	0.65	0.87	59%	74%
84	85	1.61	1.35	1.57	84%	86%
85	86	0.68	0.13	0.15	18%	82%
92	93	4.24	1.86	2.50	44%	74%
93	94	5.48	4.58	6.18	84%	74%
94	95	7.14	7.32	9.92	103%	74%
98	99	11.45	8.46	30.66	74%	28%
99	100	3.97	3.33	6.69	84%	50%
100	101	10.0	7.34	13.27	73%	55%
101	102	4.62	2.39	5.54	52%	43%

Table 1. Comparison of original fire assays, new cyanide leach assays, calculated head grade and recoveries for samples from SPBC0313.

These results indicate several important things:

- Cyanide leach results are generally lower than original fire assays, which is to be expected because cyanide leach is a rough indicator of recoverable gold, which is usually lower than the total contained gold
- The differential between the fire assay (= total gold) and the cyanide leach results indicates that there may be a variable component of refractory gold in the samples
- The variations in recovery with respect to the original fire assays and the calculated head grades, and in particular the spurious result from the 78-79 metre sample, suggests there is also a component of nuggety gold
- The presence of significant amounts of gold in the cyanide leach analyses, which are a different analytical technique undertaken at a different laboratory to the original fire assays, indicates that the high grades reported in the original RC holes are not an artefact of analytical error.

Results are still awaited for a further two diamond holes, also drilled in a westward direction some 40 and 100 metres north of the original RC intercept as part of the initial follow up drilling program. These are expected within a week.

The previously announced program of easterly directed diamond core drilling, including twinning the previously announced high grade RC intercepts in SPBC0313 and SPBC0320, is scheduled to start tomorrow. The aim of the twin diamond holes is to provide important geological and structural information to clarify the geology and the geometry of the previously identified mineralization, and this will also provide verification of the nature, width and distribution of gold mineralization in the RC holes.

For further information, please contact:

Mark Bennett
Managing Director
+61 8 6166 0240

Anna Neuling
Executive Director
+61 8 6166 0240

Competent Persons statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by John Bartlett who is an employee of the company. Mr Bartlett is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Bartlett has sufficient experience of relevance to the style of mineralisation and the types of deposits under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as Competent Persons as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Bartlett consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on information in the form and context in which it appears.




Figure 1. Plan of drillhole collars with key intercepts from previous and new drilling.




Figure 2. Cross section showing key intercepts from new drilling.

Annexure 1

The following Tables are provided to ensure compliance with the JORC code (2012) edition requirements for the reporting of exploration results.

Hole No.	Zone	Total Depth	North	East	RL	Dip	Azim	From, m	To, m	Width, m	Au, g/t	Comment
SPBC0312	Monsoon	120	6476800	394390	262	-60	90	75	78	3	1.18	
AND								85	86	1	5.22	
AND								96	102	6	0.54	
SPBC0313	Monsoon	140	6476800	394360	262	-60	90	74	140	66	11.4	EOH (4.2 g/t cut)
INCLUDING								77	85	8	70.5	(11.0 g/t cut)
INCLUDING								77	81	4	139	(20.0 g/t cut)
AND, INCLUDING								90	103	13	8	
INCLUDING								95	99	4	14.4	
AND, INCLUDING								110	123	13	3.6	
INCLUDING								117	119	2	13.5	
AND, INCLUDING								130	138	8	3.3	
SPBC0314	Monsoon	120	6476800	394330	262	-60	90			NSI		
SPBC0315	Monsoon	95	6476800	394420	262	-60	90			NSI		
SPBC0316	Monsoon	115	6476760	394390	262	-60	90	59	60	1	1.1	
AND								82	83	1	0.59	
AND								95	98	1	1.09	
SPBC0317	Monsoon	110	6476760	394360	262	-60	90	38	39	1	2.22	
AND								75	76	1	0.53	
SPBC0318	Monsoon	130	6476760	394330	262	-60	90			NSI		
SPBC0319	Monsoon	70	6476840	394410	262	-60	90			NSI		
SPBC0320	Monsoon	140	6476840	394380	262	-60	90	75	113	38	6.41	(1.78 g/t cut)
INCLUDING								75	83	8	26.7	(4.70 g/t cut)
AND, INCLUDING								90	99	9	2.1	
AND, INCLUDING								103	106	3	1.11	
AND, INCLUDING								112	113	1	4.93	
SPBC0321	Monsoon	120	6476840	394350	262	-60	90	64	65	1	0.82	
SPBC0322	Monsoon	120	6477430	393810	262	-60	90			NSI		
SPBC0323	Monsoon	140	6477430	393770	262	-60	90	105	107	2	24.7	(15.5 g/t cut)
AND								110	111	1	2.16	
SPBD0324	Monsoon	171.5	6476800	394485	262	-55	270			NSI		
SPBD0325	Monsoon	264.9	6476800	394485	262	-70	270	76	76.9	0.9	1.75	
SPBD0326	Monsoon	228.9	6476840	394520	262	-60	270			AWR		
SPBD0327	Monsoon	243.7	6476902	394470	262	-70	270			AWR		
SPBC0328	Monsoon	115	6476780	394405	262	-60	270			NSI		
SPBC0329	Monsoon	120	6476780	394425	262	-60	270	58	59	1	3.64	
AND								62	63	1	4.98	
AND								115	116	1	1.23	
AND								118	119	1	0.92	
SPBC0330	Monsoon	120	6476780	394445	262	-60	270	16	17	1	0.87	

SPBC0331	Monsoon	130	6476780	394465	262	-60	270			NSI		
SPBC0332	Monsoon	60	6476800	394405	262	-60	270			NSI		
SPBC0333	Monsoon	95	6476800	394425	262	-60	270			NSI		
SPBC0334	Monsoon	120	6476800	394445	262	-60	270	16	18	2	1.1	
AND								103	104	1	1.08	
SPBC0335	Monsoon	110	6476820	394440	262	-60	270			NSI		
SPBC0336	Monsoon	110	6476860	394420	262	-60	270			NSI		
SPBC0337	Monsoon	120	6476860	394440	262	-60	270	88	91	3	32.1	(21.8 g/t cut)
AND								95	96	1	1.01	
SPBC0338	Monsoon	120	6476860	394460	262	-60	270	58	60	2	1.42	
SPBC0339	Monsoon	120	6476860	394480	262	-60	270	119	120	1	3.00	(EOH)
SPBC0340	Monsoon	150	6476900	394420	262	-60	270	15	16	1	1.29	
SPBC0341	Monsoon	130	6476900	394440	262	-60	270	65	66	1	1.43	
SPBC0342	Monsoon	120	6476900	394460	262	-60	270	24	25	1	1.07	
AND								81	82	1	1.13	
SPBC0343	Monsoon	30	6476900	394480	262	-60	270			ABD		

Table 1:

The following Tables are provided to ensure compliance with the JORC code (2012) edition requirements for the reporting of exploration results.

SECTION 1 SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	The mineralised trend at Monsoon is sampled by aircore, RC and diamond drilling with 20 to 40 m hole spacing and on nominal 20 to 80 m lines. Aircore holes were drilled to refusal. For RC sampling, a 1 metre split is taken directly from a cone splitter mounted beneath the rigs cyclone. The cyclone and splitter are cleaned regularly to minimise any contamination. A second reference split is also taken from each metre and stored on site. Aircore holes are sampled using an aluminium scoop to produce a four metre composite sample. Diamond core is drilled either Triple Tube PQ3 or HQ. Core is cut in half and sampled.
	<i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used</i>	Sampling and QAQC procedures is carried out using S2 protocols as per industry best practice.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information</i></p>	<p>Reconnaissance aircore samples are composited at 4 m to produce a bulk 3 kg sample. Samples were dried, pulverised (total prep), and split to produce a 25 g sub sample which is analysed using aqua-regia digestion with ICP-MS finish with a 1 ppb detection limit.</p> <p>A 1m end of hole sample was collected for all aircore holes. Sample preparation was the same as above and were analysed using a four acid digest with an ICP/OES and fire assay. The following elements are included in the assay suite: Ag, Al, As, Au, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb, Sb, Sc, Sr, Te, Ti, V, W, Zn.</p> <p>RC drilling is sampled a 1m "cone" split sample, to produce a bulk 3 kg sample. Diamond core is cut by an automatic Almonte core saw and bagged for assay preparation. Sample preparation was the same as for the aircore drilling. A nominal 50gram sub-sample was collected and analysed by Samples were to produce a sub sample for analysed by fire assay with an AA finish.</p>
Drilling techniques	<p><i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></p>	<p>Diamond is by either PQ3 or HQ core size. RC drilling is carried out using a face sampling hammer with a nominal diameter of 140mm.</p> <p>Aircore drilling is carried out using a 3 ½ inch blade bit. Where necessary a 3 ½ inch face sampling hammer is employed to penetrate through hard zones.</p>
Drill sample recovery	<p><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed</i></p>	<p>Diamond, RC and aircore sample recoveries are visually estimated qualitatively on a metre basis and are recorded in the database.</p>
	<p><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples</i></p>	<p>Sample quality is qualitatively logged on a metre basis, recording sample condition and contamination. Diamond core recovery is very good in the moderately weathered and fresh rock.</p> <p>Various drilling additives (including muds and foams) have been used to condition RC and aircore drill holes to maximise recoveries and sample quality.</p> <p>Drill cyclone, splitter in the case of RC or sample buckets in the case of Aircore are cleaned between rod-changes and after each hole to minimise down hole and/or cross-hole contamination.</p>
	<p><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></p>	<p>Insufficient drilling and geochemical data is available at the present stage to evaluate potential sample bias.</p> <p>Drill samples are occasionally wet which may have resulted in sample bias due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</p>
Logging	<p><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p>	<p>Lithology, alteration and veining is recorded directly to a digital format and imported into S2 Resources central database. The logging is considered of sufficient standard to support a geological resource.</p>
	<p><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></p>	<p>Logging of RC Diamond and aircore records lithology, mineralogy, mineralisation, weathering, colour and other features of the samples, and is qualitative in nature.</p>
	<p><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged</i></p>	<p>All drillholes were logged in full.</p>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<p><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></p>	<p>The core was cut in half and sampled at intervals of between 0.5 and 1.25m</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	Aircore samples consist of a 4 metre composite samples. RC was sampled by collecting 1 metre samples are collected via an on-board cone splitter. Samples were collected both wet and dry.
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	The sample preparation follows industry best practice in sample preparation All samples are pulverised utilising Essa LM1, LM2 or LM5 grinding mills determined by the size of the sample. Samples are dried, crushed as required and pulverized to produce a homogenous representative sub-sample for analysis. A grind quality target of 85% passing 75µm has been established and is relative to sample size, type and hardness.
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	Quality control procedures include submission of Certified Reference Materials (CRM's), blanks and duplicate samples with each batch of samples. Selected samples are also re-analysed to confirm anomalous results. Grind size checks are routinely completed to ensure samples meet the industry standard of 85% passing through a 75µm mesh.
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	Field duplicates are taken at regular intervals. Samples are selected to weigh less than 3kg to ensure total preparation at the pulverisation stage.
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	Sample sizes are considered appropriate for gold mineralisation.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	RC and diamond core samples are analysed for Au only using a 40g or 50g Lead Collection Fire Assay with either an ICP/MS or AAS finish. 4m composite samples from AC drilling are analysed for Au only using a 25g aqua-regia digestion with an ICP/MS finish. The method gives a near total digestion of the regolith intercepted in aircore drilling and is suitable for the reconnaissance style sampling undertaken. All aircore holes have a 1m end-of-hole sample is collected for all AC holes. An extensive multi-element suite (including Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb, Sb, Sc, Sr, Te, Ti, Tl, V, W, Zn) is analysed using a four acid digest with an ICP/OES and ICP/MS finish. Au, Pt And Pd is analysed for using 25g or 50g Lead Collection fire assay with an ICP/MS finish.
	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	No geophysical tools were used to determine any element concentrations used in this resource estimate.
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	Sample preparation checks for fineness were carried out by the laboratory as part of their internal procedures to ensure the grind size of 85% passing 75 micron was being attained. Laboratory QAQC involves the use of internal lab standards using certified reference material, blanks, splits and replicates as part of the in house procedures.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	The Exploration Manager of S2 has visually verified significant intersections.
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	No twin holes have been drilled on the project to date.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	Primary data was collected using a set of standard Excel templates using lookup codes. The information was sent to an external database consultant for validation and compilation into a Perth based SQL database.
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	No adjustments or calibrations were made to any assay data reported.
Location of data points	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drillholes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	Drillhole collars were surveyed by DGPS with an accuracy is +/- 0.05m. All RC and Diamond holes are gyro surveyed downhole.
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	The grid system used at Polar Bear is GDA94 (MGA), zone 51.
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	A topographic surface has been created from aerial geophysical data. This has been calibrated with DGPS survey data. All reconnaissance drill holes have been corrected to this surface where DGPS pickup is not available.
Data spacing and distribution	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Data spacing is currently defined by the geological criteria regarded appropriate to determine the extents of mineralisation. Reconnaissance AC drilling is on a nominal spacing of between 80m x 40m and 160m x 40m drill pattern.
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	Drilling is currently preliminary in nature had the mineralised domains have not yet demonstrated sufficient continuity in both geological and grade continuity to support the definition of Mineral Resource and Reserves, and the classifications applied under the 2012 JORC Code.
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	No compositing has been applied to the exploration results.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	The drilling is not necessarily drilled perpendicular to the orientation of the intersected mineralisation. All reported intervals are downhole intervals and not calculated true width. This will be established with further drilling.
	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	The orientation of mineralisation is currently unknown and as such no comment can be made as to any sampling bias as a result of the orientation of mineralised structures.
Sample security	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Chain of custody is managed by S2 Resources. Samples in calico bags are bagged directly from the splitter at the drill rig by an S2R geotechnician. Samples are stored on site and either delivered by S2 personnel directly to the assay laboratory in Perth, or delivered to either the nominated Minanalytical depot at Kalgoorlie or Centurion Transport and delivered direct to the assay laboratory. Whilst in storage, they are kept on a locked yard. Tracking sheets have been set up to track the progress of batches of samples.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	A review of the sample quality (recovery, wetness and contamination) as recorded by the geologist on the drill rig against assay results has been carried out with no obvious issues identified.

SECTION 2 REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	The Monsoon prospect is located within Exploration License E63/1142, which is located within the Polar Bear Project, 100% owned by Polar Metals Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of S2 Resources Ltd. All projects are situated within the Ngadju Native Title Claim (WC99/002).
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	The tenement is in good standing and no known impediments exist on tenement actively explored.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	<u>Gold Exploration</u> Plutonic Operations Limited and Homestake Gold of Australia Limited conducted reconnaissance AC drilling (PBAC prefix) over Lake Cowan on predominantly 100 m drillhole spacing and 800 m line spacing from 1997-1999. Location of these drillholes cannot be verified as the collars are now mostly obscured. AC sampling was done by 4 m composites with 1 m re-splits on samples greater than 0.1 g/t. Samples were assayed by aqua-regia digest with AAS finish although this cannot be verified as the original laboratory.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The Polar Bear project is situated within the Archaean Norseman-Wiluna Belt which locally includes basalts, komatiites, metasediments, and felsic volcanoclastics. The primary gold mineralisation is related to hydrothermal activity during multiple deformation events. Indications are that gold mineralisation is focused on or near to the stratigraphic boundary between the Killaloe and Buldania Formation.
Drill hole Information	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • easting and northing of the drill hole collar • elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar • dip and azimuth of the hole • down hole length and interception depth • hole length. 	Refer to Annexure1 in body of text.
Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	All reported assays have been length weighted. A nominal 0.2 g/t Au lower cut-off is used to report AC intersections. A nominal 0.5 g/t Au lower cut-off has been used to report RC results. High grade results have been cut to 30 g/t Au for reporting the board intercepts, with both the top cut and uncut intercepts reported.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	High grade gold intervals internal to broader zones of mineralisation are reported as included intervals.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	No metal equivalent values are used for reporting exploration results.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<p>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</p> <p>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</p> <p>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</p>	<p>The trend of mineralisation at Monsoon is not known at present due to the lack of deeper drilling and the early stage of exploration.</p> <p>Refer to Annexure 1 and Figures in body of text.</p>
Diagram	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Refer to Figures in body of text.
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	The accompanying document is conserved to represent a balanced report with grades and/or widths reported in a consistent manner.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	Preliminary bottle roll cyanide leach testwork has been carried out on selected samples from SPBC0313. The testwork was carried out by Bureau Veritas (Perth), on a nominal 500g sample with 1000ml of cyanide solution (500 ppm CN) for 24 hours. The with an AAS analysis of the solution and a fire assay of the residual solid to provide an estimate of the likely recoverable gold
Further work	<p>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</p> <p>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive</p>	Further diamond drilling, angled to the east, including twinning of SPBC0313 to ascertain gold mineralisation geometry.